



SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED TO PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS

By provision order/parts of plan - Volume 1 of 5

**Policy Series: 2018/16
ISSN: 2230-4347**

**Date: 20 August 2018
12915981**

General.....	4
3.11 Waikato and Waipa River Catchments/Ngā Riu o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā.....	88
Area covered by Chapter 3.11/Ngā Riu o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā.....	89
Map 3.11-1: Map of the Waikato and Waipa River catchments, showing Freshwater Management Units.....	91
Background and explanation	91
Co-management of the Waikato and Waipa Rivers.....	95
Collaborative approach.....	96
Water quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.....	97
Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational.....	98
Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy.....	108
Te Horopaki me ngā Whakamārama	109
3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipa Rivers/Ngā Uara me ngā Whakamahinga o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā	110
Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River/Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o WaikatoThe Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012 extended Te Ture Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato to also cover the Waipa River and its catchment.....	113
Te Mana o te Wai: Mana Atua, Mana Tangata.....	115
Hononga ki te wai, hononga ki te whenua - Identity and sense of place through the interconnections of land with water	115
3.11.1.1 Mana Atua – Intrinsic values.....	115
Intrinsic values - History.....	115
Intrinsic values - Ecosystem health.....	116
Intrinsic values - Natural form and character	117
3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata – Use values	118
Use values - Wai tapu	118
Use values - Geothermal.....	119
Use values - Mahinga kai	119
Use values - Human health for recreation.....	120
Use values - Transport and tauranga waka.....	121
Use values - Primary production.....	121

Water supply	123
Use values - Commerical, municipal and industrial use	124
Use values - Electricity generation.....	126
Use values - Mitigating flood hazards	126
3.11.2 Objectives/Ngā Whāinga	127
Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori	137
Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa	160
Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te whakaoranga me te tiakanga o te kounga wai i ia riu kōawāwa me te Wae Whakahaere Wai Māori	178
Objective 4: People and community resilience/Te Whāinga 4: Te manawa piharau o te tangata me te hāpori.....	195
Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua	214

General

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Adams, Matthew Perrin Submitter ID: 72022	PC1-3650	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to exclude all lifestyle block and smaller hobby farmers from PPC1 AND AMEND to exclude horses from PPC1.
Adams, Neville Submitter ID: 74154	PC1-5061	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE) Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9567	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to fine tune it, without allowing for an increase in nutrient and pollutant inflow to the region's waterways above what the plan in its present form allows AND provide a continued effort to explain and interpret PPC1 to those directly affected by it AND AMEND to provide an outcomes component, and in particular a healthy ecosystems approach that takes account of the amount of erosion-susceptible land, suspended solids in the water, plant nutrients in water, diversity and numbers of desirable fauna and the composition and abundance of water plant communities. Where areas of PPC1 are identified as being unsatisfactory in their current form the Council should commit to a process and timeframe that addresses them.
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-625	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure provisions enhance consultation at a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND to ensure provisions enhance education, engagement and clarity for all farming types at a grassroots level. AND AMEND to ensure trust-building is enabled through transparency and science that is robust, practical and easily understandable to a multi-aged farming community. AND AMEND to ensure provisions enhance the ability for council and landowners to build relationships on the understanding that one size will not fit all.
Albrechtsen, May and John Submitter ID: 74166	PC1-9618	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Alcock, Carl and Jo Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2208	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole catchment as one.
Alcock and Easton, Jo and John Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9213	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until all catchments are treated as one AND AMEND to make any consequential amendments arising from this submission.
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7043	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure targets are achieved as soon as possible, AND AMEND so that grandparenting of activities that create adverse environmental effects is temporary, AND AMEND to ensure recognition of the efforts of those farmers who have already made improvements, AND AMEND so limits and requirements are tailored at the ecosystem or sub-catchment level, AND AMEND so that point source discharge consents are reviewed in the first stage.
Aldridge, Russell Submitter ID: 73307	PC1-6114	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so it considers the decaying forest covered by the pumice cap in South Waikato, Waipā and King Country and the effects of this on water quality. AND AMEND PPC1 so it considers the nitrate and methane gas emissions from the old Te Kuiti land fill. AND AMEND PPC1 to reconsider increasing stocking rates.
Alexander, Ian Rennie Submitter ID: 74114	PC1-10352	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1. If not deleted, AMEND to require the costs associated with giving effect to the requirements in PPC1 to be met by Central Government.
Alexander Farming Genetics Submitter ID: 74115	PC1-10095	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND CONSIDER revisiting PPC1 starting from a sub-catchment approach and any restrictions to be based on land class not land use AND UNDERTAKE work into the effects of urban sprawl and population growth.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6060	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it provides certainty for future sustainable farming. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Anderson, Graham Harold Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-11175	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Andree-Wiltens, Albert John Submitter ID: 71230	PC1-503	Not stated	WITHDRAW PPC1 OR AMEND PPC1 to share costs among New Zealanders and provide farmers with assistance and time.
Arnet, Anthony Joseph Submitter ID: 73494	PC1-9431	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide that costs incurred are at a minimum. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7018	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the lower catchment is reinstated and then notify as a whole AMEND PPC1 to include new or amend existing objectives, policies, methods, and rules to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability AND ENSURE PPC1 is not implemented until scientific data is available about which contaminants are causing water quality decline in each sub-catchment.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5432	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the lower catchment is re inserted into the plan at which time it can be re-notified as a whole. OR PUT PPC1 ON HOLD. PUT ON HOLD the implementation of PPC1 until rigorous scientific data around which contaminants and their sources are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment. AND ADD new objectives, policies, methods and rules to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability. OR AMEND existing objectives, policies, methods and rules to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability.
A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-11532	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before progressing PPC1 AND RE-NOTIFY with the inclusion of the withdrawn area so that the catchment can be considered in its entirety and submissions can be coordinated.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11373	Support the provision with amendments	REVIEW and AMEND the Section 32 evaluation of the scenarios considered for PPC1, including an evaluation of Scenario 2 AND AMEND PPC1 as a consequence of the evaluation of Scenario 2 AND AMEND PPC1 to clarify the type of resource consent which must be applied for in respect of its proposed new rules.
Atkinson, Richard Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-5206	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until there is broader agreement between all stakeholders.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-11007	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the forestry exclusion in the existing Regional Plan Rule 5.1.4.14.6 and 7 and require a 10 metre buffer for ephemeral streams, perennial streams, wetlands and lakes AND AMEND to ensure that no more than 50 per cent of a catchment or sub-catchment is harvested in a 10-year period unless 20 metre buffers are used on perennial streams, wetlands, and lakes to protect the downstream environment during harvest

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to ensure that any area of forestry that is harvested (other than firebreaks, tracks, landing sites) must be planted or replanted to protect from erosion and nutrient loss as soon as practicable and no later than 14 months from the date of the harvesting</p> <p>Note also the submitter's Decisions Requested with respect to forest harvesting in the Part B, 5.1.5 q Submission Summary Point 11016</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Schedule D for buffer requirements as provided in the table in Appendix 1 of the submission (page 64)</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Schedule E that includes a 30% short term change as per the table in Appendix 1 of the submission (page 65)</p> <p>AND AMEND 3.7.7 Table of Wetlands in the Waikato Region to list all wetlands in the Waikato and Waipā catchments for Rule 3.7.4.6</p> <p>AND AMEND to include the entire Waikato catchment in notification of PPC1, including the withdrawn area and all elements of PPC1 pertaining to the withdrawn area</p> <p>AND AMEND to give effect to the following points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That PC1 gives effect to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991; 2. That PC1 gives effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the National Policy Statement - Freshwater Management 2014; 3. That PC1 gives effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement 2016; 4. That the Auckland/Waikato and Eastern Sports Fish and Game Bird Management Plans are had regard to; 5. That PC1 gives effect to the Vision and Strategy; 6. That all remaining wetland habitats, particularly the Whangamarino wetland are recognised as significant and protected from further degradation and loss, and that their significant values including game bird values are protected, and the creation of new wetland habitats is facilitated; 7. That the heightened significance of the Whangamarino wetland complex is recognised by way of a specific FMU, with the inclusion of appropriate attributes and targets in appropriate sites; 8. That the objectives of PC1 and numerical attribute targets in Tables 3. 11-1 are capable of establishing a trajectory of improvement in water quality as measured through regular review periods to ensure that the water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy are achieved in 80 years; 9. That the objectives of PC1 and numerical attribute targets and limits are sufficient to safeguard life supporting capacity, ecosystem health and processes of fresh water, provide for the habitat of trout and indigenous fish, provide for primary contact recreation and cultural values including mahinga kai, and recognise and protect the natural character of rivers, lakes and wetlands; 10. That Tables 3.11-1 includes appropriate attributes including limits and targets, which provide for the life supporting capacity, ecosystem health and processes, of fresh water, provide for the habitat of trout and indigenous fish, provide for primary contact recreation and cultural values including mahinga kai, and recognise and protect the natural character of rivers, lakes and wetlands. This includes the introduction of additional freshwater attributes as limits and targets, such as nitrate-nitrogen, phosphorus, and ecosystem health as measured through the macroinvertebrate community index (MCI) and other appropriate measures; 11. That Tables 3.11-1 includes appropriate attributes to enable an accurate characterisation of water quality and ecosystem health and therefore enable regular reviews to assess the effectiveness of the provisions of the plan and progress towards its objectives, policies, limits, and targets;

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 12. That discharge rules, including those for diffuse discharges ancillary to land use activities meet the requirements of s70 RMA, administrative law requirements, and relevant planning considerations; 13. That values, objectives, policies and methods (including rules) ensure that land is managed sustainably to achieve freshwater objectives, including the maintenance of water quality and where degraded, its improvement overtime; 14. That Farm Environment Plans are placed into an appropriate and transparent framework of review and accountability; 15. The inclusion of regulations which ensure that point and non-point discharges are managed and allocated within science-defined limits and that the property or enterprise-level allocation of discharges within these limits is equitable and consistent, efficient and effective, occurs as part of a trajectory of water quality improvement, and promotes sustainable management; 16. That in at- or under-allocated sub-catchments (where freshwater objectives and targets are met but not exceeded), land use and ancillary discharge activities are regulated to ensure that at a minimum, that water quality is maintained; 17. That in sub-catchments approaching, or at full allocation, and in over-allocated sub-catchments (where freshwater objectives and targets are currently being exceeded), land use and ancillary discharge activities are regulated to ensure that sub-catchments are placed on a trajectory of improvement; 18. That nitrogen leaching limits and targets within catchments are established based on known water quality requirements for ecosystem health and then allocated back to land based on the natural capacity of soil, using a land suitability approach such as Land Use Capability (LUC) or similar alternative; 19. That any required percentage reductions in nutrient loss or leaching utilise farm system economic analysis to assist in determining the extent of possible reductions at the farm, catchment, and regional scale; 20. That nutrient transfer or trading regimes are provided in order to enable leaching reductions to be achieved at least cost and with maximum flexibility of resource use (to enable economic benefits to be maximised from the available resource) in cases where the required outcome and targets for that catchment cannot be met with natural carrying capacity based allocation systems or where communities choose this system of allocation; 21. That provisions are included in the Plan to preserve the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins and the protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development; 22. The inclusion of objectives, policies and methods (including rules) that holistically address sediment discharge and include diffuse sediment discharge from farming activities; 23. That the implementation methods (including rules) are sufficient to achieve the objectives of PC1; 24. Any further relief which addresses the issues raised by this submission and any consequential amendments. <p>AND AMEND to include re-inclusion of the entire Waikato catchment in notification of PC1 including the withdrawn area and all elements of PC1 pertaining to the withdrawn area</p> <p>The submitter has stated that the s32 report is flawed and lists reasons relating to the development of the plan change, the scenario modelling outputs, the methods for making reductions, catchment wide rules and output limits for nitrogen discharge, but has not requested a specific decision in response.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			With respect to the s32 report, the submitter disagrees that the proposed rules are the most appropriate, efficient and effective provisions to achieve the objectives of the proposed plan and the purpose of the RMA and considers that alternative methods (including rules) to achieve these are necessary if the objectives are to be met.
Auckland Council Submitter ID: 73518	PC1-9139	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for cross boundary approaches to ensure that wider catchment effects are considered AND AMEND to provide for protection of intermittent streams within the Waikato region to ensure consistent NPS-FM policy and implementation approaches between Waikato and the southern boundary of the Auckland region.
Auckland Regional Public Health Service Submitter ID: 71612	PC1-10173	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Austin, John Desmond Submitter ID: 74176	PC1-5982	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Avery, Kim Submitter ID: 67699	PC1-1499	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Awaroa Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-6629	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide examples of farmers doing the right thing, do not punish them AND AMEND to address Koi carp before anything else AND AMEND PPC1 so all properties are treated on an individual basis through Farm Environment Plans.
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-12315	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Baldwin, Trevor and Wilhelmina Submitter ID: 71221	PC1-6258	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-8526	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 as described under separate submission points.
Ballantine, Alan Maurice Submitter ID: 74094	PC1-8124	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to focus the energy where it is most needed AND AMEND to identify the hot spots of pollution and deal with them AND AMEND PPC1 to focus on a sub-catchment approach to the management of water quality AND AMEND PPC1 so that farmers are not required to make changes where water quality testing shows that standards are being met AND AMEND PPC1 to allow consideration of non-farmland point and non-point source discharges so that non-farmers who contribute to water pollution are held accountable also.
Balle, Patricia Katherine Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-6086	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area is re-integrated with the whole.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11398	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until negotiations with Hauraki Iwi have concluded and prepare a new section 32 analysis prior to re-notification AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 AND AMEND to reflect requirement to manage pest species.
Barker, Karen Submitter ID: 73068	PC1-8662	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Barker, Wayne Submitter ID: 73384	PC1-5912	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that it is appropriate with respect to costs to small businesses and communities.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Barron, Daniel and Sarah Submitter ID: 73943	PC1-5131	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1, until the difference with iwi is resolved.
Barry, Muiora Submitter ID: 71615	PC1-8458	Oppose the provision with amendments	ENSURE in PPC1 that animals stop excreting into the waterways AND ENSURE that the use of nitrate fertilisers is stopped AND ENSURE that all human sewage entering waterways is stopped AND ENSURE that the Government forces companies to stop manufacturing products that cause harm to the environment and waterways.
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-2886	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 OR Place on hold until a resolution can be made with iwi AND AMEND to ensure that once resolution is sorted, work has been put towards longer term planning that will create better certainty for all landowners AND AMEND to provide for a change of culture within regional councils to move away from regulatory rules/blanket rule approach and shift towards working alongside, engaging and educating landowners and communities AND AMEND to provide for long term planning and community groups AND AMEND to work alongside national standard rules AND AMEND to create a better framework within the regional council for generational improvement to the environment not 10 year timeframes. These create uncertainty in an area that is always changing.
Bayly, Trevor and Bev Submitter ID: 72389	PC1-8545	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11511	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD the lower catchment back into PPC1 AND undertake a Section 32 analysis on the alternative methods and rules proposed in this submission.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13151	Not stated	PROVIDE in PPC1 for full compensation to those that are required to make large scale land use changes.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13152	Not stated	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its current form.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13153	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 and re-notify inclusive of amended stock exclusion policies and methods that are the same as the proposed national regulations AND AMEND policies and methods requiring the exclusion of stock from water and adopt methods that are set out in the national regulation for exclusion of stock from waterbodies.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13154	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1, however the submission considers that the NPSFM has not been given effect to, in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a lack of clarity around what is considered to be a freshwater objective, limit or target and a failure to establish freshwater objectives and attributes. • The freshwater objectives established in PPC1 do not reflect values of freshwater including national values and do not recognise regional and local circumstances, as is required by Objective CA1, Policy CA2 or Policy CA3 of the NPSFM. • PPC1 provides for higher discharges to continue at their historic rate, even though this will not give effect to the NPSFM or the Vision and Strategy.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Table 3.11-1 is contrary to the NPSFM. <p>AND AMEND to give effect to the RMA and the NPSFM, and in particular ensure consistency with the objectives and policies under section C(a) National Objectives Framework.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that when formulating freshwater objectives and limits (including Table 3.11-1), the economic wellbeing, including productive economic opportunities, are provided for within the context of environmental objectives, attributes and limits.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13155	Not stated	<p>The submission is concerned that the section 32 analysis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not adequately assess costs, benefits and alternatives. Does not comply with the RMA. In some cases has failed to assess provisions Fails to show PPC1 will achieve the improvements required by the Vision and Strategy Fails to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of PPC1 Fails to assess the economic implications. <p>[refer to further detail in paragraph 12-22 of the submission]</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13156	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 and re-notify inclusive of an amended and strengthened sub-catchment approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 and re-notify inclusive of modified objectives, policies, rules and methods applying to the management of nitrogen.</p> <p>AND DELETE policies and methods including rules applying to managing nitrogen discharges.</p> <p>AND AMEND to include an alternative nitrogen management and allocation method, in accordance with the submission and with the following principles [see the 14 principles in the full submission] for the allocation of nutrients.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that N discharge/leaching standards/allocations are established based not on existing use and discharge profiles, but on the underlying natural capacity of soils and within the assimilative capacity of water.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that allocation methods achieve the limits and targets set by PPC1 and the Objectives of the plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that nitrogen loads are allocated within (sub) catchments in such a way that there is an equitable allocation of total catchment nitrogen load to all users/activities who may wish to use the available resource.</p> <p>AND AMEND to establish a nutrient transfer regime for nutrient user groups within sub-catchments, where catchment loads and limits have been established but only where any allocation methods are not based on current discharges (NRP) or land use. Transfer regimes are to enable nitrogen loss reductions to be achieved at least cost and to enable and encourage maximum efficiency and flexibility of resource use and to optimise economic benefits. Nutrient transfer systems must meet the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The initial allocation system meets all of the allocation principles; Only occurs within a sub-catchment or watershed and only within a nutrient user/Catchment Collective Groups; The transferable portion of the resource (e.g. nitrogen) only pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcomes; and

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Result in improved economic outcomes and land use optimisation. <p>AND AMEND so that water quality outcomes below environmental limits which is caused by naturally occurring processes, or is due to the impacts of regionally or nationally significant infrastructure is provided for.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that objectives, policies, methods and rules, are included which facilitate and support the establishment and operation of (sub) catchment collective groups to manage water quality and biodiversity issues facing a catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that objectives, policies and methods support innovative and, where required, edge of field mitigation which facilitates flexible, viable businesses and encourages communities to work together to identify, understand and act collectively to improve water quality.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that regulatory methods are tailored to address the environmental issues specific to a sub-catchment or watershed and that land use.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13157	Not stated	<p>AMEND in PPC1 land use (including “farming”) methods and rules to include ancillary discharges (s9 and s15 RMA)</p> <p>AND AMEND land use and ancillary discharge activity rules so that they are holistic, in that they apply to the farming operation and systems rather than taking a single activity focus.</p> <p>AND AMEND land use and ancillary discharge activity rules to meet the requirements of s70 RMA, and relevant planning considerations.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13158	Not stated	<p>AMEND in PPC1 the land use and ancillary discharges (including nitrogen management or allocation) objectives, policies, methods and rules to recognise and provide for drystock sector farming operations including the matters identified in paragraph 39(i)-(ix) of the full submission.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13159	Not stated	<p>ADD provisions to PPC1 which ensure that the life supporting capacity of water, soil, and ecosystems are safeguarded.</p> <p>AND ADD provisions which ensure that freshwater resource use (assimilative capacity) is necessary, reasonable and efficient.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13160	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 so that methods, including rules, are put in place now to achieve the policies and objectives of the Plan, give effect to the RMA, and the NPSFM.</p> <p>AND AMEND to incorporate management frameworks into proposed V1 now which provide for land use and discharge permits to be consented for up to 35 years as is provided for under section 123(d) RMA.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13161	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 so that in over allocated catchments (where numerical water quality limits are currently being exceeded) land use and ancillary discharge activities that have caused or contributed to the over allocation, are managed to discharge standards which are set to progressively decline over time to ensure that discharges are reduced to meet the receiving water numerical limits/targets and achieve the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River and the NPSFM.</p>
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-13162	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide for such other or further relief as addresses the issues raised by this submission.</p>
Beex, Henry John Submitter ID: 73982	PC1-4291	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 to benefit the entire community.</p>
Begbie, Ruthana Okeroa Submitter ID: 74005	PC1-8035	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ADOPT PPC1 in its entirety and remain open to the recommendations noted in River Iwi Joint submissions and Raukawa Iwi submissions.</p>
Bell, Daphne Lois Submitter ID: 72987	PC1-5509	Support the provision	<p>RETAIN PPC1.</p>
Bell, Helen and Ian Submitter ID: 71345	PC1-8217	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 as per specific submission points.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Bellview Plains Ltd Submitter ID: 73496	PC1-8623	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Bennett, Lindy and Michael Submitter ID: 72929	PC1-4466	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Bentham, Thomas and Simone Submitter ID: 73710	PC1-7145	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to be equitable to farmers who have taken action to reduce the environmental impact of their farms AND AMEND to define stock clearly and consistently AND AMEND to differentiate goats in reference to stock.
Bignell, Tony and Hannah Submitter ID: 72770	PC1-7289	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER the impact of PPC1 will have on family farms future.
Bilby, Lorraine Submitter ID: 74090	PC1-7596	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so improvements to water quality are outcomes based AND AMEND so the costs of implementation are borne by the whole community AND AMEND to require significant investment into science AND AMEND to require peer-review of how existing science has been applied AND AMEND to evaluate the economic impacts of PPC1 against the achievement of the 'swimmable and collection of kai' objective AND AMEND to extend PPC1 to a 15 year timeframe and implement it in small stages as science becomes available.
Birchall, David Richard Submitter ID: 73613	PC1-7603	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 AND CONSIDER using newly announced Government water proposals.
Birkett, Bev and Bill Submitter ID: 71080	PC1-21	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Black, Graham John Submitter ID: 73456	PC1-2971	Oppose the provision	AMEND so that individual farm nitrogen usage is based on soil testing (taken at different times of the year and from different locations) that determines how much nitrogen the farm can sustain.
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8008	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD new or AMEND existing provisions to support farming activities that are not adversely affecting water quality and enable them to continue. AND ADD new or AMEND existing provisions and tailor them to different types of farming. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Bodley, Jefferis William Submitter ID: 73429	PC1-2194	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources of contaminations such as koi carp and Canadian geese, and effects from hydro-dams. AMEND to ensure koi carp are removed from the Waikato river and its catchments.
Bolt Trust, King Country Partnership 2013 LP and Lone Pine Trust Submitter ID: 73539	PC1-6480	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to reduce town and industry discharges in the same time-frame as farming. AND AMEND PPC1 to treat all citizens equally. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure a blanket approach is not used. AND AMEND to extend time-frames in PPC1 for implementation of the changes by a minimum of 10 years. AND AMEND to ensure appropriate alternative mitigations that will achieve any prescribed standard in PPC1 are provided for specifically in regards to time-frames as science research and design takes time.
Boom, Robin Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5725	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to address submission points about the intent to convert pastoral livestock farms to forestry AND AMEND to subsidise a koi carp business to harvest and turn into fish meal or fish fertiliser.
Bowron, James Submitter ID: 73699	PC1-5397	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Brawn, Cecile Maude	PC1-9010	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73172			
Brewer, Kylie Lynn Submitter ID: 72911	PC1-8166	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Brier, Graeme Anthony Submitter ID: 73052	PC1-5423	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Briggs, Graham John Submitter ID: 73938	PC1-3765	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide more long term certainty for farmers
Briggs, Robin John Submitter ID: 73920	PC1-4956	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to a nitrogen cap set at 30kg/N/ha for all farms. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Brinker Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73463	PC1-8349	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Broderick, Iola Jane and Quentin MacAlister Submitter ID: 73123	PC1-4096	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to encourage farmers to fund a water system first and then fence of waterways.
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2969	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to remove subjective provisions and replace with specific measureable standards. AND MAKE any consequential and or similar amendments to have the same effect.
Brough, John Conroy Submitter ID: 71237	PC1-415	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that hill country farmers do not have unreasonable ideas forced onto them.
Brown, Peter Submitter ID: 72628	PC1-9822	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Brown, Trevor Grant and Joy Marjorie Submitter ID: 71083	PC1-28	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that there is no additional pressure on farmers.
Bryant, Jeffrey Michael Submitter ID: 74126	PC1-8266	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until more definite answers about the long term effect on farming can be given.
Bryant, Kerry Submitter ID: 72985	PC1-7882	Support the provision with amendments	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until the above concerns have been addressed.
BT Mining Ltd Submitter ID: 72453	PC1-12324	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 by discarding use of terms Good Management Practice and Best Management Practice, and instead using the term "Best Practicable Option".
Buchanan, Conall Submitter ID: 73774	PC1-4533	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to address issues raised: impracticality of 365 day/year swimmability, need for comprehensive approach to manage all four contaminants, poor process from partial withdrawal of PPC1.
Buchanan, Jason Robert Submitter ID: 73696	PC1-6865	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 as described in separate submission points
Bucher, Kurt and Katharina Submitter ID: 73497	PC1-8936	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Buckley, Carol Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2702	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 6 Whangamarino Wetland. AND RETAIN Policy 15 restoring Whangamarino Wetland. AND AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing Whangamarino Wetland and its catchments health and well-being, especially pest species.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND CLARIFY what restoration means in terms of goals. RETAIN Method 3.11.4.4 working with others, and managing pest weeds and fish AND AMEND PPC1 to include policies, objectives and rules for managing pest weeds and fish. AND MAKE consequential changes to the plan to give effect to the relief sought throughout this submission.
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-2242	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 6 Whangamarino Wetland. RETAIN Policy 15 restoring Whangamarino Wetland. AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing Whangamarino Wetland and its catchments health and well-being, especially pest species. RETAIN Method 3.11.4.4 working with others, and managing pest weeds and fish AND AMEND PPC1 to include policies, objectives and rules for managing pest weeds and fish.
Buckthought, Phillip David and Andrea Submitter ID: 72494	PC1-9913	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include a framework that ensures all stakeholders, technical experts and Waikato Regional Council are engaged and involved in the future work. AND AMEND to ensure the long-term improvement of water quality in rivers and lakes balances with the economic and social impacts on our communities.
Bull, David Stewart Submitter ID: 73701	PC1-2277	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Bull, Gerald Submitter ID: 74125	PC1-4865	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for the development of local plans that achieve the regional scheme and meet water guidelines. AND AMEND PPC1 so that it does not restrict land use to what it has been historically.
Bulmer, Alice Submitter ID: 74187	PC1-6610	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Burdett, Laurie Submitter ID: 73532	PC1-6510	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 and the use of the grandparenting approach to nitrogen management. AND AMEND PPC1 to emphasise the land use capability approach and enable farming organisations and land use authorities to take the lead on this. AND AMEND PPC1 to prevent cattle accessing steep slopes.
Burton, John and Diane Submitter ID: 71292	PC1-7319	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Butler, Philip David Francis and Lois Elizabeth Submitter ID: 72772	PC1-4985	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
C. Alma Baker Trust (Limestone Downs) Submitter ID: 71257	PC1-919	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested.
C.J. & J.F. Luxford Submitter ID: 73961	PC1-9543	Not stated	Undertake research and find practical solutions to overcome uncertainty and achieve the 10-year targets of PPC1 AND AMEND the banning of cultivation on land with a slope > 15 degrees to restrict the contiguous area in any one catchment to provide bund-like pastures around cultivated land to filter any run off.
C&A Neville Family Trust Submitter ID: 72865	PC1-10954	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Callaghan, Martyn Submitter ID: 74171	PC1-8813	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to clarify how water quality will be measured AND AMEND to provide a 50 per cent subsidy on the cost of fencing off waterways

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND so that Iwi partners also provide funding to complete fencing as soon as financially possible</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide a rates reduction greater than the area of land taken out of production as an incentive to fence off waterways</p> <p>AND CLARIFY where District Council revenue from environmental taxes goes.</p>
<p>Cameron, Bruce Submitter ID: 60603</p>	PC1-6373	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Objective 6 (withdrawn)</p> <p>AND RETAIN Policy 15 (withdrawn)</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 15 (withdrawn) to include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, especially pest fish species.</p>
<p>Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006</p>	PC1-3518	Oppose the provision with amendments	Not stated in this section of the submission for PPC1.
<p>Carter, Graham Bruce Submitter ID: 74082</p>	PC1-8827	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 to make the Chief Executive of Waikato Regional Council accountable if the Council does not comply with PPC1 and lead the way</p> <p>AND AMEND to require Council to lead the way by implementing the use of filter pumps for all storm water and wastewater outlets going into waterways, especially the Waikato river</p> <p>AND AMEND to require all current flows into the Waikato river to have filters applied and used to ensure that all water is treated and chemicals and organic matter removed prior to releasing into the waterways</p> <p>AND AMEND to ban the application of low grade phosphates, and require that phosphates used by fertiliser companies have a minimum to zero level of heavy metals</p> <p>AND AMEND to stop on the use of herbicides and pesticides around all waterways</p> <p>AND AMEND to ban all raw or untreated sewerage being released into waterways in the Waikato region</p> <p>AND AMEND to include monitoring of sewerage, wastewater and storm water outlets in the annual or bi-annual audits of every property or premises with an Environmental Plan</p> <p>AND AMEND to set up an independent body to audit and monitor all and every Council and business premise and to double check the Council related responsibilities, including for smaller creeks and streams</p> <p>AND AMEND to include a maximum stock limit and nutrient limit for every dairy farm</p> <p>AND AMEND to ban the use of all disposable plastics and put a .5 cent return on all glass and aluminium cans</p> <p>AND AMEND to include increased fines for dumping rubbish</p> <p>AND AMEND to make hazardous waste and solid waste collection sites free of charge to encourage use, and a rates rewards system to encourage the disposal of hazardous materials</p> <p>AND AMEND to subsidise car wash sites to encourage their use</p> <p>AND AMEND to require rubbish collection agencies to take away all hard waste items</p> <p>AND AMEND to require all new housing and commercial premises to channel grey water systems into the sewerage systems rather than into storm water systems, and conversion of old houses and business premises to do the same over time</p> <p>AND AMEND to advocate a clean water policy that considers streets as tributaries of our local rivers and lakes, and publicise the implementation of hefty fines for the dumping of rubbish</p> <p>AND AMEND to require private enterprises such as mussel farms to improve their actions and take care of the environment</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that every farm and property that requires large amounts of water for irrigation or other practices uses a dam (turtle nest dam), and that water extraction from all waterways is minimised</p> <p>AND AMEND to include rate increases for those businesses, farm properties and households that do not comply, including rental properties</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to set up a regulatory body to ensure that every Council Chief Executive Officer is held responsible for the failure of his or her staff to comply with water standards.
Carter, Michael and Jackie, Matthew and Amy Submitter ID: 73372	PC1-6612	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until the withdrawn area is included in the whole Plan.
Carter, Shirley Patricia Submitter ID: 73336	PC1-8686	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Chandler, Peter and Libby Submitter ID: 74203	PC1-8949	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that affected parties to land use intensification are notified and consulted during consent processes.
Chapman, Brenhan J Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10201	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before progressing PPC1 any further. AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn north eastern portion of the catchment.
Chapman, John K Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10672	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before progressing PPC1 any further AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn north eastern portion of the catchment.
Chapman, Sharon M Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10716	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before progressing PPC1 any further. AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn north eastern portion of the catchment.
Chapman, Victor J Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10695	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before progressing PPC1 any further. AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the north east portion of the catchment.
Charion Investment Trust Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7808	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Cheyne, David Submitter ID: 71443	PC1-1500	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-7901	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER the adequacy of PPC1 consultation undertaken prior to notification. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission.
Chick, Leith Roger Submitter ID: 53276	PC1-10141	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a 30-35 year time frame for changes to farming AND AMEND to factor in the impact of hydro dams AND AMEND to focus first on koi carp and intensive farming operations on marginal lands AND AMEND to use a sub-catchment approach where problems are identified and remedied AND WITHDRAW PPC1 and present a plan for the whole catchment including Hauraki AND REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point.
Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4736	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to incorporate a section on the economic costs versus the environmental gains. AND AMEND Objectives/targets to be realistic, including with the technologies we have now. AND AMEND PPC1 to incorporate an all-inclusive user pays system so a portion of the costs that farmers will incur (fencing, water systems, loss of income, loss of asset value, consents, Farm Environment Plans, etc) are share with those that will benefit (water takers/users). AND WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until the north-eastern portion of the Waikato River Catchment is re inserted into the plan at which time the plan can be notified in an unabridged form.
Clapcott, Michael John Submitter ID: 72625	PC1-9792	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Clark, Ann	PC1-8354	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73219			
Clark, Wendy Submitter ID: 74002	PC1-7330	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 s32 analysis to provide better information about the impacts of PPC1. AND undertake a new process to consider alternative rules and policies that enhance environmental quality while tempering social and economic impacts.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9518	Oppose the provision	DELETE all reference to deer from PPC1 AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area is included.
Clarke, Hamish Submitter ID: 71621	PC1-8467	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the whole of the Waikato Region catchment can be treated as one. AND AMEND to enable the primary industry and its farmers to redesign and develop their systems and management to meet the desired outcomes of PPC1 AND REMOVE from PPC1 grandparenting in any form AND CONSIDER a science and outcome based approach that does not interfere with farm processes and gives a fair representation of the true sub-catchment environmental status.
Clayton-Greene, Cindy and Warren Submitter ID: 71426	PC1-1233	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Clune, Grant William John Submitter ID: 73544	PC1-6576	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that a catchment management approach is used.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-12317	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN PPC1 with amendments as described in other submission points.
Coles, Donald Percy Submitter ID: 71337	PC1-3078	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to the Waikato regional Policy Statement and Section 5 of the Resource Management Act.
Constantine, Dale Andrew Submitter ID: 74151	PC1-9114	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until such time that there is agreement to treat all parties equally.
Contact Energy Limited Submitter ID: 73714	PC1-7383	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Cook, Ian and Doreen Submitter ID: 71663	PC1-9601	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Corlett, Peter Valentine Submitter ID: 73467	PC1-8200	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that there is more recognition of individual characteristics of different farms. AND AMEND PPC1 to include more information regarding the source of E.coli pollution. AND AMEND PPC1 to include all factors that contribute to the quality of water to be involved in the clean-up.
Costar, Rosemarie Submitter ID: 60404	PC1-3616	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farms to expand on land suitable for dairying in an economically viable and certain way. AND AMEND PPC1 to improve water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
Cotman, Jim Submitter ID: 59884	PC1-4559	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND REPLACE it with a new plan that endorses 'Best Practical Options' that are developed by landowners AND AMEND to provide a new plan that supports the development and implementation of site specific Catchment Management Plans that are led by landowners AND ENSURE this new plan supports identifying potential critical source contaminant pathways on a sub-catchment basis to provide quantifiable measures and factual information into Catchment Management Plans AND ENSURE this new plan encourages innovative new science that provide alternative means to managing water quality

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE the new plan recognises that well informed landowners as stewards of their land are the best and only people who can create action on the ground</p> <p>AND ENSURE the new plan approach favours a 'shared values' approach where all parties work toward achieving sensible water quality targets [set aside regulatory elements]</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the primary focus of the new plan is a comprehensive programme to eliminate Koi Carp along with the native species predator, catfish</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the new plan addresses the adverse effects of the Whangamarino Stream Weir (Department of Conservation, and Fish and Game)</p> <p>AND AMEND with a new plan where the primary sector is encouraged to grow and contribute to the regional economy (in a sustainable way)</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that the adverse impacts of the hydro schemes on water quality are considered and the environmental effects documented along with a cost benefit analysis of the adverse effects that is then balanced against the importance to the Regional and National economy</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that the adverse effects of agriculture production on water quality also undergo a similar comprehensive cost benefit [to the one proposed on hydro dams] analysis that is balanced out against the importance of this sector to the Regional and National economy.</p>
<p>Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072</p>	PC1-9812	Not stated	<p>PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until the withdrawn area is included</p> <p>AND AMEND to incorporate consultation with stakeholders such as drystock farmers and market gardeners</p> <p>AND AMEND to reduce the regulation and impact on the drystock sector</p> <p>AND AMEND to be more certain, practical and affordable</p> <p>AND AMEND to consider the use of national guidelines as an alternative</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 is measurable and will achieve the outcomes in a sustainable manner</p> <p>AND ENSURE that enforcement does not occur until PPC1 becomes operative in its entirety.</p>
<p>Crawford, Fraser and Liz Submitter ID: 73767</p>	PC1-8024	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND to provide greater representation for hill country / drystock farmers and greater acknowledgment of the scientific evidence already available as it relates to hill country water quality</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the areas where the biggest gains can be made are targeted first</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure provisions are evidence based</p> <p>AND AMEND to address water quality decline cause by koi carp.</p>
<p>Crawford, Malcolm and Rosita Submitter ID: 74111</p>	PC1-4841	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to address Koi Carp issue.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure rules are targeted to land uses causing the most damage.</p> <p>AND CONSIDER use of small ponding areas at the foot of each valley to restrict pollution when flooding.</p>
<p>Crescent Genetics Submitter ID: 73274</p>	PC1-10039	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to reduce effects on farm profitability.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for a sub-catchment approach.</p>
<p>Croft, Shane Lowell Mark Submitter ID: 74056</p>	PC1-10904	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 until full consultation with Hauraki iwi authorities is completed.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide a clear definition of 'swimmable' and 'safe' regarding water quality over the entire Waikato Region.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to eradicate pests that cause and contribute to water quality decline, to be funded by all, not just farmers.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide financial compensation to land owners whose land value declines as a result of PPC1 provisions, to be funded by all people in the region.</p> <p>AND REVIEW the financial costs of PPC1 to communities (Frank Scrimgeour is suggested to undertake this).</p> <p>AND AMEND to align PPC1 with the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management and the Vision and Strategy.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to focus on a sub-catchment approach in dealing with contaminants. AND AMEND PPC1 to allow all goals of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, the Waikato River Authority, the Vision and Strategy and communities to be achieved. AND AMEND PPC1 so that it is only managing E.coli of farm animal origin.
Cronin, G Submitter ID: 74030	PC1-4099	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Cutforth, Maria Submitter ID: 73921	PC1-5160	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Cuttance, William Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-3436	Oppose the provision	AMEND the objectives to acknowledge that there is uncertainty in predicting how water quality attributes will change over time. AND DELETE the requirement to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point from all parts of PPC1.
Dairy Direct Ltd Submitter ID: 73218	PC1-8534	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Dairy Goat Co-Operative (N.Z) Ltd Submitter ID: 74044	PC1-4129	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that future allocation of contaminant discharge rights are based on fairness and equity as key guiding principles.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10159	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN PPC1 subject to the decision sought in Attachment 1 of the submission AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the decision sought in this submission AND AMEND to provide any alternative relief that will give effect to this submission, including, where specific relief is sought, words or phrases to similar effect.
Dance, Richard Barry Submitter ID: 74107	PC1-7155	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Darke, Anthony and Adana Submitter ID: 72666	PC1-6458	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the entire catchment can be considered under the same plan change.
Darke, Matthew Submitter ID: 73616	PC1-4223	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Davison, Graham and Rosemary Submitter ID: 72594	PC1-11276	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Dean, David Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4657	Support the provision with amendments	REINSTATE Policy 15 (Withdrawn).
Dean, Douglas Bathurst Submitter ID: 72431	PC1-3688	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Dean, Matthew D'Ornan Keith Submitter ID: 60477	PC1-3881	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to have flexibility for farms in different catchment types, e.g. drainage districts vs hill country. AND Waikato Regional Council to put pressure on Government to make carbon credits a financially viable options for farmers to plan slow growing native hardwoods.
de Haan, Andre Submitter ID: 73437	PC1-6251	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety. If not deleted, AMEND to make any consequential amendments to the plan where outcomes sought require changes.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8090	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by clearly stating the freshwater values, objectives and limits for each Freshwater Management Unit, AND identifying appropriate methods to achieve the limits (refer to diagram under point 36 of the submission)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND PPC1 to implement greater changes to the management of contaminant discharges in the short-term, through an allocation regime that recognises land type and achieves a greater short term improvement in water quality AND establish a separate Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) for the Whangamarino Wetland as per the map in Appendix D of the submission, AND include the attributes and values for the FMU described in Appendix E and F of the submission, AND develop an objective and policy framework to acknowledge the importance of the Whangamarino. AND, with respect to all Freshwater Management Units, AMEND PPC1 to provide for an allocation regime that only permits the discharge of contaminants up to a level that ensures the limits and objectives for the freshwater management unit can be achieved. Where this level of contaminants has already been exceeded, the targets needs to be set with clear implementation methods to achieve them. The regime needs to take account the slope, soil type, drainage and geology of the land</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to establish an efficient allocation regime as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State the maximum catchment load of contaminants. • Allocate the maximum catchment load among land uses using a Land Use Capability (LUC) based approach whereby land type including slope, soil type, drainage and geology is the key determinant. • Seek to ensure that activities which would cause the maximum catchment load to be exceeded are avoided • Seek to ensure that in catchments that are already over-allocated, PPC1 establishes methods to phase out over-allocation over time. <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that there is a reduction in turbidity in the Waikato Estuary, such that turbidity is not at an "unsatisfactory" level more than 30 per cent of the time</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to include on-going monitoring and an adaptive approach to the management of the turbidity</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to protect the significant values of wetlands as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopt narrative objectives that relate to water quality • Establish a programme for benchmarking of wetland nutrients and sediment status and its relationship to ecosystem health (plants, fish, mahinga kai) • Establish wetland numeric targets by 2025 (based on attributes suggested in submitters Appendix G). <p>AND AMEND to adopt lake attributes in Appendix I of the submission and prioritise lakes for management (highest priority lakes are suggested in Appendix H of the submission).</p> <p>AND ESTABLISH attributes, limits and methods for sediment, temperature, dissolved oxygen and Macro-invertebrate Community Index (MCI).</p> <p>AND ESTABLISH attributes, limits or targets for all contaminants specified in the Vision and Strategy (nutrients, faecal and sediment) for the whole of the Waipā catchment and all tributaries of the Waikato, lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment (refer submitter's Appendices A and B, and D to J).</p>
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-13149	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to ensure further consequential or alternative relief to give effect to the relief sought in the submission is made.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-13150	Support the provision with amendments	REINSTATE Map 3.11-1 as originally notified. AND REINSTATE the text of Provision 3.11 (area covered) as originally notified.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND REINSTATE Objective 6 and the reasons for adoption as originally notified. AND REINSTATE Whangamarino Wetland as a priority area under Policy 8 as originally notified. AND REINSTATE Policy 15 and AMEND Policy 15 as follows "and make progress towards restoration<u>protect and restore the</u>". AND AMEND Policy 15 subsection (a). as follows "reduce and minimise avoid". AND AMEND Policy 15 so that it refers to the short and long-term restoration of the Whangamarino wetland to achieve targets to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reduce sediment deposition, including to the swamp, marsh, fen and bog wetland types • reduce phosphorus loads to the wetland • ensure water levels are ecologically appropriate in that they do not exacerbate water quality effects, and also protect critical habitats • ensure any impacts of the Lower Waikato/Waipā Flood Control Scheme are avoided, remedied or mitigated so as not to adversely affect the sustainable management of the Whangamarino wetland • promote the natural succession of the wetland system, allowing for natural peatland (bog) development (no further loss of bog). <p>AND REINSTATE Method 3.11.4.4 as it relates to Whangamarino Wetland as originally notified, with amendments that provide for a separate method which requires a Whangamarino Wetland Catchment Management Plan to be developed within 2 years from the date of PPC1 being made operative, and RETAIN aspects including active pest weed and fish management, support for research and testing, and support restoration programmes as originally notified. AND REINSTATE the content of Table 3.11-1 as originally notified. AND REINSTATE the content of Table 3.11-2 as originally notified. AND REINSTATE Map 3.11-2 as originally notified. AND REINSTATE Table 3.7 and any consequential amendments to the Waikato Regional Plan as set out in PPC1. AND ADD NEW implementation methods to achieve the targets for the proposed Whangamarino Wetland Freshwater Management Unit [refer to Appendix E and F of the Submission] as follows; investment in catchment wide programmes to reduce critical sediment sources, minimum fencing setbacks of 10m for all contributing streams/ivers, investment in collaborative stakeholder programme to reduce Lake Waikare bank erosion, mitigation strategy to address sediment, nitrogen and phosphorous from drains entering natural waterways in the Freshwater Management Unit, and a review of all consents that relate to the Lower Waikato Flood Control Scheme by 2020, to identify optimal approach to address water quality. AND ADD NEW objectives, policies and methods in PPC1 to appropriately recognise and provide for natural character values and the requirements under s6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise the significance of those individual lakes, wetlands and rivers of regional, national or international significance that have high biodiversity/conservation value.</p>
de Thierry and Gawne, John William and Wendy Doreen Submitter ID: 71226	PC1-829	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety.
DJ and AJ Williams Ltd	PC1-4358	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73468			
Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-11244	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Downie, Janna Submitter ID: 71903	PC1-10134	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide more precise freshwater objectives AND AMEND PPC1 so that a greater range of freshwater attributes are managed: Te Hauora o te Taiao; natural character; dissolved oxygen (DO); deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health (Macroinvertebrate Community Index); periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations; and water flows and levels; and Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN); total nitrogen; and total phosphorous in the tributaries and sub-catchments AND ADD instream limits and associated targets for nitrogen loads, phosphorous loads, sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminant loads such as metals or organic compounds, micro-organisms and temperature AND ADD load thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments that are under resource use pressure AND ADD a freshwater implementation and program of change plan which includes: a) a transparent approach to developing monitoring, compliance, and implementation systems; b) steps to ensure that effective and cost-efficient monitoring, compliance and implementation capacity is in place at the time the regime is introduced; c) monitoring and reporting on and reviewing the implementation of the policy; d) transparent public information for freshwater discharges and takes; e) a Council report every two years on progress towards meeting objectives, limits and targets; and f) steps the Council will take if the combined interventions are not sufficient AND ADD Farm Environment Plans as a Controlled Activity AND AMEND so that contaminant allocations are based on Land Use Capability rather than on historic practice (grandparenting). AND ADD rules to prevent over fertilising, over stocking, over grazing, over watering and over draining AND ADD a polluter pays mechanism such as a pollution tax and use the revenue to both restore the water bodies and incentivise good land management practises.</p>
Drummond Dairy Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72831	PC1-5650	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision has been sought for PPC1. [However the submission states support for the Federated Farmers submission.]
Dudding Farms Submitter ID: 73222	PC1-8957	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide that if the public want to have water quality monitored through the Waikato Regional Council, then the public should pay. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide a sub-catchment model and not blanket rules.</p>
Dudding Properties Submitter ID: 71661	PC1-7556	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Dudin, Alan and Sarah Submitter ID: 73852	PC1-4902	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 and notify with all areas in PPC1, OR pause the policy until negotiations are resolved. AND AMEND to implement policies in a way that the economic sustainability of the region is maintained, whilst still achieving the National Freshwater Policy Standards. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including the objectives, policies and rules to give effect to the relief sought.</p>
Duncan, Andrew Richard Submitter ID: 73410	PC1-6173	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the term dairy is changed into dairy cow to avoid confusion with dairy goats.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-623	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that when the plan is implemented it is measurable, practicable, affordable and going to achieve Objective 2 in a sustainable manner to achieve the desired outcome and provide certainty.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to include the Hauraki area in the submission process AND take no enforcement until this has been undertaken. AND AMEND to incorporate advice from drystock farmers and market gardeners into PPC1. AND CONSIDER developing a plan to get rid of carp by Council.
Duxfield, Roger Submitter ID: 72549	PC1-3887	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
DVL and ST Robinson Family Trust Submitter ID: 72915	PC1-10971	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and develop a better plan with more targeted sub-catchment policies.
Dysart, James David Submitter ID: 72722	PC1-5197	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Eames, Chris Submitter ID: 73796	PC1-5060	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Earwaker, Hugh Submitter ID: 72404	PC1-3840	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Eastnor Family Trust Submitter ID: 73327	PC1-6105	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by providing a sub-catchment approach.
Edmonds, Suzanne Louise Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-49	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to take into account climate change and nationally and internationally restrictions. AND AMEND to recognise positive things that have been done in recent years.
Eel Enhancement Company Limited Submitter ID: 73062	PC1-4237	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 that is proposed for part of the Waikato OR AMEND to extend the timeframe for submissions. AMEND to ensure an independent review of the effectiveness of PPC1 within 5 years to ascertain if the plan has and will attain targets and identify what actions should be taken AND AMEND Permitted Activity rules to limit the quantity and/or timing of willow removal and strict permitted activity limits regarding fine sediment discharges AND AMEND to provide for larger than permitted willow removal or pruning and riparian management as a Discretionary Activity with a requirement to provide equivalent subsequent riparian quality after removal AND AMEND to provide an allocation system developed by Waikato Regional Council.
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1060	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until a workable plan has been developed. AND MAKE any other consequential changes necessary to give effect to the decision requested in this submission.
Elliott, Peter and Dagmar Submitter ID: 73413	PC1-8475	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the PPC1 requirement to plant steep land in forestry. OR AMEND PPC1 to allow farmers to be automatically granted irrevocable resource consent to harvest at the time of planting and be granted rates relief for the affected area. AND AMEND PPC1 in order to achieve its aims.
Ellmers, Fiona Mary Submitter ID: 73313	PC1-5963	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to be consistent with the freshwater consultation document 'Clean Water' for swimability. AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1 to the objectives, policies or rules to give effect to the relief sought.
Erskine, John & Lynette Submitter ID: 73030	PC1-4235	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Eru Nikorima Trust Submitter ID: 74144	PC1-9006	Oppose the provision	PUT PPC1 ON HOLD until all of the catchment can be included in a plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Farm Environment Trust (Waikato) Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-5051	Support the provision	PPC1 decision not stated.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10419	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and work with farmers to form a long-term plan that achieves the Vision and Strategy AND review the interpretation of the Vision and Strategy, including numerical interpretation through Table 3.11-1 AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure the numerical parameters are achievable while giving effect to the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND PPC1 to have realistic achievable goals AND AMEND PPC1 to give farmers confidence to invest and encourage young people into the sector AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to managing land use and water quality tailored to the specific issues faced by the sub-catchment, with a 30 year timeframe to achieve its objectives and a 15-year review.
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5379	Support the provision	MAKE any consequential amendments AND any additional relief that would address the issues identified in this submission.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10862	Support the provision with amendments	PUT ON HOLD PPC1, pending its withdrawal of orders of the High Court or if it is found to be incompatible with the Northern Area Plan Change AND PROCEED only if and when the Northern Area Plan Change reaches the same stage in its preparation as PPC1. And if and when merged with the Northern Area Plan Change, Proceed with PPC1 as notified, but subject to the amendments requested in this submission, and to refinements to be made to it by way of the further submissions process. AND REINSTATE Policy 15 (withdrawn), subject to refinement through the further submissions process. AND disregard the entire section 32 evaluation associated with PPC1 and undertake a further evaluation of the entire Proposal, as provided for in section 32AA of the Resource Management Act 1991. AND AMEND PPC1 as set out in this submission so it gives effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. AND AMEND PPC1 as set out in this submission so as to give effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement: Te Tauāki Kaupapa here ā-Rohe. AND AMEND PPC1 so it puts in place no more than an interim set of 'good practice' requirements, pending the development of sub-catchment approach to addressing such water quality issues as do really exist in each Freshwater Management Unit. AND AMEND to better reflect that its principal provisions are strictly interim and that the region should ready itself to progress implementation of changes to the Regional Plan that need to be made in response to the NPS-FM. AND AMEND to address concern that PPC1 has been developed in the absence of all of the mandatory integrated river management plans. AND AMEND PPC1 so that normal farming activities in rural areas are permitted activities. AND AMEND PPC1 so it facilitates the ongoing both carrying out of existing activities and the establishment of new activities. AND AMEND PPC1 so that farmers are able to make changes to their land use, in response to factors such as market demand change, without requiring resource consent. AND ENSURE that the objectives and policies in local authority planning instruments lead to rules that are minimal, understandable and workable. AND ENSURE that provisions in local authority plans are properly communicated to interested parties. AND ENSURE PPC1 pays sufficient attention to private property rights and to the legitimate expectations of the land owners of private land in the Waikato Region. AND ENSURE PPC1 provides for the needs of all the people of the region who engage in land use activities.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE little weight is given by the Council to the work of the Collaborative Stakeholder Group when making decisions on submissions on PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so it takes a sub-catchment focus (identification and prioritisation of sub-catchments).</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so information is available for farmers and other land users to understand and/or ground-truth their own situation and that of their own sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to include sub-catchment maps, along with monitoring points and information packs on each sub-catchment that shows the current state, what the targets are, and what PPC1 is expected to deliver.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so it forms a targeted and a pragmatic prioritised plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise that all groups in society have a part to play in improving water quality and, where water quality is not stable or improving, should be prioritised by way of a whole of catchment approach, in which practical and economical solutions that all users and polluters pay.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that any approach to water quality issues, and nitrogen management issues in particular, is not a wasted effort or disproportionate to the results that might be achieved</p>
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-11168	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that where the term 'reduce diffuse discharge' is used with reference to contaminants and it is intended to mean 'reduce losses to water', the term 'losses' or 'losses to water' should be used.
Findlay, James Thomas Submitter ID: 71338	PC1-4004	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1</p> <p>OR RETAIN the vision of Healthy Rivers and a process of farmer and industry co-operation explored to achieve the 'swimmable' water targets.</p> <p>AND AMEND by continuing to work with the farming community to develop best practice activities in their business.</p>
Findlay, James Thomas Submitter ID: 73509	PC1-12328	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by continuing to work with the farming community to develop best practice activities in their business.
Findlay, Thomas David Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9424	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE any requirements of converting land to forestry from PPC1</p> <p>AND DELETE all requirements for and reference to reducing contaminant losses from farms. Alternatives proposed include Farm Gate and testing.</p>
Finlayson, Wendy Janette Submitter ID: 72825	PC1-4795	Support the provision with amendments	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 and rewrite when all adverse effects have been studied and addressed.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that closed rubbish dumps which leach into the river are removed from the banks and surrounds of the Waikato River and its tributaries.</p> <p>AND AMEND with a workable and effective method to eliminate the pest fish from the Waikato River and its tributaries.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that not treated or un-treated sewage is allowed to enter the Waikato River or its tributaries.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that the Waikato River setback is protected and all permanently flowing streams (greater than 1 metre wide) running to the Waikato are fenced and the cost of this fencing and maintenance of both the setback and fencing is covered by Central Government funding.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where cultivation is occurring that a setback from the Waikato River and all streams running into it is required.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that the real effects at an individual farm level.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments including objectives, policies and rule or restructuring of PPC1 to give effect to the relief sought.</p>
Firthview Submitter ID: 73386	PC1-5922	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Fisher, John Wallter Submitter ID: 72026	PC1-4839	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to allow for Dams for Deer Wallows in areas with low water flow.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
FitzGerald, Geoffrey and Johanna Submitter ID: 74289	PC1-5102	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Fletcher Trust Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-9132	Oppose the provision	REMOVE all reference to the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 AND REPLACE with any recognised scientific means for determining the possible leaching of Nitrogen and Phosphates (which may include modelling with Overseer in the interim.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10451	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently throughout PPC1, differentiating clearly and consistently between:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The long-term (80 year) desired outcomes and the short-term outcomes (being the freshwater objectives for the purpose of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management); and 2. The concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target. <p>AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater</u> objectives" AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater</u> objectives" AND make such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute^ targets^' or 'water quality attribute^ limits^' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u>" or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3. AND AMEND to ensure all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation. AND AMEND to provide for any consequential or alternative relief to give effect to the relief sought in the submission. AND AMEND, RETAIN and DELETE the provisions as set out in the submission.</p>
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-13164	Support the provision	No specific decision requested however, Fonterra generally supports the direction of PPC1.
Foreman, Kerry Alan Submitter ID: 73609	PC1-7826	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Forlong, Maurice and Karen Submitter ID: 73728	PC1-7477	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Francis, Sean Dean and Barnes, Jeanie Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71404	PC1-1204	Not stated	No specific relief sought for PPC1.
Franklin Waikato Drainage Advisory Subcommittee Submitter ID: 74092	PC1-4887	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN PPC1 AND AMEND to reflect individual submission points from this submission.
Fuchs-Hill Trust Submitter ID: 73968	PC1-8945	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Fullerton, Angela Margaret Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-5675	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 so the requirements apply to all land equally AND CLARIFY definitions and PPC1 numbering AND AMEND focus on hotspots in sub-catchment.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10737	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms used in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fursdon, Sonia Submitter ID: 73999	PC1-9321	Support the provision with amendments	ADD provisions to PPC1 regarding clear consequences for non-compliance.
Gardon Limited Submitter ID: 74113	PC1-5166	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 so the cost of compliance is shared more equitably through a system similar to the Lake Taupo catchment nitrogen credit allocation.</p> <p>AND ENSURE further consultation takes place before any decision is made to accept stage 2.</p> <p>AND AMEND to support the decisions sought by DairyNZ</p> <p>[RETAIN PPC1 subject to the decision sought in Attachment 1 of the submission.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the decision sought in this submission.</p> <p>AND PROVIDE any alternative relief that will give effect to this submission, including, where specific relief is sought, words or phrases to similar effect.]</p> <p>AND AMEND to support the decisions sought by Fonterra</p> <p>[AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently throughout PPC1, differentiating clearly and consistently between:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The long-term (80 year) desired outcomes and the short-term outcomes (being the freshwater objectives for the purpose of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management); and 2. The concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target. <p>AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater</u> objectives"</p> <p>AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater</u> objectives"</p> <p>AND make such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute^ targets^' or 'water quality attribute^ limits^' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u>" or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for any consequential or alternative relief to give effect to the relief sought in the submission.</p> <p>AND AMEND, RETAIN and DELETE the provisions as set out in the submission.]</p>
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11341	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 as requested in this submission.
Garrett and McKay, Alan and Kathy Submitter ID: 73039	PC1-9199	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to focus on high risk / high intensity contamination sources such as dairy farms, industry and urban discharges</p> <p>AND AMEND to decide whether low risk / low intensity farms need monitoring or regulation, once the effect of high risk / high intensity contamination sources are known.</p>
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-1129	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to use more realistic targets and information based on scientific fact</p> <p>AND AMEND to include methods including rules which achieve the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River and provide communities with clear guidance on how and when this is to be achieved</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to set achievable numerical targets and limits using current technologies</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the numerical targets/limits for E.coli provide for primary contact recreations during periods when full immersion activities are undertaken.</p>
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline	PC1-9077	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until all areas of the region are included.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72820			
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2859	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 as identified under other submission points. AND AMEND the text in PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently as identified under other submission points.
Gemmell, Richard Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1237	Not stated	No specific relief sought for PPC1.
Genesis Energy Limited Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8730	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1 subject to appropriate amendments.
Gilbert, Ben and Leanne Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2601	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1. AND notify a plan change that covers the entire catchment.
Gill, Alan and Bonnie Submitter ID: 72438	PC1-8010	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for a more collaborative approach AND AMEND to provide compensation for farmers.
GJ Henderson Family Trust and SM Henderson Family Trust Submitter ID: 72023	PC1-4662	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the currently proposed timeframes. AND RETAIN the rule in Schedule C that ensures existing fences do not need to be moved.
GKS Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72618	PC1-4883	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6297	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety Or REMOVE the grandparenting system. PUT PPC1 ON HOLD, OR WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety, until the withdrawn area is reunited with the rest of the plan and re-notify. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach, with 30 year in-stream contaminant targets for each catchment, and including establishing sub-catchment committees to provide oversight and act as a conduit between stakeholders. AND DEVELOP greater understanding about the location of natural resources and land uses to inform and manage contaminant loss at the sub-catchment level, including through increased sub-catchment monitoring. AND ADD limits for periphyton biomass and use the Macro Community Index to understand ecosystem health. AND AMEND to provide an amended permitted activity rule that permits low contaminant loss land use (those with stocking rates below thresholds for land use capability land class, soil type and rainfall commensurate with pasture production and expected risk of contaminant loss - refer suggested example table with permitted Live Weight per hectare maximums). If this is not seen as practicable base the Permitted Activity on a 20 kgN/ha allocation for all land. AND AMEND to provide for staged improvements (10 yearly) to tighten up in-stream limits, thereby demanding ongoing reduction in contaminants. AND AMEND to provide a narrative objective seeking reduction in Total Suspended Solids concentrations over time. AND AMEND to provide Good Management Practices for sediment reduction that are specific to different land/soil types (levels of erosion risk) with clear guidance on where they should apply geographically. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a natural capital approach based on land use capability as developed by Alec Mackay and used by Horizons and Hawkes Bay Regional Councils. The land use capability natural capital limits are high to begin with and steadily decline over 20 to 30 years until ecosystem health limits are satisfied.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND DELETE the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value per Freshwater Management Unit and REPLACE with standards that ensure reductions are proportionate to the level of improvement required, the impact of the discharge and economic implications, in those catchments where nitrogen is an issue.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the Nitrogen Reference Point is only used for information gathering purposes.</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframes for stock exclusion so that they align with those proposed nationally.</p> <p>AND AMEND to prepare for future plan changes by identifying areas of re-forestations.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure industry is tasked with extension, advice, and professional representation. Industry will also advise on acceptable Good Management Practice.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that each plan change is reviewed and interim limits are reset. Sub-catchments should have unique limits and each sub-catchment is managed and overseen by a sub-catchment committee.</p> <p>PUT PPC1 ON HOLD, or withdraw the plan in its entirety, until the withdrawn area is reunited with the rest of the plan and re-notify.</p>
Glenshee Trust Submitter ID: 73028	PC1-1966	Oppose the provision	AMEND the PPC1 process by putting it on hold until such time that all and any part of the catchment implicated by the plan is included (including the withdrawn north eastern portion of the plan). To provide solutions as a result of the plan, then it is imperative that all who are impacted by and expected to contribute should be part of the solution.
Goatland Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 67706	PC1-3756	Support the provision with amendments	Decision requested not stated for PPC1.
Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061	PC1-2344	Not stated	AMEND to CLARIFY how PPC1 will be implemented on properties and enterprises that are only partly within the Waikato and Waipā catchments.
Gooding, Penny Submitter ID: 74137	PC1-3652	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Goodwright, Sydney Alfred Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4339	Support the provision with amendments	<p>REINSTATE Objective 6 as originally proposed</p> <p>AND REINSTATE Policy 15.</p> <p>AND REINSTATE and AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management.</p> <p>AND make any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.</p>
Gordon, Bruce Arrol Submitter ID: 73388	PC1-4332	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND the definition of Nitrogen Reference Point and explain how this will be monitored.</p>
Gow, David John and Philippa Jewell Submitter ID: 73981	PC1-8789	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 until a robust and realistic cost benefit analysis of the long term economic and social effects is undertaken</p> <p>AND AMEND to include social and economic sustainability</p> <p>AND CONSIDER a sub-catchment approach and different rules for different farming sectors</p> <p>AND AMEND to include the post-10 year regulations.</p>
Gradon Farm Limited Submitter ID: 73898	PC1-3367	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Grainger, Chris and Andrea Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-7093	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Grattan, Barry Submitter ID: 74178	PC1-11239	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to include provisions for organic and or sustainable farming</p> <p>AND AMEND to acknowledge farms that have been using environmentally friendly practices.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			[Note: submission appears to be incomplete.]
Greenlea Premier Meats Ltd Submitter ID: 72144	PC1-7520	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by using an objective assessment criteria based on sustainability for the region as a whole, AND AMEND to provide a more balanced and equitable approach.
Greenplan Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-3079	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that requirements for land owners are based on evidence that shows where there are particular contaminant problems that need to be addressed
Haerepo Trust Submitter ID: 72661	PC1-7385	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until all of the Waikato region is included in the plan AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure any consequential amendments are made to give effect to the decisions sought.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11440	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Hamilton, Jean Submitter ID: 73694	PC1-8371	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure Council's upgrade their facilities AND AMEND to ensure all contributors to water quality decline are required to take action AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure swimmability is realistic AND AMEND PPC1 to control pest species populations AND AMEND PPC1 to provide that wetlands should be removed from the rateable area of land AND infrastructure such as feed pads/standoff pads, pasture species changes are recognised for their protection of soil and water runoff quality. AND AMEND to provide farmers with information on further mitigation action and improvements in water quality to date AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to narrow the areas of more concern. AND AMEND PPC1 to include guidelines as to the range of edge of field mitigations available to use.
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9854	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management including by setting bottom lines and targets with respect to the national compulsory values of ecosystem health and all relevant contaminants. Timeframes AMEND PPC1 so that Waikato Regional Council retains discretion to set timeframes for adjustments required in land use and discharge of contaminants over time. AND AMEND so that where significant adjustment times are required, targets should be set in Regional Plans at no more than 5 year intervals. Thresholds AMEND PPC1 so that thresholds are defined for sub-catchments and catchments, to serve as indicators for when the management approach needs to change to deal with resource use pressure. Implementation and program of change plan AMEND PPC1 to ensure Waikato Regional Council develops a freshwater implementation and programme of change plan which addresses monitoring, compliance, implementation, reporting, review and steps to take if combined interventions are found to be insufficient. AND AMEND PPC1 to require that the Waikato Regional Council reports every two years on progress towards meetings objectives, limits and targets. Significant Indigenous Biodiversity AMEND PPC1 to ensure protection of outstanding natural character is recognised in policies and rules. Good Management Practices AMEND PPC1 to ensure there is on-going work to develop guidance on Best Environmental Practices. Downward movement of attribute data with National Objective Framework (NOF) bands

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide a statement to the effect that downwards movement of attribute data within NOF bands (trends as opposed to seasonal variation) should not be defined as 'maintenance' of water quality.</p> <p>Averaging AMEND PPC1 to ensure that values of water bodies are identified and then limits set, to protect the most stringent value on a spatial and temporal basis.</p> <p>Perennial and Intermittent Streams AMEND PPC1 to ensure that all appropriate objectives, policies, methods of implementation and rules that apply to perennial streams should also apply to intermittent streams.</p> <p>Significant Freshwater Bodies AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain or enhance water quality (where a freshwater limit is met) • Ensure that freshwater limits are not breached, and that targets are met • Protect freshwater bodies that are significant but not 'outstanding'. <p>Wetlands AMEND PPC1 to include all wetlands in a Schedule that has appropriate criteria so that wetlands that have not yet been assessed can be considered 'significant'.</p> <p>Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) No specific decision sought.</p> <p>Suspended or Deposited Sediment AMEND PPC1 to include numeric limits, timeframes and targets for suspended sediment and benthic sediment attributes in the catchment.</p> <p>Water Clarity AMEND PPC1 by ensuring the minimum water clarity to achieve swimability is 1.6 metres.</p> <p>Section 32 AMEND Section 32 by limiting the use of the OVERSEER Model in the resource consent process AND AMEND by defining permitted activities using 'narrative' thresholds</p>
<p>Hamilton, Ross Arthur and Jeanette Lee Submitter ID: 72986</p>	PC1-4293	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
<p>Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493</p>	PC1-9436	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1.
<p>Hamilton Grey Power Submitter ID: 61023</p>	PC1-7459	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
<p>Hamilton Residents & Ratepayers Association Inc Submitter ID: 60860</p>	PC1-7014	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-8933	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by adopting a best practicable option approach through consent conditions OR plan rules, as an interim approach AND AMEND to ensure that all diffuse sources eventually face discharge limits AND AMEND to recognise that low capital cost options can be implemented sooner than high capital cost items AND AMEND so that non-point source discharges are managed within the next ten years using a best practicable option approach as the foundation for regulation AND AMEND so that land use is not 'frozen'. Allow flexibility so that there can be a choice in terms of approach and mitigations AND AMEND to allow land use change as a restricted discretionary activity where the best practicable option is applied from the outset of the land use change AND AMEND so that the OVERSEER Model is part of a matrix approach AND AMEND so that third party approval processes are required to apply for resource consents to ensure transparency and accountability. AND AMEND, so that if an allocation regime is adopted, it is based on consistent foundation (such as LUC) treating like land alike. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Handcock, Bill Submitter ID: 73364	PC1-9813	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to address all pollutants listed in the submission and investigate all contaminants contributing to water quality degradation.
Hannon, Richard Garland Submitter ID: 71445	PC1-8242	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Hansen, Michael Submitter ID: 73151	PC1-10360	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 process OR WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until the lower catchment is re-inserted and then notify PPC1 as a whole AND delay implementation of PPC1 until the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment AND ADD a NEW OR AMEND existing provisions to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability AND AMEND PPC1 so all provisions are consistent with the government's Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND CONSIDER sub-catchment approaches to control contaminant discharges.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-7242	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Harding, Malcolm Garland Submitter ID: 73451	PC1-11246	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Harper, John Autry Submitter ID: 74039	PC1-10171	Support the provision with amendments	PPC1: Investigate riverside residential and industrial areas for pollution seeping into the water.
Harper, Peter James and Linda Ann Submitter ID: 73855	PC1-4952	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to a case by case basis AND MAKE any consequential changes to PPC1 including the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring of the plan to give effect to the relief sought.
Harris, Peter John Submitter ID: 72425	PC1-3942	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hart, Patrick Submitter ID: 73519	PC1-8227	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Harvey, Brett and Amanda Submitter ID: 71192	PC1-250	Oppose the provision with amendments	PPC1: Provide long term farming certainty and compensation for loss of income.
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-11164	Not stated	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Haurakian Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74080	PC1-7284	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable more science to provide management tools to achieve water quality standards AND AMEND PPC1 to consider a government funding support package, particularly for fencing drystock farms.
Hauraki District Council Submitter ID: 73536	PC1-8204	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 provisions to ensure an appropriate balance between improvement of water quality and the economic and social impact on activities AND AMEND to ensure activities are able to adjust gradually over time to minimise short term impacts AND AMEND to develop a funding model for PPC1 implementation AND AMEND to add measurable standards for point source discharges for new and renewed consents AND AMEND to relax the 365 day standard for swimmable water quality targets to allow for water quality issues generated by exceptional events AND ENGAGE the Hauraki District Council as early as possible in the scoping of the plan change process for the Waihou-Piako and Coromandel catchments to ensure that local representation needs are considered AND INCLUDE transitional provisions for measures to improve water quality in an agreed timeframe by all parties involved in the second plan change for the Waihou-Piako and Coromandel river catchments.
Hauraki Plains Motors Ltd Submitter ID: 73390	PC1-4336	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-4950	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one.
Henderson, David and Sue Submitter ID: 72613	PC1-8627	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 such that forestry is only done in small areas of farms AND AMEND such that forestry takes place in areas and on a scale where it will not affect the beauty of the landscape.
Hennebry, Jane Submitter ID: 57584	PC1-1666	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Hennebry, Roger Submitter ID: 59641	PC1-1451	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Henson, Edgar Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10476	Not stated	No specific relief sought for PPC1.
Herewahine Trust Submitter ID: 72966	PC1-8183	Oppose the provision	PUT PPC1 ON HOLD until the whole catchment can be included and contribute to a solution.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Submitter ID: 68939	PC1-3109	Support the provision with amendments	ADD an advisory note to the Regional Plan and associated non-statutory documents to direct readers to Heritage New Zealand in the event of a discovery of an archaeological site, as they are the regulatory authority for archaeology. (PPC1)
Hill, Maxwell C	PC1-5750	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by discarding the Vision and Strategy as the basis for PPC1, and replace this with good stewardship that many farmers already demonstrate.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74129			<p>AND DELETE the regulations on farming and replace with recommended permitted Farm Plan options that are sector led, through promotion of education of good environmental practice.</p> <p>AND AMEND to promote catchment led solutions that are targeted at the 'hot spots' within each catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND to emphasise the eradication of Canada Geese, Koi carp and Catfish in all waterways, and address pollution from urban sources.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise all North Waikato Lakes.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that one farmer beside a particular lake become a member of that local clean up committee.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that farming can continue without applying for a resource consent.</p> <p>AND ALLOCATE Waikato River Authority funds to scope and recommend economic options for retiring steep land into uses such as Manuka honey.</p>
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7629	Support the provision with amendments	<p>REINSTATE Objective 6.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to a more balanced and equitable approach where real issues with hill country water are identified and acted upon.</p> <p>AND AMEND to subsidise the mitigation costs of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide compensation for the land devaluation and loss of capital.</p> <p>AND after the reinsertion of the withdraw north eastern portion of the catchment PREPARE a new Section 32 to include additional analysis related to specific provisions set out in the submission.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
Hinton, Des Submitter ID: 74205	PC1-4603	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the same rules are applied right across the board to all sectors and that the urban population does their share to clean up pollution in rivers and streams.</p> <p>AND DELETE the requirement to use OVERSEER.</p> <p>AND REPLACE Overseer with a better plan.</p>
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4202	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Hodge, John and Margaret Submitter ID: 71656	PC1-3043	Oppose the provision	DELETE the entire PPC1.
Hodgson, Gillian Sandra Submitter ID: 74174	PC1-5999	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Holland, John David and Roselei Elizabeth Submitter ID: 72851	PC1-8275	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 to put in place provisions to remedy the Koi Carp problem</p> <p>AND recognise that the sediment load from the Hakarimata Scenic Reserve is the problem of the Department of Conservation and not the farmer.</p>
Holmes, Gavin Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4566	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Objective 6 (withdrawn area).</p> <p>AND RETAIN restoring the Whangamarino Wetland (withdrawn area).</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 15 (withdrawn area) to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management.</p> <p>AND RETAIN Section 3.11.4.4 and working with others in relation to lakes and Whangamarino Wetland (withdrawn area).</p>
Homestead Oaks Ltd Submitter ID: 73718	PC1-7265	Support the provision with amendments	<p>PPC1: IMPROVE science communication to stakeholders.</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 so it addresses all contaminants, including Koi carp, hydro-dams and point source discharge</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so the worst offenders in the region are targeted first</p> <p>AND RETAIN sub-catchment focus in PPC1</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND PPC1 so everyone contributing to water pollution is required to have a Farm Environment Plan to tackle mitigation</p> <p>AND check whether the business owner should be liable if the business operator (lessee) is causing the impacts</p> <p>AND review PPC1 compliance and mitigation costs</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide more certainty</p> <p>AND review impacts of PPC1 on society, especially small rural communities.</p>
<p>Hone, Bridget Submitter ID: 73772</p>	PC1-4368	Oppose the provision	No specific amendments requested for PPC1.
<p>Hooker, Geoff C Submitter ID: 73517</p>	PC1-9564	Oppose the provision	PUT PPC1 ON HOLD and take the time to get it right.
<p>Hooker, Peter George Submitter ID: 73975</p>	PC1-4226	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
<p>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801</p>	PC1-9899	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge the effect on the grower community of water quantity decisions made in Variation 6 to the Waikato Regional Plan</p> <p>AND AMEND to acknowledge that Variation 6 transferred the cost of unauthorised takes and the discharges of these takes allowed; because authorisation of these takes provided for the degradation of water quality in the lower Waikato River</p> <p>AND AMEND to acknowledge that the resulting clawback of water quantity allocation post 2030 will reduce access to key mitigation of nutrient discharges</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable commercial vegetable growers to access water at best practice application rates to manage discharges of nutrients more effectively</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that the costs incurred by the grower community related to clawback of authorised freshwater abstractions and removal allocation bands are factored into the section 32 analysis for PPC1</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure key elements of the Waikato Regional Policy Statement are given effect to, including the protection of ecosystem services arising from commercial vegetable production AND provide for continuance of a viable commercial production industry in the objectives, policies and methods</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure existing commercial vegetable production rotations can be managed according to best practice growing principles by providing for the movement of commercial vegetable production within the Waikato River catchment</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that statutory methods, other than the non-complying activity land use change rules, are discharge controls, not section 9 RMA land use controls</p> <p>AND AMEND the section 32 analysis to recognise the effect of the Auckland planning regime on the availability of scarce cropping land</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide opportunities for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River catchment as a restricted discretionary activity where it can be demonstrated there is an overall reduction of contaminants AND assessment of application for a new commercial vegetable production should allow recognition for any reductions in bacterial contamination as a result of the conversion of land to commercial vegetable production AND if it can be demonstrated that the land use change results in a similar or lesser effect on the core values of the Vision and Strategy, an increase in discharges of nitrogen should be provided for.</p> <p>AND REINSTATE Objective 6.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Houghton, James Submitter ID: 53312	PC1-5414	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to include provisions that reduce waterfowl populations AND AMEND to ensure protected hunting on all introduced waterfowl is removed.
Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8428	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until further amendments are made OR AMEND PPC1 provisions to achieve the Objectives.
Hulley, Arnold Submitter ID: 74053	PC1-8250	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Hume, Malcolm Submitter ID: 74025	PC1-4776	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Hurley, Carl Submitter ID: 71347	PC1-6102	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 OR PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until the withdrawn area is incorporated AND AMEND PPC1 so it only applies to small local areas where there is a noticeable problem.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1120	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until the whole catchment can be treated as one.
Hurley, Sonia Kerr Submitter ID: 74146	PC1-7084	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE PPC1 land use controls unless all farms are included the same AND AMEND PPC1 to address the problem of carp in water bodies.
IB and IB Fyers Submitter ID: 73210	PC1-11249	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Jade Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71654	PC1-8424	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
J A Dekker Ltd Submitter ID: 73423	PC1-10066	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Jefferis, Daniel Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-12327	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide flexibility to mixed-model farming AND AMEND to provide the same flexibility that is provided to Māori owned land to all farmers if they meet the criteria AND REINSTATE Objective 6 as originally proposed AND REINSTATE Policy 15 and AMEND to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management AND make any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7851	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Jellie, Hugh Submitter ID: 73318	PC1-6222	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to address concerns about cost and overly restrictive rules and policies. AND AMEND to make provision for science and monitoring at a sub-catchment level.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1467	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until consultation has concluded with Hauraki iwi and then re-notify PPC1 as a single plan.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6634	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until the whole catchment can be treated as one.
Johns, Brian and Paulette	PC1-11515	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72756			
Johnson, Richard Allen and Elizabeth Anne Submitter ID: 72728	PC1-11475	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to address the concerns raised in the submission.
Johnston, Phillip Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9174	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to test water quality on farms AND AMEND to require stock exclusion fencing only on main rivers AND AMEND so that stock numbers should be at the discretion of the farmer.
Johnstone, Allen and Jo Submitter ID: 73901	PC1-3594	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Johnstone, Roger Kenneth Submitter ID: 73614	PC1-7888	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for more certainty going forward.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-984	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the entire catchment can be included in one plan change.
Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5133	Support the provision	MAKE any consequential amendments to including Objectives, Policies, or other rules, or restructuring of PPC1, to give effect to the relief sought.
Jolly, Robert Campbell Submitter ID: 60650	PC1-7509	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area is included.
J Swap Ltd Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6402	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the text in PPC1 as shown in the marked up submission points.
Juby Goat Enterprises Ltd Submitter ID: 73442	PC1-6021	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so dairy goat systems are treated uniquely. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure dairy goat farmers that have been proactive aren't disadvantaged over ones that haven't been proactive.
Kade Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73272	PC1-7702	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Kaihere Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 74064	PC1-10021	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to ensure all parts of the community contribute to waterway improvement. AMEND PPC1 to specifying the point source of E.coli.
Karl, Tim Submitter ID: 74185	PC1-11236	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Keane, Elizabeth Submitter ID: 73288	PC1-9629	Oppose the provision	AMEND Section 32 to use transparent peer reviewed economic models which include all externalities.
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5485	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to take one approach and address the hot spot areas AND AMEND to be consistent with national rules RETAIN Schedule C and other PPC1 provisions description of stock types for exclusion from water bodies, in particular not including sheep in the description.
Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7852	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety and REPLACE with a plan that provides a way for farmers to address environmental concern while maintain viable and resilient businesses that future generations can be part of.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather	PC1-6206	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and NOTIFY with the entire Waikato and Waipā River catchments.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72891			AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the Objectives, Policies and Rules to give effect to the relief sought.
Kerr, Donald Haldane Submitter ID: 73988	PC1-5108	Oppose the provision	AMEND to clarify how mitigation could be applied AND AMEND PPC1 to provide future certainty.
Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5696	Support the provision with amendments	ADD additional resources into PPC1 AND AMEND to add an appeal mechanism to view business cases that believe their position will be untenable.
Kiely, Stephen Arthur Submitter ID: 73498	PC1-8618	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1712	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 objectives, policies and rules so that they provide a balanced approach to enable rural land owners to provide for their economic well-being and recognise the value of primary production to the Waikato community and national economy AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to reflect the entire purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991, not just the environmental AND AMEND to correct PPC1 errors AND AMEND PPC1 to improve the usability of the document, particularly the rules which are confusing and complex AND AMEND PPC1 to be more user friendly for farmers and plan users AND AMEND PPC1 to enable use of all rural land for primary production AND MAKE any consequential amendments. AND REMOVE references to Table 3.11-1 in Objective 6 (withdrawn) AND AMEND the wording of Objective 6 a) and Policy 15 (withdrawn) to increase clarity AND AMEND to recognise with regards to Objective 6 and Policy 15 (withdrawn) that there is a lag in water quality due to percolation of water associated with historic land uses. AND AMEND to clarify in Policy 15 what constitutes a 'bog' ecosystem AND CONSIDER undertaking a comprehensive and extensive assessment and quantification of the costs and benefits of the PPC1 in accordance with Section 32(2)(a) and section 32(2)(b) of the Resource Management Act AND AMEND the provisions based on this assessment.
King, Andrew W Submitter ID: 72972	PC1-4330	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE PPC1 and independently review the costs of PPC1 to farmers and ratepayers, including administration, infrastructure and production costs AND CONSIDER alternative strategies to manage hot spots AND AMEND to allow land use change where the land is suitable.
King Country Energy Limited Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-8251	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure there is proportionality in PPC1 between the size of an individual's contribution to the problem and the size of change required by them AND AMEND to ensure the scale and timeframes for change need to reflect the technology and practices available at the time, and the investments needed to adopt them.
KiwiLane Ltd Submitter ID: 72143	PC1-8401	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to create incentives for positive change to prevent further soil degradation and soil loss. AND AMEND PPC1 to support practices that do not affect water infiltration rates, such as minimum tillage, strip-tillage or no-tillage systems, instead of limiting cropping based on slope.
Kjestrup, Michael Bruce Submitter ID: 71692	PC1-8239	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Klos, Robyn Annette Submitter ID: 73261	PC1-9533	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include the values and aspirations of European landowners within the values section AND AMEND to ensure the responsibility of achieving the strategy objectives is with landowners

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND REMOVE the requirement for Certified Farm Environment Planners AND AMEND to encourage landowners to think outside the square to find a balance to achieve the vision.
K M Organics Ltd Submitter ID: 73550	PC1-5622	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so any Asure Quality or Bio Gro registered farming operations have the right to have their farm revert to the average leachate levels of the district if they so wish.
Knaap, Russelle Submitter ID: 71616	PC1-3692	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Koch Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73778	PC1-4082	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure more time should be spent on PPC1 to determine the actual effects of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sediment loss, and microbial pathogens on market garden properties and options to mitigation those effects.
Lacewood Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8963	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1, AND REPLACE with a plan that allows farmers to practically manage environmental concerns, while maintaining a sustainable business.
Lance, Judy Submitter ID: 72388	PC1-8150	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 OR AMEND to ensure towns and cities are contributing financially to water improvement. AMEND PPC1 to assess the contribution that Birds and Carp make to water pollution. AND AMEND to have all provisions equitable to all people.
Lane, Keith Submitter ID: 74156	PC1-11124	Not stated	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Langlands, Neil Submitter ID: 74128	PC1-8390	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for subsidies to help farmers comply with new requirements, AND AMEND to have more realistic and flexible provisions, AND AMEND PPC1 to include education on how to continue farming profitably while at the same time reducing negative environmental impacts.
Lash, Gareth Malmsbury Submitter ID: 72495	PC1-7695	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure a genuinely balanced approach to social, cultural, economic and environmental factors. AND AMEND it to place equal balance on requiring action from both rural and urban members of the community. AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.
Laurich, David Anthony and Valda Joy Benner Submitter ID: 60681	PC1-7971	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge the need to a sub-catchment approach to management of waterways to account for differing contributing factors to water quality. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure costs to communities are acceptable and shared by the whole community rather than just targeting one sector.
Laurich, Peter Submitter ID: 73338	PC1-8695	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11228	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by setting bottom lines and targets using the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. AMEND PPC1 to set timeframes for adjustments required in land use and discharge of contaminants appropriate to each case AND AMEND where significant adjustment times are required, targets should be set in Regional Plans at no more than 5 year intervals. AND AMEND PPC1 by providing a threshold-setting process identifies thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments coming under resource use pressure AND AMEND to ensure the threshold-setting process recognises spatial variation and the interactions between contaminants and takes into account the following: The size of the resource, proportion of the limit that is being used, current and expected rate of uptake of the remaining portion of the limit, likely scale and extent of unmet demand, historic inputs, persistence of contaminants in the environment and lag times

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the importance of protecting the outstanding character is recognised in policies and rules.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to develop Good Management Practices.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide a statement to the effect that downwards movement of attribute data within NOF bands (trends as opposed to seasonal variation) should not be defined as 'maintenance' of water quality</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 to ensure that all appropriate objectives, policies, methods of implementation and rules that apply to perennial streams should also apply to intermittent streams.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to maintain or enhance water quality (where a freshwater limit is met)</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to ensure that freshwater limits are not breached, and that targets are met</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to protect freshwater bodies that are significant but not 'outstanding'.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to include all wetlands in a Schedule that has appropriate criteria so that wetlands that have not yet been assessed can be considered 'significant'.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 by including numeric limits, timeframes and targets for suspended sediment and benthic sediment attributes in the catchment.</p>
Lean, Peta Submitter ID: 71227	PC1-1985	Oppose the provision	<p>CONSIDER the cost of implementing fencing, Certified Farm Environment Planners prepare Farm Environment Plans and Nitrogen Reference Points on prosperous communities</p> <p>AND AMEND so that low discharges are not penalised by clean practices.</p> <p>AND AMEND to a plan that is aspirational to achieve improved water quality.</p>
Leigh Family Submitter ID: 73352	PC1-8786	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Leineweber, Jonathan William Submitter ID: 74149	PC1-9161	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE PPC1</p> <p>AND PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until everyone implicated in PPC1 is included.</p>
Leslie, Paul Submitter ID: 74086	PC1-3792	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Liefting, John Submitter ID: 72753	PC1-11436	Oppose the provision	No specific decision is sought for PPC1.
Litchfield, John Submitter ID: 74112	PC1-4846	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it's practical, deals with all sources of pollution, and takes into account the costs and ramifications on all areas that will be affected - the environment, local economies and peoples' livelihoods.
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5289	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before any further proposed plan change.</p> <p>AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki Iwi so that the catchment can be considered in entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.</p>
Livingston, Adrienne Submitter ID: 74041	PC1-6566	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 so that setbacks provide for native riparian planting and don't create weed corridors</p> <p>AND AMEND to include an implementation and monitoring plan.</p>
Lloyd, David Kelly Submitter ID: 71203	PC1-1268	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to reflect an agreed upon objective</p> <p>AND AMEND to introduce fertiliser limits that vary with soil type and possibly location in the catchment.</p> <p>AND commercialize koi carp harvesting AND control Canadian geese numbers.</p>
Lloyd, Matt	PC1-10076	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74074			
Loader, A J Submitter ID: 74084	PC1-7377	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by undertaking an in depth analysis of the total costs of implementation with consideration given to a more strategic and stage approach so that Objective 2 can be realised. AMEND PPC1 so it adopts a sub-catchment approach.
Loader, A J Submitter ID: 74084	PC1-13147	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to identify other off-farm solutions to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus loadings on the rivers that are reasonable and equitable.
Lochiel Farmlands Ltd Submitter ID: 73621	PC1-9549	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to allow for full engagement with the farming community. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure all relevant information is considered.
Lockwood, Peter Submitter ID: 74188	PC1-11520	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the entire community is involved within implementation of PPC1.
Loft, Patricia Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-9847	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide that all landowners take part in its implementation.
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12227	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 6 RETAIN restoring the Whangamarino Wetland under Policy 15 AND AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments.
Lord, David Graeme Submitter ID: 71258	PC1-1507	Oppose the provision	ENSURE the cost of PPC1 is monitored.
Lovell, Christopher Joseph Submitter ID: 74070	PC1-7235	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so it is based on actual water quality data, measured and monitored at an appropriate scale. AND AMEND PPC1 so it prioritises problem areas identified through monitoring. AND AMEND PPC1 implementation by holding a Water Quality Day where self-test kits and/or sample bottles are provided to enable farmers to do on-farm water quality sampling. AND AMEND to support small catchment studies representative of primary land uses, measuring all four primary pollutants and quantifying the cost-effectiveness of different land and water management options to control these pollutants. AND CONSIDER developing appropriate extension materials based on the small catchment studies. AND CONSIDER using small catchment studies as training grounds for staff and demonstration sites for farmer groups. AND ENSURE sufficient feedback loops exist to allow integrated appraisal of social, economic and environmental costs and benefits as PPC1 proceeds and meaningful two-way dialogue between all levels (national, provincial, district and community).
Lowry, James Submitter ID: 73955	PC1-2963	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 and instead take a national collaborative approach to water quality OR AMEND PPC1 to capture the urban community AND AMEND PPC1 to address the issue of Koi carp.
Lowry, Karen and Peter Submitter ID: 73133	PC1-7976	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND provide evidence of the cause and effects of water quality decline. AND consult on a range of options to address water quality. AND re-consult in a meaningful and informed manner. AND AMEND to ensure that all landowners are allocated the average/percentile per hectare nutrient allocation for their region and such rights are tradeable or target those activities that are shown to have contributed the most harm.
Ludtke-Faber, G. Submitter ID: 73963	PC1-8422	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adhere to the definitions and goals at the beginning of the consultation period, and reverse any shifts from those

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to use all means necessary to achieve the original goals and set a timeline to achieve those goals.
Lund, Daniel Warren Submitter ID: 73755	PC1-5461	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety OR DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and use of the OVERSEER Model and replace with a sub-catchment model.
Lyons-Montgomery, Stephen Submitter ID: 73449	PC1-9988	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Macdonald, Ian Submitter ID: 71217	PC1-488	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Mackenzie, David Stuart Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3105	Support the provision with amendments	REINSTATE Objective 6 as originally proposed. AND REINSTATE Policy 15. AND REINSTATE and AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.
Macklow, Genaya Submitter ID: 73533	PC1-12314	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include precise freshwater objectives AND AMEND to include the following freshwater attributes: te hauora o te taiao; natural character; dissolved oxygen; deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health; periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen and total nitrogen in tributaries/sub-catchments; total phosphorus in tributaries/sub-catchments; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations; and water flows and levels AND AMEND to include in-stream limits and loads for nitrogen and phosphorus, sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminants, micro-organisms and temperature AND AMEND to include load thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments coming under pressure AND AMEND to include a freshwater implementation and program of change plan that includes: a transparent approach to developing monitoring, compliance and implementation systems; steps to ensure that effective and cost-efficient monitoring, compliance and implementation capacity is in place at the time the regime is introduced; monitoring and reporting on reviewing the implementation of the policy; transparent public information for freshwater discharges and takes; a council report every two years on progress towards meeting objectives, limits and targets; step the council will take if the combined interventions are not sufficient AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are a controlled activity AND AMEND to ensure load allocation approaches are equitable, promote efficient resource use, are future-proofed and promote sustainable management AND AMEND to ensure load allocations are based on Land Use Capability and land suitability AND AMEND to ensure rules prevent over-fertilising, over-stocking, over-grazing, over-watering and over-draining AND AMEND to provide a polluter pays mechanism.
Macky, William Lindsay Submitter ID: 72603	PC1-5816	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to restrict cropping to flat land and direct drilling. AND AMEND PPC1 so that no cattle older than yearlings to be allowed to graze sidlings. AND AMEND PPC1 to stop all irrigation and increase flows as much as possible.
MacLachlan, Lin and Adrienne Submitter ID: 73074	PC1-3710	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Main, Richard and Margaret Submitter ID: 73927	PC1-4064	Not stated	No decision requested for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mandeno, Mark Submitter ID: 72718	PC1-5267	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Mandeno, Thomas Graham Submitter ID: 73167	PC1-9337	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to include a cost/benefit analysis AND AMEND to provide information on the present water quality of the West Coast catchment streams.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-13188	Not stated	No decision requested however, the submitter endorses and supports the submissions made in the Joint Submission, together with the other Waikato and Waipā River Iwi on Proposed Plan Change 1.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-13189	Not stated	RETAIN the general direction that is articulated through PPC1 AND RETAIN the increased controls on land use to 'hold the line' and prevent future land use intensification.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-13190	Not stated	RECOGNISE and account for in PPC1 the contribution of Māori land in offsetting the discharge of contaminants from other developed land, at some stage in the future. RECOGNISE and protect the investment made by landowners, particularly the owners of Māori land, to reduce contaminants discharged from land use.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-13191	Not stated	No specific decision requested, however the submitter notes that of particular importance, is building the capacity and capability of WRC, to give effect to the methods set out in PPC1. The submitter also notes that monitoring the effectiveness of PPC1 will be important to give confidence to the regional community that we are on target to achieving the short term objectives and tracking positively towards achieving Te Ture Whaimana in 80-years.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-13192	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1 however, the submitter recognises that further plan changes will be required to achieve Te Ture Whaimana within 80 years. The submitter is clear that any future framework for the allocation of rights to discharge contaminants, will not be based on a pure grandparenting approach.
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-9044	Oppose the provision	PUT PPC1 ON HOLD until withdrawn parts of PPC1 are reinstated. AMEND PPC1 to provide for any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the decision sought in this submission. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide legislative protection that ensures farmers won't have to convert to forestry during further plan changes. If this assurance cannot be given, full compensation should be paid.
Margetts, John Submitter ID: 71691	PC1-9652	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1.
Martelli, John Charles Submitter ID: 71694	PC1-7448	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80 year target.
Martin, Peter Submitter ID: 73022	PC1-5795	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4146	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Mason, Jane and John Submitter ID: 74120	PC1-6575	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to consider pollution from: urban environments; introduced ducks, geese, swans and other water fowl fouling the waterways and related avian salmonella; Fish and Game who are responsible for the release of introduced game birds; feral goats, wild pigs and deer all disturbing and fouling the DOC land and exotic forests at the head of the Waipā and Waikato catchments; orange carp which destroy river beds, banks and native flora and fauna. And undertake science to show what introduced animals and fish are doing the health of the rivers and the native ecology and habitat.
Masters, Stuart Bruce, Melvah Joy and Brendon James	PC1-3860	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72445			
Matahuru Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-7005	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Matamata Grey Power Association Submitter ID: 74004	PC1-7513	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1.
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3669	Oppose the provision with amendments	Review all Schedules and AMEND as appropriate based on an updated s32 evaluation CONSIDER an extensive period for further submissions or a further variation to PPC1 once amendments are available to accommodate those matters raised by Hauraki Iwi AND PUT PPC1 ON HOLD to enable the notification for further submissions under both statutory processes to occur altogether.
Matamua, Monica M Submitter ID: 72104	PC1-10185	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 by acknowledging that Ngati Hotu, sometimes referred to as the Patupaiarehe, have the right to appoint who they want to oversee their interests.
Matham Trust Submitter ID: 72837	PC1-5612	Oppose the provision	No specific decision has been sought for PPC1. [However the submission states support for the Federated Farmers submission.]
Mathis, Mary-Ann Submitter ID: 72833	PC1-5679	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision has been sought for PPC1. [However the submission states support for the Federated Farmers submission.]
Maunder, James Kingsey Submitter ID: 71036	PC1-38	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1 be adopted by the Council.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11297	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND only Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
McCaughan, Lance Submitter ID: 73457	PC1-6486	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so large scale farming is not blamed. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure all farmers fence off waterways on their farm, with a setback distance of 5-20 metres where public use and planting of suitable plants will be encouraged and assisted. Ensure wetland plants are planted closest to the water, working back to shrubs and the native trees, ensuring there is a 1-2 metre gap between the fence and the nearest tree. AND AMEND PPC1 to ban dumping and monitor that no farm waste ends up in waterways. AND AMEND PPC1 so smaller waterways are assessed for their ecological importance. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure crop dusting is done by land based means except for locations without large (wider than 0.5 metres in width) waterways.
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-54	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
McColl and Hardwell, Loretta and Peter Submitter ID: 74195	PC1-4602	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
McDonald, David Submitter ID: 74071	PC1-3767	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to consider as a housed goat farm a different farming system to others.
McDonald, Iain and Jackie Submitter ID: 74032	PC1-4712	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to take a sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND to consider more widely the impact of PPC1 on sheep and beef farmers.
McDonald, Kevin and Jane Submitter ID: 72145	PC1-8665	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
McFadden, Gifford Patrick and Robin Submitter ID: 73122	PC1-10984	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
McFadden, Jamie Submitter ID: 72931	PC1-1667	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by replacing all regulation on dryland farmers with a Catchment Board type approach with a team of trusted advisers that work in partnership with landowners. Farm plans are voluntary and tailor made. Farm plans are used as conversation starter to deliver actions rather than a compliance tool that is audited. Implement the Catchment Board model for the dairy industry if they support.
McGahan, Michael Submitter ID: 74160	PC1-7355	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8276	Oppose the provision	AMEND the overly restrictive objectives, policies and rules of PPC1 so they provide a balanced approach to enabling rural land owners to provide for their economic well-being, and recognise the value of primary production to the Waikato community and national economy AND AMEND the provisions so they are balanced to recognise the other components of the purpose of the Resource Management Act, and not just environmental considerations AND AMEND to correct errors (typographical, grammatical, numbering errors, etc) AND AMEND to improve the usability of the document, particularly the rules which are unnecessarily complex and confusing AND AMEND to allow use of all rural land for primary production rather than 'locking up' resources in perpetuity AND AMEND to provide other relief as would address concerns and such consequential relief including changes to objectives, policies, rules and definitions AND UNDERTAKE a comprehensive and extensive assessment and quantification of the costs and benefits of PPC1 in accordance with Section 32(2)(a) and Section 32(2)(b) of the Resource Management Act AND REVIEW the provisions of PPC1 based on this reassessment.
McGrath, Colin and Karen Submitter ID: 74204	PC1-9065	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to clearly define boundaries AND AMEND to address effects of non-farming activities on water bodies AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until it is developed further, including rules for properties that do not have waterways.
McGrath, Jenene Submitter ID: 71428	PC1-9746	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to clearly define boundaries AND AMEND to deal with non-farming issues AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until it is developed further, including rules for properties that do not have waterways.
McGrath, Judith Muriel Submitter ID: 72010	PC1-8223	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and submit a new more moderate plan, taking into account the government's latest requirements and undergoing more consultation with practising farmers AND PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi have been properly consulted with and are on board AND AMEND PPC1 to install a more balanced process which will not destroy small farmers AND AMEND to base it on more research and better science AND AMEND to apply different rules to different types of farming

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to undertake more research to understand the geology of each sub-catchment and the unique situation in each area</p> <p>AND AMEND to address major problems below the confluence of the Waikato and Waipā rivers and also the hydro lakes, using alternative measures to reduce nitrogen and phosphorous</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide separate rules, management and best practice plans for each type of farming operation reflecting the pollution caused on a sub-catchment basis.</p>
McGregor, Colin Grant Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6654	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one.
McKnight, Euan and Sarah Submitter ID: 72698	PC1-10976	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10925	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 by aligning it with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management</p> <p>AND AMEND to identify those farming systems and practices that significantly contribute to contamination levels</p> <p>AND AMEND to take account of topography, climate, soil structure, farming practices and systems and disallow the continuance of unsuitable systems</p> <p>AND AMEND to include other potential contaminants, such as herbicide and pesticide residues and monitor these</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide evidence that stock exclusion and effluent management are effective in reducing nitrogen loss from high intensity dairy farming operations.</p>
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-10890	Support the provision	AMEND only Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
McPherson, Robert Submitter ID: 73541	PC1-8557	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to encompass the entire Waikato region including the Hauraki, Coromandel and Matamata areas,</p> <p>AND AMEND to test water quality within each sub-catchment to ensure compliance within sub-catchments, so compliance is at the sub-catchment level rather than against region wide goals,</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable the leaching level as determined by the benchmarking process to become tradeable, not only within an enterprise but between farmers.</p>
McQuinn, Jason Submitter ID: 70619	PC1-4986	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to include street and road runoff as another contaminant that must be eliminated, isolated or controlled.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to undertake strategic replacement of all tar seal and bitumen roads with paved cobbled or concrete surfaces.</p>
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5449	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the whole of the Waikato and Waipā River catchment is treated as one.
Mead, Daniel Ross Submitter ID: 73344	PC1-4341	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a more specific approach than catchment-wide.
Meads, Glynn Colin and Joanne Leigh Submitter ID: 73392	PC1-6336	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE PPC1 in its present form</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure any consequential amendments including Objectives, Policies or other rules, or restructuring are made to give effect to the relief sought.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Meier, Peter Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-9476	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to clarify how it relates to broader discharge activities, if they are regulated and if there are any changes planned for non-land owners that discharge AND AMEND to ensure the investment required of land owners to reduce contamination is relative to discharges by others
Meier, Peter Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-13143	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the concept that PPC1 is the first stage of achieving the Vision and Strategy of the Waikato and Waipā river catchments and RETAIN the four contaminant focus for healthy waterways and RETAIN the staged approach to implementation and AMEND to ensure an interim approach to allow time for research, development and innovation around sub-catchment approaches and AMEND to ensure that the greatest contributors to contamination should reduce sooner and RETAIN the requirement for a tailored farm environmental plan
Merchant, Graeme Submitter ID: 74169	PC1-8804	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 process so farmers and policy-makers work collectively together to get something workable and good and ensure there is a review process along the way which enables adjustments to be made.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9474	Support the provision with amendments	ENSURE that PPC1, and any further amendments to the Waikato Regional Plan, do not compromise the continued operation and productive output of the Waikato Hydro Scheme. AND AMEND any further or consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submissions are sought.
Millar, Sally Submitter ID: 73067	PC1-12316	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 as requested by the submission AND MAKE any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the submission AND AMEND to provide any alternative relief that will give effect to the submission.
Miller, Alexander Dane Submitter ID: 71212	PC1-311	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to undertake a Cost Benefit Analysis for the whole of the community, so that everyone realises they have their part to play.
Mills, John Submitter ID: 74175	PC1-4794	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the goal in PPC1 for the rivers to become swimmable. AND ENSURE it is taken into account that the Waipā River is different AND ENSURE an email is sent to all landowners and town residents the results of water quality testing AND AMEND to require stream and river beds to be planted with poplars and willows where appropriate AND CONSIDER undertaking more research on E.coli in extensively farmed areas to find out what effect stock have on E.coli levels in water AND ENSURE toilet facilities on walking tracks and walkways along streams and rivers are provided.
Miscanthus New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73781	PC1-7270	Not stated	ADD a permitted activity standard in PPC1 to convert land to growing Miscanthus grass.
Moerangi Trust Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4263	Not stated	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Monk, Graeme Submitter ID: 73120	PC1-10945	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Morison, Steve and Toni Submitter ID: 71439	PC1-1446	Oppose the provision	Place the Schedule 1 Process on hold until the entire catchment is incorporated.
Moss, George Wilder Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-11072	Support the provision with amendments	ENSURE any changes to PPC1 through the Schedule 1 process do not lead to a lesser modelled water quality improvement, AND ENSURE the current allocation of contaminant discharges, based on bundling of all four contaminants, is retained,

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE the current focus on actions to mitigate the impacts of contaminants is retained,</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to require the Waikato Regional Council to identify over the next 10 years, the land or activities that even after mitigations, still has the biggest negative impact on the values that society aspires with respect to water quality,</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the Waikato Regional Council quantifies the economic and social costs of any 'reverse land use' change scenarios</p> <p>AND AMEND to require the Waikato Regional Council to identify options for offsetting bundles of all four contaminants so that communities can achieve water quality outcomes at least cost where the contaminants can no longer be mitigated either due to cost or practicality</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for heat maps across all four contaminants both before and after mitigations in Farm Environment Plans to be used to inform the next plan change AND ensure these heat maps not only include farming activities but also include point source discharges.</p>
Mounsey, Zach Submitter ID: 73766	PC1-7171	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 so that all those contributing to contaminant discharges are required to take action</p> <p>AND AMEND to include context around the impact of hydro dams</p> <p>AND RETAIN the intent that full achievement of PPC1 objectives for water quality improvement is targeted for 2096 with PPC1 being the first stage</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that progress towards the 10 per cent goal is assessed by the actions occurring on land</p> <p>AND AMEND to set up a comprehensive work programme to identify and resolve information gaps in time for the next plan review, involving DairyNZ and other research organisations</p> <p>AND AMEND to continue to work with all key stakeholders, including DairyNZ, to develop robust solutions</p> <p>AND AMEND the wording of the objectives, policies, and methods and provide greater clarity and guidance to farmers about the changes expected on farm.</p>
Mount Vista Trust Submitter ID: 74181	PC1-5547	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it addresses economic, social cultural and environmental issues.
Mowbray, Harry Submitter ID: 71904	PC1-8219	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for anyASURE Quality or Biogrow registered farming operation to have the right to have the farm revert to the average leachate levels of the district if the farmer wishes.
Muir, Mark Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6880	Support the provision with amendments	<p>REINSTATE Policy 15 (Withdrawn).</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 15 (Withdrawn) to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.</p> <p>AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the provisions for the Hauraki Iwi area are amended and the Vision and Strategy review completed, and a new section 32 analysis has been undertaken which investigates the revised impact of PPC1 on society and the economy.</p>
Murphy, William S Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6493	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one.
Murvale Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 71418	PC1-1262	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1, however the submission questions the PPC1 approach to grazing areas of hill country, fencing of water bodies and swampy areas and the cause of water contamination.
Narsha Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73461	PC1-8342	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND the approach in PPC1 to stock exclusion, fencing setback, land use restrictions, nitrogen management and slope restrictions to be less heavy handed.</p> <p>AND AMEND to clarify in PPC1 the target levels scientifically.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide clear E.coli levels.
National Wetland Trust Submitter ID: 73420	PC1-10760	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9045	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 is its current form.
Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-2436	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its current form and test the proposed solutions by Waikato Regional Council buying a farm in Priority Catchment 1, implementing all policies and measuring their effectiveness and costs.
Nelson, Richard Submitter ID: 74172	PC1-5044	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER AMENDING PPC1 to put a cap on nitrogen fertilisers AND CONSIDER AMENDING to put a cap on cattle stocking rates AND CONSIDER AMENDING so that farm by farm inspections identify issues where cattle are polluting waterways, then require farmers to address those issues.
New Zealand Farm Forestry Association - Waikato Branch Submitter ID: 73698	PC1-10131	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
New Zealand Forest Owners Association Inc Submitter ID: 73524	PC1-9952	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 provisions to enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the Waikato community AND AMEND to promote the sustainable management of resources and not be contrary to Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act AND AMEND to the most appropriate way of meeting PPC1 Objectives, and means of exercising the Council's function, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the Provisions relative to other means. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
New Zealand Grain and Seed Trade Association Submitter ID: 71229	PC1-2031	Oppose the provision with amendments	CONSIDER appropriate strategies and more research to support improved farming activities before the regulations are introduced in PPC1.
New Zealand Institute of Primary Industry Management - Waikato Branch Submitter ID: 73558	PC1-8444	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11293	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND only Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11299	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND only Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the cooperative of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Ngati Haua Tribal Trust Submitter ID: 73025	PC1-1980	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until such time that all and any part of the catchment implicated by the plan is included.
Ngati Te Ata Submitter ID: 74123	PC1-7260	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Nichol, Peter Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-583	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN PPC1 but with amendments as outlined in entire submission.
Nicholas, Michael George, Raewyn Joan and Jonathon George Submitter ID: 73891	PC1-3386	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Nicholas, Rod and Wendy Submitter ID: 73760	PC1-7104	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure consideration of the social, cultural and economic effects is prioritised.
Nicholson, Chris and Vikki Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3921	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the provisions for the Hauraki Iwi area are amended and the Vision and Strategy review completed, and a new section 32 analysis has been undertaken which investigates the revised impact of PPC1 on society and the economy. REINSTATE Objective 6 (Withdrawn) as originally proposed. REINSTATE Policy 15 (Withdrawn).
Noakes, Anna Submitter ID: 73693	PC1-7012	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that it addresses all sources of contaminants, including urban sources. AND AMEND to enable farmers to change to dairy farming provided suitable mitigations are in place.
North Waikato Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 73705	PC1-2286	Support the provision with amendments	HALT PPC1 until scientific data on the cause of water quality decline is available at a sub-catchment level and appropriate mitigations are decided AMEND PPC1 to implement an eradication programme for pest fish like koi carp.
NZ Forest Managers Ltd Submitter ID: 73443	PC1-6001	Not stated	REMOVE grandparenting of nitrogen approach from PPC1.
NZ Transport Agency Submitter ID: 73542	PC1-4836	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 15 (withdrawn area).
O'Dwyer, Noel Submitter ID: 71206	PC1-7612	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and begin the process again.
O'Kane, Clare Submitter ID: 74110	PC1-7303	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure urban contaminates are assessed as rigourously as those from agriculture. AND AMEND PPC1 to clarify ownership versus leasee responsibilities under PPC1.
O'Leary, Leslie John Submitter ID: 73114	PC1-4670	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Oatway, Hugh Robert Submitter ID: 72758	PC1-6544	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 until the data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment. PUT PPC1 ON HOLD or WITHDRAW the plan in its entirety until the withdrawn area is re-inserted and re-notify.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 1) Submitter ID: 74131	PC1-7495	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value) AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 2) Submitter ID: 74132	PC1-7525	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value) AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 3) Submitter ID: 74134	PC1-7532	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value) AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 4) Submitter ID: 74135	PC1-7537	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value) AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 5) Submitter ID: 74200	PC1-7561	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value) AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 6) Submitter ID: 74201	PC1-7549	Oppose the provision	AND AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value) AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Oceanview Farms Limited (Submitter 7) Submitter ID: 74202	PC1-7555	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide a support package to maintain the viability of farming activities (e.g., rates review, compensation for loss of income and land value). AND AMEND PPC1 so resource consent terms are the same for urban and rural activities. AND AMEND PPC1 to require point and non-point discharges from urban land use to be addressed within the same regulatory framework and timeframes as rural activities. AND ENSURE urban intensification and greenfield development that degrades water quality in the Waikato catchment is avoided.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-8935	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by identifying specific areas where there are discharges to land that could result in community drinking water supplies becoming unsafe for human consumption following existing treatment. AND ADD a rule for all land uses, irrespective of size, so that resource consent is required for all discharges for the areas where there are discharges to land in circumstances that could result in community drinking water supplies becoming unsafe for human consumption following existing treatment. AND AMEND in PPC1 the matters of control for activities that are Restricted Discretionary, Discretionary or Non-Complying to allow the Council to provide, as a matter of control for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discharge consents to be declined where they are likely to result in the community drinking water becoming unsafe for human consumption following existing treatment. • Conditions to be placed on consents that require notification of drinking water suppliers if significant unintended events occur that may adversely affect sources of human drinking water. AND AMEND PPC1 controlled activities to allow the council to provide, as a matter of control for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conditions to be placed on consents that require notification of drinking water suppliers if significant unintended events occur that may adversely affect sources of human drinking water. AND UNDERTAKE an evaluation of PPC1 so that it complies with Section 32 of the RMA AND RENOTIFY PPC1 to include all catchment land as originally notified [including the withdrawn north eastern portion of the catchment]. AND AMEND so that diffuse discharge sources adopt Best Practicable Option through consents conditions or the plan as an interim approach. AND AMEND so that all diffuse sources are incentivise to identify and adopt best management practices consistent with the Objectives of improvement of water quality over time. AND AMEND to recognise that for diffuse sources low capital cost options can be implemented sooner than higher cost options. AND AMEND so that non-point sources discharges are managed using Best Practicable Option AND AMEND so that there is some flexibility for landowners to choose the approach or action to mitigate adverse effects of land use change. AND AMEND so that consent are required to change land use as a restricted discretionary activity land use change and will be granted for application where Best Practicable Option is applied AND AMEND so the OVERSEER Model is used to help farmers fine tune options to reduce leaching (not allocation). AND AMEND so that Certified Industry Schemes [Third party schemes] apply for a consent to operate as a consented industry scheme.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			IF allocation is considered appropriate, AMEND so that allocation is based on a consistent foundation such as LUC (Land Use Capability) where land is treated like for like.
Okell, Robert Steven Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-135	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to allocate resources fairly. AND AMEND the PPC1 to ensure all parts are consistent AND ENSURE Council complies with its statutory responsibilities under the Act AND ENSURE Council provides some evidence of what the Collaborative Stakeholder Group was doing and when minority dissents were over-ruled AND AMEND to ensure a balanced Section 32 report that does not select only those results that favour its proposed actions and that important data is included in the report not just in references.
Oliver, John Rutherford Submitter ID: 74037	PC1-9906	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to identify the catchments where the worst problems are including sediment, nitrogen and E.coli. AND AMEND PPC1 to reduce or cap animals per hectare in critical areas.
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-11250	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to PPC1 follow process that will result in it being equitable, transparent and compliance is clear and easy to understand.
Olsen, David Edward Submitter ID: 74003	PC1-6390	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Onewhero Tuakau Community Board Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-2717	Oppose the provision with amendments	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until the withdrawn area is aligned with PPC1.
Open Country Dairy Submitter ID: 74182	PC1-5417	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to alleviate concerns that a large segment of the catchment is not included in PPC1.
Orlando-Reep, Tim Submitter ID: 72967	PC1-4438	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it doesn't restrict the ability of farms to adapt and change. AND AMEND PPC1 so it doesn't impose high costs. AND AMEND PPC1 so Koi Carp are dealt with first.
Osborne, Gary Submitter ID: 74034	PC1-4087	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Osborne, John and Margaret Submitter ID: 74190	PC1-7041	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to look at other contaminants, especially E.coli.
Otorohanga District Council Submitter ID: 74055	PC1-8167	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until consultation with Hauraki iwi and incorporation of NPS-FM amendments has occurred AND CONSIDER reviewing the cost/benefit analysis with emphasis on the economic, social and cultural costs for the Otorohanga District, taking into account the Districts small community size, reliance on the viability of the farming sector and the large percentage of farmers on marginal hill country AND factoring in the extent to which PPC1 will result in environmental benefits considering the already low stocking rates on marginal land AND factoring in the impracticality and high cost of stock exclusion and the provision of separate stock drinking water infrastructure on low emitting steep hill country farms AND CONSIDER undertaking a specific assessment of the relative environmental benefits in light of the impact of PPC1 provisions on: central government's target for growth in primary industry exports; the affordability and availability of food for local consumption; primary industry exports, the national domestic product, and the potential for future growth in the economy AND AMEND to provide for more flexibility in the conversion to alternative farming processes and to ensure that the provisions will enable resilience to respond to climate change

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide equitable and effects based, simplified rules that are cost-effective to implement and give certainty regarding the envelope of permitted farming activities</p> <p>AND CONSIDER the viability of a zoning approach or Regional Land use Plan as an alternative, potentially more cost-effective, means of achieving the desired PPC1 objectives</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 provisions and reconsider the timeline for implementing the proposed regulations to focus on: engaging with farmers, advocating for, and providing education on best practice methods to reduce the adverse effects of diffuse discharges from farming activities on water quality; devising incentives to reward farmers for making changes that benefit the environment such as providing subsidies for fencing of waterways, and rates rebates for farmers that adhere to sustainable farming practices; and, active lobbying, initiating, participating, and supporting further research into alternative more sustainable and profitable farming practices and mitigation measures</p> <p>AND PUT ON HOLD implementation of PPC1 until: robust models have been developed to calculate reference points, industry schemes have been agreed, scientifically tested methods to reduce diffuse discharges from farming activities have been developed, and an adequate number of professionals have been trained and accredited to undertake the tasks mandated under the provisions.</p> <p>AND AMEND to commit to training as a first step.</p>
Oxley, Michael L Submitter ID: 72920	PC1-5858	Oppose the provision	AMEND council decision making process so that major changes require either a 66 percent or 75 percent majority vote.
Paihere Farms Group Submitter ID: 73401	PC1-6287	Not stated	<p>AND ENSURE consultation with rural communities takes place before PPC1 is implemented</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide certainty on outcomes in 10 years' time</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so farming is still environmentally and economically sustainable.</p>
Paine, Lachlan Preston Submitter ID: 73538	PC1-7673	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE Objective 6(a).</p> <p>AND REINSTATE Objective 6(b).</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 to allow a longer timeframe to achieve the goal of no further impact on lake water quality.</p>
Pakuranga Hunt Inc Submitter ID: 73127	PC1-8555	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5751	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 as outlined in this submission.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Policy and Rule so that discharges of Farm Dairy Effluent to waterways is prohibited from 1 July 2019.</p> <p>AND AMEND to list all OVERSEER assumed best practices and prohibit their non-attainment from 2020 wherever possible to clearly define. Or facilitate with the agriculture sector to define and prioritise this process in the near term of PPC1.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the agricultural initiatives for Total Suspended Solids and Phosphorus.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that unsealed roads, races, tracks, and drives (public or private) are subject to sediment control and runoff mitigations to TP10 standards or other Council derived standards.</p> <p>AND ADD to PPC1 provision for the Council to develop a framework and s32 analysis for a pollution tax for each of the four contaminants (Bacterial, Nitrogen, Phosphorus and suspended solids). State clear dates and reporting milestones (submission contains proposed text). Include a policy to facilitate the possible implementation by 2023.</p>
Parker, Kimberley Submitter ID: 72914	PC1-11116	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to ensure decisions take wider community impacts into account.
Parry, Bruce Bregmen Submitter ID: 73453	PC1-10399	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to recognise the impact of koi carp, storm water, urban run-off and rubbish dumps.
Peacocke, Matthew Anthony	PC1-1905	Oppose the provision	Not stated for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73058			
Peek and Harpham, Rosemary and John Submitter ID: 74001	PC1-6127	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to clarify the use of the words: swimmable and safe for food gathering AND AMEND so that all industries are required to report discharge readings with collated information available to the public. AND AMEND to require that all monitoring site data be made available to the public for comparing with the industry monitoring results.
Peers-Adams, Ross Submitter ID: 70416	PC1-5190	Oppose the provision	DELTE PPC1 in its entirety. If not deleted then AMEND PPC1 to ensure that if nutrient restrictions are to be enforced they should be based on soil types and land classes rather than individual historical nutrient use.
Pellew, Rosane Submitter ID: 73857	PC1-3278	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Pepper, Matt Submitter ID: 73284	PC1-9661	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4201	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6538	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 based on a sub-catchment approach AND AMEND PPC1 so that the amount of nitrogen, phosphorous and sediment that needs to be removed from the Waikato River to reach the water quality goals in 80 years is calculated AND allocate these loadings to each sub-catchment, taking into account the amounts of nitrogen, phosphorous and sediment currently leaving each sub-catchment AND allow the sub-catchment community, working with the Waikato Regional Council, to decide the most cost-effective means to reach the required sub-catchment goals, after taking into account and prioritising which contaminants are most limiting water quality in the sub-catchment.
Peterson and Carswell, Lance Colin and Sarah Submitter ID: 73899	PC1-5104	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it is realistic and considers the economic and social aspects of sustainability AND AMEND to focus on urban plans and mitigations AND MAKE any consequential amendments to PPC1 including the Objectives, Polices or other Rules or restructuring of PPC1 to give effect to the relief sought.
Petre, Daniel Submitter ID: 71697	PC1-6641	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until more research is done on the amount of Phosphate runoff from less intensively farmed hill country. AND REPLACE current policies with new ones based on the Phosphate runoff research. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that low emitting farmers do not have to subsidise the most polluting farmers AND that they will be viable when they are taken over by the next generation or if they need to hire extra staff due to health issues. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure low emitting farmers currently outside of the plan will not need to intensify their farming.
Petre, John Lowrey Submitter ID: 73707	PC1-9399	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER amending PPC1 to limit nitrogen fertiliser use, based on how much can be applied to particular land without excess nitrogen runoff AND AMEND PPC1 to reduce requirements (and costs) for farms 50 hectares in area or less, apart from provisions absolutely required to manage nitrogen and protect water quality AND AMEND PPC1 so that provisions apply to all land, irrespective of ownership.
PG & KF West Ltd Submitter ID: 73777	PC1-4565	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that all businesses and communities are part of the solution AND AMEND to provide greater clarity about requirements, such as for fencing and setbacks.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide a fairer Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND so that general cropping is managed as part of a normal farming operation AND AMEND to relate E.coli targets to particular sources AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 is appropriate in terms of compliance costs to business and community.
Phillips, Lloyd Submitter ID: 72659	PC1-6606	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the use of OVERSEER as a tool in PPC1.
Phillips, Neal Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-584	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to split PPC1 into a sub-catchment approach AND AMEND PPC1 to developed and implement a pest fish policy.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4322	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 following consideration of the legal relationship between the Resource Management Act and the river settlement legislation and review the Collaborative Stakeholder Group recommendations in light of the differences AND give consideration and weight to all of the well-being of sustainability as expressed in RMA s5 AND recognise that community water quality values vary over time (seasonally, flow rate, spatially). For example measuring swimmability only during the swimming season not at times of flood AND the Hearings Committee to limit regulatory measures to the scope of each environmental pressure and apply the concept of 'net benefit test' to all decision-making AND take into account all economic costs when evaluating trade-offs AND commission and CONSIDER a social impact study that quantifies likely impacts. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Pitts-Brown, Brian Submitter ID: 71216	PC1-1315	Oppose the provision	AMEND to provide an intense inspection of all properties to be done before regulations are imposed and provide advice on what is the most suitable method for each individual farm.
Plainview Farms (2007) Limited Submitter ID: 74079	PC1-8203	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to include additional scientific research on clear and defined outcomes of the current Nitrogen and Phosphorous usage. This research should include; Nitrogen and Phosphorous movement in soil profiles given the soil type, farm system and seasonal impact, alternatives to Nitrogen or mitigation of the impacts. AND AMEND PPC1 to include additional research to understand where the Nitrogen and Phosphorous contributors actually are in terms of unhealthy water ways between urban and rural areas. AND AMEND PPC1 to cap Nitrogen and Phosphorous per hectare on all land until more science provides definitive impacts of Nitrogen and Phosphorous. AND AMEND PPC1 and the funding arrangements to require all taxpayers to assist in the costs of implementing the regulations. AND AMEND PPC1 to come up with a more realistic target in relation to swimmable waters.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-10899	Support the provision	AMEND only Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Porter, Roger Winton & Catharina Cornelia Submitter ID: 74054	PC1-11031	Support the provision	PPC1: As per submissions by Fonterra, DairyNZ and Beef + Lamb New Zealand. [Fonterra: AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently throughout PPC1, differentiating clearly and consistently between:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The long-term (80 year) desired outcomes and the short-term outcomes (being the freshwater objectives for the purpose of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management); and 2. The concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target. <p>AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater</u> objectives"</p> <p>AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater</u> objectives"</p> <p>AND make such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute^ targets^' or 'water quality attribute^ limits^' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u>" or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for any consequential or alternative relief to give effect to the relief sought in the submission.</p> <p>AND AMEND, RETAIN and DELETE the provisions as set out in the submission.]</p> <p>[DairyNZ: RETAIN PPC1 subject to the decision sought in Attachment 1 of the submission.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the decision sought in this submission.</p> <p>AND PROVIDE any alternative relief that will give effect to this submission, including, where specific relief is sought, words or phrases to similar effect.]</p> <p>[Beef + Lamb New Zealand: WITHDRAW PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 inclusive of: all previous withdrawn areas; with an amended and strengthened sub-catchment approach; modified Objectives, Policies, Rules and Methods applying to the management of Nitrogen; and amended stock exclusion policies and methods that are the same as the proposed national regulations.</p> <p>AND DELETE Policies, Methods and Rules applying to management of Nitrogen discharges.</p> <p>AND AMEND Policies and Methods requiring the exclusion of stock from water and adopt Methods that are set out in the national regulation for exclusion of stock from waterbodies.</p> <p>AND ADD an alternative Nitrogen management and allocation Method, in accordance with this submission and with the principles attached in Appendix 1 of the submission for the allocation of nutrients.</p> <p>AND ADD the lower catchment back into PPC1 AND undertake a Section 32 analysis on the alternative methods and rules proposed in this submission.</p> <p>AND ENSURE relief sought in this submission is adopted and subsequent changes that give effect to the relief sought are adopted.</p> <p>AND ENSURE provisions are included which ensure that the life supporting capacity of water, soil, and ecosystems are safeguarded.</p> <p>AND ENSURE provisions (Objectives, Policies and Rules) are included which ensure that the freshwater resource (assimilative capacity) is necessary, reasonable and efficient.</p> <p>AND ENSURE land use (including farming) Methods including Rules include ancillary discharges (section 9 and section 15 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)).</p> <p>AND ENSURE land use and ancillary discharge activity rules are holistic and apply to the farming operation and systems rather than taking a single activity focus.</p> <p>AND ENSURE land use and ancillary discharge activity rules meet the requirements of section 70 of the RMA and relevant planning considerations.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ENSURE nitrogen discharge, leaching standards and allocations are established based not on existing use and discharge profiles but on the underlying natural capacity of soils and within the assimilative capacity of water</p> <p>AND ENSURE allocation Methods achieve the limits, targets and Objectives set by PPC1.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that nitrogen loads are allocated within sub-catchments in such a way that there is an equitable allocation of a total catchment nitrogen load to all users and activities who may wish to use the available resource.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that a nutrient transfer regime is established for nutrient user groups within sub-catchments, where catchment loads and limits have been established but only where any allocation methods are not based on current discharges (Nitrogen Reference Point) or land use. Nutrient transfer systems must meet the following conditions: the initial allocation system meets all of the allocation principles in appendix 1 attached to this submission; it only occurs within a sub-catchment or watershed and only within a nutrient user/Catchment Collective Groups; the transferable portion of the resource (e.g. Nitrogen) only pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcome; and, results in improved economic outcomes and land use optimisation.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that PPC1 gives effect to the RMA and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM) and is consistent with the Objectives and Policies under section C(a) of the National Objectives Framework.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that in formulating freshwater objectives and limits (including Table 3.11-1), the economic well-being, including productive economic opportunities, are provided for within the context of environmental objectives, attributes and limits.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that water quality outcomes below environmental limits which are caused by natural processes or the impacts of regionally or nationally significant infrastructure are provided for.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the Objectives, Policies and Methods, including Rules are included which facilitate and support the establishment and operation of sub-catchment collective groups to manage water quality and biodiversity issues facing a catchment.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that Objectives, Policies and Methods support innovative and, where required, edge of field mitigation which facilitates flexible, viable businesses and encourages communities to work together to identify, understand and act collectively to improve water quality.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that land use and ancillary discharges (including nitrogen management or allocation) Objectives, Policies and Methods including Rules recognise and provide for drystock sector farming operations including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity of systems, soil, geology and climate. • Provide flexibility for land and resource users to adopt land use and farming operations to adapt to and meet markets, technology and environmental constraints such as climate. • Specifically provide Objectives, Policies and Methods that recognise and provide for activities that have a low discharge risk for one contaminant, to allow flexibility in implementation to target actions and expenditure to address other priority contaminants. • Acknowledge the management and protection of existing biodiversity values, and support and enable enhancement and development of biodiversity values. • Provide for adaption and changes in farm systems and management approaches to respond to technology, climate change and markets. • Provide for flexibility in Nitrogen use and discharges that enable increases beyond historic discharge levels, where these are low and where these discharges will not exceed long term sub-catchment determined load limits.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove any reference to requiring (grandparenting) farming operations to be held at historic Nitrogen discharge levels or stocking rates, through application of the Nitrogen Reference Point, and/or restrictions on stocking rates. • Apply principles of addressing critical source management specific to a property rather than blunt standards such as stock exclusion through permanent fencing up to 25 degrees slope. • Ensure the requirements for specific mitigation are able to be tailored to a farm level and can provide for the future aspirations of a business, and is tailored to specifically meeting the environmental risks of concern specifically for the property and sub-catchment. <p>AND ENSURE that regulatory Methods are tailored to address environmental issues specific to a sub-catchment or watershed and the land use.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that Methods and Rules are put in place now to achieve the Policies and Objectives of PPC1, give effect to the RMA, and the NPSFM.</p> <p>AND ENSURE management frameworks are incorporated into PPC1 now, which provide for land use and discharge permits to be consented for up to 35 years as is provided for under section 123(d) of the RMA, to provide applicants with certainty in order to make decisions for their families and businesses and where required to invest in environmental mitigation or reconfigure their systems.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that in over allocated catchments (where numerical water quality limits are currently being exceeded) land use and ancillary discharge activities that have caused or contributed to the over allocation are managed to discharge standards which are set to progressively decline over time to ensure that discharges are reduced to meet the receiving water numerical limits and targets and achieve the Vision and Strategy and the NPSFM.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that other or further relief addresses the issues raised by this submission.]</p>
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11295	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND only Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the cooperative of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatupuranga 2050.
Potter, Arthur Graham Submitter ID: 71236	PC1-467	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Powell, Samuel David and Irene Patricia Submitter ID: 73428	PC1-2299	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11140	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until the conclusion of Hauraki Iwi negotiations and allow time for the deficiencies in the proposal to be addressed AND prepare a new section 32 analysis upon reinsertion of the Hauraki area and associated rule framework into PPC1 reflective of whatever agreement is reached with the appellants AND ensure the new section 32 analysis incorporates Waikato Regional Council's guidance materials and additional analysis in relation to specific provisions set out in PPC1

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND REMOVE from PPC1 the requirements for fencing over 25 degrees and enable mitigation strategies to be adopted above 15 degrees at the discretion of the Certified Farm Environment Planner</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure mitigation measures are proportional to the property and sub-catchment gains to be made</p> <p>AND AMEND to reconsider PPC1 and adopting sub-catchment management to address water quality issues</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide contribution to the capital investment costs resulting from the provisions</p> <p>AND AMEND to include compensation for land devaluation resulting from the introduction of a Nitrogen Reference Point</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 15(c) to read: 'Support implementation of any catchment plan prepared in future by Waikato Regional Council "<u>and stakeholders</u>" that covers Whangamarino Wetland.'</p> <p>AND AMEND where required to make consequential amendments to give effect to the relief sought.</p>
<p>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220</p>	PC1-7764	Oppose the provision	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify as a single plan upon completion of consultation with Hauraki Iwi</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to reflect horticulture as a Mana Tangata value</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 rules to ensure social, economic and cultural well-being is maintained.</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 provisions to focus on a sub-catchment management approach that addresses all four contaminants</p> <p>AND REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point</p> <p>AND REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model for commercial vegetable production systems and recognise the limitations of Overseer as a regulatory tool.</p>
<p>Pukerimu Farms Limited Submitter ID: 73073</p>	PC1-4815	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>REPLACE PPC1 approach with Best Management Practice based rules that apply to everyone equally AND if an allocation regime is to be adopted in future it should treat like land alike and be based on a consistent foundation such as Land Use Capability.</p> <p>AND REMOVE the grandparented approach to allocating the right to discharge.</p> <p>AND REMOVE the freeze on land use change.</p> <p>AND REMOVE use of the OVERSEER Model as the tool for allocation of leaching rights.</p>
<p>Pukeroa Farms Submitter ID: 73789</p>	PC1-6918	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 so that city/suburban populations have a bigger part to play in keeping New Zealand clean and green.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that all properties within the region are in PPC1.</p> <p>AND REVIEW the restrictions.</p> <p>AND AMEND to treat farms on an individual basis.</p> <p>AND AMEND to allow farms to progress (improve the property) and increase productivity if it does not impact on the pollutant levels.</p> <p>AND AMEND to reward clean and progressive farms.</p> <p>AND AMEND to judge land on natural and historical capabilities not slopes.</p>
<p>Purdie, Robert James Submitter ID: 74133</p>	PC1-10029	Not stated	No specific relief sought for PPC1.
<p>Purdie, Stephen Submitter ID: 72217</p>	PC1-10953	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 as requested by the Federated Farmers submission.</p> <p>[PUT ON HOLD PPC1, pending its withdrawal of orders of the High Court or if it is found to be incompatible with the Northern Area Plan Change AND PROCEED only if and when the Northern Area Plan Change reaches the same stage in its preparation as PPC1.</p> <p>AND IF and when merged with the Northern Area Plan Change, PROCEED with PPC1 as notified, but subject to the amendments requested in this submission, and to refinements to be made to it by way of the further submissions process.</p> <p>AND REINSTATE Policy 15 (withdrawn), subject to refinement through the further submissions process.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND DISREGARD the section 32 evaluation associated with PPC1 AND UNDERTAKE a further evaluation of the entire Proposal, as provided for in section 32AA of the Resource Management Act 1991.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 as set out in this submission so it gives effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 as set out in this submission so as to give effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement: Te Tauāki Kaupapa here ā-Rohe.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so it puts in place no more than an interim set of ‘good practice’ requirements, pending the development of sub-catchment approach to addressing such water quality issues as do really exist in each Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>AND AMEND to better reflect that its principal provisions are strictly interim and that the region should ready itself to progress implementation of changes to the Regional Plan that need to be made in response to the NPS-FM.</p> <p>AND AMEND to address concern that PPC1 has been developed in the absence of all of the mandatory integrated river management plans.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the objectives and policies in local authority planning instruments lead to rules that are minimal, understandable and workable.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that provisions in local authority plans are properly communicated to interested parties.</p> <p>AND ENSURE PPC1 pays sufficient attention to private property rights and to the legitimate expectations of the land owners of private land in the Waikato Region.</p> <p>AND ENSURE PPC1 provides for the needs of all the people of the region who engage in land use activities.</p> <p>AND ENSURE little weight is given by the Council to the work of the Collaborative Stakeholder Group when making decisions on submissions on PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that it places as much emphasis on the ‘economic’ aspect of the RMA, as on the cultural and social aspects.</p> <p>AND PROVIDE information is available for farmers and other land users to understand and/or ground-truth their own situation and that of their own sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to take a sub-catchment focus which includes sub-catchment maps, monitoring points and information packs on each sub-catchment that show the current state, what the targets are and what PPC1 is expected to deliver.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so it forms a targeted and a pragmatic prioritised plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise that all groups in society have a part to play in improving water quality and, where water quality is not stable or improving, should be prioritised by way of a whole of catchment approach, in which practical and economical solutions that all users and polluters pay.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that any approach to water quality issues, and nitrogen management issues in particular, is not a wasted effort or disproportionate to the results that might be achieved.]</p>
R.P. Hunter and S.G. Wallace Partnership Submitter ID: 74065	PC1-4873	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6948	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the lower catchment is reinstated and then notify as a whole.
Rata Hill Investments Ltd Submitter ID: 73974	PC1-9610	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10541	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and AMEND to make changes to PPC1 as detailed in the specific submission points.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-13182	Not stated	No decision requested however, the submitter has made a joint submission, together with the other Waikato and Waipā River Iwi on PPC1 (the Joint Submission). The submitter endorses and supports the submissions made in the Joint Submission.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-13183	Not stated	RETAIN the general direction that is articulated through PPC1 AND RETAIN the increased controls on land use to 'hold the line' and prevent future land use intensification. AND RETAIN the staged approach articulated through PPC1 AND RETAIN the staged approach articulated through PPC1.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-13184	Not stated	No specific decision requested, however the submitter notes that of particular importance, is building the capacity and capability of WRC, to give effect to the methods set out in PPC1. The submitter also notes that monitoring the effectiveness of PPC1 will be important to give confidence to the regional community that we are on target to achieving the short term objectives and tracking positively towards achieving Te Ture Whaimana in 80-years.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-13185	Not stated	RECOGNISE and account for in PPC1 the contribution of Māori land in offsetting the discharge of contaminants from other developed land, at some stage in the future. RECOGNISE and protect the investment made by landowners, particularly the owners of Māori land, to reduce contaminants discharged from land use.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-13186	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1 however, the submitter recognises that further plan changes will be required to achieve Te Ture Whaimana within 80 years. The submitter is clear that any future framework for the allocation of rights to discharge contaminants, will not be based on a pure grandparenting approach.
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-1545	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include the development of small catchment schemes. AND AMEND the Plan to postpone its implementation until issues with the Hauraki Iwi are resolved and a consistent approach across the catchment is possible. Publish a negotiated time frame for this process to take place.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10212	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Reese, Kate and Aaron Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7787	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to alleviate uncertainty through a commitment by Waikato Regional Council to public consultation at stage of the 80-year timeframe.
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8688	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to clearly define swimmable and fishable water quality targets for nitrogen, phosphorus, and E.coli levels, and how these limits were derived, for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit. AND ENSURE Waikato Regional Council continue to monitor a sediment and water clarity in water bodies. AND AMEND PPC1 to exclude water clarity as a measure of achievement of water quality AND instead use the amount of water body fencing and/or riparian planting, and other mitigations such as wetland construction and detention bunds as proxy measures of water clarity. AND CONSIDER undertaking a thorough economic investigation into the regional and national macro-economic impacts of the rules, building on the analysis already conducted, with a focus to better understand the regional and nation-wide impacts throughout the various sectors of the economy. AND CONSIDER undertaking further analysis of the sub-regional and regional social impacts of the rules, focusing on those sectors and sub-regions expected to bear the brunt of the move towards Scenario 1, and some idea of whether support measures would be used to mitigate against these impacts, and what these measures would be. AND CONSIDER undertaking analysis that quantifies the amenity value and other benefits derived from agriculture in order to work out an equitable distribution of the costs of PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND the economic modelling to provide details on average mitigation costs, and further analysis accounting both for non-revenue generating mitigation as sunk costs, and average farm debt levels.</p> <p>AND CONSIDER undertaking further analysis of mitigation costs, broken down into a cost versus outcome perspective, based on well-defined water quality goals.</p> <p>AND AMEND the economic analysis to revisit the costs on individuals and communities, and assess these costs not simply on profitability reductions, but also expected declines in land values, and the impacts this will have on individuals, families, and communities. This assessment must include the likely response of the New Zealand banking sector to increased debt levels to fund mitigation while land values decline.</p> <p>AND AMEND the economic analysis to revisit the costs that will accrue to individuals and communities under both the rules and the impact of nutrient limits in PPC1. Assessments must account for all up-front and on-going costs, both to landowners and the Waikato Regional Councils, how these costs will be spread, and the expected rates increases that will result AND undertake further analysis to quantify the benefits of improved water quality to the community, expressed as a dollar value AND then calculate the break-even point where the marginal benefits to improving water quality equal the marginal cost of doing so.</p> <p>AND CONSIDER undertaking analysis to identify those areas/methods that achieve the most water quality improvement for the lowest cost.</p> <p>AND ENSURE the Waikato Regional Council completes the further analysis recommended here within three years and publishes a publically available document that quantifies all the costs and benefits of PPC1 and the proposed allocation framework of plan changes going forward.</p> <p>AND CONSIDER placing PPC1 on hold until the further analysis of overall costs to the community is completed.</p> <p>AND CONSIDER undertaking additional analysis identifying those areas that achieve the most water quality improvement for the lowest cost.</p> <p>AND AMEND the section 32 report so that the community understands the true costs and benefits of the trade-offs involved in PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND the section 32 report so that the wider community understands the true costs and benefits of the trade-offs involved in PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide more certainty about the future allocation system beyond 2026, which is recommended to be a cap and trade approach, where the total catchment nutrient load is calculated (including from urban, forestry etc source), which is divided by the number of hectares in the catchment, to provide an initial per hectare allowance, which can be reduced to achieve desired water quality outcomes (with nutrient trading as an option available to land owners). Alternatively, if a land use suitability approach is to be adopted, ensure clear guidelines are provided on what mechanisms will be put in place in 10 years time to manage these limits.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to set out the 11 allocation principles (see submission pages 40-41), to take effect after the initial 10 year information gathering period has ended and new nutrient allocation rules take effect.</p> <p>AND ENSURE all potential mitigation options are modelled using the OVERSEER Model when property level limits are set, and that Overseer is updated with new data as soon as practically possible.</p>
Richards, Trevor Submitter ID: 73491	PC1-9823	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Richards, Victor Submitter ID: 71409	PC1-1182	Oppose the provision	AMEND to include a cost-benefit analysis for the total changes before they become the rule AND keep communication and education going.
Richardson, Brian and Beth	PC1-10053	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72570			
Richardson, Gary and Jil Submitter ID: 72631	PC1-11210	Oppose the provision with amendments	Provide more information to the staff as well as land owners in the area. (PPC1)
Richardson, Natasha Submitter ID: 73807	PC1-2732	Oppose the provision with amendments	PLACE the process ON HOLD until the withdrawn area is combined and the entire catchment is included OR WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety until the entire catchment can be included. DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. AND move towards a farm by farm, catchment by catchment, approach that takes into account individual issues and individual farm factors to achieve a tailored solution that achieves improved water quality and accommodates individual needs.
Riverheads Ltd Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3141	Not stated	No relief sought for PPC1.
Roberts, Flynn Submitter ID: 74072	PC1-3747	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7130	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi area and the Vision and Strategy has been amended AND RETAIN Method 3.11.4.4 (c) retain working with others in relation to lakes and Whangamarino Wetland, and (d) retain managing pest weeds and fish AND RETAIN Policy 15 (withdrawn) AND AMEND to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management AND RETAIN Objective 6 (withdrawn)
Roberts, Kelly Submitter ID: 73809	PC1-5068	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to reflect fair and realistic solutions and consider the financial implications.
Roberts, Peter Submitter ID: 72497	PC1-8093	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD the PPC1 process until the Hauraki Iwi are on board, and publicise their terms if they agree AND AMEND PPC1 to deal with koi carp AND AMEND PPC1 to include a sub-catchment approach to the management of water quality.
Robertson, Katherine Margaret Submitter ID: 71222	PC1-668	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to use Lucci and not OVERSEER.
Robinson Williams Farm Trust Submitter ID: 72907	PC1-11012	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with a sub-catchment approach.
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4025	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 15 to commit to actual restoration.
Rollett Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72849	PC1-5635	Oppose the provision	No specific decision has been sought for PPC1. [However the submission states support for the Federated Farmers submission.]
Rombouts, Cornelis PM and Johanna M Submitter ID: 71199	PC1-11419	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 as requested by Federated Farmers in their submission. [PUT ON HOLD PPC1, pending its withdrawal of orders of the High Court or if it is found to be incompatible with the Northern Area Plan Change AND PROCEED only if and when the Northern Area Plan Change reaches the same stage in its preparation as PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND IF and when merged with the Northern Area Plan Change, PROCEED with PPC1 as notified, but subject to the amendments requested in this submission, and to refinements to be made to it by way of the further submissions process.</p> <p>AND REINSTATE Policy 15 (withdrawn), subject to refinement through the further submissions process.</p> <p>AND DISREGARD the section 32 evaluation associated with PPC1 AND UNDERTAKE a further evaluation of the entire Proposal, as provided for in section 32AA of the Resource Management Act 1991.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 as set out in this submission so it gives effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 as set out in this submission so as to give effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement: Te Tauāki Kaupapa here ā-Rohe.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so it puts in place no more than an interim set of 'good practice' requirements, pending the development of sub-catchment approach to addressing such water quality issues as do really exist in each Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>AND AMEND to better reflect that its principal provisions are strictly interim and that the region should ready itself to progress implementation of changes to the Regional Plan that need to be made in response to the NPS-FM.</p> <p>AND AMEND to address concern that PPC1 has been developed in the absence of all of the mandatory integrated river management plans.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that the objectives and policies in local authority planning instruments lead to rules that are minimal, understandable and workable.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that provisions in local authority plans are properly communicated to interested parties.</p> <p>AND ENSURE PPC1 pays sufficient attention to private property rights and to the legitimate expectations of the land owners of private land in the Waikato Region.</p> <p>AND ENSURE PPC1 provides for the needs of all the people of the region who engage in land use activities.</p> <p>AND ENSURE little weight is given by the Council to the work of the Collaborative Stakeholder Group when making decisions on submissions on PPC1.</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 to ensure that it places as much emphasis on the 'economic' aspect of the RMA, as on the cultural and social aspects.</p> <p>AND PROVIDE information is available for farmers and other land users to understand and/or ground-truth their own situation and that of their own sub-catchment.</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 to take a sub-catchment focus which includes sub-catchment maps, monitoring points and information packs on each sub-catchment that show the current state, what the targets are and what PPC1 is expected to deliver.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so it forms a targeted and a pragmatic prioritised plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise that all groups in society have a part to play in improving water quality and, where water quality is not stable or improving, should be prioritised by way of a whole of catchment approach, in which practical and economical solutions that all users and polluters pay.</p> <p>AND ENSURE that any approach to water quality issues, and nitrogen management issues in particular, is not a wasted effort or disproportionate to the results that might be achieved.]</p>
Ronaldson, David Submitter ID: 73585	PC1-7483	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that water quality targets are achievable for people to work towards, through small steps in each sub-catchment.
Rotor Work Limited Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-5962	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give more certainty after the first 10 years. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Rowe, Susan Helen Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6734	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1. AND REPLACE with a plan that allows farmers to practically manage environmental concerns, while maintaining a sustainable business.
Rozel Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73481	PC1-9747	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide that all landowners in the Waipā area are included in the rules of PPC1 AND AMEND Part A to include a new section 5 to cover all rural land not covered by a Farm Environment Plan and all land not under plantation forestry and over 20ha in area AND AMEND Part A to include a new section on Forestry providing the following: <u>"Commercial forestry owners shall be required to control feral animals to a level that they are not a threat to the aims and objectives of PPC1.</u> <u>Commercial forestry owners shall be required to control feral animals to a level that they do not impede the efforts by farmers to implement their farm plans imposed under PPC1.</u> <u>Commercial forest owners shall be required to set back all new forestry plantings 10m from boundaries.</u> <u>Commercial forest owners shall, if requested by their neighbours remove plantation trees from within 10m of a boundary fence."</u>
Rushala Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73387	PC1-5917	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Russell, Roger Michael Submitter ID: 73702	PC1-9708	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2311	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before further progression of PPC1. AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 to include withdrawn area. AND AMEND PPC1 by adding an issue statement to address particular issues for the horticultural sector.
Sattrup, Grahame Paul Submitter ID: 73709	PC1-9393	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND REPLACE with a plan to implement control measures for koi carp.
Save Lake Karapiro Inc Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5586	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the use of allocation or benchmarking in PPC1 AND REPLACE with provisions that use pollution levies based on the outputs above the assimilative capacity of land AND AMEND PPC1 to commit to actual restoration progress.
Saxton, David Christopher Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3345	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 and RENOTIFY PPC1 when the entire Waikato catchment can be included. AMEND to provide for the social and economic well-being of the people and communities of the Waikato catchment AND AMEND to address the other significant water quality issues such as pest fish and bird populations; point source polluters; rubbish dump leachate; human sewage; and runoff from paved areas.
Scott, Fiona and John Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-870	Support the provision with amendments	Provide certainty around the cost of PPC1 and how this will impact on Council Programmes continuing.
Scott, Neil, Ann, Brent and Louise Submitter ID: 73024	PC1-1826	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include all areas. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Scott, Peter Thomas Submitter ID: 73986	PC1-10886	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by implementing a joint system where if an effluent system is inadequate the landowner is charged for more frequent visits, and if it is of good standard the visits are less frequent and free.
Self, John Owen Submitter ID: 71295	PC1-2887	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1.
Sellers, Michael David and Alison Jean Submitter ID: 72401	PC1-9164	Support the provision with amendments	No specific relief sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Shabor Ltd Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1253	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2583	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 6 as proposed AND RETAIN Policy 15 AND AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management AND RETAIN working with others under Method 3.11.4.4 AND RETAIN Method 3.11.4.4(d) AND AMEND PPC1 to ADD new policies, objectives and rules that manage pest weeds and fish throughout the Waikato and Waipā Catchments.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5030	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10367	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Sherrard, Samuel John Submitter ID: 71431	PC1-1284	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include a well-constructed nitrogen trading scheme.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5582	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Shinarro Jerseys Ltd Submitter ID: 71652	PC1-7309	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Sieling Farms Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5454	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki issues are resolved and further consultation with landowners has been undertaken.
Simpson, Trevor Andrew Submitter ID: 67472	PC1-10923	Oppose the provision	Ensure the implementation of the Vision and Strategy is the responsibility of the Waikato River Authority and that the Waikato River Authority work with the agricultural sectors to devise a plan that fits the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND to demonstrate various future options, such as the effect of different time frames (e.g. 80 to 150 years), the effect of continuing with the status quo and current trends of improvements in water quality, and the effects of different interpretations of swimmability AND AMEND to quantify the effects of pest fish, hydro dams and lakes on water quality and include those in mitigation calculations in PPC1.
Sinclair, Andrew and Louise Submitter ID: 74047	PC1-4749	Support the provision	RETAIN stock exclusion, nutrient budgeting, environment plans, and restricting land use change.
Singleton, Tim Submitter ID: 74161	PC1-4594	Oppose the provision with amendments	MAKE any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.
Slack, Robert John Submitter ID: 71294	PC1-7590	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Smith, Allan John Submitter ID: 72020	PC1-3033	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ADOPT a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm, not a blanket restriction of one nutrient that may not be relevant in a waterways in that sub-catchment. e.g. hill country.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			Develop a plan that spreads the costs across the region, particularly for the sub-catchment plans which should be focused on the areas where the benefits are greatest.
Smith, Angus Hardwick Submitter ID: 74042	PC1-9909	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 and rewrite PPC1 in its complete form. AND RE-NOTIFY after having been re-written. AND AMEND to have more realistic sediment reduction goals. AND AMEND to develop systems to eliminate koi carp from all waterways and manage gorse. AND AMEND to enable farmers to continue to decide for themselves the best farming system for their property.
Smith, Noel Submitter ID: 72106	PC1-8395	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Smuts-Kennedy, Chris Submitter ID: 73712	PC1-7359	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 timeframe from 80 to 40 years, with each time-bound step to be achieved in half the proposed time. AND AMEND PPC1 to manage run-off through riparian strip planting and creation of wetland traps. AND AMEND PPC1 so it addresses the intensity and type of land use. AND AMEND to ensure outcome monitoring of water quality, as a result of PPC1, meets SMART objectives.
Smuts-Kennedy, Robin Submitter ID: 73323	PC1-6304	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure intensity and type of land use are addressed as primary issues in the plan. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that outcome monitoring in the waterways ensures that SMART objectives are met.
Somerville, Diarmid Submitter ID: 73719	PC1-4875	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11301	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to clarify the type of resource consent which must be applied for in respect of its proposed new rules.
South Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71662	PC1-7308	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-3759	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 to provide time for a targeted approach to identify all impacts on sub-catchments and communities together with rules and alternative methods of implementation, to promote sustainable community and sub-catchment solutions. OR AMEND to ensure PPC1 satisfies the requirements of section 32 RMA to provide provisions that are understandable, practicable, cost effective and fair with their implementation to achieve an effects based resource management framework. AND AMEND to ensure effects based rules are used to regulate land use practices and activities that are in proportion to the conditions or scale of the environmental effects being addressed. AND CONSIDER undertaking a comparison of PPC1 against other similar plan changes in New Zealand in order to establish the most efficient and effective suite of methods to implement the Vision and Strategy.
Speedy, Michael Weston Submitter ID: 74081	PC1-3762	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 OR if not deleted AMEND PPC1 to address land use, compliance cost, Farm Environment Plans, Nitrogen Reference Points and grandparenting, topography and fencing setbacks, sediment including urban runoff and that the plan is sub-catchment specific with responses relative to measured data.
Spring Sheep Dairy NZ LP Submitter ID: 73521	PC1-6495	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to clarify what the provisions and time-frames will be for sheep dairy.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5175	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 or place on hold until the north-eastern catchment is reinserted into the plan which in time the plan can be notified as a whole

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 by including new and amending existing objectives, policies, methods and rules to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability AND CONSIDER undertaking further Section 32 evaluation on PPC1 which the public is currently submitting on.
Steele, Brian and Debbie Submitter ID: 72946	PC1-4502	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Steffert, MP Submitter ID: 74093	PC1-4857	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to focus on farms that are overstocking. AND AMEND PPC1 to target rules to urban areas as well. AND AMEND PPC1 to reduce costs to farmers.
Stewart, Garry Submitter ID: 71215	PC1-1457	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Stewart, Mark Submitter ID: 71411	PC1-11165	Not stated	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.
St George, Peter Submitter ID: 74498	PC1-10194	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to trigger any cap on nutrient use only once a farm's soil tests, which are done every 2 years, reach optimum levels. AND AMEND PPC1 to use a sub-catchment approach that deals with the contaminants in each particular catchment.
Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-6543	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 following a conversation and agreement between the rural and urban communities about a balance between environmental protection and regional prosperity.
Stokes, Barbara Mary Submitter ID: 73805	PC1-2439	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat all its catchment as one.
Stokes, Charles Richard Submitter ID: 74164	PC1-11235	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Stokes, Evan Submitter ID: 73365	PC1-2330	Support the provision	Not stated for PPC1.
Stokes, Kelvin Arnold Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5244	Support the provision	MAKE any consequential amendments to including Objectives, Policies, or other rules, or restructuring of PPC1, to give effect to the relief sought.
Stokes, Olive Fay Submitter ID: 71402	PC1-12308	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Stokman, Mark and Sharon Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-11292	Not stated	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one.
Storey, Campbell Grant Submitter ID: 73281	PC1-6506	Oppose the provision	AMEND to extend the 10 year tenure of PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to provide certainty around what further changes are planned and what their impacts will be.
Strang and Strang Limited Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5563	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to replace the PPC1 approach with clear, effective, Best Management Practice rules that apply to everyone fairly and equally AND AMEND to ensure a future allocation regime treats like land alike and is based on a consistent foundation such as Land Use Capability AND REMOVE grandparenting approach AND REMOVE the freeze on land use change

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND so the OVERSEER Model is not used as the tool for allocation of leaching rights.
Strawbridge, Craig Wayne Submitter ID: 73503	PC1-8663	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to spread the cost over rural and urban communities. AND AMEND PPC1 based on a sub-catchment approach.
Sutherland, Mark Nicholas Submitter ID: 73417	PC1-7475	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety.
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7024	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1.
Sutton, John Vincent Submitter ID: 71403	PC1-1258	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the goal to clear waterways by 2026. AND CONSIDER undertaking further discussions on the Nitrogen Reference Point [Nutrient Discharge Allowance NDA] and stock and fencing issues.
Swales, Donald Horton Submitter ID: 71252	PC1-267	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Swiss Belle Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73391	PC1-6858	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure a flexible and differentiated approach is taken to reward farmers who look after the environment. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure people are all aware of their impact on water quality and are all doing their bit. AND CONSIDER in the hearing process that submitters are able to raise any concern they have.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7158	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area. AND AMEND PPC1 so council works with industry partners to achieve the best outcomes. AND REMOVE use of the OVERSEER Model for vegetable production systems from PPC1.
Tadema, John Submitter ID: 71416	PC1-10065	Oppose the provision	No specific decision is sought for PPC1.
Taniwha Estate Ltd Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6511	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ: RETAIN PPC1 subject to the decision sought in Attachment 1 of the submission. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the decision sought in this submission. AND AMEND to provide any alternative relief that will give effect to this submission, including, where specific relief is sought, words or phrases to similar effect.] [Fonterra: RETAIN PPC1 subject to the decision sought in Attachment 1 of the submission. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for any consequential amendments that may be necessary to give effect to the decision sought in this submission. AND AMEND to provide any alternative relief that will give effect to this submission, including, where specific relief is sought, words or phrases to similar effect.]
Tapp, Kevin Submitter ID: 73435	PC1-7461	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety. If not deleted then AMEND to WITHDRAW the PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one AND AMEND to retain the intent of Healthy Rivers but ensure that the water quality targets are achievable AND AMEND to provide more clarity on what has been done to reduce other pollutants in order to achieve the targets. Pollutants such as cities, waste treatment plants, run-off from roads and rubbish dumps AND AMEND to provide clarity on what is being done to eliminate koi carp from the waterways AND AMEND to reduce the rates of Nitrogen applied to pasture, working towards a total ban.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-1847	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 OR WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of the catchment as one.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-9292	Support the provision with amendments	Recognise the substantial and ongoing commitment to improving the water quality in Lake Taupō in PPC1
Taylor, Kenneth Rowland John Submitter ID: 71435	PC1-1478	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the whole catchment can be treated as one.
Taylor, Keri Anne Submitter ID: 72565	PC1-9931	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW the entire PPC1 until the whole catchment can be included.
Taylor and Mellow, Mary Jane and Carwyn David Submitter ID: 71441	PC1-1723	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW the PPC1 and treat the whole catchment as one.
TCM Properties Ltd Submitter ID: 73268	PC1-9530	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11821	Support the provision	RETAIN and AMEND PPC1 as detailed in the specific submission points.
Te Aroha Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 71204	PC1-2217	Not stated	No specific relief sought for PPC1.
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-10883	Support the provision	Other than the specific decisions sought in this submission, only undertake amendments to Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11294	Support the provision with amendments	Other than the specific decisions sought in this submission, only undertake amendments to Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Te Mata Group Ltd Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-4410	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the catchment can be considered as one.
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6869	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the lower catchment is reinstated and then notify as a whole.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Te Paiaka Lands Trust Submitter ID: 72690	PC1-8908	Oppose the provision	PUT PPC1 ON HOLD until such a time that all and any part of the catchment implicated by PPC1 is included.
TerraCare Fertilisers Limited Submitter ID: 73066	PC1-10491	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the OVERSEER Model is used as a direction not as a method of regulation AND AMEND to support field research and information dissemination into alternative forms of phosphate other than superphosphate.
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-10891	Support the provision	Other than the specific decisions sought in this submission, only undertake amendments to Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-13176	Not stated	No decision requested however, the submitter has made a joint submission, together with the other Waikato and Waipā River Iwi on PPC1 (the Joint Submission). The submitter endorses and supports the submissions made in the Joint Submission.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-13177	Not stated	RETAIN the general direction that is articulated through PPC1. AND RETAIN the increased controls on land use to 'hold the line' and prevent future land use intensification. AND RETAIN the staged approach articulated through PPC1.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-13178	Not stated	RECOGNISE and account for in PPC1 the contribution of Māori land in offsetting the discharge of contaminants from other developed land, at some stage in the future. RECOGNISE and protect the investment made by landowners, particularly the owners of Māori land, to reduce contaminants discharged from land use.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-13179	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1 however, the submitter recognises that further plan changes will be required to achieve Te Ture Whaimana within 80 years. The submitter is clear that any future framework for the allocation of rights to discharge contaminants, will not be based on a pure grandparenting approach.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-13180	Not stated	No specific decision for PPC1 requested however the submitter notes that of particular importance, is building the capacity and capability of WRC, to give effect to the methods set out in PPC1. The submitter also notes that monitoring the effectiveness of PPC1 will be important to give confidence to the regional community that we are on target to achieving the short term objectives and tracking positively towards achieving Te Ture Whaimana in 80-years.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-13181	Not stated	PROVIDE in PPC1 adequate resourcing to develop, with Waikato Tainui, an appropriate implementation plan that is delivered as planned and monitored through a proper monitoring programme.
Te Whenua O Matata Ltd Submitter ID: 68016	PC1-4701	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 as per specific matters in the submission (see other submission points). AMEND PPC1 as per the Federated Farmers submission.
Thames-Coromandel District Council Submitter ID: 74031	PC1-5854	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 and undertake further work to show a clearer understanding of the catchment and the significant effects of koi carp, and any solutions to that problem AND undertake testing and extrapolating the true cause of nutrient, E.coli and sedimentation to inform planning proposals going forward

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND engage with all affected parties to ensure a contiguous planning response.
The Greene Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71658	PC1-3020	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8188	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to reduce the timeframe for achieving ecosystem health, AND AMEND water quality objectives as set out in Table 1 (see submission) to ensure they provide for ecosystem health, AND AMEND to define with clarity good and best management practices, through the use of schedules which set these out in detail, AND AMEND to require that good management practice be achieved in the first instance and then best management practice if needed to achieve freshwater objectives, AND AMEND to clarify the requirement for the 75th percentile of dairy farmers being required to reduce by including it in policy, AND DELETE all reference to Certified Industry Schemes, AND DELETE all provisions relating to non-notification of resource consents.
The Surveying Company Ltd Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-5743	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Thomas, Kerry Louise Submitter ID: 73877	PC1-3451	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Thomson, Peter Submitter ID: 71208	PC1-6073	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchments as one.
Thorburn, Matthew Charles and Susan Raewyn Submitter ID: 74043	PC1-6671	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one.
Tierney, Colm and Gaynor Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-7706	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
TIM Nominees Submitter ID: 73964	PC1-8443	Not stated	CLARIFY expectations of PPC1 for commercial activities within urban areas. AND such other additional or consequential relief as is necessary to achieve consistency with the above and to satisfy the concerns of the submission; or AND such other alternative relief to satisfy the concerns of the submission.
Tiroa E Trust Submitter ID: 72544	PC1-4124	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD the PPC1 process until such a time that all and any part of the catchment implicated by the plan is included.
Tongariro Taupo Conservation Board Submitter ID: 74060	PC1-5171	Support the provision	RETAIN stock exclusion from water bodies, setbacks and riparian planting.
TOTI Trust Submitter ID: 74186	PC1-4780	Oppose the provision with amendments	CONSIDER reviewing both PPC1 and the implementation proposals to develop and reach consensus on a new plan - plus a more robust implementation approach.
Townshend, Brenna Submitter ID: 73791	PC1-5172	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for a sub-catchment approach.
Townshend, Gary Submitter ID: 72877	PC1-9892	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Townshend, Scott Mark Submitter ID: 72617	PC1-7690	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure a genuinely balanced approach to social, cultural, economic and environmental factors AND AMEND it to place equal balance on requiring action from both rural and urban members of the community AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.
Treloar, David Leslie Submitter ID: 71543	PC1-1212	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1, however the submission notes that all New Zealand citizens and visitors should help contribute to the clean-up and city and town council discharges should be made accountable.
Trinity Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9751	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3007	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide the ability for land use change within an enterprise, within the bounds of a total nutrient load without this being constrained by a Non-Complying Activity status rule. AND AMEND to ensure that the principle of 'existing commitment to sustainability' be used to test the current, and future Plan Change(s) against perverse outcomes for operations that are already demonstrating a commitment to environmental sustainability. AND AMEND to clarify the definition of 'swimmable' and 'able to take food from', and confirmation that these definitions have, in fact, formed the basis of PPC1 development. If not, AMEND to assess the additional level of impost above that is actually required and appropriate adjustment to the regime as required. AND AMEND to ensure regular reporting by the Waikato Regional Council on these measurable objectives. AND AMEND to take into account sub-catchment characteristics when addressing definitions and standards. AND AMEND to ensure the issue relating to intellectual property is acknowledged by Waikato Regional Council and a process is developed to ensure commercially sensitive information is protected from public disclosure. AND CONSIDER the likely impacts of PPC1 against the full range of national policy direction and provide a review, to ensure that in meeting the environmental outcomes driven by the Vision and Strategy, the achievement of other equally important objectives are not being unnecessarily inhibited.
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2783	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach based on Farm Environment plans.
Tully, Ciaran Submitter ID: 71653	PC1-9655	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion and Waikato River Authority Vision and Strategy is amended. AND AMEND PPC1 to review the full social and economic cost to the community of mitigation and disclose this.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-10889	Support the provision	Other than the specific decisions sought in this submission, only undertake amendments to Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Turner, Ross John Submitter ID: 71029	PC1-35	Not stated	No decision was requested for PPC1.
Turton, Francis James Submitter ID: 73883	PC1-3750	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to renegotiate the plan by removing flawed science and impracticable requirements for hill country farmers

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to adopt a smaller water catchment format and management approaches to identify true water quality and sustainability issues</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 by adopting an effects based and targeted approach to ensure that years and generations of practical knowledge and expertise are used to achieve the desired outcome for all</p> <p>AND AMEND to recognise that one size does not fit all</p> <p>AND AMEND to eradicate pest species</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure all parties and hill country farmers are engaged and achieve the outcome for the catchment and the Vision and Strategy.</p>
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-13171	Not stated	No decision requested for PPC1, however, the submitter has made a joint submission, together with the other Waikato and Waipā River Iwi on PPC1 (the Joint Submission). The submitter endorses and supports the submissions made in the Joint Submission.
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-13172	Not stated	<p>RETAIN the general direction that is articulated through PPC1</p> <p>AND RETAIN the increased controls on land use to 'hold the line' and prevent future land use intensification</p> <p>AND RETAIN the staged approach articulated through PPC1.</p>
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-13173	Not stated	<p>RECOGNISE and account in PPC1 for the contribution of Māori land in offsetting the discharge of contaminants from other developed land, at some stage in the future.</p> <p>AND RECOGNISE the investment made by landowners, particularly the owners of Māori land, to reduce contaminants discharged from land use.</p>
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-13174	Not stated	No specific decision requested however the submitter notes that of particular importance, is building the capacity and capability of WRC, to give effect to the methods set out in PPC1. The submitter also notes that monitoring the effectiveness of PPC1 will be important to give confidence to the regional community that we are on target to achieving the short term objectives and tracking positively towards achieving Te Ture Whaimana in 80-years.
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-13175	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1, however the submitter recognises that further plan changes will be required to achieve Te Ture Whaimana within 80 years. The submitter is clear that any future framework for the allocation of rights to discharge contaminants, will not be based on a pure grandparenting approach.
Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6779	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND to REINSTATE Objective 6 (Withdrawn) as originally proposed</p> <p>AND AMEND to REINSTATE Policy 15 (Withdrawn) restoring Whangamarino Wetland</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 15 (Withdrawn) to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.</p> <p>AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the provision for the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] are amended and the Vision and Strategy review is completed, and a new Section 32 analysis has been undertaken which investigates the revised the impact on society and economy.</p>
Tye, Walter Rufus Submitter ID: 73342	PC1-4352	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Tye Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73341	PC1-4354	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Tylee, Brian William Submitter ID: 73530	PC1-7437	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND PPC1 to specify Olsen phosphorus limits as follows: 40 for dairying, 25 for sheep and beef farms and 60 for horticulture, applying a sinking lid policy where levels exceed these limits.</p> <p>AND delete any limits on stocking rates, addressing the issue with education about best practice.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND Address Nitrogen as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set a nitrogen fertiliser limit of 125kg/ha/year • Set a maximum of 25 units/ha in one dressing • Ensure stock receive sufficient Sodium • Ensure stock have unrestricted access to good water • Ensure stock diets are managed so that stock can digest pasture well and convert nitrates to microbial protein • Limit nitrogen fertiliser for cropping to 150 kg/ha/year <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to exempt properties with low Nitrogen from providing Nutrient Management Plan. AND AMEND to address other factors affecting water quality, including eliminating Koi Carp.</p>
<p>Upper Maire Creek Sub Catchment Submitter ID: 72970</p>	PC1-7239	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to a sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND PPC1 so that high discharge practices are improved first.
<p>van der Laan, Menso W R Submitter ID: 71906</p>	PC1-7843	Not stated	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
<p>van der Staak, Guus Submitter ID: 59193</p>	PC1-3414	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to consider alternative options like bigger setbacks from water ways or stock reduction. AND CLARIFY what other types of other discharges occur.
<p>van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109</p>	PC1-7269	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 by setting bottom lines and targets using the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. AND AMEND PPC1 to set timeframes for adjustments required in land use and discharge of contaminants appropriate to each case AND AMEND where significant adjustment times are required, targets should be set in Regional Plans at no more than 5 year intervals. AND AMEND PPC1 by providing a threshold-setting process identifies thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments coming under resource use pressure AND AMEND to ensure the threshold-setting process recognises spatial variation and the interactions between contaminants and takes into account the following: the size of the resource, proportion of the limit that is being used, current and expected rate of uptake of the remaining portion of the limit, the likely scale and extent of unmet demand, historic inputs, persistence of contaminants in the environment and lag times AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the importance of protecting the outstanding character is recognised in policies and rules AND AMEND PPC1 to develop Good Management Practices AND AMEND PPC1 to provide a statement to the effect that downwards movement of attribute data within NOF bands (trends as opposed to seasonal variation) should not be defined as 'maintenance' of water quality. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that all appropriate objectives, policies, methods of implementation and rules that apply to perennial streams should also apply to intermittent streams. AND AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to maintain or enhance water quality (where a freshwater limit is met) AND AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to ensure that freshwater limits are not breached, and that targets are met</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND PPC1 to determine the outcomes required to safeguard life-supporting capacity and the ecosystem health of freshwater to protect freshwater bodies that are significant but not 'outstanding'</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to include all wetlands in a Schedule that has appropriate criteria so that wetlands that have not yet been assessed can be considered 'significant'</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 by including numeric limits, timeframes and targets for suspended sediment and benthic sediment attributes in the catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to include supporting statements and a commitment to be negotiating nationally consistent standards for nitrogen in water</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide greater rigour on nitrate levels.</p>
<p>Verkerk, Gwyneth Submitter ID: 60476</p>	PC1-1269	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ENSURE work to implement PPC1 starts without delay, and every effort is made to expedite the further round of hearings and discussion.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that there is greater development of policies and rules to deal with non-compliance.</p>
<p>Verry, Adrian Submitter ID: 73810</p>	PC1-2484	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to recognise different land classes and different farming intensification levels.
<p>Verry, Mark Submitter ID: 74180</p>	PC1-4864	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to put in place worthwhile plans to alleviate the pollution from our cities into waterways.</p> <p>AND AMEND to focus on remediation at sub-catchment level.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that fencing is on a case by case basis where a clear need is identified.</p>
<p>Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887</p>	PC1-4434	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1 (see other submission points).
<p>Villa Oaks Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 74170</p>	PC1-4798	Support the provision with amendments	<p>CLARIFY if existing use rights are retained under the new rules.</p> <p>AND CLARIFY if resource consent is required for dairy farming, while it is not being used for dairy farming should the land be valued on the current activity taking place.</p>
<p>Volker, Peter Submitter ID: 73690</p>	PC1-10281	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN PPC1 with amendments</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for 10-yearly comprehensive investigations of the life-supporting capacity, ecosystem processes and indigenous species including their associated ecosystems of fresh water, and of discharges of contaminants, AND to provide for plan changes in accordance with the investigation results</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure the revenue and other benefits from an improved environment under PPC1 are valued and quantified</p> <p>AND CONSIDER subsidising the cost of mitigation of pollution from existing practices, while ensuring the cost of mitigation from new or increased pollution is borne by the polluter</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to specify thresholds to indicate when a waterbody is coming under resource pressure and when a change in the management regime is required AND so that, once a threshold has been reached, all new discharges and activities that increase the total discharge are managed to maintain the limit and protect existing discharge rights from derogation</p> <p>AND AMEND to develop good management practice guidelines and actively advocate for them in PPC1</p> <p>AND AMEND to identify values of waterbodies and set limits to protect the most stringent value on a spatial and temporal basis</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, as required by RMA s67 (3) (a) and to ensure that water quality is as a minimum maintained as required by RMA s30 (1) (c) (ii) and (iia)</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to establish numeric limits, timeframes and targets for suspended sediment and benthic sediment attributes AND AMEND the minimum water clarity to 1.6 metres.
Vos, Rene Alexander and Ereine Johanna Submitter ID: 74087	PC1-5241	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so it targets cities and power companies as well AND AMEND PPC1 so it deals with pests in the waterways.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-10897	Support the provision	Other than the specific decisions sought in this submission, only undertake amendments to Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-10896	Support the provision	Other than the specific decisions sought in this submission, only undertake amendments to Part A, Part B, Part C and Part D of PPC1 where those amendments will: 1. align with this submission's specific submission points; 2. strengthen and enhance PPC1 to achieve the Vision and Strategy; 3. assist in protecting the Values and achieving the Objectives within PPC1; 4. enable flexibility to achieve (and where possible exceed) water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy earlier than the 80-year timeframe; 5. protect positive gains in achieving or exceeding water quality targets and maintain momentum to further improve water quality; 6. provide for reviews of PPC1 should water quality objectives not be achieved within the given timeframes; 7. provide appropriate support and resourcing to all sectors of the wider community so that the objectives of PPC1; and 8. align with the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan 'Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao' and Whakatapuranga 2050.
Wagstaff, Nigel and Sally Submitter ID: 71188	PC1-212	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the PPC1 to exclude small enterprises. AND AMEND the PPC1 to include more research into pollution causing enterprises and practices (rural, urban and industrial) and provide assistance/service to improve this.
Waiawa Farms Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5809	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adopt clear, effective, best management practice-based rules that apply to everyone fairly and equally. AND AMEND to ensure that if future allocation is adopted, like land should be treated alike and based on a consistent foundation such as Land Use Capability. AND AMEND to remove the grandparenting approach to allocating the right to discharge. AND AMEND to remove the land use change restrictions. AND AMEND to adopt an alternative allocation tool that is not the OVERSEER Model.
Waikato and Waipa Branches of the New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Submitter ID: 74008	PC1-9351	Not stated	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-4742	Support the provision	RETAIN and AMEND PPC1 as detailed in the specific submission points.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-13168	Not stated	RETAIN the general direction that is articulated through PPC1 AND RETAIN the increased controls on land use to 'hold the line' and prevent future land use intensification

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND RETAIN the staged approach articulated through PPC1.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-13169	Not stated	No specific decision requested however the submitter notes that of particular importance, is building the capacity and capability of WRC, to give effect to the methods set out in PPC1. The submitter also notes that monitoring the effectiveness of PPC1 will be important to give confidence to the regional community that we are on target to achieving the short term objectives and tracking positively towards achieving Te Ture Whaimana in 80-years.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-13170	Not stated	No specific decision requested for PPC1, however, the submitter recognises that further plan changes will be required to achieve Te Ture Whaimana within 80 years. The submitter is clear that any future framework for the allocation of rights to discharge contaminants, will not be based on a pure grandparenting approach.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-13187	Not stated	RECOGNISE and account for in PPC1 the contribution of Māori land in offsetting the discharge of contaminants from other developed land, at some stage in the future. RECOGNISE and protect the investment made by landowners, particularly the owners of Māori land, to reduce contaminants discharged from land use.
Waikato Dairy Leaders Group Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-11068	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to RETAIN with amendments or DELETE the various provisions of PPC1 that are referred to in the detailed submission points in Attachment 1 AND AMEND to provide any further or other consequential or alternative relief that may be necessary to give effect to the relief sought in this submission.
Waikato District Council (WDC) Submitter ID: 73418	PC1-3140	Oppose the provision	RE-NOTIFY PPC1 after completion of consultation with Hauraki iwi OR allow extensive period of further submission OR a variation to PPC1 is provided, to allow consideration of any further plan change amendments. WITHDRAW PPC1 OR AMEND PPC1 to incorporate alternative methodology presented in the submission.
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6228	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for greater urgency to be given to make the proposed changes achievable. AND AMEND to provide for water quality targets to reflect the Ministry of Health's definition of swimmable and safe for food gathering. AND AMEND to use a polluter-pays mechanism
Waikato Federated Farmers Meat & Fibre Industry Group Submitter ID: 73934	PC1-2714	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to the Regional Policy Statement.
Waikato Focus on Peat Group Submitter ID: 72148	PC1-5518	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to treat peat farms as a unique unit AND EXCLUDE them from PPC1 until adequate research has been carried out to understand nitrogen and phosphorus movement and identify management options AND AMEND to ensure peat farmers continue to use best management practice AND AMEND to make funding available for research to identify how much nitrogen and phosphate exits the pasture/crop root zone, the fate of nitrogen and phosphate exiting the pasture/crop root zone and mitigation options for these for various types of peat.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2970	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND every occurrence of the word Waipa to read Waipā AND AMEND every occurrence of the word Mātauranga to read mātauranga AND AMEND PPC1 to clarify the wording regarding the management of discharges.
Waikato River Authority Submitter ID: 74033	PC1-11566	Support the provision with amendments	PPC1 should be adopted in full, apart from where specific changes are requested by the submitter, AND no amendments should be made that could increase the time frames for restoration of the Waikato River or inhibit achievement of the objectives of the Vision and Strategy, nor allow any further degradation of the Waikato River in relation to the contaminants and contaminant sources addressed by PPC1. AMEND PPC1 to strengthen provisions for the protection of lakes and wetlands, to better support the precautionary approach advocated in the Vision and Strategy, including provisions which:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prioritise action to protect lakes based on risk • Recognises that all lakes are not the same and may need different protective responses • For lakes that currently have water quality better than the D band, but lower than the swimmability target, sets the target higher than the current state • For lakes where peat staining limits clarity, accounts for this in target setting • Ensures all lakes are identified as Priority 1, with timeframes for Farm Plans, stock exclusion etc set appropriately to the priority • Ensures setbacks are appropriate to protect lakes from deterioration • Clarifies whether timeframes for stock exclusion from lakes are at least as good as current provisions in the Waikato Regional Plan <p>AND AMEND the definition of wetlands to: "<u>Permanently or intermittently wet areas, shallow water, and land water margins that support plants that are adapted to wet conditions</u>"</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for a review of the point source policy, rules and methods and amend to ensure this pathway for contaminants does not increase the contaminant load to the Waikato River, including consideration of a method to encourage best practice storm water technologies in urban areas.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure monitoring is put in place that shows the effect of permitted activity discharges</p> <p>AND AMEND monitoring provisions so that progress toward achievement of objectives is measured and to ensure the Vision and Strategy is being given effect to, including through the use of mātauranga Māori and cultural health indicators. AND ensure reporting methods should show progress in terms of giving effect to the Vision and Strategy.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure there are clearly understood mechanisms that allow any cumulative increase in contaminant loads to be managed</p> <p>AND ADD a policy ensuring review of methods in FMU's where water quality limits are breached or where monitoring trends show that the 10% improvement target is unlikely to be met.</p>
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3249	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to fix rule drafting issues AND AMEND to fix nitrogen management issues AND AMEND to fix land use change issues AND ENSURE key stakeholders are informed of progress and proposals for the withdrawn section of PPC1 AND CONSIDER forms of assistance to rural landowners to help balance inequities in bearing economic costs of PPC1 AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4599	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by changing the overly restrictive objectives, policies and rules so that they provide a balanced approach to enabling rural land owners to provide for their economic well-being, and recognise the value of primary production to the Waikato community and national community AND AMEND PPC1 provisions so they are balanced to recognise the other components of the purpose of the Resource Management Act, and not just environmental considerations AND AMEND by correcting errors (e.g typographical, grammatical, numbering errors etc) AND AMEND by improving the usability of the document, particularly the rules which are unnecessarily complex and confusing AND AMEND by being more user friendly for farmers and those implementing the plan AND AMEND by allowing use of all rural land for primary production

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND by providing other relief that would address concerns and consequential relief including changes to objectives, policies, rules and definitions</p> <p>AND AMEND Section 32 by undertaking a comprehensive and extensive assessment and quantification of the costs and benefits of PPC1 in accordance with Section 32(2) and Section 32(2)(b) of the Resource Management Act, AND review the provisions of PPC1 as notified, based on this reassessment.</p>
<p>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095</p>	<p>PC1-11406</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>The specific provisions be amended or deleted or retained or substituted as sought in Appendices A, B, C, and D attached to the submission (requested changes are also summarised in separate submission summary points under the relevant plan sections)</p> <p>AND MAKE alternative, consequential or further relief that may be required to promote sustainable management or give effect to the submission</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Schedule 2 to read:</p> <p><u>"Schedule 2: Requirements for a Sub-catchment management plan</u></p> <p><u>The purpose of a Sub-catchment management plan is to identify the current water quality in a sub-catchment (within Table 3.11-2), whether water quality is required to be maintained, protected or restored, and methods to achieve the relevant outcome required.</u></p> <p><u>In the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments, water quality outcomes and values have been determined through the Vision and Strategy.</u></p> <p><u>Sub-catchment-management plans will take a proactive, prioritised and integrated 'whole of sub-catchment' approach to managing each subcatchment's land and water, identify specific issues and include actions to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Maintain or improve water quality;</u> <u>• Conserve soil;</u> <u>• Restore and protect important biodiversity habitats;</u> <u>• Meet iwi aspirations for the Waikato River.</u> <p><u>Sub-catchment management plans are prepared by an enterprise and are intended to provide clear guidance including management actions for the preparation of Farm Environment Plans (as required by Schedule 1).</u></p> <p><u>A Sub-catchment management plan shall as a minimum:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a) Identify the current water quality and water quality issues at a sub-catchment level that need to be managed to achieve the Objectives to be met;</u> <u>b) Provide details of any Decision Support Tools required to measure, model, and predict discharges from individual properties and enterprises within the sub-catchment, and how they can be related to the Table 3.11-1 freshwater objectives;</u> <u>c) Establish the principles for allocation (consistent with Policy 7) of an input load based nutrient cap at the refined sub-catchment level through the development of a relationship between land use suitability and the Table 3.11-1 water quality attribute targets for the sub-catchment;</u> <u>d) Provide for the management of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogen losses in the sub-catchment through mitigation measures to be undertaken by the consent holder to ensure that the actual or potential environmental effects of carrying out the allowed land use change (including any effects from subsequent farming activities) meet Objectives 1 and 3;</u> <u>e) Provide direction for the preparation and independent auditing of Farm Environment Plans to ensure farming activities operate at Good Management Practice (GMP) levels or better and other mitigation options;</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>f) <u>Provide an adaptive management approach (consistent with Schedule 3) to monitor and respond to actual or potential adverse effects of the land use change on the environment (including any effects from subsequent farming activities) and associated diffuse discharges;</u></p> <p>g) <u>Provide independent validation for the predictive performance and accuracy of any Decision Support Tool;</u></p> <p>h) <u>Provide direction for how any proposed land use changes are to be carried out in stages;</u></p> <p>i) <u>Include a robust monitoring programme (real-time, reporting of contaminant losses in a suitable digital format) designed to monitor the actual or potential environmental effects of carrying out the land use change (including any effects from subsequent farming activities) over a period of 25 years from the grant of consent;</u></p> <p>j) <u>Require annual monitoring and mitigation reports to be prepared by the consent holder and submitted to the Waikato Regional Council (in accordance with consent conditions preferred by the enterprise) within the period of three months after each anniversary of the grant of consent"</u></p> <p>ADD a NEW Schedule 3 to read:</p> <p><u>"Schedule 3: Adaptive Management Approach</u></p> <p><u>The purpose of an Adaptive Management Approach is to allow a flexible approach to the management of natural resources and to apply a learning cycle for each decision making step. Adaptive management is underpinned by predictive modelling to test a range of hypothetical options for their relative impacts on the current state. A management process is then informed by the stepwise learning from changes in state modelling against the predicted outcomes. This allows informed or guided decisions rather than random exercises. Adaptive management is often required when complex ecological systems are being managed and management decisions cannot wait for final research results.</u></p> <p><u>To achieve the Vision and Strategy for maintaining, protecting and restoring the health and well-being of the Waikato River (through Objectives 1 and 3), management at a sub-catchment level is required. To meaningfully reduce input loads and meet the water quality targets, a range of mitigation actions will be required, reinforced where appropriate by Decision Support Tools.</u></p> <p><u>An Adaptive Management Approach is required to ensure that mitigations and predictions do not lead to unintended consequences for the environment and communities, or delay vital actions from being taken to achieve the objectives. Such consequences may lead to financial costs for a community and a loss of confidence in mitigation actions. However, because predictive analysis is carried out by modelling, an Adaptive Management Approach will need to allow for adjustment of the speed at which mitigation tools are used in terms of their actual effect on water bodies. Thus depending on the available data, properties and enterprises may need to adapt their programme by accelerating or decelerating the use of mitigation depending on the monitoring results. Consent conditions should therefore provide the flexibility to achieve these outcomes.</u></p> <p><u>When preparing a Sub-catchment management plan (or Farm Environmental Plan) the plan should include the following features of adaptive management (where appropriate):</u></p> <p><u>a) The existing environment is established by robust baseline monitoring;</u></p> <p><u>b) The extent of the environmental risk (including the gravity of the consequences if the risk is realised) is tested by the Decision Support Tools;</u></p> <p><u>c) Effects that might arise can be remedied before they become irreversible;</u></p> <p><u>d) The plan(s) provide for effective monitoring of adverse effects using appropriate indicators;</u></p> <p><u>e) Thresholds are set to trigger remedial action(s) before the effects become overly damaging;</u></p> <p><u>f) Where advanced mitigation is undertaken, it is staged and plans require certain criteria to be met before the next stage can proceed;</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>g) Where land use change is undertaken, it is staged and any management plans require certain criteria to be met before the next stage can proceed; and</u></p> <p><u>h) There is a real ability to remove all or some of the land development that has occurred if the monitoring results demonstrate criteria are not met."</u></p> <p>ADD a NEW Schedule 4 to read:</p> <p><u>"Schedule 4: Farm Mitigations for Catchment Management</u></p> <p><u>The objective is to get every farm performing at Good Management Practice (GMP) level as a starting point. It is appropriate that GMP is implemented, and these are considered necessary before any additional mitigation can be provided.</u></p> <p><u>The mitigation tools should be chosen by the relevant property or enterprise as to which is both practical and economic as part of either a Farm Environmental Plan or a Sub-catchment management plan.</u></p> <p><u>The scheduled mitigations can be used in limiting the amount of each of the four key contaminants (in the input loads for land use management) from becoming discharges to groundwater and water bodies in the Waikato River system.</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation of effects to water bodies of the four key contaminants from agricultural land have been divided based on whether they are modelled in OVERSEER or APSIM or SPASMO.</u></p> <p><u>Many management and mitigation practices serve the benefit of reducing effects for a range of contaminants, and may be included in more than one table. For example, riparian planting can reduce sediment, phosphorus and pathogens from entering water bodies.</u></p> <p><u>The summary tables below identify management and mitigation tools, and indicate if they can be incorporated into OVERSEER, or APSIM or SPASMO as appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>Microbial Pathogens</u></p> <p><u>The objective of limiting the numbers of pathogens directly affecting the water is to reduce the number of animals depositing urine and faeces into water bodies either directly or indirectly by across ground transfer.</u></p> <p><u>Phosphorus (P)</u></p> <p><u>The objective of limiting the amount of P is to limit the amount which is left on the surface of the soil which is then available to be washed directly into the water bodies and to limit the amount of soil which can be washed directly into the water bodies carrying P with it.</u></p> <p><u>Nitrogen (N)</u></p> <p><u>Nitrogen is transported from below the root zone through the soil to water bodies. The objective of limiting the amount of leaching through the soil profile is to limit the amount of N within the root zone that is then able to be washed through by excess moisture. The amount of excess N within the root zone comes from the application of N fertilisers and from the high concentration of N in the urine of cattle. The prime time when leaching occurs is during the winter months when the soil profile is full of moisture. Therefore, some of the following mitigation techniques are aimed at reducing the total amount of N in the soil while some are designed to reduce the amount of N in the soil during the late autumn and winter period.</u></p> <p><u>Sediment</u></p> <p><u>Sediment carries with it phosphorus and other compounds that can have a negative effect on aquatic life and appearance (colour/clarity) of the water body. The objective of applying good management practice is to reduce the amount of sediment entering waterways that will have a negative effect on aquatic life, appearance, and recreational use."</u></p> <p>REFER to Appendix B of the submission for the accompanying tables which describe mitigation actions to manage each contaminant.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE alternative, consequential or further relief that may be required to promote sustainable management or give effect to the submission.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-13165	Not stated	ADD to PPC1, that the stretch of the Waikato River catchment between the Lake Taupo control gates and Ohaaki-Ohakuri should be typified as a 'river'.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-13166	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 so objectives, policies and methods (including rules) designed for managing water quality in the stretch of the Waikato River above Ohaaki-Ohakuri are focused on "maintaining" overall freshwater quality in the sub-catchment.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-13167	Not stated	No decision for PPC1 requested however, the submitter supports a sub-catchment based approach.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2191	Support the provision	PUT PPC1 ON HOLD until Hauraki Iwi Authorities have been consulted and the withdrawn area is included again AND RETAIN Policy 15.
Wait, Bevan Submitter ID: 71037	PC1-41	Support the provision	RETAIN the entire PPC1.
Waitaka Farming Partnership Submitter ID: 73441	PC1-6438	Not stated	Ensure a cost analysis of PPC1 is available, in line with section 32 of the Resource Management Act.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10298	Support the provision with amendments	Make in relation to all of the topics in PPC1, any consequential and/or similar amendments to have the same effect AND CONSIDER Waitomo District Council's offer to work with Waikato Regional Council staff and other submitters to develop specific wording within the scope of those requests AND inform key stakeholders of progress and proposals for the withdrawn section of PPC1 AND develop an implementation plan that spreads costs of achieving water quality improvements more widely across the region (rather than 'user pays' approach) AND resource the development of sub-catchment management plans through funding and staff to focus effort in the areas where the benefits are greatest AND AMEND to employ robust sub-catchment planning and management and place more emphasis on Farm Environment Plans to manage nitrogen.
Walker, Brett Submitter ID: 74199	PC1-6778	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Walker, Richard Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-12326	Not stated	WITHDRAW PPC1 and allow the farming community 2.5 years to develop a workable alternative AND IMPOSE a 10 year moratorium on members of the Technical Leaders Group to prevent them from taking advantage of any business opportunities deriving from PPC1 AND ALIGN with the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management AND REINSTATE Objective 6 as originally proposed AND REINSTATE Policy 15 and AMEND to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management AND make any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought.
Wall, Trevor Matthew Submitter ID: 72595	PC1-9666	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-7962	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety OR AMEND to the amendments sought within the submission.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to include allocation approaches that are equitable, ensure efficient resource use, be future proofed, promote sustainable management, not reward current or historic poor practice. AND DELETE all forms of grandparenting from the plan and target reductions in order from the highest polluters first until water characteristics are met.
Walters, Tom Submitter ID: 74143	PC1-10092	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1.
Ward, Bruce Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-8202	Support the provision	Reinstate Policy 15 as originally proposed.
Ward, Simeon Submitter ID: 72665	PC1-10963	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 and adopt a partnership approach to working with farmers who are committed to improving water quality while maintaining economically viable businesses.
Washer and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73973	PC1-8609	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Watercare Services Ltd Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8454	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to address concerns about meeting the requirements of the Resource Management Act, giving effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement. AND AMEND provisions to assist with the workability of PPC1 to remove ambiguity, improve clarity and aid interpretation. AND CONSIDER the adequacy of the Section 32 analysis and if it meets the requirements of the Resource Management Act.
Watkins, Lloyd Murray Submitter ID: 73529	PC1-6128	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to address in PPC1 the concerns in the submission about rural communities reliance on sustainable farming operations and as much as possible businesses have a level playing field.
Watson, David and Sheona Submitter ID: 73059	PC1-4734	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to add measurable long term goals that are achievable and relevant and supported and implemented by all. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment system that ensures there is more buy-in and a better outcome and things are addressed at the relevant level.
Weake, Jeffrey Laurence James Submitter ID: 73450	PC1-9145	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for the eradication of pest fish from waterways prior to landowners paying mitigation costs to reduce sedimentation and microbial pathogens AND AMEND to provide for an independent panel to be used. AND CONSIDER the concerns about the costs of mitigations, sub-catchment approach and the protection of key infrastructure.
Webber, Richard Mark Submitter ID: 72829	PC1-4784	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to address concerns about negative effects, cost and practicality of PPC1 provisions.
Welch, Andrew Submitter ID: 71841	PC1-8017	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND as stated in the submission.
Welch, Andrew R and Carmel A Submitter ID: 73995	PC1-8366	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Welch, Graham Ronald Submitter ID: 73994	PC1-8522	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to specify different sources of E.coli.
Welch, Peter and Jennifer	PC1-5611	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to allow farmers to change to dairy without having to gain resource consent. [The submission states support for the Federated Farmers submission.]

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73797			
Wellington Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71355	PC1-8248	Oppose the provision	REMOVE PPC1 entirely. OR AMEND by placing the plan on hold until the withdrawn area of the plan is reinstated. AMEND PPC1 to clearly set out how it intends to achieve the 80 year outcomes. AND AMEND PPC1 to incorporate towns, cities and businesses that operate in the catchment.
Welsh, Mikayla Submitter ID: 74184	PC1-6150	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Waikato Regional Council can treat the whole of its catchment as one.
Whaingaroa Harbour Care Submitter ID: 60735	PC1-8037	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure landowners erect fences so that riparian areas can be planted to absorb sediment and nutrient run-off. AND AMEND to provide moratorium on new conversions of land to dairy farms until water quality is improved and streams are swimmable.
White Pine Dairies Ltd Submitter ID: 73277	PC1-11036	Oppose the provision	AMEND all references to stock numbers/ha to change to live weight/ha. AMEND PPC1 to consider the financial implications associated with the plan change.
Wilcock, Grace Margaret and Roger David Submitter ID: 74193	PC1-7647	Oppose the provision with amendments	PUT ON HOLD this process until consultation has been finalised AMEND PPC1 by fencing only some areas such as springs that are a source of potable water be fenced and no human access is allowed.
Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6895	Support the provision with amendments	REINSTATE Objective 6 (Withdrawn) as originally proposed AND AMEND to REINSTATE Policy 15 (withdrawn) AND AMEND Policy 15 (withdrawn) to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the objectives, policies and rules or restructuring to give effect to the relief sought. AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until provisions for the north eastern portion of the catchment are amended and the Vision and Strategy review completed and a new section 32 analysis undertaken which investigates the revised impact of PPC1 on society and the economy.
Wilding, Anthony Gordon Submitter ID: 73501	PC1-8791	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER the concerns (impact on rural communities, the costs and practicality of provisions and adequacy of the science and monitoring) raised in the submission. AND RETAIN the overall timeframe and staging of PPC1
Wildman, Anna Mary Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3857	Oppose the provision	PLACE PPC1 ON HOLD until consultation with the Hauraki iwi authorities is completed.
Wilkins, Jean Submitter ID: 73523	PC1-8630	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to include provision for the control and eradication of Koi carp. AND AMEND so that as a country we work together and share responsibility/financial burden for a healthy Waikato River and all New Zealand Rivers. AND CONSIDER the effect of PPC1 on farmer livelihood and the economy, and when people want to swim in the Waikato River, and the contribution of waterfowl to E.coli levels.
Williams, James Barry Submitter ID: 71659	PC1-7373	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure that catchment areas are broken down into individual areas to recognise that different areas have different needs based on land use, soil type etc.
Williams, Leslie Brian Submitter ID: 73576	PC1-11125	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Williamson, Don and Robyn Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2796	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE PPC1 in its entirety and produce a cost/benefit analysis of the long term (50 years) economic and social effects of PPC1 on drystock farmers and have this peer reviewed OR DELETE Nitrogen reference cap AND ADOPT a 30 year sub-catchment approach tailored to specific issues faced in each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to include achievable targets and goals in line with current technologies.
Williamson, Jack Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8919	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 and prepare a plan that is fair to all farms AND / OR undertake more science to alleviate uncertainty about what PPC1 will mean.
Williamson, Stephen David Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8664	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 AND REPLACE it with a plan that clearly establishes a pathway for farmers to address environmental concerns while future proofing their businesses, with clear articulation of how the end state water quality outcomes will be achieved.
Wills, Alan Bryan Submitter ID: 72954	PC1-1651	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide a review of the use of Nitrogen to cover the 4 contaminants AND AMEND to reconsider the relationship of Nitrogen and Phosphorous.
Wilson, David Lesley Submitter ID: 67510	PC1-7251	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to manage all contaminating activities including storm water and treated sewerage discharges. AND AMEND so that municipal discharges are required to improve water quality before discharging. AND AMEND to include treatment of E.coli/Algal blooms at point of source (e.g. treatment in the hydro lakes). WITHDRAW PPC1 and NOTIFY a plan change that covers the whole region. AND AMEND so that everyone is treated equally and fairly. AND AMEND to include provisions for clearing Koi Carp from the river system.
Win Dee Farms (2007) Ltd Submitter ID: 73787	PC1-11569	Not stated	No specific relief sought for PPC1.
Windust, Ross Kenyon Submitter ID: 74029	PC1-4735	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for PPC1.
Wiremu Trust Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8860	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to have hydro operations contribute money to subsidise the Council to employ staff to help land owners with mitigation on land CONSIDER reviewing the timeframes set in PPC1.
Wood, Doreen and Neville Submitter ID: 58939	PC1-15	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that it is not just farmers who pay the price of making rivers swimmable.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4371	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 6 (withdrawn) in its current form AND RETAIN restoring the Whangamarino Wetland under Policy 15 (withdrawn) AND AMEND Policy 15 (withdrawn) to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments especially pest fish species, in relation to sub-catchment management.
Wrenn, Kevin Phillip Submitter ID: 73399	PC1-6131	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER a review of the legal mandate for Waikato Regional Council implementing PPC1 (managing the 'effects'). AND OR return the managing of 'effects to the Territorial Authority. AND CONSIDER a review and test of the science behind PPC1. AND CONSIDER undertaking a robust cost benefit analysis to support PPC1. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including the objectives, policies and rules to give effect to the relief sought.
Wright, Arthur James Submitter ID: 72827	PC1-4781	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to identify hill country farming as a separate entity and have separate rules from dairy farming.
Yeates, Marilyn Submitter ID: 71172	PC1-146	Oppose the provision	Submitter did not provide further comment for PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11558	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 6 AMEND Policy 15 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments.
Zetland Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73756	PC1-7117	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so its goals are realistic and achievable over a number of years. AND AMEND to an approach that investigates and addresses the major sources of contaminants.

3.11 Waikato and Waipa River Catchments/Ngā Riu o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11343	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND re-notify once consultation with Hauraki iwi has concluded.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9519	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10465	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Section 3.11 to include maps of a smaller scale than Map 3.11-1 to ensure that the extent of each freshwater management unit can be easily identified. AND AMEND to include Freshwater Management Units for each individual lake and its catchment. AND AMEND to recognise previous lake management work, and farm plans already in existence that manage particular lakes, and to recognise the investment already made (refer submitters Appendix J for existing management planning).
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3590	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11 page 11 as follows: "FMUs are required... towards meeting <u>freshwater objectives developed to give effect to the NPSFM and long term desired water quality states developed to give effect to the Vision and Strategy targets[^] and limits[^].</u> "
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5269	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before any further proposed plan change AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki Iwi so that the catchment can be considered in entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
Macky, William Lindsay Submitter ID: 72603	PC1-5814	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11 Waikato and Waipā River Catchments.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8742	Oppose the provision	ADOPT Freshwater Management Units at the sub-catchment scale AND REPLACE the list of Freshwater Management Units on page 11 and in Map 3.11-1 with sub-catchment based Freshwater Management Units.
Oil Companies Submitter ID: 73716	PC1-2586	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11 to ensure that the policy framework (particularly policies 10-13) is targeted only to the rural environment/farming activities. Several options are presented: Option A: RETAIN Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 without modification AND AMEND the Introduction to Chapter 3.11 Area covered by Chapter 3.11/Ngā Riu o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā to read: "This Chapter 3.11 applies to the... This Chapter is additional to all other parts of the Plan. Where there are any inconsistencies, Chapter 3.11 prevails. This Chapter only applies to discharges from pastoral land. " Option B: RETAIN Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 without modification and include an introduction/advice note at the beginning of the Policies section (3.11.3) to read: "3.11.3 Policies/Ngā Kaupapa Here. <u>The following policies apply to applications involving discharges from pastoral farm land only.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>Option C: AMEND Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 to ensure that they only apply to discharges from pastoral farm land to read:</p> <p>"Policy 10 Provide for point source discharges of regional significance... When deciding resource consent... microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land <u>from pastoral farm land</u>, provide for the...</p> <p>Policy 11 Applications to Best Practicable Option and Mitigation....Require any person undertaking point source discharge....Waikato and Waipā River catchments <u>from pastoral farm land</u> to adopt the Best Practicable...</p> <p>Policy 12 Additional considerations for point source discharges <u>from pastoral farm land</u> in relation to water quality targets...</p> <p>Policy 13 Point source consent duration..."</p>
<p>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480</p>	PC1-2073	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE 'land suitability' references with 'new allocation or management regime to be determined by a full Schedule 1 Resource Management Act process before the next stage of Healthy Rivers Wai Ora [PPC1] is implemented'.

Area covered by Chapter 3.11/Ngā Riu o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<p>Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788</p>	PC1-7047	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND freshwater objectives so as to be defined in terms of what the sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit requires to maintain or restore the water quality, ecosystem health and indigenous biodiversity. The values should be set at levels that ensure this.
<p>A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142</p>	PC1-4290	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before progressing PPC1 AND RE-NOTIFY with the inclusion of the withdrawn area so that the catchment can be considered in its entirety and submissions can be coordinated.
<p>B Das and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73689</p>	PC1-9066	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area so the catchment can be considered in its entirety and submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
<p>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73762</p>	PC1-5484	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before any further proposed plan change. AND AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki Iwi so that the catchment can be considered in entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
<p>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057</p>	PC1-11254	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently, differentiating clearly and consistently between; the long-term desired outcomes and the short-term outcomes; and the concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target.</p> <p>AND AMEND 3.11 Area covered by chapter 3.11 the 4th paragraph on page 11 to read:</p> <p>"FMUs are required by central government's National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. FMUs enable monitoring of progress towards meeting <u>freshwater objectives developed to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and long term desired water quality states developed to give effect to the Vision and Strategy targets and limits</u>."</p> <p>AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater objectives</u>"</p> <p>AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater objectives</u>"</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute targets' or 'water quality attribute limits' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u> " or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3. AND MAKE all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10831	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Chapter 3.11, paragraph 3, second sentence, to read: "FMUs enable monitoring of progress towards meeting <u>freshwater objectives developed to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and long term desired water quality states developed to give effect to the Vision and Strategy targets^Δ and limits^Δ.</u> "
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-3973	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before any further proposed plan change AND AMEND to re-notify PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki Iwi so that the catchment can be considered in entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9896	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area so that the catchment can be considered in its entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the entire catchment or ensure submissions and evidence for each plan change can be given as a coordinated package addressing the entire catchment AND MAKE any consequential amendments as a result of re-notification of and submissions on the withdrawn area.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1324	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until consultation has concluded with Hauraki iwi and then re-notify PPC1 as a single plan.
Makan Daya & Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4987	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before any further proposed plan change to given area. AMEND PPC1 by re-notifying the plan with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki iwi so that the catchment can be considered and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole catchment area.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9486	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11 and Freshwater Management Units as delineated in Map 3.11-1 (version dated 3 December 2016).
Peers-Adams, Ross Submitter ID: 70416	PC1-5189	Oppose the provision	DELETE The Area covered by Chapter 3.11 in its entirety.
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4176	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi before any further proposed plan change, AND AMEND to re-notify PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area relating to Hauraki Iwi so that the catchment can be considered in entirety and so submissions and evidence can be coordinated for the whole of the catchment.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6268	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Area covered by Chapter 3.11 to provide for the identification of Freshwater Management Units at the sub-catchment scale AND REPLACE the list of FMUs with sub-catchment based FMUs. AND AMEND Map 3.11-1 showing Freshwater Management Units and replace with sub-catchment based FMUs.
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2227	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 to allow for consultation with Hauraki iwi before further progression of PPC1. AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 to include withdrawn area.
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7025	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7163	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety to allow for consultation with Hauraki Iwi AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 with the inclusion of the withdrawn area.
Turner, Ross John Submitter ID: 71029	PC1-29	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Area covered by Chapter 3.11/PPC1 to concentrate on the worst areas of the Waikato and Waipā River first.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2976	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Area covered by Chapter 3.11/Ngā Riu o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā to read: "This Chapter 3.11 applies to... This Chapter is additional to all other parts of the <u>Operative Waikato Regional Plan.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Wai Shing Ltd Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2222	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 until negotiations have concluded with Hauraki iwi AND RE-NOTIFY PPC1 after the consultation as a single plan.

Map 3.11-1: Map of the Waikato and Waipa River catchments, showing Freshwater Management Units

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10504	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11.1 to include maps of a smaller scale to ensure that the extent of each freshwater management unit can be easily identified. AND AMEND to include Freshwater Management Units for each individual lake and its catchment. AND AMEND to show a Freshwater Management Unit for the Whangamarino wetland system (refer submitter's Appendix D).
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9682	Support the provision	RETAIN Map 3.11-1 and the mapped Freshwater Management Units.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6496	Oppose the provision	AMEND Map 3.11-1 showing Freshwater Management Units and replace with sub-catchment based FMUs.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3649	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-1 to correct possible inconsistencies with lake mapping and classification of Lake Freshwater Management Unit types. AND ADD an additional map at a scale sufficient to accurately map and name all Lake Freshwater Management Unit. AND AMEND the legend to clarify the Freshwater Management Unit status of the waterbodies shown in light blue.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11253	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Map 3.11-1 by subdividing Sub-catchment 66 into Sub-catchments 66A and 66B as illustrated in Appendix D of the submission AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 accordingly.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2065	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE Map 3.11-1 with a new sub-catchment map.

Background and explanation

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4300	Oppose the provision	AMEND Background and explanation to include an issue statement recognising horticulture as an essential industry and addressing issues specific to it AND AMEND PPC1 to provide opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites, particularly for the production of non-substitutable vegetable lines for domestic consumption AND AMEND to provide for an alternative method to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11362	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Background and explanation to include an issue statement for the primary sectors.
Brown, Tracy Lee Submitter ID: 72955	PC1-2169	Support the provision	RETAIN the staged approach in the Background and explanation.
Chapman, Brenhan J Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10203	Oppose the provision	ADD to the 3.11 Background and explanation an issue statement addressing issues for the horticultural sector AND AMEND PPC1 to provide opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato AND AMEND to provide for an alternative method or model to the OVERSEER Model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Chapman, John K Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10673	Oppose the provision	ADD to 3.11 Background and explanation an issue statement addressing issues for the horticultural sector AND AMEND PPC1 to provide the opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato AND AMEND to provide for commercial vegetable production systems an alternative method or model to the OVERSEER Model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharges AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Chapman, Sharon M Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10717	Oppose the provision	ADD to 3.11 Background and explanation an issue statement addressing issues for the horticultural sector AND AMEND PPC1 to provide the opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato AND AMEND to provide for an alternative method or model to the OVERSEER Model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Chapman, Victor J Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10696	Oppose the provision	ADD to 3.11 Background and explanation an issue statement addressing issues for the horticultural sector AND AMEND PPC1 to provide the opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato AND AMEND to provide an alternative method or model to the OVERSEER Model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Chhagn Bros Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5494	Oppose the provision	AMEND 3.11 Background and Explanation by providing some opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River catchment to preserve the productive capacity of the vegetable sector; particularly in relation to the production of non-substitutable leafy greens, potatoes and carrots for domestic consumption in key periods of the national domestic food chain. AND AMEND by ensuring the plan provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems from OVERSEER. AND AMEND by making an explicit statement to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Clark, Wendy Submitter ID: 74002	PC1-7328	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for the Background and Explanation.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9698	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the overall principles provided for in the Background and Explanation.
Fogarty, David Submitter ID: 73966	PC1-8415	Oppose the provision	AMEND 3.11 Background and explanation policy vision to be in line with the government's swimmable policy.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10449	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in the Background and Explanation section that part of the explanation that sets out the overall strategy for reducing contaminant losses and ensure that all provisions are consistent with that strategy

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADD additional wording at the end of the Background and Explanation section to read: " <u>This introductory and explanatory statement may assist the interpretation of Section 3.11 of the Plan.</u> "
Fonterra Shareholders Council Submitter ID: 72610	PC1-10634	Support the provision	RETAIN the provision and ensure that all provisions are consistent with the strategy for reducing contaminant losses.
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11263	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Background and Explanation, paragraph 1 of page 15 to reflect the intent of Objective 4. AND RETAIN the provisions for the Nitrogen Reference Point as calculated by the OVERSEER model, but REMOVE provisions that use the Nitrogen Reference Point to allocate nitrogen.
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3595	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11 Background and explanation as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Giving people and communities ... to achieve short-term <u>freshwater</u> objectives while..."
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10061	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Background and explanation page 15 to read as follows "Municipal and industrial point source discharges will also be required to revise their discharges in light of the Vision and Strategy and the water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets that have been set. This <u>new requirement</u> will happen <u>apply</u> as the current consent terms expire. <u>It may take further time, over the 80 year period, for the 80 year targets specified in Table 3.11-1 to be achieved.</u> "
Henderson, David and Sue Submitter ID: 72613	PC1-8625	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11 Background and explanation.
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-3975	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Background and Explanation of PPC1 to provide for some opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River Catchment to preserve the productive capacity of the vegetable sector; particularly in relation to the production of non-substitutable leafy greens, potatoes and carrots for domestic consumption in key periods of the national domestic food chain, AND AMEND to ensure the plan provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorous discharge for commercial vegetable production systems for OVERSEER.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9897	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the background and explanation to include an issue statement recognising the most significant issues and challenges facing the grower community in relation to land and freshwater management.
Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8429	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN 3.11 Background and explanation AND AMEND to tailor the provisions to focus on each sub-catchment's major issue (eg sediment or nitrogen etc) and not generalise them to all diffuse discharges AND DELETE 3.11 Background and explanation, page 15, bullet point 3 or require the use of Farm Environment Plans that include best practice for sheep and beef farming.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1326	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11 Background and explanation to include and outline of the specific issues facing primary producers and in particular horticulture, including the need for additional land to meet future food demands whilst not compromising soil structure or health.
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5270	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Background and Explanation to provide for some opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River Catchment to preserve the productive capacity of the vegetable sector; particularly in relation to the production of non-substitutable leafy greens, potatoes and carrots for domestic consumption in key periods of the national domestic food chain.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorous discharge for commercial vegetable production systems for OVERSEER. AND ADD an explicit statement to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
MacInnes, Angus John and Karen Joy Submitter ID: 72981	PC1-9495	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Background and explanation; Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational; to ensure a sector neutral approach so that all discharges contributing to poor water quality are required to change.
MacInnes, Mathew Angus and Natasha Joyce Ani Submitter ID: 72980	PC1-4303	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to take into account possible adverse effects on industry and on communities.
Makan Daya & Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4988	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Background and Explanation by providing opportunity for commercial vegetable sector to produce on new sites in the Waikato River Catchment, to ensure adequate productive capacity is retained.
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4179	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Background and Explanation of PPC1 to provide for some opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River Catchment to preserve the productive capacity of the vegetable sector; particularly in relation to the production of non-substitutable leafy greens, potatoes and carrots for domestic consumption in key periods of the national domestic food chain, AND AMEND to ensure the plan provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorous discharge for commercial vegetable production systems for OVERSEER.
Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7766	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11 Background and explanation to include horticulture and outline the specific issues facing the horticultural sector.
Rotorua Lakes Council Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2465	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11 Background and Explanation to read: "Municipal and industrial point source dischargers will also be required to revise their discharges in light of the vision and strategy and water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets that have been set. <u>These new requirements will apply as the current consent terms expire. It may take further time, over the 80 year period for the targets specified in Table 3.11-1 to be achieved. Setting new requirements will take into account investment in infrastructure already made, the net benefit from additional investment, alternative methods for contaminant reduction.</u> " AND ANY consequential amendments.
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2230	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Background and Explanation by adding an issue statement to address particular issues for the horticultural sector.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10368	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE reference to land use change restrictions in 3.11 Background and explanation, pages 15-16 AMEND 3.11 Background and explanation pages 15-16 to enable farmers to manage or change the Farm Environment Plan with this being audited only.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11071	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the 'Background and explanation' to explain how the key objective of PPC1 can be achieved when part of the Waikato River has been removed from the area which is subject to PPC1. AND AMEND the 'Background and explanation' section to explain how the staged approach to achieving the objective identified above can be implemented and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management given effect to, in light of the withdrawal of a significant geographic area of the Waikato River catchment.
ST Growers Ltd Submitter ID: 67421	PC1-1276	Oppose the provision	AMEND Background and explanation to ensure PPC1 allows for alternative modelling methods which may prove to be more suitable in the future for the use on commercial vegetable production.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71455	PC1-7030	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River catchment AND AMEND to provide an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7222	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato river catchment. AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems from the OVERSEER Model. AND AMEND PPC1 to make an explicit statement to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farm activity. AND AMEND 'Background and Explanation' to add an issue statement to address particular issues for the horticultural sector.
Volker, Peter Submitter ID: 73690	PC1-10283	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1, including 3.11 Background and explanation, so that point source discharge consents are reviewed at the beginning of PPC1 implementation.
Waikato Dairy Leaders Group Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-10907	Support the provision	RETAIN the provisions of PPC1 that are related to a staged approach to achieving the Vision and Strategy.
Wai Shing Ltd Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2229	Oppose the provision	AMEND Section 3.11 Background and explanation to provide some opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites in the Waikato River catchment AND AMEND Section 3.11 Background and explanation by adding an explicit statement to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity AND AMEND to provide an alternative method or model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production systems AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Wiremu Trust Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8831	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that targets are set for each sub-catchment, rather than each property, and set the target at the 75th percentile for the sub-catchment, such that the community for that sub-catchment collectively seek to achieve the target.
Wood, Doreen and Neville Submitter ID: 58939	PC1-19	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that the plan does not cost thousands of dollars for a very small number of swimmers.

Co-management of the Waikato and Waipa Rivers

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Cox, Brian Submitter ID: 70376	PC1-39	Support the provision	RETAIN Co-management of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers section.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10835	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the third bullet point to read: "...and supporting actions to achieve short-term <u>freshwater</u> objectives while being clear...".

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9900	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the statement on co-management of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 recognises the commitment to date of growers in improving discharges of phosphorus and sediment AND AMEND to ensure the plan provides for the establishment of an alternative method or model from the OVERSEER Model to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge from commercial vegetable production systems.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6165	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Co-management of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers section in PPC1 to give effect to the alternative approach proposed in the submission.
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8456	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Background and explanation to quantify what 'safe for people to swim in and take food from' means in terms of absolute limits of nitrogen, phosphorus, microbial pathogens, and sediment. AND ADD an explanation on where the limits were derived from.

Collaborative approach

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11673	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Collaborative approach to read: '... The CSG sought input from their sectors and from the community, and ultimately proposed the contents of Chapter 3.11 to decision makers. <u>"It is recognised that the implementation of this plain to maintain, restore and protect the water quality in the Waikato River can only be achieved through the collaboration of all stakeholders."</u>
Clark, Wendy Submitter ID: 74002	PC1-7329	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for the Collaborative Approach.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9898	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Collaborative approach statement to acknowledge the statements made in the submission AND AMEND to acknowledge that the plan does not adequately address all sources of contaminants that impact on values identified in the Vision and Strategy.
Knaap, Russelle Submitter ID: 71616	PC1-3691	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for the Collaborative approach.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6178	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Collaborative Approach section to record that only some stakeholders were represented and that there was no consensus from the Collaborative Stakeholder Group in relation to a number of issues. AND AMEND by recording that involvement on the Collaborative Stakeholder Group was by invitation only, issued by the Waikato Regional Council.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10214	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Collaborative approach.
Turner, Ross John Submitter ID: 71029	PC1-18	Support the provision with amendments	ADDRESS that the Collaborative approach to developing PPC1 was unbalanced and unfair to farmers.
Tylee, Brian William Submitter ID: 73530	PC1-7446	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Collaborative Approach.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2979	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the words: He Rautaki Whakapaipai to read: "He Rautaki Whakapaipai" on page 13, under the heading 'Collaborative approach'.
Williamson, Terry Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-793	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER an evaluation of the collaborative approach and begin the PPC1 process again.

Water quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11375	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND water quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management to read "...Regional councils must ensure over-allocation[^] of the water resource is avoided, or addressed where that has already occurred. Current water quality monitoring results show that while there is variability across <u>each of the sub-catchments within the Waikato and Waipā River catchments</u>, there are adverse effects on water bodies associated with discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens. The CSG concluded that from a water quality point of view, over-allocation[^] has occurred <u>in some sub-catchments</u>. <u>Some</u> water bodies in the Waikato and Waipā River Catchments are not able to assimilate further discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, without adversely affecting community-held values. Achieving the numeric, long-term freshwater objectives[^] in Chapter 3.11 will require <u>maintenance or reductions where relevant</u> in diffuse and point source contaminants <u>at a sub-catchment level</u>.</p> <p>The NPS FM directs the Waikato Regional Council to establish freshwater objectives[^] that give effect to the objectives of the NPS FM and describe the state that Waikato regional communities want for freshwater in the future.</p> <p>...</p> <p>The CSG identified resource use that affects the achievement of the freshwater objectives[^] and long-term desired water quality, and for achieving the Vision and Strategy. Chapter 3.11 sets out policies and methods that restrict <u>or manage</u> what can be done on the land and discharged to land or water."</p> <p>AND REMOVE Rule 3.11.5.7 from PPC1</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to add a restricted Discretionary Activity consent applicable to high priority sub-catchments only.</p>
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-11255	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently, differentiating clearly and consistently between; the long-term outcomes and the short-term outcomes; and the concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target.</p> <p>AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater</u> objectives"</p> <p>AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater</u> objectives"</p> <p>AND ADD to the Water Quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management Section (page 14) a final sentence at the end that reads: "<u>For the avoidance of doubt, for the purpose of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, the freshwater objective of this plan is Objective 3.</u>"</p> <p>AND MAKE such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute targets' or 'water quality attribute limits' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u>" or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3</p> <p>AND MAKE all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10839	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the first paragraph to read: "...formulate freshwater objectives and set limits or targets (a target is a limit to be achieved within a specified timeframe). " AND AMEND the second paragraph to read: "...Achieving the numeric, long-term freshwater objectives in Chapter 3.11 will require reductions in diffuse and point source contaminants." AND ADD the following to the end of the section: " <u>For the avoidance of doubt, and for the purpose of the NPSFM, the freshwater objective of this plan is Objective 3.</u> "
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3597	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND page 14 as follows: "The National Policy Statement... and set limits^ or targets^ (a target is a limit to be achieved within a specified timeframe). " AND AMEND page 14 as follows: "Current water quality monitoring... Achieving the numeric, long-term freshwater objectives^ in Chapter 3.11..." AND ADD the following sentence after the last paragraph of page 14: " <u>For the avoidance of doubt, and for the purpose of the NPSFM, the freshwater objective of this plan is Objective 3.</u> "
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4153	Support the provision	RETAIN the section 'Water quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management'. AND AMEND the policies to ensure a tailored approach to addressing contaminants on a sub-catchment basis.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9496	Support the provision	RETAIN the section in 3.11 titled: Water quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3917	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Water quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management section, references to allocation or benchmarking. AND REPLACE with pollution levies based on outputs and the assimilative capacity of the land.
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7063	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the section Water Quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management to define current water quality needs.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11257	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the second paragraph of Water Quality and National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management to read: "... The CSG concluded that <u>(generally)</u> from a water quality point of view, over-allocation has occurred <u>within the FMU's</u> while in some water bodies current water quality is high. Some W water bodies in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments are <u>therefore</u> not able to assimilate further discharges..."

Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
AFFCO New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7666	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE references to 'BMP' and 'GMP' with 'BPO'. AND AMEND page 15 to read as follows: "Farm Environment Plans... that ensure industry-specific <u>best practicable options</u> good management practice , and identify additional mitigation actions to reduce diffuse discharges by specified dates, which can then be monitored"
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6055	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the 'Background and explanation' to explain how the key objective of PPC1 can be achieved when part of the Waikato River has been removed from the area which is subject to PPC1. AND AMEND the 'Background and explanation' section to explain how the staged approach to achieving the objective identified above can be implemented and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management given effect to, in light of the withdrawal of a significant geographic area of the Waikato River catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9464	Oppose the provision	REMOVE reference to restricting land use change from the section 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational' AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans to be at a catchment level.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6705	Oppose the provision	DELETE the restricting land use provisions of this section. AND DELETE the Farm Environment Plan provisions of this section. AND, if not deleted, AMEND the timelines for the development of Farm Environment Plans by lengthening them. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11380	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational to read "The CSG has chosen an 80-year timeframe to achieve the water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy. The timeframe is intergenerational and more aspirational than the national bottom lines set out in the NPS FM because it seeks to meet the higher standards of being safe to swim in and take food from over the entire length of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers and catchment. Based on the information currently available, the CSG has concluded full achievement of the Vision and Strategy by 2096 is likely to be costly and difficult. The 80-year timeframe recognises the 'innovation gap' that means full achievement of water quality requires technologies or practices that are not yet available or economically feasible. In addition, the current understanding is that achieving water quality restoration requires a considerable amount of land to be changed from land uses with moderate and high intensity of discharges to land use with lower discharges (e.g through reforestation). <u>will require a considerable amount of land use moderation within high-risk sub-catchments. Whereas in other sub-catchments it will be more appropriate to focus on applying mitigation methods via conditions, rather than simply preventing land use change.</u></p> <p>Because of the extent of change required to restore and protect water quality in the 80-year timeframe, the CSG has adopted a staged approach. This approach breaks the required improvements into a number of steps, the first of which is to put in place and implement the range of actions in a 10 year period that will be required to achieve 10 percent of the required change between current water quality and the long term water quality in 2096. The staged approach recognises that immediate large scale land use <u>management</u> changes may be socially disruptive, and there is considerable effort and cost for resource users, industry and Waikato Regional Council to set up the change process in the first stage....</p> <p>Because of the extent of change required to meet the 80-year limits[^], achieving even the first step towards the long-term freshwater objectives in this Plan is an ambitious target. This means the effects of actions and changes on the land may not be seen as water quality improvements in the water bodies in the short term. This is partly due to the time required for the concentration of contaminants in the water to reduce, following mitigation actions being put in place, and specifically, the time it takes for nitrogen to move through the soil profile to groundwater, and then to surface water. This means that the effect of actions put in place to reduce nitrogen now may not be seen in the water for some time (the length of time lag varies across the catchment). It also means there is a nitrogen 'load to come' from historic land use that is yet to be seen in the water.</p> <p>The approach to reducing contaminant losses from pastoral farm land implemented by Chapter 3.11 requires:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • stock exclusion from water bodies as a priority mitigation actions • Farm Environment Plans (including those for commercial vegetable producers) that ensure industry-specific good management practice, and identify additional mitigation actions to reduce diffuse discharges by specified dates, which can then be monitored

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a property scale nitrogen reference point to be established by modelling current nutrient losses from each property, with no property being allowed to exceed its reference point in the future and high discharges being required to reduce their nutrient losses • an accreditation system to be set up for people who will assist farmers to prepare their Farm Environment Plan, and to certify agricultural industry schemes.. <p>...Municipal and industrial point source discharges will also be required to revise their discharges in light of the Vision and Strategy and the water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits^ and targets^ that have been set. This will happen as the current consent terms expire.</p> <p><u>Waikato Regional Council will be required to address pest species, especially koi carp, due to their significant contribution to sediment loads within waterways..</u></p> <p>...In the short term, land use change from tree cover to animal grazing, or any livestock grazing other than dairy or arable cropping to dairy, or any land use to commercial vegetable production, will be constrained <u>and/or managed through appropriate mitigation of diffuse discharges</u>. Provision has been made for some flexibility of land use for Māori land that has not been able to develop due to historic impediments...These constraints on land use change are interim, until a future plan change introduces a second stage, where further reductions in discharges of sediment, nutrients and microbial pathogens from point sources activity on the land will be required. <u>This second stage will focus on land suitability and how land use impacts on water quality, based on the type of land and the sensitivity of the receiving water. Methods in Chapter 3.11 include research and information to be developed to support this.</u></p> <p><u>During Stage 1, Waikato Regional Council will work collaboratively with relevant stakeholders to develop a sub-catchment management approach to manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens. To assist this process, information will be collected and research undertaken to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability' for allocation."</u></p>
<p>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369</p>	<p>PC1-11146</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND 3.11 - Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational, so that it explicitly recognises the role sub-catchment groups will have in achieving the Vision and Strategy.</p> <p>AND AMEND paragraph one, sentences three and four, to give effect to the intent as follows:</p> <p>" <u>The 80-year timeframe recognises the 'innovation gap' that means full achievement of water quality outcomes set under Table 3.11-1 may require significant reductions in discharges from some land uses, in sub-catchments which are currently over allocated. As such, timeframes should provide for investment in infrastructure, remediation, mitigation, innovation, and farm optimisation. requires technologies or practices that are not yet available or economically feasible.</u></p> <p><u>In addition, the current understanding is that achieving water quality restoration takes time due to lag phases between changes in land management approaches and establishment of on farm and edge of field mitigation (for example slope stabilisation, critical source management, wetland creation and enhancement, and establishment of riparian vegetation) and resultant water quality improvements. requires a considerable amount of land to be changed from land uses with moderate and high intensity of discharges to land use with lower discharges (e.g. through reforestation)."</u></p> <p>AND AMEND bullet points on page 15 by adding a new bullet point, as follows:</p> <p><u>" taking a targeted and risked based approach to managing land and water resources which is focused on sub-catchments and which ensures that:</u></p> <p><u>(i) water quality is managed to ensure that:</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>(a) water quality is maintained in those rivers and lakes where the existing water quality is at a level sufficient to support the Values in Section 3.11.1 Objective 1A</u> <u>(b) water quality is enhanced in those rivers and lakes where the existing water quality is not at a level sufficient to support the Values in Section 3.11.1 Objective 1A, so that the values are supported by 2097;</u> <u>(c) accelerated eutrophication and sedimentation of lakes in the catchment is prevented or minimised"</u> AND DELETE bullet point three in relation to the Nitrogen Reference Point and holding land uses to this historic discharge rate. AND AMEND bullet point five, to give effect to the intent as follows: "Waikato Regional Council to <u>incentivise, enable, and support sub-catchment approaches to sustainable land and water management, and adoption of edge of field mitigation where required. Regulatory, non-regulatory, and financial instruments are provided to enable and support communities working together in their watershed (sub-catchments) to address develop approaches outside the Rule framework that both point source and diffuse losses of contaminants to water, allow contaminant loss risk factors to be assessed at a sub-catchment level,</u> and implement mitigations that look beyond individual farm <u>property</u> boundaries to identify the most cost-effective <u>and influential</u> solutions." AND AMEND Section 3.11 to reflect the outcomes sought in this submission.</p>
<p>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174</p>	<p>PC1-103</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>DELETE the provision in its entirety. Full achievement. AND DELETE the Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational provision in its entirety.</p>
<p>Buchanan, Jason Robert Submitter ID: 73696</p>	<p>PC1-5401</p>	<p>Oppose the provision</p>	<p>AMEND the full achievement of the Vision and Strategy to provide for education, promotion and subsidies for farmers to plant problem areas of farmland back to bush, along with the benefits that this can afford them, AND AMEND to encourage and educate instead of impeaching policy, AND AMEND to let farmers farm how they want to.</p>
<p>Clapcott, Sarah V Submitter ID: 73723</p>	<p>PC1-5009</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND PPC1 by reconvening the Collaborative Stakeholder Group and they come up with a plan change that meets the social, economic and environmental requirements past 10 to 80 years.</p>
<p>Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072</p>	<p>PC1-9653</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>WITHDRAW PPC1 and rewrite in consultation with landowners to ensure it is achievable, affordable and practical AND AMEND to include a plan for how the Vision is to be funded and physically achieved, including consideration of compensation for land use change AND AMEND to determine stock exclusion fencing requirements on an individual farm basis, taking other mitigation measures into account AND AMEND to exclude small waterways from fencing requirements, with a minimum width and depth for fencing AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for fencing AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured AND AMEND to clarify how land within setbacks is to be maintained and funded, with consideration of Council-subsidised planting and spraying programmes AND AMEND to determine stock crossing requirements on an individual farm basis, with no culvert crossing required when stock crossing is infrequent AND AMEND to plan for the removal of carp AND AMEND to ensure drains are still able to be cleaned AND AMEND to provide for Council to fund fencing and associated costs AND AMEND to allow owners to prepare Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for Farm Environment Plans</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to provide for separate, reliable measures for the discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorous and microbial pathogens</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for a Council subsidy for Farm Environment Plan costs</p> <p>AND AMEND to clarify penalties and enforcement for not preparing or implementing a Farm Environment Plan</p> <p>AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans for each land use block rather than the whole property where there is mixed use</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide alternatives to the OVERSEER Model</p> <p>AND REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms</p> <p>AND AMEND to consider land use change as a whole over the country rather than by property or owner</p> <p>AND REMOVE the Māori land exclusion from land use change restrictions.</p>
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10164	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN the provisions of PPC1 that are related to the staged approach to achieving the Vision and Strategy.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the text referring to the overall intent of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide a sector neutral approach in the first paragraph of 'Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy' to read: "The overall intent of Chapter 3.11....of achieving the Vision and Strategy, with on-farm <u>land based</u> actions carried out and point source discharges..."</p>
Dean, Matthew D'Ornan Keith Submitter ID: 60477	PC1-3870	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy to read: "Our vision is for a future... abundant life and <u>equally</u> prosperous communities both rural and urban who, in turn, are all..."</p>
Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-8505	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to include the wade-able level set by the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 to be included as a first step, instead of being swimmable and safe for food collection.</p>
Duncan, Andrew Richard Submitter ID: 73410	PC1-6186	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational to define the term 'stock' as 'cattle, horses, deer and pigs'.</p>
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-638	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND 3.11 by DELETING all references to stock exclusion to water bodies, the use of the OVERSEER Model, the Nitrogen Reference Point and the land use change restrictions</p> <p>AND AMEND so that the land use change restrictions equally apply to all land over the country and not by property or owner.</p>
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-442	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>Restricting Land Use Change</p> <p>DELETE in its entirety.</p> <p>Farm Environment Plans</p> <p>DELETE Farm Environment Plan provision OR AMEND to extend the Farm Environment Plan timeframe.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-11516	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE section 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational'</p> <p>OR AMEND to provide for Natural Capital, a flexible Nitrogen Reference Point, and sub-catchment water quality</p> <p>AND AMEND stock exclusion provisions to start at the top of the catchment over a certain timeframe when finance is achievable</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise</p> <p>AND AMEND the approach to land use change to encourage good farming practice and not make rules</p> <p>AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans to be individual plans depending on individual farms</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
Findlay, Thomas David Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9403	Oppose the provision	DELETE any reference to grandparenting or the Nitrogen Reference Point from pages 15 and 16 of PPC1.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-11256	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently, differentiating between; the long-term desired outcomes and the short-term outcomes; and the concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target. AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater objectives</u> " AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater objectives</u> " AND AMEND 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational' the last sentence of the second paragraph to read: "The staged approach also allows time for the innovation in technology and practices that will need to be developed to meet the targets and limits <u>long term water quality objectives</u> in subsequent regional plans to be developed." AND AMEND 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational' the 6th paragraph to read: "Municipal and industrial point source dischargers will also be required to revise their discharges in light of the Vision and Strategy and the water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets that have been set." AND MAKE such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute targets' or 'water quality attribute limits' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u> " or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3. AND MAKE all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10842	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND paragraph 2 (last sentence) to read: "...that will need to be developed to meet the targets and limits <u>long-term water quality objectives</u> in subsequent regional plans to be developed." AND AMEND paragraph 3 (first sentence) to read: "...achieving even the first step towards the long-term <u>freshwater objectives</u> in the Plan is an ambitious target." AND AMEND paragraph 6 to read: "...Vision and Strategy and the water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets that have been set."
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11264	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to provide greater flexibility (other submission points provide more detail).
Gavins Limited Submitter ID: 73846	PC1-5481	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Section 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational' by changing to "... no property being allowed to exceed its <u>five-year rolling average Nitrogen Reference Point</u> in the future...".
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3599	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND second paragraph of page 15 as follows: "The staged approach also... developed to meet the targets and limits <u>long term water quality objectives</u> in subsequent..." AND AMEND third paragraph of page 15 as follows: "Because of the extent... towards the long-term <u>freshwater objectives</u> in this Plan..." AND AMEND third to last paragraph of page 15 as follows: "Municipal and Industrial... quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets that have been set."
Gemmell, Richard Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1214	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE bullet point 1, Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational. AND ENSURE council places priority on the cleanliness of the water rather than stock exclusion where there is little potential for improvement. AND AMEND Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational to set measurable guidelines and require high dischargers to reduce their nutrient losses significantly.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3209	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Full Achievement of the Vision and Strategy, bullet point 3 to read: "a property scale... losses from each property, with no property being allowed to exceed <u>either its reference point for either the 14/15 or 15/16 season OR, where the information exists and is complete, its 5-year rolling average reference point</u> in the future..." AND AMEND bullet point 4 to have a realistic timeframe and accreditation system.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3822	Oppose the provision	DELETE the provisions restricting land use change. (Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational) AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so that a 'catchment level' plan is adopted.
Harding, Malcolm Garland Submitter ID: 73451	PC1-9497	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to have the discussion now about the signalling of forced land use change. Include in the discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identification of specific areas of land that may be forcibly retired in future and provision of information to farmers • compensation to landowners who are forced to retire land or make significant land use changes to accommodate lower discharges • government responsibility to recompense landowners who it previously encouraged to clear hill country • sharing the cost of future land use changes among everyone in the community.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3707	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Paragraph 1 of Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational section providing a clear definition in Paragraph 1 of the standards of water quality that allows food to be taken from, and swimmable that is in relation to start point data. AND AMEND Paragraph 2 by reducing the required change of 10% achievement within the 10 year period.
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1012	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Paragraph 1 of Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be Intergenerational to clarify the standards of the water quality that allows food to be taken from the rivers, and safe for swimming. AND AMEND Paragraph 2 to reduce the 10% of change required in the first 10 year period. AND AMEND Paragraph 4 bullet point 3 to read: "a property scale nitrogen reference point to be established by modelling current nutrient losses from each property, with no property being allowed to exceed its reference point in the future with future Nitrogen Reference Point to be <u>recalculated as new scientific evidence and new technology become available</u> and higher dischargers being required to reduce their nutrient losses." AND AMEND Paragraph 6 to read: "...This will happen as the current consent terms expire, alongside PPC1 with all current consents being up for review. "
Henderson, Neville James Submitter ID: 72016	PC1-7435	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational' to enable a more flexible approach.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7939	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Part A, Section 3.11 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational', paragraph 1 to read: "... In addition, the current understanding is that achieving water quality restoration <u>will require requires</u> a considerable amount of land to be changed from land uses with moderate and high intensity of discharges to land use with lower discharges (e.g. through reforestation). Use moderation within high-risk sub-catchments. Whereas in other <u>sub-catchments it will be more appropriate to focus on applying mitigation methods via conditions, rather than simply preventing land use change.</u> "
H N Kloeten Ltd Submitter ID: 72664	PC1-7900	Support the provision	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe and ensure detailed research into economic and social implications of various mitigation options are available or developed to reach the desired vision.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9927	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the section Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy to recognise the essential aspects of the vegetable production industry in the Waikato AND AMEND to identify that existing vegetable production has a priority over any new production that is likely to have a greater contribution of discharges AND AMEND to ensure that authorised farm enterprises through a capped area controlled activity consent, allows for rotation across new and existing land parcels AND AMEND to ensure opportunities for new vegetable production are available if the proposed operation can demonstrate a decrease in discharges compared to the activity it is replacing AND AMEND to recognise permanent fruit production as a low intensity farming activity.
Johnstone, Roger Kenneth Submitter ID: 73614	PC1-7890	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational.
Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG) Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4068	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy to ensure that long-term implementation measures are based on good science and effects on communities.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-9252	Oppose the provision	DELETE 3.11 Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy provisions relating to restricting land use change. If not deleted, then AMEND to allow operations some level of flexibility such as 10% land use change. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are not bound to title and if they are bound to title, to ensure that personal goals are not included. AND AMEND to provide for a template to allow farmers to work and prepare their own Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to focus on mitigations rather than rules. AND AMEND to reconsider the level of impact on smaller areas of adverse events OR AMEND to provide for more flexibility in Farm Environment Plans for small local adverse events. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Loader, A J Submitter ID: 74084	PC1-7362	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy to clarify the vision, strategy and goals of PPC1.
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4147	Oppose the provision	DELETE all provisions relating to Nitrogen Reference Points. (Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational) OR AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans OR ENSURE monitoring of waterways where they enter and exit a property in order to establish current contribution to water quality.
Matira Sub Catchment Group Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9288	Oppose the provision	DELETE pages 15 and 16 (Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational) of PPC1 in their entirety.
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8975	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure sheep and beef farms on hill country are planted in native species, following advice from local hapu, and that historical deforestation is replanted AND AMEND to enable farmers to make an income from native plantings through selective logging, selling seeds to local nurseries, and for use in Rongoa.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9498	Support the provision	RETAIN the section in 3.11 titled: Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8759	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Full Achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be Intergenerational AND CLARIFY flexibility for Māori land (refer submission point 8829 re Policy 16 for further discussion)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND the second-to-last sentence of paragraph 8 so it reads: "This second stage will focus on land suitability and how land use impacts on water quality..." AND AMEND the last sentence to read: "Methods in Chapter 3.11 include the research and information to be developed to support this the determination of a discharge allocation framework."
Murdoch, Patricia Mary Submitter ID: 71035	PC1-40	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that it doesn't take 80 years to clean up the rivers.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6196	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy section of PPC1 by altering any statements referring to Rule 3.11.5.7 so that this section is consistent with the submissions proposed modification of the land use change rule AND AMEND the explanation in the Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy section to give effect to the alternative approach proposed in the submission. AND DELETE the second and third sentences on page 16 in the Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy section.
Pamu Farms of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5847	Oppose the provision	AMEND 3.11 to provide a new policy for a systematic review of point source and their seasonal effects on water quality. Include ranked and prioritised sites, to offer alternative land and funding. All catchment community to fund, including Agri-community/processing industries. OR ADD a NEW Policy and Rules for systemic S.128 review of all existing discharge permits over the term PPC1.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6568	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy in its entirety. OR AMEND to re-notify PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by extending the timeframe.
Paterson, Chris and Amy Submitter ID: 73368	PC1-2300	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND bullet point three of the Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy so that it reads: "A property scale Nitrogen Reference Point be established by modelling current nutrient losses from each property, with <u>no property being allowed to exceed its Nitrogen Reference Point in the future based on the properties five-year rolling average.</u> "
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6269	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Background and Explanation AND AMEND the full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational to provide for clarification on flexibility for Māori land as per decision sought in the submission for Policy 16. AND AMEND paragraph eight to read "...This second stage will focus on land suitability and how land use impacts on water quality..." AND AMEND the last sentence of paragraph eight to read "Methods in Chapter 3.11 include the research and information to be developed to support this the determination of a discharge allocation framework".
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8457	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the section 'Background and explanation - Full achievement of the vision and strategy will be intergenerational' to include a note about low, medium and high discharges and what these actually are. AND AMEND first bullet point to read: "stock exclusion from all water bodies of <u>less than 15 degrees slope</u> as a priority mitigation action, <u>with alternative mitigations put in place elsewhere where this is a more efficacious solution.</u> "
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7080	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the section Full Achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be Intergenerational to clearly define the standards for water quality that allows swimmability and food to be taken, in relation to current water quality. AND AMEND the target to achieve 10% reductions in 10 years to be more attainable and realistic. AND AMEND bullet point 3 with regard to the Nitrogen Reference Point to read as follows: "a property scale Nitrogen Reference Point to be established by modelling current nutrient losses from each property with no property allowed to exceed its reference point in the future with a future Nitrogen Reference Point to calculated as new scientific evidence <u>and technology becomes available</u> ".

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND paragraph 6 with regard to municipal and industrial dischargers to read as follows: Municipal and industrial point source dischargers will be required to revise their discharges in light of the Vision and Strategy and the water quality objectives, and sub-catchments limits and targets that have been set. "This will happen as the current consent terms expire" <u>This will happen alongside the plan change with all current consents being up for review".</u>
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-11517	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the restriction of land use change from PPC1 AND AMEND so that farmers are able to manage or amend the Farm Environment Plan, with this being audited only.
Sinclair Family Trust Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-6776	Support the provision	RETAIN the staged approach where PPC1 aims at achieving 10 per cent of the Vision and Strategy within the next 10 years.
The Worsp Family Trust Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5098	Oppose the provision	DELETE restricting land use change and Farm Environment Plan provisions in the section titled 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational'.
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6229	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Full achievement of the vision and strategy.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2980	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Background and Explanation; full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational; fourth bullet point on page 15 to read: " <u>An accreditation system</u> to be set up for people who will assist farmers to prepare their Farm Environment Plan, and to certify agricultural industry schemes..." AND AMEND the second to last paragraph to read: "There are a range of existing provisions in this <u>the operative Waikato Regional</u> Plan that deal with activities that relate to forestry." AND AMEND the last paragraph to read: "In the short term, land use change from tree cover <u>woody vegetation</u> to farming activities, or any livestock grazing other than dairy or arable cropping to dairy farming, ..." AND AMEND the last sentence of this paragraph (p16) to read: "...This second stage will <u>potentially include a focus on</u> land suitability and how land use impacts on water quality..."
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11259	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the first paragraph of the Full Achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be Intergenerational section to read: "...The 80-year timeframe recognises the potential 'innovation gap' that means full achievement of water quality requires technologies or practices that are may not yet be available or economically feasible. In addition, the current understanding is that achieving water quality restoration requires a considerable amount of land to be changed from land uses with moderate and high intensity of discharges to and use with lower discharges (e.g. through reforestation <u>mitigation</u>) within high-risk sub-catchments. Whereas in other sub-catchments it will be more appropriate to focus on <u>applying mitigation methods via consent conditions, rather than simply preventing land use change.</u> " AND AMEND paragraph 4 and associated bullet points to read: "The ' <u>Stage 1</u> ' approach to reducing contaminant losses from pastoral farm land implemented by Chapter 3.11 requires: ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a property <u>or enterprise</u> scale nitrogen reference point to be established by modelling current nutrient losses from each property <u>or enterprise</u>, with nor property <u>or enterprise</u> being allowed to exceed its reference point in the future and higher dischargers being required to reduce their nutrient losses; <u>or</u> • <u>the introduction of a refined sub-catchment based nitrogen cap.</u>" AND AMEND paragraph 8 to read: "In the short term (<u>i.e. Stage 1 = 10 years</u>), land use change from tree cover to animal grazing ... will be constrained (<u>but not prohibited</u>). Provision has been made for some flexibility of land use for Māori land ... These constraints on land use

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			change are interim until a future plan change introduces a second stage <u>(i.e. 10-80 years)</u> , where further reductions in discharges..." AND AMEND PPC1 to consistently refer to 'property or enterprise' throughout [as opposed to just 'property'].
Williamson, Don and Robyn Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2782	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy by working with farmers to form a long-term plan by: AND CONSIDER revisiting the interpretation, including numerical interpretation through Table 3.11-1 and the numerical parameters, to ensure that it is achievable while giving effect to the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND PPC1 to have realistic achievable goals AND AMEND PPC1 to give farmers confidence to invest and encourages young people into the sector.
Williamson, Terry Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-792	Not stated	No specific decision sought for 3.11 Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy.
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-905	Oppose the provision	DELETE in the section titled 'Full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational' references to restricting land use change and Farm Environment Plans. Including reference in the last paragraph starting 'In the short term...' and the second bullet point starting 'Farm Environment Plans...', on page 15.

Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-104	Oppose the provision	DELETE the provision in its entirety. (Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy) AND DELETE the Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy provision in its entirety.
Brown, Tracy Lee Submitter ID: 72955	PC1-2172	Not stated	AMEND to replace 'on-farm' actions with 'land-based' actions. (Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy)
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10849	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the fourth paragraph to read: "...on the land towards achieving the Vision and Strategy <u>and the long-term objectives developed to give effect to that document.</u> "
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3600	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND last paragraph of page 16 as follows: "During the life of this Plan... Vision and Strategy <u>and the long term objectives developed to give effect to that document.</u> In addition..."
Hamilton, Jean Submitter ID: 73694	PC1-8392	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy to read: "The overall intent of Chapter 3.11 is to require resource users to make a start on reducing discharges of contaminants as the first stage of achieving the vision and strategy, with on-farm-land-based actions carried out..."
Hart, Patrick Submitter ID: 73519	PC1-8237	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND section 'Review progress toward achieving the Vision & Strategy' to change 'on farm actions' to 'land based actions'.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reviewing process toward achieving the Vision and Strategy Paragraph 4, to read; "...a property scale Nitrogen Reference Point to be established by modelling current nutrient losses from each property, <u>with no property being allowed to exceed its reference point in the future with future Nitrogen Reference Point to be recalculated as new scientific evidence and new technology becomes available.</u> " AMEND Paragraph 6 to read:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			"...municipal and industrial point discharges, will be required to review their discharges as the current consent terms expire alongside PPC1 with all current consents being up for review. " AND AMEND Paragraph 1 to read: "... with on-farm actions <u>land based and recreational activities</u> carried out and point source discharges reviewed as existing resource consents come up for renewal with existing resource consents to be reviewed. "
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1037	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the first paragraph of Reviewing progress towards achieving the Vision and Strategy to read: "The overall intent of Chapter 3.11 ... achieving the Vision and Strategy, with on-farm actions <u>land based and recreational actions</u> carried out and point source discharges reviewed as existing resource consents come up for renewal <u>existing resource consents to be reviewed...</u> "
McDonald, Iain and Jackie Submitter ID: 74032	PC1-4713	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide greater long term assurance for farmers
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9499	Support the provision	RETAIN the section in 3.11 titled: Reviewing progress towards achieving the Vision and Strategy
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8765	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify that second stage of discharge reductions in a future plan review be subject to full Schedule 1 RMA processes.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6571	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy in its entirety. OR AMEND to re-notify PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by extending the timeframe.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6272	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Reviewing progress towards achieving the Vision and Strategy AND CLARIFY that the second stage of discharge reductions in a future plan review be subject to a full First Schedule process.
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7085	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reviewing progress toward achieving the Vision and Strategy, Paragraph 1 to REPLACE " on-farm actions " with " <u>land-based and recreational actions</u> " and " and point source discharges " with " <u>existing resource consents to be reviewed</u> ".

Te Horopaki me ngā Whakamārama

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2983	Support the provision	RETAIN Te Horopaki me ngā Whakamārama

3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipa Rivers/Ngā Uara me ngā Whakamahinga o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7050	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1 Values and uses of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4302	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Primary Production as a Section 3.11.1 Mana Tangata value AND AMEND to recognise the national significance of the Northern Waikato in New Zealand's vegetable supply position.
Baldwin, Gray and Marilyn Submitter ID: 72499	PC1-10033	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11149	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by incorporating the values within PPC1 as Objectives, and providing express links between these values and subsequent Sections of PPC1 to explain the relationship between particular values and uses and the desired water quality outcomes (Freshwater Objectives). AND AMEND to include a new Objective 1A OR AMEND existing Objectives to give effect to the following intent: <u>"Water Management Values: Surface water bodies are managed in a manner which safeguards their life supporting capacity and recognises and provides for the Values in Section 3.11.1."</u>
Buckthought, Phillip David and Andrea Submitter ID: 72494	PC1-9895	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Values and Uses to enable measured allowances for periods of massive, partially unavoidable increases in E.coli levels.
Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006	PC1-3069	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.1 to involve all people and contaminants and supply science showing the worst contaminants AND ADD provisions so that everyone has flexibility of land use.
Chhagn Bros Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5507	Support the provision	RETAIN Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value under 3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9520	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8131	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Section 3.11.1 to identify the values for each Freshwater Management Unit.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10810	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Section 3.11.1, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-11258	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that the definitions and terms of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management are applied consistently, differentiating clearly and consistently between; the long-term desired outcomes and the short-term outcomes; and the concepts of freshwater objective, attribute state and a limit or target. AND AMEND all references to 'short term objectives' to read: "short term <u>freshwater objectives</u> " AND AMEND all references to 'long term freshwater objectives' to read: "long term <u>freshwater objectives</u> " AND AMEND 3.11.1 Values and uses the first paragraph read: "The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management Policy CA2 requires certain steps to be taken in the process of setting <u>limits</u> <u>freshwater objectives</u> ." AND MAKE such other changes as necessary to AMEND reference to 'water quality attribute targets' or 'water quality attribute limits' to read "water quality attribute <u>states</u> " or, where the context requires, to Objective 1 and/or Objective 3.

3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipa Rivers/Ngā Uara me ngā Whakamahinga o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE all amendments to both the English text and Māori translation.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10855	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.1 Paragraph 1 (first sentence) to read: "...taken in the process of setting limits ^A freshwater objectives." AND AMEND 3.11.1 Paragraph 1 (last sentence) to read: "...background to the objectives, and limits ^A in later sections".
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3602	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Section 3.11.1's first paragraph as follows: "The National Policy Statement... process of setting limits ^A freshwater objectives. These include... background to the objectives, and limits ^A in later sections."
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-12331	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that limits are based on actual ground-truthed data.
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-10607	Not stated	AMEND 3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of water and associated ecosystems • The natural form, character, functioning and natural processes of water bodies and margins, including natural flows, velocities, levels, variability and connections • The natural conditions of fresh water, free from biological or chemical alterations resulting from human activity, so that it is fit for all aspects of its intrinsic values • Healthy ecosystem processes functioning naturally • Healthy ecosystems supporting the diversity of indigenous species in sustainable populations • Recreational values
Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9435	Support the provision	RETAIN Section 3.11.1.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10170	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD " <u>Drainage</u> " value to 3.11.1 Values " <u>The rivers provide drainage for storm water and treated wastewater. The rivers' drainage function enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.</u> " <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Individuals, communities, industries and other commercial enterprises rely on the capacity of surface water bodies and their associated ecosystem services to assimilate storm water and treated wastewater.</u> • <u>The drainage function helps minimise the risks of flood damage to property and loss of human and animal life from drowning or disease.</u>"
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4011	Support the provision	RETAIN in Section 3.11.1 Primary Production as Mana Tangata value.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9934	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN primary production as a Mana Tangata value AND AMEND the primary production value to recognise the significant role Pukekohe and Pukekawa commercial vegetable production systems have in the national domestic food chain.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6635	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety and create a plan that sets water quality targets and limits that are achievable, sustainable and support the health and well-being of individuals, families and communities.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11222	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.1 to ensure that Freshwater values being managed for in the Freshwater Management Units to include the following, safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of water and associated ecosystems, the natural form, character,

3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipa Rivers/Ngā Uara me ngā Whakamahinga o ngā Awa o Waikato me Waipā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			functioning and natural processes of water bodies and margins, including natural flows, velocities, levels, variability and connections. The natural conditions of fresh water, free from biological or chemical alterations resulting from human activity, so that it is fit for all aspects of its intrinsic values, the healthy ecosystem processes functioning naturally, the healthy ecosystems supporting the diversity of indigenous species in sustainable populations and recreational values.
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5271	Support the provision	RETAIN in Section 3.11.1 Primary Production as Mana Tangata value.
Loft, Patricia Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-9846	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide that all landowners take part in its implementation.
Makan Daya & Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4989	Support the provision	RETAIN primary production as a Mana Tangata value under 3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8978	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure sheep and beef farms on hill country are planted in native species, following advice from local hapu, and that historical deforestation is replanted AND AMEND to enable farmers to make an income from native plantings through selective logging, selling seeds to local nurseries, and for use in Rongoa.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8766	Support the provision	RETAIN Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4180	Support the provision	RETAIN in Section 3.11.1 Primary Production as Mana Tangata value.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6277	Support the provision	RETAIN the Values and Uses.
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2234	Support the provision	RETAIN in Section 3.11.1 Primary production as a Mana Tangata value.
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2718	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1 AND AMEND to divide the region into sub-catchments which include all the region's diversity to ensure the water quality targets are achievable in the timeframe AND AMEND to clarify how to mitigate the effects of certain farming practices and the sensitivities of certain areas (swamps, soil types) on the water that enters the tributaries.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7226	Support the provision	RETAIN Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value in Section 3.11.1.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8190	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 to include Appendix 1 of the submission, which sets out appropriate freshwater objectives.
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-11284	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.1 Values and uses for the Waikato and Waipa Rivers to ensure that Freshwater values being managed for in the Freshwater Management Units do not include the following: safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of water and associated ecosystems, the natural form, character, functioning and natural processes of water bodies and margins, including natural flows, velocities, levels, variability and connections, the natural conditions of fresh water, free from biological or chemical alterations resulting from human activity, so that it is fit for all aspects of its intrinsic values, healthy ecosystem processes functioning naturally, healthy ecosystems supporting the diversity of indigenous species in sustainable populations and recreational values

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2984	Support the provision	RETAIN Section 3.11.1.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11260	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to insert links (via explanatory text or advice notes) between section 3.11.1 and subsequent sections to explain the specific relationship between particular values and uses and particular freshwater objectives (ie policies and rules).
Wai Shing Ltd Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2231	Support the provision	RETAIN in 3.11.1 Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.
Watercare Services Ltd Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8341	Support the provision with amendments	CLARIFY how the process prescribed in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management for developing freshwater objectives and values for Freshwater Management Units relates to the process followed in developing PPC1. AND CLARIFY whether the objectives and values in PPC1 have been developed and designed to give effect to the requirements of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and particularly Policies CA1-CA4. AND AMEND the section 3.11.1 Values and Uses to clarify the status of the values table's i.e are they objectives, policies or methods. AND AMEND PPC1 to clarify how the values tables are to be applied when assessing resource consent applications (e.g. are they applied as assessment criteria). AND AMEND the Values and Uses to clarify the nature and the content of the two columns of each value and uses table AND AMEND the Values and Uses to clarify the purpose of the three headings that apply to each value and uses table. AND AMEND the Use Value - water supply table to refer to 'domestic or municipal supply' and refer to the need for water to be available for existing and future domestic or municipal supply. AND ADD to the Values and Uses a new values table that relates solely to municipal processes. The table should include provisions relating to the use of rivers for municipal processes and the importance of the rivers assimilative capacity for discharges from municipal waste water treatment plants and from other municipal activities. The new table should recognise the benefits of these activities (municipal processes) in terms of the health, safety and social and economic well-beings of communities and for enabling their future growth.
Wiremu Trust Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8832	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN 3.11.1 Values and Uses.

Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River/Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o WaikatoThe Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012 extended Te Ture Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato to also cover the Waipa River and its catchment

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ballantine, Alan Maurice Submitter ID: 74094	PC1-8138	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include a definition of what swimmable water is and provide a measurable target AND ADD a provision to ensure water quality in water bodies is measured to show which catchments need improvements.

Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River/Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato/The Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012 extended Te Ture Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato to also cover the Waipa River and its catchment

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11385	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect the importance of the Mana Tangata value Primary Production AMEND PPC1 to ensure that prosperous communities result from the proposed rule framework.
Clapcott, Anson Submitter ID: 73149	PC1-4213	Support the provision with amendments	Reconvene the Collaborative Stakeholder Group in order to develop a plan that meets all the requirements. (Visions and Strategy)
de Haan, Andre Submitter ID: 73437	PC1-6254	Support the provision	AMEND the Vision and Strategy as set out in this submission.
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9836	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
H N Kloeten Ltd Submitter ID: 72664	PC1-7896	Support the provision	RETAIN the Vision for water quality of the river.
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5859	Support the provision	RETAIN the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7225	Support the provision	RETAIN the Vision and Strategy.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11221	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management until changes under Schedule 1 to give effect to Policy A1 and Policy A2 have become operative.
MacDonald, Janis Submitter ID: 71293	PC1-886	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Vision and Strategy 3.11.1 as is.
McKenzie, Colin and Valerie Submitter ID: 71225	PC1-1575	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the document gives effects to the Vision and Strategy by ensuring all parts of the community contribute to the improvement of water quality.
Meads, Glynn Colin and Joanne Leigh Submitter ID: 73392	PC1-6338	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1 Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6518	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 that the vision, purpose and goal is clear and includes the freshwater values it is trying to achieve.
Sellars, Michael David and Alison Jean Submitter ID: 72401	PC1-9165	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide rules for the 80-year timeframe. OR AMEND PPC1 to reflect the 10 year rule duration proposed.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5597	Support the provision	RETAIN the Vision and Strategy as proposed AND CONSIDER a rewrite of PPC1.
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-7262	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management until changes under Schedule 1 to give effect to Policy A1 and Policy A2 have become operative.

Te Mana o te Wai: Mana Atua, Mana Tangata

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9503	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1 Value and uses AND RETAIN electricity generation as a value and use.

Hononga ki te wai, hononga ki te whenua - Identity and sense of place through the interconnections of land with water

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-8515	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Hononga ki te wai, hononga ki te whenua.

3.11.1.1 Mana Atua – Intrinsic values

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Submitter ID: 68939	PC1-3091	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Section 3.11.1.1 under 'Intrinsic Values - History' an additional bullet point: " <u>Riparian zones may contain physical evidence, known or as yet undiscovered, of the relationship between iwi and the river.</u> "
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9969	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.1.1 by re-evaluating the Mana Atua (and Mana Tangata) to reflect the categories and our multi-cultural values AND ADD geothermal use values from 3.11.1.2 AND AMEND to include reference to ground water and swamps that supply rivers.

Intrinsic values - History

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11130	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua - Intrinsic values.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8136	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Intrinsic Values - History to include relationships of River Iwi to lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment as well as rivers.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11075	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua - Intrinsic values - History.

Intrinsic values - Ecosystem health

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11131	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua - Intrinsic values.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10768	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the intrinsic value Ecosystem health to add a new bullet point to come first, to read: " <u>Freshwater standards are set to achieve ecosystem health</u> ".
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8139	Support the provision with amendments	EXPAND on the broadly defined Ecosystem Health value to effectively provide for ecological health, ecosystem processes and biological diversity at specific locations, including as a minimum additional values to recognise inanga spawning, native fish migration, biodiversity hotspots (eg areas that are particularly outstanding due to their high proportion of native species and their role as a native species 'refuge'), and threatened/at risk species. AND AMEND 4th bullet point to recognise that while wetlands are important for attenuation of natural flood events, artificial flood storage can have detrimental effects on ecosystem health AND AMEND the 4th bullet point to recognise that while wetlands do have a nutrient/cycling function, they also need clean freshwater to achieve ecosystem health AND ADD a NEW bullet point to protect and restore shallow lakes so they are dominated by submerged native aquatic plants AND ADD a NEW bullet point to ensure lakes exhibit good water quality and are resilient to environmental disturbance and are not algal dominated AND ADD a NEW bullet point to support diverse native species populations including freshwater fish, birds and invertebrates in lakes and wetlands AND ADD a NEW bullet point to ensure that the ecosystem health of wetlands exhibit low disturbance from sediment, nutrients and water level change affecting water quality, are resilient to environmental disturbance and are dominated by native wetland vegetation AND ADD a NEW bullet point to ensure that the extent and ecological integrity of all wetlands is maintained and enhanced, providing for ecosystem services (water quality) and ecosystem health.
Gemmell, Richard Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1240	Support the provision	AMEND Section 3.11.1.1 to ensure Council puts a high priority on monitoring the health of those ecosystems and the fresh water populations, i.e. plants and animals.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11441	Support the provision	DELETE from Intrinsic value 'ecosystem health' the word 'clean' and REPLACE with "healthy living water".
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-10627	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Intrinsic values- Ecosystem health to include the value of a holistic integrated management approach to the restoration and protection of in stream biodiversity

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Intrinsic values- Ecosystem health to include the value of clean fresh water to restore and protect the aquatic native vegetation so as to provide habitat and food for native aquatic species.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11224	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Intrinsic values - Ecosystem health to include the value of a holistic integrated management approach to the restoration and protection of in stream biodiversity AND AMEND Intrinsic values - Ecosystem health to include the value of clean fresh water to restore and protect the aquatic native vegetation so as to provide habitat and food for native aquatic species.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11251	Support the provision	No specific decision requested 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua - Intrinsic values - Ecosystem health.
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-11285	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Intrinsic values- Ecosystem health to INCLUDE the value of a holistic integrated management approach to the restoration and protection of in stream biodiversity AND AMEND Intrinsic values- Ecosystem health to INCLUDE the value of clean fresh water to restore and protect the aquatic native vegetation so as to provide habitat and food for native aquatic species.

Intrinsic values - Natural form and character

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11133	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua - Intrinsic values.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10769	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the intrinsic value Natural form and character to include the appearance of water, including colour and clarity.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8152	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and AMEND the Intrinsic value 'Natural form and character' to include lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment as well as rivers. AND AMEND the attributes and characteristics of the Intrinsic value 'Natural form and character' to include natural elements, processes and patterns; biophysical, ecological, geological and geomorphological aspects; natural landforms such as headlands, peninsulas, cliffs, dunes, wetlands, reefs, freshwater springs; the natural movement of water and sediment including hydrological and fluvial processes; the natural darkness of the night sky (in the coastal environment); places or areas that are wild and scenic; a range of natural character from pristine to modified; and experiential attributes and their content or setting.
Gemmell, Richard Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1246	Support the provision	AMEND Section 3.11.1.2 to ensure Council puts a high importance on the health of the environment.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11442	Support the provision	ADD to the Intrinsic value 'Natural form and character' a bullet point to read: " <u>Rivers are a powerful force</u> ".
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11252	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua - Intrinsic values - Natural form and character.

3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata – Use values

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7057	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use Values.
Boom, Robin Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5728	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to make the significant benefits of primary production to New Zealand Inc and maintaining this the highest priority.
Lumbercorp NZ Ltd Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9936	Support the provision	RETAIN Section 3.11.1.2.
New Zealand Steel Ltd Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3695	Support the provision	RETAIN Section 3.11.1.2 as currently drafted OR words to similar effect.
Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7768	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1.2, AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to reflect horticulture as a Mana Tangata value, recognising the national importance of the northern Waikato area for domestic food production.
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9970	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.1.2 by re-evaluating the Mana Tangata (and Mana Atua) to reflect the categories and our multi-cultural values AND AMEND to transfer the Geothermal use values section to 3.11.1.1 Mana Atua AND AMEND to include the intrinsic history values to Mana Tangata.
Save Lake Karapiro Inc Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5610	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the timeframe for events beyond the life of the plan. (3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - use values). AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that as much as possible can be done to improve water quality within the life of PPC1. AND AMEND PPC1 so that if economic models are to be used to drive PPC1 they must include all missing factors identified in the submission. AND AMEND PPC1 to treat drainage as a central part of the problem, solve the cultural problem of the 'get rid of water mentality', put a price on quality soil based on the amount it costs to make a cubic metre of topsoil then assess the loss and levy it. Show by research the value of reducing overland flow velocities in terms of reduction in lost sediment, Phosphorus and pathogens and use these velocity and flow rate reductions as part of best practice mitigations.

Use values - Wai tapu

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11134	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8532	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Use values - Wai tapu to be expanded to include lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment as well as rivers.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11077	Support the provision	No specific decision sought 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values - Wai tapu.

Use values - Geothermal

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11136	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11081	Support the provision	No specific decision sought 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values - Geothermal.

Use values - Mahinga kai

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11138	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10770	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the use value Mahinga kai to specifically include fisheries AND AMEND to include brown and rainbow trout as sports fish of interest to anglers AND AMEND to include the Macroinvertebrate Community Index as an indicator to ensure the primary food supply of the ecosystem is considered.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8533	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use values - Mahinga kai to include lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment as well as rivers.
Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-11247	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include the wade-able level set by the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 to be included as a first step, instead of being swimmable and safe for food collection.
Gemmell, Richard Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1247	Support the provision	AMEND Section 3.11.1.2 to ensure Council takes measures to ensure the safety of eating food from our waterways.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11443	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use value 'Mahinga kai' fourth bullet to read: "The river should be safe to take food from <u>in non-hazardous flow conditions</u> , both fisheries and kai"
Moleta, Anita Submitter ID: 73770	PC1-4543	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Use values - Mahinga kai.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3922	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Use values - Mahinga kai the use of a timeframe for events beyond the life of PPC1 and ensure that as much as possible can be done to improve water quality within the life of PPC1.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11082	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values - Mahinga kai.
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3017	Not stated	CLARIFY the definition of 'swimmable' and 'able to take food from' in the Vision and Strategy AND confirm that these definitions have, in fact, formed the basis of PPC1 development. If not, then assess the additional level of impost above that is actually required and appropriate adjustment to the regime as required AND AMEND to ensure regular reporting by the Waikato Regional Council on these measurable objectives AND AMEND to take into account sub-catchment characteristics when addressing definitions and standards.
Turton, Francis James Submitter ID: 73883	PC1-3736	Oppose the provision	AMEND Use values - Mahinga kai, with the consent of the land owner, to be a proposal.

Use values - Human health for recreation

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11139	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10787	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the use value Human health for recreation to include the use of rivers, lakes and wetlands for recreational hunting and angling.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8535	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use values - Human health for recreation to include lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment as well as rivers.
Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-11248	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include the wade-able level set by the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 to be included as a first step, instead of being swimmable and safe for food collection.
Noakes, Anna Submitter ID: 73693	PC1-9545	Oppose the provision	AMEND use value 'Human health for recreation' so that it recognises that it is not realistic to keep water bodies swimmable at all times.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11083	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.

Use values - Transport and tauranga waka

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11141	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-8540	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use values - Transport and Tauranga waka to include lakes, wetlands and the coastal environment as well as rivers.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11084	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values - Transport and tauranga waka.

Use values - Primary production

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11142	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values.
Chapman, Brenhan J Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10204	Support the provision	RETAIN the 3.11.1 Value and Use - Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.
Chapman, John K Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10674	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1 Values and Uses - Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.
Chapman, Sharon M Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10718	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1 Values and uses - Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.
Chapman, Victor J Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10697	Support the provision	RETAIN in 3.11.1 Values and Uses - Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10067	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the fourth bullet point under Cultivation and Primary Production to read as "The rivers and the surrounding land offer unique opportunities for many communities and industries to operate, contributing to the lifestyle and sense of community, pride and culture in rural <u>and urban</u> Waikato."
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1329	Support the provision	AMEND rules within PPC1 to reflect Primary Production as a Mana Tangata use value.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1749	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to recognise the contribution of rivers to Primary Production to achieve not just economic well-being but environmental, social and cultural well-being of communities, locally, regionally and nationally.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11227	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use values - Primary production to include freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to avoid, mitigate, or remedy actions during harvesting operations that accelerate erosion and minimise the discharge of sediment to water bodies AND AMEND to include freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to limit riparian disturbance by felling away from the riparian zone except where unsafe or impractical to do so

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to include freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to avoid more than minor adverse effects (e.g on aquatic habitat)</p> <p>AND AMEND to include freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way that ensure that mechanical land preparation is parallel to the contour where practical</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 has clear, enforceable permitted activity standards that will effectively control potential environmental effects</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that where sufficiently clear, enforceable permitted activity conditions cannot be devised, move to a consenting regime</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that where permitted activity standards are unlikely to be sufficient in over-allocated catchments, a consenting regime is required to ensure that the cumulative effects of forestry on water quality are managed to achieve targets within a defined timeframe</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide setbacks to harvesting, pruning-to-waste, all earthworks and any mechanical operations</p> <p>AND AMEND to apply setbacks to intermittent, as well as perennial streams</p> <p>AND AMEND to protect the likely presence of threatened freshwater fish species and to provide appropriate protection to water quality, and riparian health,</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure a minimum setback of 10 metres on small streams; 20 metres on rivers between 3 and 20 metres; and rivers over 20 metres wide, and any protected by Water Conservation Orders should have a minimum setback of 30 metres</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure no intrusion into setback areas from all forestry operations</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that the setback from wetlands is 30 metres</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that 30 metre setbacks for permitted earthworks within significant ecological areas or the appropriate setback should be determined having regard to the slope, drainage class and soil content.</p>
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8306	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN 3.11.1.2 Use values - Primary production</p> <p>AND AMEND to recognise the contribution of rivers to primary production to achieve not just economic well-being but also environmental, social and cultural well-being of local communities, regionally and nationally.</p>
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6314	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Use values - Primary Production to ensure the use of consistent language that avoids any interference that there is a prescribed hierarchy as between competing uses and the implication that one could be prioritised over the other.</p>
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10004	Support the provision	<p>RETAIN the intent of the Use Value 3.11.1.2 Primary Production provisions as currently written.</p>
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3926	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Use values - Primary production so that if economic models are used to drive the plan they include all the missing factors listed in this submission.</p>
Sattrup, Grahame Paul Submitter ID: 73709	PC1-9405	Support the provision	<p>No specific decision was requested for Values and Uses - Primary Production.</p>
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11085	Support the provision	<p>No specific decision sought for 3.11.1.2 Mana Tangata - Use values - Primary production.</p>
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7034	Support the provision	<p>RETAIN Use Value - Primary Production as a Mana Tangata value.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-11286	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Use values- Primary production to INCLUDE freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to avoid, mitigate, or remedy actions during harvesting operations that accelerate erosion and minimise the discharge of sediment to water bodies</p> <p>AND AMEND to INCLUDE freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to limit riparian disturbance by felling away from the riparian zone except where unsafe or impractical to do so</p> <p>AND AMEND to INCLUDE freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way as to avoid more than minor adverse effects</p> <p>AND AMEND to INCLUDE freshwater objectives, attributes, limits and targets in such a way that ensure that mechanical land preparation is parallel to the contour where practical</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 has clear, enforceable permitted activity standards that will effectively control potential environmental effects</p> <p>AND AMEND to ENSURE that where sufficiently clear, enforceable permitted activity conditions cannot be devised, move to a consenting regime</p> <p>AND AMEND to ENSURE that where permitted activity standards are unlikely to be sufficient in over-allocated catchments, a consenting regime is required to ensure that the cumulative effects of forestry on water quality are managed to achieve targets within a defined timeframe</p> <p>AND AMEND to PROVIDE setbacks to harvesting, pruning-to-waste, all earthworks and any mechanical operations</p> <p>AND AMEND to apply setbacks to intermittent, as well as perennial streams</p> <p>AND AMEND to PROTECT the likely presence of threatened freshwater fish species and to provide appropriate protection to water quality, and riparian health</p> <p>AND AMEND to ENSURE a minimum setback of 10 metres on small streams; 20 metres on rivers between 3 and 20 metres; and rivers over 20 metres wide, and any protected by Water Conservation Orders should have a minimum setback of 30 metres</p> <p>AND AMEND to ENSURE no intrusion into setback areas from all forestry operations</p> <p>AND AMEND to ENSURE that the setback from wetlands is 30 metres</p> <p>AND AMEND to ENSURE that 30 metre setbacks for permitted earthworks within significant ecological areas or the appropriate setback should be determined having regard to the slope, drainage class and soil content.</p>
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4676	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND 3.11.1.2 Use values- Primary production to recognise the contribution of rivers to primary production to achieve not just economic well-being, but also environmental, social and cultural well-being of local communities, regionally and nationally.</p>

Water supply

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6081	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Use Value for Water Supply to include reference to water supply for commercial, industrial and primary production purposes.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10136	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Municipal and domestic water supply to read: "Municipal, <u>community, industrial, commercial</u> and domestic water supply.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			The rivers provide for municipal, community, industrial, commercial and domestic water supply, municipal supply, drinkable water supply and health which is essential for life and enables people and communities to provide for their <u>social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.</u> The catchments' surface and subsurface water is of a quality that can be effectively treated to meet appropriate health standards for both potable and non-potable uses. <u>The water supplied is used for many purposes, including, but not exclusively, for drinking water, food preparation, hygiene, cleaning, irrigation, heating, cooling, commercial and industrial processes, construction, sports, recreation, therapy, amenity and fire-fighting."</u>
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11086	Support the provision	AMEND the Use Value for Water Supply to include reference to water supply for commercial, industrial and primary production purposes.

Use values - Commercial, municipal and industrial use

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6083	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND as follows (or alternative wording which addresses the submission): " <u>The rivers are working rivers and supply water, generate electricity and support primary production, as well as providing drainage. The rivers also provide opportunities for activities which generate positive economic outcomes. Freshwater is used for industrial and municipal processes, which rely on the assimilative capacity for discharges to surface water bodies. In addition:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The primary production industries which rely on the rivers generate demand for products and services which, in turn facilitates economic growth. This enables individual businesses and communities to provide for their economic well-being and contributes to the vibrancy of small towns. financial and economic contribution, individual businesses and the community and the vibrancy of small towns. They are working rivers; they create wealth.</u> Those industries are <u>important critical</u> to the monetary economy of Waikato Region, <u>enabling a positive brand to promote to overseas markets.</u> The rivers provide for domestic and international tourism. Promotion of a clean, green image attracts international and domestic visitors. The rivers provide <u>drainage and</u> assimilative capacity for wastewater disposal, flood and storm water, and ecosystem services through community schemes or on-site disposal."
Falconer, Chris Submitter ID: 72027	PC1-3952	Oppose the provision	DELETE and no provision is made for on-going consent to discharge. (Use values - Commercial municipal and industrial use) OR such other relief that will address the issue raised in this submission.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11444	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Use values 'Commercial, municipal and industrial use', the word "assimilative".

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10152	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Economic or commercial development."Commercial, municipal and industrial use. The rivers provide economic opportunities to people, <u>communities</u>, businesses and industries. Fresh water is used for industrial and municipal processes, which rely on the assimilative capacity for discharges to surface water bodies. In addition:</p> <p><u>The Waikato and Waipā Rivers are working rivers. In addition to supplying water, providing drainage, generating electricity, and supporting primary production, the rivers provide other opportunities for wealth creation: The rivers provide for economic wellbeing, financial and economic contribution, individual businesses and the community and the vibrancy of small towns. They are working rivers; they create wealth. Those industries are important to the monetary economy of Waikato region, enabling a positive brand to promote to overseas markets. The primary production industries, together with the on-going development of new technology and diversification of products derived from the primary production, generate demand and opportunities for other supporting services and industries. This fosters economic growth and development and contributes to the vibrancy of the region's rural and urban areas.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The rivers provide assimilative capacity for wastewater disposal, flood and storm water, and ecosystem services through community schemes or on-site disposal."
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6316	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ADD the following statement to the left hand column of Use values - Commercial, municipal and industrial use to read: <u>"These industries contribute to the economic, social and cultural well-being of people and communities and are the major component of wealth creation within the region. These industries and associated primary production also support other industries and communities within the rural and urban settings."</u></p>
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-12325	Support the provision	<p>Retain the intent of the provision as currently written.</p>
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11087	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND as follows (or alternative wording which addresses the submission): <u>"The rivers are working rivers and supply water, generate electricity and support primary production, as well as providing drainage. The rivers also provide opportunities for activities which generate positive economic outcomes. Fresh water is used for industrial and municipal processes, which rely on the assimilative capacity for discharges to surface water bodies. In addition:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The primary production industries which rely on the rivers generate demand for products and services which, in turn facilitates economic growth. This enables individual businesses and communities to provide for their economic well-being and contributes to the vibrancy of small towns. Financial and economic contribution individual business and the community and the vibrancy of small towns.They are working rivers; they create wealth. • Those industries are important <u>critical</u> to the monetary economy of the Waikato Region, enabling a positive brand to promote to overseas markets. • The rivers provide for domestic and international tourism. Promotion of a clean, green image attracts international and domestic visitors.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The rivers provide <u>drainage and</u> assimilative capacity for the wastewater disposal, flood and storm water, and ecosystem services through community schemes or on site disposal."
Turton, Francis James Submitter ID: 73883	PC1-3739	Oppose the provision	AMEND Use values - commercial, municipal and industrial use to provide for the Waikato Regional Council to invest in systems and infrastructure to stop storm water runoff.

Use values - Electricity generation

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Genesis Energy Limited Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8731	Support the provision	RETAIN Use Values - Electricity Generation.
King Country Energy Limited Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7791	Support the provision	RETAIN Use values - Electricity generation. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-11308	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.1.2 Use Values-Electricity Generation.
Wilkins, Jean Submitter ID: 73523	PC1-8598	Not stated	No specific decision sought for 'Use values - Electricity generation', but the submission notes that as a country we need to work together for a healthy Waikato River and all New Zealand Rivers.

Use values - Mitigating flood hazards

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6084	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use Value - Mitigating Flood Hazards to include reference to livestock in value.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10167	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Mitigating flood hazards to read as "Flood management systems protect land used and inhabited by people <u>and livestock</u> . River engineering, including stop banks and diversions, protects land and infrastructure from damage by flooding."
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3948	Oppose the provision	AMEND Use values - Mitigating flood hazards, to treat drainage as a central part of the problem AND solve the problem of 'get rid of water' mentality AND put a price on quality soil based on the costs to make a cubic metre of topsoil and assess the loss and levy it AND show by research the value of reducing overland flow velocities in terms of reduction in lost sediment, phosphorus and pathogens and make this research available AND use the velocity and flow rate reductions as part of best practice mitigations.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11088	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Use Value - Mitigating Flood Hazards to include reference to livestock in value.

3.11.2 Objectives/Ngā Whāinga

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7873	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives so they are defined in terms of requirements for a healthy ecosystem. Where this cannot be defined, PPC1 should use criteria which include measures such as: Natural Character, Dissolved Oxygen, Macroinvertebrate Community Index, Cyanobacteria, Temperature, toxic heavy metals, deposited and suspended sediment and barriers to fish migration. [For the full list of suggested criteria refer to submission].
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-6996	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so there is a 20 to 30 year approach with clearly established up front water quality targets.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10790	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>New Objective: Restoration and protection of ecosystem health</u> <u>Ecosystem health is achieved in Waikato rivers, lakes and wetlands within 80 years as a result of staged reductions in point and non-point source discharges.</u> " AND ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>New Objective: Classification, Maintenance and Enhancement of Significant Wetlands</u> <u>a) All wetlands within the Waikato and Waipā catchments are assessed and added to Table 3.7.7 of the parent plan.</u> <u>b) Wetlands within the Waikato and Waipā catchments are maintained or enhanced to protect their ecosystems, including hydrological functioning and extent.</u> "
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-4790	Not stated	ADD NEW objectives, policies, methods and rules that ensure the sub-catchment approach to improving water quality is enabled and incentivised through the development of sub-catchment governance groups that will help the Council identify edge of field mitigations to help provide solutions to specific sub-catchment water quality issues AND AMEND to enable group/global consents to be granted at a sub-catchment level for sub-catchments to work together in meeting the bottom line water quality targets at sub-catchment level AND AMEND to acknowledge the importance of water quality monitoring at sub-catchment level by including the attributes total nitrogen and total phosphorous at the sub-catchment level AND DELETE approaches in PPC1 which hold land use to historic discharge rates based on historic use AND REPLACE with allocation based on the natural capital of soils which underpins land use suitability and ensures equitable outcomes.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11150	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required NEW Objectives which establish Freshwater Objectives based on the values of freshwater including cultural, recreational, and ecological values, along with consumptive values (ability to assimilate pollution, food production, and forestry). AND AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required NEW Objectives which change Table 3.11-1 numerical water quality targets to Freshwater Objectives as appropriate (i.e. chlorophyll a, clarity, E.coli), and remove these parameters from Table 3.11-1 and instead hold as numerical freshwater Objectives.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required new Objectives which recognise and provide for the establishment and operation of collaborative sub-catchment groups, both through regulatory and non-regulatory methods, in sustainably managing water quality and biodiversity issues facing a catchment, providing innovative and where required edge of field mitigation and which facilitates flexible, viable businesses and communities, and enables sustainable management of resources such as nutrients within the assimilative capacity of soils and water, to achieve the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.</p> <p>AND AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required NEW Objectives which ensure resource use is efficient including through establishment of nitrogen allocation frameworks if nitrogen is required to be allocated.</p> <p>AND AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required NEW Objectives which ensure that resource use takes into account the natural capital of soils including the natural productive potential of soils (for example Land Use Capability), climate, geology, and assimilative capacity of water.</p> <p>AND AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required NEW Objectives which provide for the economic and social well-being of people and communities, people and community resilience, adaptive management, and sub-catchment approaches lead by communities.</p> <p>AND AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives AND ADD as required NEW Objectives which ensure that limits and targets are set appropriately and enable the economic and social well-being of people and communities and ensure that they are resilient, vibrant, and future proofed.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that it adopts and truly encourages and empowers a sub-catchment approach to managing land use and water quality, tailored to the specific issues faced by the sub-catchment, and with appropriate time frames for achievement of its interim targets and long term Objectives.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to provide communities and individuals with certainty in relation to what will be required of them to enable sound business, succession, and investment decisions to be made, including investment into environmental mitigation.</p> <p>AND AMEND Objective 4 OR ADD a NEW Objective to give effect to the following intent: <u>"People and community resilience / Te Whaingā 4: Te manawa piharau o te tangata me te hapori, and the achievement of the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.</u> <u>Communities working together to sustainably manage land and water resources within their sub-catchments, to protect the values for freshwater, to maintain and where degraded improve water quality, and to protect and restore biodiversity, for generations to come."</u></p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 and PPC1 Objectives to make a clear distinction between what are Freshwater Objectives, Attributes, limits and targets. Freshwater Objectives would include values of freshwater such as cultural, ecological, primary production, commercial, and recreational and may include numerical parameters for periphyton, chlorophyll a, macroinvertebrate community indices (MCI) and sediment and clarity.</p>
Bell, Daphne Lois Submitter ID: 72987	PC1-6540	Support the provision	RETAIN PPC1.
Cuttance, William Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-3437	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Objectives to acknowledge that there is uncertainty in predicting how water quality attributes will change over time.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10521	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to clearly identify freshwater objectives that recognise and provide for intrinsic values for each Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 objectives so that they reflect a robust analysis under section 32 of the Resource Management Act.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW objective which safeguards ecosystem health and the health of indigenous species.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND ADD a NEW objective that recognises and provides for the values of freshwater fish species identified in Appendix C of the submission [See submission].</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW objective relating to Whangamarino Wetland, and the significant values of all wetlands that achieves the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> recognises the values and significance of the Whangamarino as a whole wetland system, comprising marsh, swamp, fen and bog wetland types. gives effect to the National Policy Statement Freshwater Management in recognising and protecting the significant wetlands and overall quality of freshwater is improved. <p>AND ADD a NEW objective which recognises the significant values of all wetlands.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW objective which recognises that all sediments and nutrients in the region ultimately accumulate in the Waikato Estuary, and which seeks restoration of healthy ecosystems from the mountains to the sea, including in estuaries.</p>
Downie, Janna Submitter ID: 71903	PC1-10137	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide more precise freshwater objectives</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that a greater range of freshwater attributes are managed: Te Hauora o te Taiao; natural character; dissolved oxygen (DO); deposited and suspended sediment; Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Health (Macroinvertebrate Community Index); periphyton; cyanobacteria; benthic cyanobacteria; temperature; pH; toxic heavy metals; barriers to fish migrations; and water flows and levels; and Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN); total nitrogen; and total phosphorous in the tributaries and sub-catchments</p> <p>AND ADD instream limits and associated targets for nitrogen loads, phosphorous loads, sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminant loads such as metals or organic compounds, micro-organisms and temperature</p> <p>AND ADD load thresholds in sub-catchments and catchments that are under resource use pressure</p> <p>AND ADD a freshwater implementation and program of change plan which includes: a) a transparent approach to developing monitoring, compliance, and implementation systems; b) steps to ensure that effective and cost-efficient monitoring, compliance and implementation capacity is in place at the time the regime is introduced; c) monitoring and reporting on and reviewing the implementation of the policy; d) transparent public information for freshwater discharges and takes; e) a Council report every two years on progress towards meeting objectives, limits and targets; and f) steps the Council will take if the combined interventions are not sufficient</p> <p>AND ADD Farm Environment Plans as a Controlled Activity</p> <p>AND AMEND so that contaminant allocations are based on Land Use Capability rather than on historic practice (grandparenting).</p> <p>AND ADD rules to prevent over fertilising, over stocking, over grazing, over watering and over draining</p> <p>AND ADD a polluter pays mechanism such as a pollution tax and use the revenue to both restore the water bodies and incentivise good land management practises.</p>
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10422	Oppose the provision	ADD a NEW Objective which provides for people and community resilience, adaptive management, and sub-catchment approaches lead by communities.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10811	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objectives in Section 3.11.2, other than Objective 5 (b), subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline	PC1-11652	Not stated	AMEND or REPLACE 3.11.2 Objectives to enable sub-catchment groups to manage their land and resources.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72820			
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9855	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives following further consideration of which attributes need to have limits and targets to ensure ecosystem health, giving consideration to the following list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural character (including the condition of the riparian margin) • Dissolved oxygen • Deposited and suspended sediment (the TLG recommended that water clarity was an appropriate defacto level) • Te Hauora o te Taiao/ the health and mauri of the environment • Freshwater macroinvertebrate health • Periphyton • Cyanobacteria • Benthic cyanobacteria • Dissolved inorganic nitrogen and total nitrogen in the tributaries/sub-catchments • Total phosphorus in the tributaries/sub-catchments • Temperature • pH • Toxic heavy metals, • Barriers to fish migrations, • Water flows and levels and estuaries. <p>AND AMEND PPC1 objectives to include limits for nutrient loads (nitrogen and phosphorus), sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminants loads (e.g metals, organic compounds), micro-organisms and temperature.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that in over-allocated catchments (where the existing load exceeds the desired limit) the Waikato Regional Council should set both interim limits and targets (a limit to be met by a defined time in the future). Targets must be demonstrably set at levels that will allow freshwater objectives to be set.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that once limits are set, pollutant loads to land should be identified on both a sub-catchment and farm level taking into account the assimilative capacity of the land and attenuation of the soil type. The Land Use Capability classification system should be part of this calculation until further research associated with a land suitability approach is complete or practicable to use.</p>
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5368	Support the provision	<p>ADD a NEW Objective to read:</p> <p><u>"The management of discharges onto or into land or directly into water and land use activities affecting groundwater and surface water quality in a manner that:</u></p> <p><u>a) Safeguards the life supporting capacity of water and recognises and provides for the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute goals in Table 3.11-1, through the adoption of the best practicable option;</u></p> <p><u>b) Where a discharge is onto or into land, avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on surface water or groundwater.</u></p> <p><u>c) Recognises that discharges contribute to social and economic well-being and in some cases significant investment relies those discharges, including rural based activities such as agriculture, perishable food processing and industry;</u></p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>d) Recognises that new regionally significant industrial discharges contribute to social and economic well-being and may be appropriate where such activities increase the net efficiency of resource use or where changes to land use."</u>
Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8434	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.2 Objectives 1-3 AND AMEND PPC1 rules to achieve the Objectives.
Hurley, Carl Submitter ID: 71347	PC1-5866	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 OR PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until withdrawn area is incorporated. AND AMEND PPC1 so it only applies to small local areas where there is a noticeable problem.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6187	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW OR AMEND existing Objectives to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability. AND WITHDRAW PPC1 AND NOTIFY when the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1773	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Objective that enables rural land owners to provide for their economic well-being and recognises the value of primary production to the Waikato community and national economy. AND ADD a NEW Objective that acknowledges that an improvement in water quality is tempered by historical land uses, and the effect of some contaminants (particularly nitrogen) discharged from land have not yet been seen OR AMEND existing objectives to recognise this.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11223	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives by including the following parameters as freshwater objectives: Natural character including the condition of the riparian margin, dissolved oxygen, deposited and suspended sediment, Te Hauora o te Taiao/ the health and mauri of the environment, freshwater macroinvertebrate health, periphyton, cyanobacteria, benthic cyanobacteria, dissolved inorganic nitrogen and Total Nitrogen in the tributaries/sub-catchments, Total Phosphorus in the tributaries/sub-catchments, temperature and pH, toxic heavy metals, barriers to fish migrations, water flows and levels, and estuaries. AND AMEND to include nutrient loads (nitrogen and phosphorus), sediment loads, E.coli, toxic contaminants loads, micro-organisms and temperature. AND AMEND to ensure that limits are set at levels that will allow freshwater objectives to be set AND AMEND to ensure that in over-allocated catchments (where the existing load exceeds the desired limit) the Waikato Regional Council should set both interim limits and targets (a limit to be met by a defined time in the future). Targets must be demonstrably set at levels that will allow freshwater objectives to be set AND AMEND to ensure timeframes are well defined and achievable AND AMEND to ensure that once limits are set, pollutant loads to land should be identified on both a sub-catchment and farm level taking into account the assimilative capacity of the land and attenuation of the soil type. The Land Use Capability classification system should be part of this calculation until further research associated with a land suitability approach is complete or practicable to use.
Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 1) Submitter ID: 72604	PC1-2919	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for the Objectives.
Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 2) Submitter ID: 72598	PC1-2868	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for the Objectives.
Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 3) Submitter ID: 72602	PC1-2906	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for the Objectives.
Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 4)	PC1-2892	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.2 Objectives.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72600			
Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 5) Submitter ID: 72606	PC1-2790	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for the Objectives.
Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 6) Submitter ID: 72590	PC1-2930	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for the Objectives.
Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4442	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas. AND RETAIN all objectives in PPC1.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9163	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-12323	Not stated	AMEND Section 3.11.2 Objectives by adding an objective that will provide for community and individual resilience, management processes which allow for adaptation, and community lead sub-catchment approaches.
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3462	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to review and set realistic Objectives that acknowledge the intergenerational time period will likely be at least 80 years. This timeframe is conditional on the development of the supporting sciences, modelling and changing land management practices and their impacts on communities in the two catchments.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11729	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8311	Not stated	ADD a NEW Objective that provides a balanced approach to enabling rural land owners to provide for their economic well-being, and recognises the value of primary production to the Waikato community and national economy. AND ADD a NEW Objective OR AMEND existing objectives to recognise the lag effect on water quality of some contaminants from historical land uses.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11878	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu	PC1-11576	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74088			AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11828	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Nicholas, Michael George, Raewyn Joan and Jonathon George Submitter ID: 73891	PC1-3387	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Objectives.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6364	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective as follows: " <u>The management of discharges onto or into land or directly into water and land use activities affecting groundwater and surface water quality in a manner that:</u> a) <u>Safeguards the life supporting capacity of water and recognises and provides for the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute goals- in Table 3-11.1, through the adoption of the best practicable option;</u> b) <u>For discharges onto or into land, avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on surface water of groundwater.</u> c) <u>Recognises that discharges contribute to social and economic well-being and in some cases significant investment relies on those discharges, including rural-based activities such as agriculture, perishable food processing and industry;</u> d) <u>Recognises that new regionally significant industrial discharges contribute to social and economic well-being and may be appropriate where such activities increase the net efficiency of resource use.</u> " AND OR AMEND the Objectives to reflect numerical levels and targets which give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 and the Objective of PPC1 to ensure consistency with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014.
Okell, Robert Steven Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-168	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives or review the technical information that supported the development of PPC1, including interpreting and decisions made. AND AMEND to give consideration of the management of river flow volumes through lakes as a mechanisms to improve water quality.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6708	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE 3.11.2 Objectives in their entirety. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12003	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years."</u>
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11678	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."</u> AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years."</u>
Ransley, Adrienne Anne Submitter ID: 73763	PC1-7089	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for 3.11.2 Objectives.
Ransley, Kelvin John Submitter ID: 73761	PC1-7096	Oppose the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for 3.11.2 Objectives.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10548	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."</u> AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years."</u>
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9976	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW objective to recognise actions already taken AND ADD a further NEW objective to protect non-Māori values OR AMEND Objective 5 to cover these aspects.
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4029	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to set realistic Objectives that acknowledge the intergenerational time period will likely be at least 80 years.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8094	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of the objectives.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11777	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."</u> AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years."</u>
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11958	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."</u> AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11626	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12235	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12053	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7769	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12170	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10271	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to read: " <u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: " <u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-7449	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND 3.11.2 Objectives by including the following parameters as freshwater objectives, natural character including the condition of the riparian margin, dissolved oxygen, deposited and suspended sediment, Te Hauora o te Taiao/ the health and mauri of the environment, freshwater macroinvertebrate health, periphyton, cyanobacteria, benthic cyanobacteria, dissolved inorganic nitrogen and Total Nitrogen in the tributaries/sub-catchments, Total Phosphorus in the tributaries/sub-catchments, temperature and pH, toxic heavy metals, barriers to fish migrations, water flows and levels, and estuaries</p> <p>AND AMEND to include nutrient loads (nitrogen and phosphorus), sediment loads, E-coli, toxic contaminants loads (e.g metals, organic compounds), micro-organisms and temperature.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that limits are set at levels that will allow freshwater objectives to be set</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that in over-allocated catchments (where the existing load exceeds the desired limit) the Waikato Regional Council should set both interim limits and targets (a limit to be met by a defined time in the future). Targets must be demonstrably set at levels that will allow freshwater objectives to be set</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure timeframes are well defined and achievable</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that once limits are set, pollutant loads to land should be identified on both a sub-catchment and farm level taking into account the assimilative capacity of the land and attenuation of the soil type. The Land Use Capability classification system should be part of this calculation until further research associated with a land suitability approach is complete or practicable to use.</p>
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12114	Support the provision	<p>ADD a NEW Objective to read: "<u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u>"</p> <p>AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: "<u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u>"</p>
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11935	Support the provision	<p>ADD a NEW Objective to read: "<u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u>"</p> <p>AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: "<u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u>"</p>
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3301	Support the provision	<p>ADD a NEW Objective to read: "<u>Objective 6: Dunes, Riverine, Volcanic and Peat Lakes Freshwater Management Units. Restore and protect water quality within lakes by managing activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units to achieve the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1.</u>"</p> <p>AND ADD Reasons for adopting Objective 6 to read: "<u>Objective 6 seeks to ensure that the water quality of all lakes within the Lakes Freshwater Management Units is restored and protected as part of achieving the Vision and Strategy. This will require the implementation of a lake-by-lake approach guided by Lake Management Plans for the management of activities in the Lakes Freshwater Management Units over the next 10 years.</u>"</p>
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4689	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ADD a NEW Objective that provides a balanced approach to enable rural land owners to provide for their economic well-being, and recognise the value of primary production activities.</p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Objective OR AMEND existing objectives to recognise the historical land uses and the effect of some contaminants discharged from land have a lag period.</p>

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8322	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Objective that requires property level allocation of discharges, prescribing that grandparenting or similar allocation methods will not be used, that fairly relates to the assimilative capacity of the land, water and their attributes, and not to historical practice.
Watercare Services Ltd Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8450	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 objectives and policies so they are RMA statutory plan objectives and policies and reflect best practice RMA plan drafting. AND AMEND the objectives and policies to clearly identify the objectives and policies that apply to farming activities and those that apply to municipal discharges.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE) Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9500	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to make PPC1's timeframe 50 years with a short term target of 20% reduction in emissions.
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-727	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure stock exclusion is staged in order to be affordable, practical and sustainable. (Objective 1)
Alcock, Carl and Jo Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2091	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1. AND any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
Alcock and Easton, Jo and John Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9214	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 AND AMEND to ensure the water quality targets in Table 3.11-1 are achievable AND AMEND to ensure that full achievement of Objective 1 and Table 3.11-1 does not result in underachievement of the objectives relating to social, cultural and economic health and well-being of people and communities.
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7060	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 so that the changes proposed in PPC1 are made as soon as possible.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6109	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 so that it addresses the imbalance between achieving the Table 3.11-1 water quality targets and providing for economic and community well-being. AND AMEND PPC1 to strengthen the requirements to provide for economic well-being, including vibrancy and resilience of farming within the region and certainty for the future.
Anderson, Jack L and Ann A Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4244	Oppose the provision	DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point - Objective 1 AND AMEND to deal with on farm problems. AND AMEND to include a plan of what there would be in 25 to 80 years.
Andree-Wiltens, Albert John Submitter ID: 71230	PC1-506	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-6992	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to include discharges from other point sources of pollution including urban discharges. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets are achievable and that farmers and communities remain prosperous currently as well as during the 80 year period. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5301	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to include discharges from other point sources of pollution including urban discharges. AND AMEND timeframe of PPC1 to 20-30 years, with clearly established science based interim targets and bottom lines for water quality established up front.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6090	Oppose the provision	Objective 1: CONSIDER reviewing and making amendments to the Section 32 evaluation of the scenarios considered for PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 as a consequence of the review AND MAKE any consequential amendments to Table 3.11-1 AND CONSIDER granting relief sought regarding land use flexibility, including land use change and offset mitigation opportunities.
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10806	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that 80 year attributes and targets are consistent with ecosystem health measures and achieving healthy freshwater ecosystems AND AMEND to include a range of attribute targets for all sites and sub-catchments that provide a clear linkage and assessment and measurement chain from the desired freshwater outcome to required reductions in nutrient loss from land by way of an allocation system AND AMEND to include appropriate sites for every sub-catchment AND AMEND to ensure clear linkages between Tables 3.11-1 and Table 3.11-2 AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to add missing targets and limits, including ecosystem health based limits and targets AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to adopt the relief sought in Appendix 1 of the submission (pages 66 to 69).
Awaroa Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-6592	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1.
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9450	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farm use change while providing for environmental mitigation and financial stability AND REMOVE reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND so that all landowners have the same Nitrogen Reference Point, which is the same level that high discharges cannot exceed.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6640	Oppose the provision	DELETE the long term land use provisions of Objective 1. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Objective 1. AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there is a clear indication of what land use is required on farms, including any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-4782	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to give effect to the Vision and Strategy including all 13 of its objectives with endorsement from all five river iwi AND AMEND PPC1 to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to provide for healthy and vibrant communities AND AMEND to give effect to water quality outcomes that are actually achievable AND AMEND to assess the E.coli / pathogen relationship and provide a more realistic representation of swimmable.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6567	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1. AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Balle, Patricia Katherine Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4431	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Objective 1. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to remove flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data. AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11386	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Objective 1: Long-term <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and protection of water quality <u>as relevant</u> for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit... ...By 2096, <u>the management of</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of the restoration and protection of the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
Barron, Daniel and Sarah Submitter ID: 73943	PC1-5127	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide for all main water bodies to be fenced and a grading system to be applied in which river, creeks, streams and swamps could be given a priority rating for fencing/stock exclusion.
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1654	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide for more science based evidence to ensure that these aspirations are actually achievable.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11154	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 AND/OR ADD new Objectives to give effect to the following intent: <u>"Objective 1A Water management Values: Surface water bodies are managed in a manner which safe guards their life supporting capacity and recognises and provides for the Values in Section 3.11.1.</u> <u>Objective 1B Targeted and risk based approach to managing land and water resources which is focused on sub-catchments:</u> <u>(ii) water quality is managed to ensure that:</u> <u>(a) water quality is maintained in those rivers and lakes where the existing water quality is at a level sufficient to support the Values in Section 3.11.1 Objective 1A</u> <u>(b) water quality is enhanced in those rivers and lakes where the existing water quality is not at a level sufficient to support the Values in Section 3.11.1 Objective 1A, so that the values are supported by 2097;</u> <u>(c) accelerated eutrophication and sedimentation of lakes in the catchment is prevented or minimised."</u>
Beverland, Robert William Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5193	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide clear guidelines to indicate in Farm Environment Plans as to what farmers can farm in relationship to the wetland and how farmers can farm around it.
Bodley, Jefferis William Submitter ID: 73429	PC1-2195	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers. Objective 1 AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources of contamination, for example koi carp and Canadian Geese, and effects of hydro-dams. AND AMEND to ensure koi carp are removed from the Waikato River and its catchments.
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2829	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 after reassessing PPC1 attribute targets.
Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-80	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 in its entirety. AMEND Objective 1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Buckley, Carol Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2536	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1 and the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1365	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1 and the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers.
Buist Family Trust Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3393	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Cameron, Bruce Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6129	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers sought by Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to - remove flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data; address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments; be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, for example Koi Carp, point source discharges, and hydro-dams.
Carter, Christopher Submitter ID: 73692	PC1-9627	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for the achievement of the water quality targets within 25 years.
Charion Investment Trust Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7591	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read : "By 2096, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in <u>the achievement of the restoration and protection and, where necessary, restoration of the 80-year water quality to achieve the 80 year</u> attribute targets in Table 3.11-1".
Clarke, Hamish Submitter ID: 71621	PC1-8459	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace it with objectives, water quality targets and milestones based on timelines that consider the physical aspects of the catchment, its people, available technologies, time for adoption of technologies, and the human and economic resources available for implementation AND REPLACE PPC1 with a plan that is practical and achievable and provides sustainable protection of its rural communities' prosperity and capability, while also ensuring that the health and well-being including social and economic values of its people and communities are safeguarded through independent assessment and continual reassessment.
Clements, Robyn Ethel Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7723	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 by carrying out a full land use study that covers the 80 years proposed. AND DELETE in Objective 1 reference to 'converting farm land back to forestry' OR AMEND to use methods that look at land use capability to assess land use.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10771	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80 year timeframe (2096) for achieving Te Ture Whaimana. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096 <u>at the latest</u> discharges of nitrogen..."
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9671	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and rewrite in consultation with landowners to ensure it is achievable, affordable and practical AND AMEND to include a plan for how the Vision is to be funded and physically achieved, including consideration of compensation for land use change AND REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms AND AMEND to provide a reliable and easy to use measurement basis for each contaminant and for each property AND AMEND to provide for Council to remove carp.
Crawford, Fraser and Liz	PC1-8053	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis AND AMEND to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73767			
Cuttance, William Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-3432	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 OR AMEND to acknowledge the uncertainty in predicting how water quality attributes will change over time.
Darke, Anthony and Adana Submitter ID: 72666	PC1-6459	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 by DELETING the word 'restoration', as this leads to an expectation that the river will be returned to its original state. AND ANY consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7616	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1, AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments. AMEND PPC1 to include all factors influencing river health and well-being.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10535	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to place a positive focus on water quality restoration and protection to give effect to the Vision and Strategy, through a reduction of the discharge of contaminants, including diffuse sources of nutrients and from erosion.
Dixon, Grant Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7734	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so the Farm Environment Plan provides the reference point for nitrogen efficiency for each enterprise. AND AMEND PPC1 so that an industry standard, forms the base measurement for increasing efficiency.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-471	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with a reliable easy to use measurement for each contaminant and each property. AND Council to develop a plan to get rid of carp DELETE all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model. AND AMEND to extend the time frame to allow alternative models to be developed. AND AMEND so that heavy polluters are not rewarded at the expense of light. AND CLARIFY how this will work in practicable terms.
Edmonds, Suzanne Louise Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-631	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to have a shorter timeframe.
Eel Enhancement Company Limited Submitter ID: 73062	PC1-4449	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to apply the property scale Nitrogen Reference Point system to cover sediment including fine sediment and apply 80 year targets.
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1148	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to have a plan that covers the full 80 years that provides more certainty for land users and their businesses. AND conduct more monitoring on the contaminants per sub-catchment. AND set more realistic water quality targets.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-281	Oppose the provision	Objective 1: Long-Term Land Use DELETE in its entirety OR AMEND the plan and re-notify once there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms. Nitrogen Reference Point AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in its entirety Contaminant Loss From Farms AND AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Farm Environment Trust (Waikato) Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-5052	Support the provision	Objective 1: AMEND to involve in the sub-catchment approach the whole community in both the actions and the timelines. AND AMEND to a sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND for all land owners and Waikato Regional Council to have time to organise the systems to make progress.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10417	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the first section of Objective 2: 'Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment' and include it within Objective 1 AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to managing land use and water quality tailored to the specific issues faced by the sub-catchment, with a 30 year timeframe to achieve its objectives and a 15-year review. AND AMEND PPC1 after working with farmers to form a long-term plan that achieves the Vision and Strategy AND REVIEW the interpretation of the Vision and Strategy, including numerical interpretation through Table 3.11-1 AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure the numerical parameters are achievable while giving effect to the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND PPC1 to have realistic achievable goals AND AMEND PPC1 to give farmers confidence to invest and encourage young people into the sector.
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5381	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9699	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Long term <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or protection of water quality <u>as relevant</u> for each sub-catchment and Fresh Water Management Unit. By 2096, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of the <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or protection of the 80 year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8277	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 OR AMEND to provide for Natural Capital, a flexible Nitrogen Reference Point, and sub-catchment water quality AND AMEND to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise AND AMEND water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
Findlay, Thomas David Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9404	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1.
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10393	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
Fletcher Trust Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5876	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read as follows: "By 2096 discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in the <u>achievement of the restoration and protection and, where necessary, restoration of the 80-year water quality to achieve the 80 year</u> attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10455	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "...By 2096, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in the <u>achievement of the restoration and protection, and where necessary restoration, of the 80-year water quality to achieve the 80 year</u> attribute targets states in Table 3.11-1."
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10861	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2026, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of the restoration and protection of the 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality attribute <u>targets^ states^</u> in Table 3.11-1."
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-992	Support the provision with amendments	Delete - to check first

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Gaudin, Philip and Pauline Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9078	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1, referenced in Objective 1, to ensure that water quality targets are achievable and realistic.
Gavins Limited Submitter ID: 73846	PC1-5516	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1. AND undertake research into the impact of the proposed environmental changes on social and economic effects with this information being reported back to the wider community and taken into consideration in PPC1.
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3603	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 as follows: "By 2096, discharges... of the 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality <u>attribute</u> targets <u>states</u> in Table 3.11-1."
Gilbert, Ben and Leanne Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2597	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to a sub-catchment approach, where targets are tailored to the waterways with a catchment.
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6448	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the water quality targets are achievable, provide for ecosystem health and enable prosperous, vibrant communities. AND AMEND water quality targets to provide for ecosystem health and cultural values, but also enable the social and economic well-being of people and communities. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the targets do not apply during flood events or when the parameter does not influence the value. E.coli limits should apply at times when people are likely to swim or when contact with water occurs for cultural reasons.
Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061	PC1-2314	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Goodwright, Sydney Alfred Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4333	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 the long-term restoration and protection. AND RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā rivers. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data (Table 3.11.1). AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.
Grainger, Chris and Andrea Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-7106	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide for an upfront study that covers 80 years specific to individual farms that shows all ramifications.
Graymont (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2835	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Greenplan Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2846	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that Objective 1 is more science-based to ensure that the aspirations are achievable.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3809	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 with clear notification as to what land use is required on a property going forward so farm use can be changed to meet both environmental mitigation and financial stability. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND REPLACE with a provision that the highest nitrate level (that the 'high users' must adhere to) be the upper level for everyone no matter where/what farming type. AND AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Objective 1 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11445	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and <u>natural</u> water <u>and removal of koi carp will</u> result in achievement of the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute targets."
Hale, Timothy John Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-1590	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and AMEND Objective 1 to better reflect how the economic sustainability of smaller rural communities is to be achieved. AND AMEND to include how the freshwater objectives are to be achieved over the 80 year timeframe to provide certainty for land owners and communities as to their sustainability.
Hamilton, Malibu Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9853	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9437	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5362	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute target goals in Table 3-11.1. <u>By 2066 discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of 30 percent of the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute goals in Table 3-11.1.</u> " AND AMEND by including the concept of the three well-beings into Objective 1.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-9282	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to provide an effects based solution that allows better parts of the farm to be used more intensively.
Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-536	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to provide a model to show what it will look like in 80 years. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements to be less restrictive. AND AMEND to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with an effects-based approach.
Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-4928	Oppose the provision	DELETE PPC1 and REPLACE with objectives with numerical water quality limits/targets which consider the reality of the Waikato, which are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being, including social and economic values, of people and communities are safeguarded.
Henson, Edgar Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10477	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Objective 1.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7471	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Objective 1: Long-term <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and protection of water quality <u>as relevant for</u> each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit... By 2096, <u>the management of</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of the restoration and protection of the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1." AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to reflect in stream nitrogen concentrations consistent with the 95th percentile target in the National Objectives Framework. AND REMOVE from Table 3.11-1 the E.coli numerical parameters for flood condition and high flow conditions/events.
Holmes, Gavin Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4531	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 and the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā rivers AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments (eg, Koi Carp, point source discharges, and hydro-dams) AND REMOVE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data in Table 3.11-1

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6043	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Objective 1. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitter's farm including any consequential amendments.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9939	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>the adverse effects from</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water <u>are reduced</u> resulting in achievement of the <u>desired state of intrinsic freshwater values for the Waikato River, represented by the restoration and protection of the</u> 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5861	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 so that allowance is made for weather conditions which can change the monitoring data results.
Hurley, Peter James Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1085	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND REPLACE with objectives, limits and targets that are achievable in terms of providing for the rivers life supporting capacity while ensuring the social and economic values of people and communities are safeguarded. AND make any consequential amendments.
Jefferis, Daniel Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6812	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā rivers AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments AND DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data (Table 3.11.1) AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7228	Oppose the provision with amendments	Conversion from Farming to Forestry AMEND Objective 1 to plan for the next 80 years, not 10. AND AMEND to a science/scientifically based approach. AND AMEND by providing realistic goals. Nitrogen Management DELETE Objective 1. OR AMEND by using the Farm Environment Plan to measure land use to land capability.
Jellie, Hugh Submitter ID: 73318	PC1-6212	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to monitor chemicals in pesticides and herbicides AND AMEND PPC1 to include targets to keep pesticide chemicals below known toxic levels.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6637	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3.11.2 to read: "Long term restoration <u>restoration-maintenance</u> and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit".
Johnston, Moss and Relda Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8095	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include urban and industrial contamination. AND AMEND PPC1 to identify pollution areas and deal with them accordingly.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-957	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 (Objective 1) water quality standards to an achievable level using the guidelines set by the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to set water quality standards and values that have been determined by communities that feed directly into the waterbody, and AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that numerical targets account for events e.g. floods,

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			And any consequential amendments arising.
Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5134	Support the provision	Objective 1 decision not stated.
Jolly, Robert Campbell Submitter ID: 60650	PC1-7500	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
June, Selwyn Submitter ID: 73354	PC1-4766	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096 2056, or earlier if effective mitigation methods are available, discharges of..."
Keane, Elizabeth Submitter ID: 73288	PC1-9626	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 so that the PPC1 approach is based on Best Management Practices and a pollution levy where pollution is above the assimilative capacity of the land.
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5486	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to match Objective 1 AND AMEND to ensure a sub-catchment approach is taken.
Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7853	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to provide for a full land use study that covers the 80 years.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-5950	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1. AND AMEND so the water quality targets are achievable.
Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5699	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to investigate the impact of the Nitrogen Reference Point in PPC1 on the flexibility of low impact pastoral land use.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1751	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to remove reference to Table 3.11-1.
King Country Energy Limited Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7802	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Lacewood Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8960	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 so the quality of the water is achievable. AND REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations. AND AMEND to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
Lawson, John Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11212	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
Lea, Helen Submitter ID: 73363	PC1-9234	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide for the limits proposed to be redefined to an achievable standard.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8863	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to a sub-catchment approach with communities working together AND RENOTIFY and allow submissions on this sub-catchment approach. AND DELETE provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point from Objective 1. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for a Land Use Capability approach. OR AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to allow catchments to monitor its own land use and nitrogen loading to maintain levels at current levels. AND AMEND Objective 1 to provide for the adjustment to PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and to ensure farmers to do not bear this cost.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, to be at a sub-catchment level to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts of farmers. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Lees, Brian Submitter ID: 71298	PC1-3214	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato river and its catchments for example point source discharges. AND REMOVE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12191	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments AMEND PPC1 to include all factors influencing river health and well-being.
Lowry, Karen and Peter Submitter ID: 73133	PC1-7983	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide an upfront study that covers the next 80 years that shows all the ramification, specific to the submitter's farm.
Lumbercorp NZ Ltd Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9941	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to ensure clarity in the application of the water quality target provisions.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2713	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to use a natural capital approach of what the land can actually handle OR use a sub-catchment approach and work within communities OR combine a natural capital approach and a sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND Objective 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future. AND AMEND to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
Mackenzie, David Stuart Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-2995	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data (Table 3.11.1). AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.
Macnab, Rob and Tina Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8009	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1 but revise the goals so it is plain what the long term outcomes for farmers will be.
Main, Richard and Margaret Submitter ID: 73927	PC1-3257	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9075	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-8825	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to ensure achievable water quality targets that provide for ecological health and cultural values as well as economic well-being for people and communities AND AMEND table 3.11-1 to ensure that the numerical targets do not apply during flood events or other inhospitable times when people normally don't have primary contact with the water.
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4154	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1. AND AMEND the rules to achieve the objectives.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Masters, Stuart Bruce, Melvah Joy and Brendon James Submitter ID: 72445	PC1-3845	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide more detail covering the full 80-year timeframe.
Matahuru Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-6978	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-consult AND AMEND to provide for the formation of landowner catchment committees (with supervision and support from Waikato Regional Council) to identify and address specific catchment and sub-catchment water problems AND AMEND to base actions on individual Farm Environment Plans.
Matira Sub Catchment Group Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9289	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 in its entirety OR AMEND to provide a land use suitability and a sub-catchment method that would work better.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11723	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8982	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure sheep and beef farms on hill country are planted in native species, following advice from local hapu, and that historical deforestation is replanted AND AMEND to enable farmers to make an income from native plantings through selective logging, selling seeds to local nurseries, and for use in Rongoa AND AMEND to ensure the OVERSEER Model is not used for a regulatory purpose AND AMEND to encourage the use of the Overseer Report and the Nitrate Leaching Estimate as part of a Farm Environment Plan AND ADD a method that will support farmers to reduce application rates of artificial nitrogen and phosphorus to a flat rate (30-50kg/ha/year) over time AND ENSURE that Waikato Regional Council considers working collaboratively with Ngati Rangi O Te Oro and the holistic environmental accounting/monitoring tool that whanau/hapu have developed.
McAlister, James and Maeve Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5824	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1.
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-55	Oppose the provision	Objective 1: AMEND to include a clearly set out model of what this [farming] will look like after 80 years AND ADD a provision that if tree planting is required as part of the 80 year plan rather than farm land, that compensation will be provided AND AMEND to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and replace with an actual measurement on each farm.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8307	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to remove references to Table 3.11-1.
McGregor, Colin Grant Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6649	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-5977	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and REPLACE it with objective and realistic numeric targets that the community can work towards together. AND AMEND the targets (Table 3.11-1) so they are set based on a numeric value of realistic and relevant goals specific to the Waikato and ensure that community social and economic expectations are not exceeded but achievable. AND AMEND targets to be flexible to account for uncontrolled environmental conditions such as flooding. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10927	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 following a review of appropriate E.coli levels and the requirement for these to be met over 365 days of the year AND AMEND to acknowledge the effect of weather events in contributing to E.coli levels in waterways AND AMEND to recognise farming systems and practices that contribute harmful pathogens to waterways and provide for mitigation for these systems AND AMEND so that invertebrates are used as an indicator of water health AND AMEND to provide greater recognition of how different farming and industry systems, soil types, topography and climate affect contaminant loads AND AMEND to require industry and urban communities to meet acceptable contaminant discharge limits AND ADOPT the Clean Water Report (Feb 2017) recommendations on E.coli, stock exclusion, and the definition of waterway.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11872	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
MD & CA Camp Submitter ID: 73799	PC1-5420	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and REPLACE it with objectives that include numerical water quality limits/targets that reflect the reality of the Waikato, are achievable and provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being, including social and economic values, of people and communities are safeguarded.
Meier, Peter Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-9478	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure robust data is captured for cyclical and 'one-off' events AND ENSURE that consideration is given to the public response to these events.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9504	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8767	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 such that sub-catchments are Freshwater Management Units.
Moerangi Trust Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4266	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to include a section whereby the economics of properties are incorporated with environmental aspects, so that it also takes into account the economic costs versus the environmental gains. AND AMEND the targets to ensure they are realistic and achievable in today's standards.
Moore, Josh Submitter ID: 70530	PC1-20	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Muir, Mark Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6850	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Objective 1. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments including koi carp, point sources discharges and hydro dams. AND DELETE from Table 3.11.1 the flood/high flow conditions from the water quality target data. AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of catchments with the highest discharges.
Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2014	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 by making it holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND AMEND by addressing contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments.
Murphy, William S Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6457	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-2437	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2554	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4604	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1.
New Zealand Steel Ltd Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3696	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to clarify application of water quality target provisions.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11570	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Ngati Hau Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11822	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Nichol, Peter Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-500	Oppose the provision	RETAIN Objective 1 provided the other points to this submission are taken account of, and scale back the objective to swimming during normal or lower flows.
Nicholas, Michael George, Raewyn Joan and Jonathon George Submitter ID: 73891	PC1-3388	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1.
Nicholson, Chris and Vikki Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3911	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Objective 1. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges and hydro-dams. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of catchments with the highest contaminant discharges. AND DELETE from Table 3.11.1 the flood /high flow conditions from water quality target data. AND MAKE any other consequential changes necessary to give effect to decisions requested in this submission.
Nukuhakari Station Ltd Submitter ID: 71905	PC1-8611	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 after improving the science to determine a more realistic goal, AND AMEND to provide financial assistance to work towards the adjusted goal.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6322	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute targets <u>goals</u> - in Table 3-11.1. By 2066 discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to land and water result in achievement of 30 percent of the restoration and protection of the 80 year water quality attribute <u>goals</u> in Table 3-11.1." AND AMEND Objective 1 to incorporate the concept of the three well-beings [social economic and cultural well-being].
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7291	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to ensure the aspirational goals are realistic and farmers do not bear all of the cost.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9371	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-4904	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 1 in its entirety OR AMEND PPC1 where a re-notification process is begun when there are clear indications of what land use is required on every farm in the Waikato Region with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by developing a fair system of nutrient allocation for the Waikato Region that allows communities and catchments to develop solutions that work towards the outcomes required. The plan could be amended and re-notified once an effective system is devised with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5290	Oppose the provision	DELETE from PPC1 provisions that create uncertainty about future land use requirements, AND REPLACE with an amended plan to provide for clear indications of what land use is required on a farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from Objective 1 in its entirety. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Paterson, Chris and Amy Submitter ID: 73368	PC1-2431	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 1 by providing for further research into the impact of the proposed environmental changes on social and economic effects with this information being reported back to the wider community to take into consideration.
Pepper, Matt Submitter ID: 73284	PC1-9644	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6578	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4345	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1.
Pizimolas, Luke Submitter ID: 71393	PC1-996	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to recognise that not all contaminants are an issue in all areas.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-11997	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Population Health Submitter ID: 73996	PC1-4861	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to encourage sustainable land use earlier to achieve targets over a shorter time frame.
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11671	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6278	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to provide for the identification of FMUs at a sub-catchment level.
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11128	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to revise the attributes in Table 3.11-1 to reflect achievable limits.
Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7770	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to reflect that where sub-catchment attribute targets are met, maintenance of water quality is sufficient.
Purdie, Les and Helen	PC1-4914	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 timeframes for stock exclusion.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71291			AND CONSIDER the health and safety impact of overhead wires needed to supply electricity to stock exclusion fences.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6934	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets are realistic, achievable, and allow for environmental, natural and seasonal weather changes as well as farming and community survivability. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events. AND AMEND PPC1 so that water samples are taken from rivers below Taupo before and after each town and/or city catchment to account for possible pollution from urban areas.
Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8490	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1, OR AMEND to model the effects of fencing and what it will look like in 90 years. AND AMEND to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and deal with problems such as sediment.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10543	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-2464	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10096	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to retain the overall intent; Objective 1 should read: "Objective 1: Long term <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or protection of water quality <u>as relevant</u> for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit. ...result in achievement of the <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or protection <u>as relevant</u> of the..."
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9971	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "...protection of the 80-year water quality attribute targets <u>in Table 3.11-1 for Freshwater Management Units (Table 3.11-xx).</u> "
Reeves, John Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-871	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 so that the target is attainable and science-based. AMEND to use ongoing science and monitoring to determine which outcomes, and their timeframes, are achievable. AMEND to ensure monitoring of water quality when new mitigation measures are adopted.
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8506	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
Riverheads Ltd Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3155	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to use credible science to determine the polluted areas so that energy and resources can be directed at these concentrated areas.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7133	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā rivers. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND REMOVE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments.
Roberts, Kelly Submitter ID: 73809	PC1-5069	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Rotorua Lakes Council Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2466	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Rowe, Susan Helen	PC1-6726	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 so the quality of the water is achievable

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72588			AND REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations AND AMEND to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
Saxton, David Christopher Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3352	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.
Scott, Fiona and John Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-851	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Long-term restoration, improvement and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit..."
Scott, Neil, Ann, Brent and Louise Submitter ID: 73024	PC1-1809	Oppose the provision	AMEND by removing the grandparenting approach from PPC1. AMEND by providing a sub-catchment approach to address the contaminants that are relevant to each area rather than a blanket rule. AND any consequential amendments.
Shabor Ltd Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1106	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide farmers with certainty beyond 10 years of PPC1 AND AMEND to conduct a full land use study that covers the full 80 years.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2566	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 regarding long term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments AND DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5031	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and RE-NOTIFY once there is clear indication of future rules AND AMEND to make PPC1 less onerous on hill county farmers until future requirements become clear. For instance, only require stock to be excluded from slopes less than 15 degrees, as per the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND REMOVE the grandparenting approach AND AMEND so that nitrogen is investigated at a sub-catchment level AND AMEND to set a band that all dischargers have to adhere to, that is equitable for all low and high dischargers AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10369	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify once there is a clear indication of future rules AND AMEND Objective 1 to remove the grandparenting approach AND AMEND to manage nitrogen emissions at a sub-catchment scale, with levels set according to the sub-catchment issue AND AMEND to set an emission range for all properties to ensure equity across all emitters AND AMEND Objective 1 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5599	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to provide for the goal of swim ability and fish ability 365 days per year to be reduced, or modified to allow for winter and high water flow events, AND AMEND to provide for more modelling on different scenarios, AND AMEND to provide for testing to be done only after a certain number of dry days during the year when the rivers are being used, i.e October through to April.
Sieling Farms Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5456	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to add " <u>The targets to be met shall not exceed the minimum standards or targets set by any current or subsequent National Policy Statement.</u> "

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Simpson, Greg John Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5524	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to be backed by a well-defined model that shows how the land and water will look in 90 years. Nitrogen Reference Point: AND AMEND to provide for the opportunity to use an effects based system to deal with the issues within each farm.
Simpson, Jennifer Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9874	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 so it is modelled and will clearly set out what the land will be in 80 years' time. AND DELETE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. AND AMEND PPC1 so that the effects of Nitrogen are addressed on a property basis.
Smith, Allan John Submitter ID: 72020	PC1-3026	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 so that the targets do not apply during flood events AND ENSURE targets are achievable.
Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1210	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers. Objective 1 AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, for example koi carp, point source discharges and hydro-dams. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging [omitting] sub-catchments. AND MAKE any other consequential changes necessary to give effect to decisions requested in this submission.
Smuts-Kennedy, Robin Submitter ID: 73323	PC1-6308	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to achieve the plan within 40 years.
Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1183	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11089	Support the provision with amendments	Refer to amendments sought to policies and rules regarding land use flexibility, including land use change and offset mitigation opportunities.
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2706	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1 AND AMEND to divide the region into sub-catchments which include all the region's diversity to ensure the water quality targets are achievable in the timeframe AND AMEND to clarify how to mitigate the effects of certain farming practices and the sensitivities of certain areas (swamps, soil types) on the water that enters the tributaries.
Stachurski, Wayne Michael Submitter ID: 73343	PC1-4344	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to align target settings for phosphorus, sediment and E.coli with National Policy guidelines.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5029	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE reference to Table 3.11-1 under Objective 1 and substitute the minimum standards as set out in the Ministry for the Environment's <i>Clean Water</i> document published February 2017. And within the National Objectives Framework in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. AND AMEND Objective 1 to allow movement of water quality within a band. AND AMEND so standards do not have to be upheld during flood events.
Stokes, Kelvin Arnold Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5246	Oppose the provision	With respect to Objective 1, AMEND to provide a detailed model of the affect of PPC1 aspirations on all citizens, activities and businesses AND AMEND so that all citizens are aware they have a part to play, not just a few selected farmers AND AMEND so that towns and cities are all brought to account in the same timeframe. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with an effects based system that encourages farmers to address actual farm problems e.g. sediment runoff.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4048	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 by providing a detailed model that clearly sets out the time frame between 0-80 years. AND AMEND by allowing the model to give expected outcomes as a result of the implementations made by the Council. AND AMEND Objective 1 by setting aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and provide a system which is effects based. AND AMEND Objective 1 by addressing actual farming problems rather than a blanket approach.
Stokman, Mark and Sharon Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-6685	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.
Taniwha Estate Ltd Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6513	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ do not have a specific decision sought for Objective 1.] [Fonterra: AMEND the reasons for adopting the Objective 1 to read: "...Objective 1 sets a long term <u>limits</u> ^ <u>goal</u> for water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy. Objective 1 sets aspirational 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality <u>targets</u> <u>states</u> ^, which result in improvements in water quality from the current state monitored in 2010-2014. The water quality <u>states</u> <u>attributes</u> ^ listed in Table 3.11-1 that will be achieved by 2096 will be used to characterise the <u>desired</u> water quality of the different Freshwater Management Units when the effectiveness of the objective is assessed. <u>Objective 1 gives effect to the Vision and Strategy.</u> "]
Tapp, Warren Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-1940	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Healthy Rivers but ensure the water quality targets are achievable and work towards a total ban on applying nitrogen to pasture. Objective 1
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8096	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1.
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9348	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Objective 1 the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model for regulatory purposes and any consequential amendments AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Taylor, Ian McNicol Submitter ID: 73406	PC1-7575	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2/PPC1/Policies by providing more flexibility. AND AMEND to include a commitment to monitor the actual total impact of these changes once implemented.
Taylor and Mellow, Mary Jane and Carwyn David Submitter ID: 71441	PC1-1791	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 by withdrawing the plan and replace with objectives and targets that are achievable and consider the involvement of all sectors within the community. These objectives should ensure that the health and well-being of people and communities are safeguarded as outlined under Objectives 2 and 4.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11771	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11921	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11620	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6879	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets provide for the ecological health, and cultural values of water bodies, as well as the social and economic well-being of people and communities. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events or when they are unlikely to be used.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12229	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12047	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7456	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8218	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Objective 1: <u>The restoration and protection of water quality to achieve healthy rivers by 2050</u> Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit" AND RETAIN the explanation.
The Surveying Company Ltd Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-5661	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 and targets set in Table 3.11-1 to be less prescriptive and consistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and the Vision and Strategy.
The Worsp Family Trust Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5073	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 1 in its entirety AND AMEND Objective 1 to consider all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
Thomson, Peter Submitter ID: 71208	PC1-6064	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives including numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, which are achievable, provide for the protecting of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.
Thorburn, Matthew Charles and Susan Raewyn Submitter ID: 74043	PC1-6665	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace with objectives and numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, and also ensure that the health and well-being, including social and economic values of people and communities, are safeguarded.
Timberlands Limited Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3067	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 by expressing the 80 year numerical attribute targets for nitrogen as a single set of TN numerical attribute targets measured in the main stem of the Waikato River at the bottom of each Freshwater Management Unit. AND AMEND by revising the 10 year numerical nitrogen attribute targets to show greater consistency between sub-catchment loads, making sure that the degree of reduction required is proportionate to the amount of current discharge.
Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7052	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 by clearly presenting science around freshwater quality in a way that can be followed by the communities in the catchment. AND AMEND by making the water quality targets clearer and easy to understand. AND AMEND by considering phosphorus over nitrogen and drop or revamp OVERSEER to adequately measure this.
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5758	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Trinity Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9752	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1/Table 3.11-1 water quality attributes to internationally acceptable levels: E coli 95% less than 1000/100ml; nitrate annual median less than 2mg/litre; water clarity at 1 metre at times of annual return low flow; and phosphorus less than 20mg/1000 litres.
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3018	Not stated	CLARIFY the definition of 'swimmable' and 'able to take food from'. Objective 1 AND CONFIRM that these definitions have, in fact, formed the basis of PPC1 development.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kouniga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			If not, THEN ASSESS the additional level of impost above that is actually required and appropriate adjustment to the regime as required. AND AMEND to ENSURE regular reporting by the Waikato Regional Council on these measurable objectives. AND AMEND to take into account sub-catchment characteristics when addressing definitions and standards.
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2736	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to align water quality targets with the National Policy Statement on water quality. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12159	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10256	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6772	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Objective 1 AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges and hydro dams AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments AND DELETE from Table 3.11.1 the flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
van der Voorden, Vera and Nora Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-11278	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
Verkerk, Gwyneth Submitter ID: 60476	PC1-1270	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 1 so that the importance of wetlands in ensuring sustainable farming practices into the future beyond the period of PPC1 must be given greater emphasis in the early stages of plan development. AND the work including expanding the regions wetlands is managed at a sub-catchment planning level.
Verry, Adrian Submitter ID: 73810	PC1-2469	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Objective 1 the Nitrogen grandparenting rule.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3780	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Long-term restoration and/or protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit."
Volker, Peter Submitter ID: 73690	PC1-10285	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 so that the time frame for achieving targets is 25 years and not 80 years, AND if necessary, amending targets so they are appropriate to the time frame.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12107	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11922	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3245	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 80-year timeframe in Objective 1. AND AMEND Objective 1 to read: "By 2096, <u>at the latest, or sooner where practicable</u> , discharges of nitrogen..."
Waikato Dairy Leaders Group Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-10908	Support the provision	RETAIN intent of Objective 1 as set out in "Reasons for adopting Objective 1".
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6232	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to recognise the potential off-sets to the costs of change, and the potential economic benefits, that will assist in limiting any social disruption.
Waikato Regional Council	PC1-2985	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72890			AND AMEND Objective 1 to acknowledge climate change as required by the NPSFM.
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3157	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4677	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to remove references to Table 3.11-1.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11261	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "Objective 1: Long-term <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or protection of water quality <u>as relevant</u> for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit... By 2096, <u>the management of discharges</u> of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens..."
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2076	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND FMU Map to show re-defined FMU's based on revised sub-catchments, taking into account the physical attributes of land areas. (Objective 1)
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10313	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1.
Walker, Richard Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6715	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 1 long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā rivers AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments AND DELETE flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data (Table 3.11.1) AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8254	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to include water quality targets to establish freshwater objectives AND AMEND by ensuring freshwater limits for all freshwater management units give effect to the water quality objectives in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management especially ecosystem processes and indigenous species including their freshwater ecosystems.
Walter and Doran, Peter Alan Susan and Casey Submitter ID: 73078	PC1-9116	Oppose the provision with amendments	Long Term Restoration No specific decision sought. Nitrogen Reference Point DELETE the use of OVERSEER for obtaining the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND REPLACE with soil types and stock class to find the amount of nitrogen the soil can hold before leaching. AND AMEND to use sub-catchments to identify the amount of nitrogen loss and amount of nitrogen to be applied through scientific evidence not a grandparenting approach. AND AMEND so that farms not exceeding the 75th percentile can work on nitrogen applications for accumulating 5 year average.
Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-1224	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1 the Nitrogen Reference Point calculation. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Jenefer Fay Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-1541	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to include the dairy sector within the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND RETAIN the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated over the 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial years. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.

Objective 1: Long-term restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 1: Te whakaoranga tauroa me te tiakanga tauroa o te kounga wai ki ia riu kōawaawa me te Wae Whakahaere i te Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Waterworth, Lewis Bruce Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-1512	Support the provision	RETAIN in Objective 1 the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated using the highest leaching loss over the reference period using 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial year. AND RETAIN using the current version of OVERSEER to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND RETAIN that the 75th percentile leaching value includes the dairy sector. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Serena Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-8561	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 1, AND AMEND to provide flexibility to move Nitrogen Reference Points across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Welch, Andrew Submitter ID: 71841	PC1-7933	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 1 to acknowledge the highly modified nature of the Waikato River environment.
Wellington Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71355	PC1-7157	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 1, AND AMEND by making available the plan beyond PPC1.
Welsh, Mikayla Submitter ID: 74184	PC1-6101	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and replace it with objectives including numerical water quality limits/targets that consider the reality of the Waikato, and are achievable, provide for the protection of its life supporting capacity, while also ensuring that the health and well-being including social and economic values of people and communities are safeguarded.
Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6889	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Objective 1. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments e.g. Koi Carp, point source discharges and hydro dams. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments. AND DELETE from Table 3.11.1 the flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data.
Wildman, Anna Mary Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3856	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
Williamson, Jack Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8920	Oppose the provision	AMEND to extend the reference period for Nitrogen Reference Points AND REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model to calculate Nitrogen Reference Points
Williamson, Stephen David Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8666	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 requirements for farms to be held at or below a property's Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model AND, if an allocation of nitrogen discharges is needed, base the allocation on the natural capacity of soils and not on existing land uses.
Williamson, Terry Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-767	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to align water quality targets to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. AND AMEND to include chemicals in the testing regime in Objective 1. AND AMEND to include roading/automotive discharges in Objective 1.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4143	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 1 long-term restoration and protection of water quality for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers AND AMEND by removing flood/high flow conditions from water quality target data AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges, and hydro dams AND AMEND by addressing contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-922	Oppose the provision	DELETE parts of Objective 1 relating to long term land use. AND DELETE parts of Objective 1 relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND Objective 1 to contain rules for Koi Carp elimination and measurement of all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
Wright, Nathan John Submitter ID: 72624	PC1-3082	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 and re-consult with the aim of providing certainty and reassurance that hill country farming has a viable future.
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11523	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments. AMEND PPC1 to include all factors influencing river health and well-being.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7062	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6110	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 so that it addresses the imbalance between achieving the Table 3.11-1 water quality targets and providing for economic and community well-being. AND AMEND PPC1 to strengthen the requirements to provide for economic well-being, including vibrancy and resilience of farming within the region and certainty for the future.
Anselmi, Denzil Peter Submitter ID: 72614	PC1-5139	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for more modelling on the social and economic costs of the plan and that the plan is not actioned until the Waikato community have been informed of the likely outcome and are in support of it.
Anselmi, Nicholas John Submitter ID: 72885	PC1-5944	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-6998	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 so that there is more focus on providing for the long-term social, cultural and economic health of the Waikato and Waipā communities.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5331	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to strengthen the provision of long term social, economic and cultural well-being of the Waikato and Waipā communities.
A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4304	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6094	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read as follows (or similar to address reason for submission point): " <u>Waikato and Waipā communities are able to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term (10 years) and long term (80 years), recognising that the Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy may ultimately benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment. This is turn will which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being.</u> "

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-4786	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 to give effect to the Vision and Strategy including all 13 of its objectives with endorsement from all five river iwi AND AMEND to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to provide for healthy and vibrant communities AND AMEND to give effect to water quality outcomes that are actually achievable. AND MAKE consequential amendments.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6573	Support the provision	ADOPT Objective 2 as notified. AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Balle, Patricia Katherine Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4441	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area and the Vision and Strategy have been amended AND conduct a section 32 analysis to investigate the impact PPC1 could have on society and the economy AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt appropriate mitigation AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to target the highest omitting sub-catchments AND develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11387	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural well-being is <u>recognised and</u> maintained in the long term... ...Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy experience <u>measureable</u> benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality <u>as relevant in each sub-catchment of</u> the Waikato River catchment, which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being." AMEND PPC1 to ensure the rules give effect to Objective 2.
Barker, Christopher Ferguson Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-3727	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read as follows: "...social, economic and cultural well-being is <u>maintained in the short term and the long term.</u> "
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1655	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested for Objective 2.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11233	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 so that it is made explicit that the Objective is to enable people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being, to be resilient and vibrant, and to provide for future generations. AND AMEND Objective 2 to give effect to the following intent: "Social, economic and cultural well-being is recognised and maintained in the long term /Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaŌngia tenoranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa. Management of land and water resources within the Waikato River Catchment recognises and provides for Waikato and Waipā communities and their economic and social well-being, vibrancy and resilience."
Beverland, Robert William Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5203	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 in its entirety.
Birkett, Bev and Bill Submitter ID: 71080	PC1-24	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Objective 2.
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8012	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Objective 2(a) that recognises the social, economic and cultural importance of low intensity farms, exempts low intensity farms from the requirements of PPC1. AND MAKE consequential amendments.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2830	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure negative effects on small rural communities are avoided or mitigated.
Bromham, Alexander David Clive & Judith Leigh Submitter ID: 73906	PC1-3486	Support the provision	Ensure Objective 2 is being met when considering the implications of each section in this document.
Buckley, Carol Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2537	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchments AND develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being AND REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with Objective 2 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended. Then conduct a Section 32 analysis on the revised impact that PPC1 could have on society and the economy.
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1370	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchments. AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with Objective 2. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments. AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended. Then conduct a Section 32 analysis on the revised impact that PPC1 could have on society and the economy.
Cameron, Bruce Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6130	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended, then conduct a Section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact of PPC1 on society and economy. RETAIN Objective 2. AND AMEND PPC1 to align with the intention of Objective 2 by - removing the Nitrogen Reference Point; enabling appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 addresses contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments. AND AMEND PPC1 to include robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006	PC1-3073	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 so that all people contribute to the restoration of waterways.
Carter, Christopher Submitter ID: 73692	PC1-9630	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to replace the nitrogen management approach with existing and improved monitoring systems for a targeted clean up in tandem with a contestable community fund to target the largest sources of point source pollution.
Carter, Michael and Jackie, Matthew and Amy	PC1-6420	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 but withdraw PPC1 until the impacts of implementing PPC1 have been properly assessed.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73372			
Chapman, Brenhan J Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10205	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Chapman, John K Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10675	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Chapman, Sharon M Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10719	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Chapman, Victor J Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10698	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Charion Investment Trust Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7600	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from <u>existing water use and will further benefit from</u> the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, <u>which enables</u> enabling the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Chhagn Bros Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5510	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed.
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9521	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1.
Clements, Robyn Ethel Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7725	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to provide an in depth cost benefit-analysis (social and economic) with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Coster, Paul Submitter ID: 72959	PC1-4093	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to recognise the support given by landowners and demonstrate the steps taken that acknowledge and alleviate their concerns and fears.
Coup, Martin Ross Amesbury Submitter ID: 73856	PC1-4894	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 2 to ensure Nitrogen allocation is not be based on historic values and instead on the lands natural capital.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9672	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and rewrite in consultation with landowners to ensure it is achievable, affordable and practical AND AMEND to include a plan for how the Vision is to be funded and physically achieved, including consideration of compensation for land use change AND REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms.
Crawford, Fraser and Liz Submitter ID: 73767	PC1-8013	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to ensure well-being is measurable.
Darke, Anthony and Adana Submitter ID: 72666	PC1-6463	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to take into account the cultural and social impact of PPC1. AND AMEND to restore the balance in the Plan between needing the rivers to be pristine, and needing a viable and resilient rural sector.
Denize, Brendan Submitter ID: 73850	PC1-3612	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN intent of Objective 2 AND ADD measurable targets. AND ADD procedures to protect the Waikato Waipā communities and their resilience. AND AMEND PPC1 so cost of PPC1 implementation does not fall solely on farmers. AND REMOVE the blanket approach to fencing waterways.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7619	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2. WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi area and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended, and a section 32 analysis has been conducted to investigate the revised impact of PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with Objective 2 intentions AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through Farm Environment Plans that align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments AND AMEND to develop and use robust indicators of social, economic and cultural well-being.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10537	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to recognise the benefits to the environment from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments. AND DELETE the word 'continue' from the objective.
de Thierry and Gawne, John William and Wendy Doreen Submitter ID: 71226	PC1-865	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule B to adopt farm environment plans as the means to measure Nitrogen loss and the management tool to mitigate Nitrogen losses. AMEND Schedule C to include best practicable options and extend completed work out to 10 year period. Adopt National Water Accord recommendations for waterways to be fenced. (Objective 2)
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-470	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Objective 2 all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model AND AMEND to extend the time frame to allow alternative models to be developed AND AMEND so that heavy polluters are not rewarded at the expense of light AND CLARIFY how this will work in practicable terms.
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1150	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to set a plan for the whole 80 years to minimise uncertainty for land users and ensure more robust economic analysis on the effect of PPC1 is developed.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10420	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to make it explicit that it is to enable people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being, and to provide for future generations AND DELETE the first section of Objective 2: " Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment " and include it within Objective 1 Objective 2: AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to managing land use and water quality tailored to the specific issues faced by the sub-catchment, with a 30 year timeframe to achieve its objectives and a 15-year review.
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5382	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9700	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or, protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, <u>in a way and at a rate</u> which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10394	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 2.
Fletcher Trust Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5881	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read as follows: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from <u>existing water use and will further benefit from</u> the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, <u>which enables enabling</u> the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10457	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, which <u>and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that</u> enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Fonterra Shareholders Council Submitter ID: 72610	PC1-10635	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to make the intent clearer as follows: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, which <u>and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that</u> enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Forlong, Maurice and Karen Submitter ID: 73728	PC1-7409	Oppose the provision	Objective 2: ENSURE collaborative work continues in the Waikato River catchment.
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10740	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "...water quality in the Waikato River catchment which <u>and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that</u> enables the people and communities to...".
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-985	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules to ensure they meet the requirements of Objective 2 and to ensure that farming can continue in a secure and sustainable way AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission.
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2861	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Waikato and Waipā communities... Waikato River catchment, which <u>and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that</u> enables the people and communities..."
Genetic Technologies Ltd Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3220	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to address the conflict between achieving the objective and the cost of mitigation by providing a rates relief package for the farmers most adversely affected.
Gilbert, Ben and Leanne Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2598	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to be supported by a thorough economic analysis of the cost of implementing PPC1 at a farm level and the financial implication for small rural communities with land that can be developed e.g. gorse blocks.
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6449	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and strengthen Objective 2 to ensure the economic resilience, sustainability and vibrancy of people and communities.
Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061	PC1-2315	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Goodwright, Sydney Alfred Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4334	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities. WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] and the WRA's Vision and Strategy has been amended. AND CONDUCT a Section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact PPC1 could have on society and economy. AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments to align with intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Greenplan Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2905	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11446	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 2.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Hale, Timothy John Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-1591	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and AMEND Objective 2 to better reflect how the economic sustainability of smaller rural communities is to be achieved. AND AMEND to include how the freshwater objectives are to be achieved over the 80 year timeframe to provide certainty for land owners and communities as to their sustainability.
Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9438	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5372	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 2 and incorporate the concept of the three well-beings into Objective 1.
Henson, Edgar Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10478	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Objective 2.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7486	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural well-being <u>is recognised and</u> maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy <u>experience measurable benefits</u> benefit from the <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and <u>/or</u> protection of water quality <u>as relevant</u> , in <u>each sub- catchment of</u> the Waikato River catchment, which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being." AND WITHDRAW PPC1 and consult with hill country communities.
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4013	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed.
Holmes, Gavin Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4534	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 and the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi area and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended AND THEN CONDUCT a section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact PPC1 could have on society and the economy AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments to align with intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9940	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment. <u>The restoration and protection of water quality should</u> enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5860	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Jefferis, Daniel Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6813	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] and the WRA's Vision and Strategy has been amended AND undertake a Section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact PPC1 could have on society and economy AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with intention of Objective 2

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND DEVELOP robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Jellie, Hugh Submitter ID: 73318	PC1-6219	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 2/PPC1 to include a measurement showing improvement in social and economic well-being.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1330	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed. AND AMEND rules within PPC1 to ensure that social, economic and cultural well-being of communities is maintained in the long term.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6947	Oppose the provision	PUT ON HOLD PPC1 until a plan can be provided that ensures the economic and social well-being of people and communities. AND AMEND to include the economic and social costs of PPC1 and use these to determine what the limits should be.
Johnston, Phillip Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9141	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 2/PPC1 based on an increased amount of scientific research AND AMEND PPC1 so that water quality is tested on farms
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-958	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2, AND AMEND the mechanism by which this is achieved, AND ANY consequential amendments arising.
Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5135	Support the provision	Objective 2 decision not stated.
Jolly, Robert Campbell Submitter ID: 60650	PC1-8358	Support the provision	ACCEPT Objective 2.
J Swap Ltd Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6405	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 as follows: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, <u>which and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that</u> enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5488	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to match Objective 2 AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a targeted sub-catchment approach AND AMEND to ensure that more information is shared by the Council and understood by all parts of the community, which will enable wider rules to be brought in if required.
Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7863	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to provide for a cost benefit analysis with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-5954	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2. AND AMEND to provide for the long-term social, economic, and cultural well-being of the Waikato and Waipā communities, including economic resilience, sustainability and vibrancy of people and communities.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1758	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 2 to recognise the importance of primary production activities to the economy of the Waikato Region, and the need for an appropriate regime to sustainably manage natural and physical resources.
King Country Energy Limited Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7817	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5272	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12200	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being provided for in Objective 2 AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area and the Vision and Strategy have been amended, and a section 32 analysis has been conducted to investigate the revised impact of PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with Objective 2 intentions AND ENSURE appropriate mitigation strategies through Farm Environment Plans that align with the intention of Objective 2 are enabled AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments AND AMEND to develop robust indicators of social, economic and cultural well-being.
MacDonald, Janis Submitter ID: 71293	PC1-888	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to recognise the impact that PPC1 will have on rural communities AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 is practical, achievable and economically viable.
Mackenzie, David Stuart Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-2998	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] and the WRA's Vision and Strategy has been amended, and a revised Section 32 analysis has been completed to investigate the impact PPC1 could have on society and the economy. AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with the intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan that aligns with the intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments to align with intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
MacLachlan, Daniel and Amanda Submitter ID: 73959	PC1-2965	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 by undertaking a thorough cost benefit analysis done in tandem with final amendments to plan.
MacLachlan, Lin and Adrienne Submitter ID: 73074	PC1-3718	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER undertaking a cost analysis of the impact of implementation.
Makan Daya & Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4990	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9136	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-8873	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to strengthen the provision of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being for communities, including ensuring the maintenance of economic resilience, sustainability and vibrancy, of people and communities.
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4155	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2. AND AMEND the rules to achieve the objectives.
Masters, Stuart Bruce, Melvah Joy and Brendon James	PC1-3847	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to ensure that no one is exempt from PPC1.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72445			
Matahuru Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-6985	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-consult.
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3463	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11724	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
McDonald, Kevin and Jane Submitter ID: 72145	PC1-8649	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Objective 2.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8308	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 2 to recognise the importance of primary production activities to Waikato's economy and the need for an appropriate regime to sustainably manage natural and physical resources AND CONSIDER undertaking a comprehensive and extensive assessment and quantification of the costs and benefits of PPC1 in accordance with Section 32(2)(a) and Section 32(2)(b) of the RMA AND AMEND PPC1 provisions based on this assessment.
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-5980	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Objective 4 Clause (b) as further uncertainty regarding additional plan changes are not beneficial to encourage resilience. AND AMEND PPC1 to set realistic goals which encourages community groups to work together to improve water quality. AND AMEND PPC1 to clearly outline how it plans to achieve the 80 year outcomes now, to provide some certainty for what is expected of farmers in the future without compromising businesses and livelihoods.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11873	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9506	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy (<u>as well as the regional and national communities and economies</u>) benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato <u>and Waipā</u> River catchments, which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8906	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 AND AMEND the last sentence of Reasons for Adopting Objective 2 to read as follows: "... and it is important to minimise social disruption <u>and economic hardship</u> during this transition."
Muir, Mark Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6851	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 2 the maintenance of the long term social, economic and cultural well-being of the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities. WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended and Section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact PPC1 could have on society and economy is completed. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of catchments with the highest discharges AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2017	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point to align with intentions of Objective 2. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND by addressing contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest omitting sub-catchments to align with intention of Objective 2. AND AMEND by developing robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-2438	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to ensure that funding is provided to assist farmers implement the requirements of PPC1. OR Waikato Regional Council to purchase farms/areas of farms at the pre-notification value.
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2555	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 2.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4605	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11572	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Ngati Hau Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11823	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Nicholson, Chris and Vikki Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3912	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 2 the maintenance of long term social, economic and cultural well-being of the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities. WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north-eastern portion of the Waikato River Catchment has been amendment and a Section 32 analysis of the impact on society and economy is completed. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains , through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of catchments with the highest contaminant discharges. AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6366	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 2 and incorporate the concept of the three well-beings [social economic and cultural well-being] into Objective 1.
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7294	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to include reference to other regional water quality rules and the proposed National standards AND AMEND PPC1 to enable Waikato farmers to be competitive within New Zealand and internationally.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9372	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 AND CONSIDER undertaking further analysis of the economic, social and cultural impacts.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6731	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 2 in its entirety. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Peacocke, Anthony James Submitter ID: 71352	PC1-6994	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Objective 2.
Pepper, Matt Submitter ID: 73284	PC1-9646	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 by adding a provision to provide farmers with ongoing support and guidelines to achieve cost-effective and practical improvements by way of Farm Environment Plans.
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4181	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6522	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so a more strategic and staged approach is implemented to ensure that Objective 2 can be realised.
Peterson and Carswell, Lance Colin and Sarah Submitter ID: 73899	PC1-5109	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for Objective 2.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6579	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-11998	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11674	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6279	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2.
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11129	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to remove the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis by requiring the land manager to apply the appropriate best practicable option and target the highest emitting sub-catchments AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made.
Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7772	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure social, economic and cultural well-being is maintained.
Purdie, Les and Helen Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4935	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER in Objective 2 the economic, and social impacts and cost to farming operations.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6938	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to strengthen it providing for the long-term social, economic, and cultural well-being of the Waikato Waipā communities to maintain the economic resilience, sustainability, and vitality, of people and communities.

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10600	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-1565	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Objective 2 a table that indicates measures of social, cultural and economic well-being. Include any consequential amendments.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10097	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the overall intent of Objective 2 while AMENDING it to read: "...economy benefit from the <u>maintenance</u> , restoration and/or protection of water quality in the Waikato River Catchment, <u>in a way and at a rate</u> which enables..."
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9974	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Social, economic and cultural well-being is maintained in the long term <u>and short term</u> /Te Whainga... ...which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for <u>and enhance</u> their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Reeves, John Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-872	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 so that the target is attainable and science-based. AMEND to use ongoing science and monitoring to determine which outcomes, and their timeframes, are achievable.
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8509	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 2.
Riverheads Ltd Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3153	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to provide a common sense approach and reliable data that is available to everyone AND AMEND to ensure that any decisions made don't target one industry just to assist others.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7135	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until Hauraki Iwi area and the WRA's Vision and Strategy has been amended AND AMEND section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact of PPC1 could have on society and the economy AND REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND RETAIN the long term well-being goal of Objective 2 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with Objective 2 AND CONSIDER developing a robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Roberts, Kelly Submitter ID: 73809	PC1-5070	Oppose the provision	AMEND so the intent of Objective 2 is to meet. AND AMEND to include a financial subsidy to pay farmers for the costs of implementing this change.
Rotorua Lakes Council Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2467	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2236	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to ensure and maintain economic and social community health.
Scott, Fiona and John Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-853	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Shabor Ltd Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1112	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide a long term projection of the impact of PPC1 on the people and communities and amendments after the submission process.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John	PC1-2571	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the withdrawn area and the Vision and Strategy have been amended.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73858			AND CONSIDER undertaking a section 32 analysis to investigate the revised impact PPC1 could have on society and the economy. AND RETAIN maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being of the Waikato and Waipā Catchment communities. AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Points from the rules. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments. AND AMEND to include robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5598	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed.
Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1211	Support the provision with amendments	Objective 2 RETAIN the maintenance of long term social, economic and cultural well-being of the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point in order to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan in order to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments in order to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1185	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 subject to amendments that remove reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point, to reduce the stock exclusion slope measure and to the definition of a waterway.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11093	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read as follows (or similar to address reason for submission point): <u>"Waikato and Waipā communities are able to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term (10 years) and long term (80 years), recognising that the Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy may ultimately benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment. This in turn will which enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."</u>
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4031	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide a greater emphasis on understanding that the economic effects of imposing a rule may affect achievement of the objective AND AMEND to find a pathway for farmers to address the environmental issues whilst still being capable of operating a viable and healthy business.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5033	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Social, Economic and Cultural well-being is continuously maintained in the long term . <u>Where there are negative economic impacts for the public good, public funding must be supplied</u> or words to that effect."
Stevenson Resources Limited Submitter ID: 73732	PC1-5023	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural well-being...Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment,

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			which and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."
Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-5150	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to provide for a high regard for the ability of communities to thrive and prosper.
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7036	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7229	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8098	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Objective 2.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11772	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11925	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11622	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6885	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to strengthen it to provide for the long-term social, economic, and cultural well-being of the Waikato Waipā communities. AND to maintain the economic resilience, sustainability, and vitality, of people and communities.
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12230	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12048	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7499	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being and <u>prosperity</u> ."
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	PC1-8220	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: <u>The restoration, protection and enhancement of water quality contributes to social, economic and cultural well-being</u> Social, economic and cultural well-being is maintained in the long term "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74122			
Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7076	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 by providing more flexibility in terms of time frame (short term 20 years, long term 100 years). AND AMEND by setting the water quality standard to 'wadeable' rather than 'swimmable' as central government has recommended would be a much more realistic target. AND AMEND by providing a plan where everyone is treated equally.
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5760	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Trinity Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9755	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that it balances the protection of both the river and the socio-economic viability of regional communities AND CONSIDER the modelled Scenario 3 as a more acceptable solution for balancing people, economy and environment and for achieving the requirements of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to what is achievable under Scenario 3 Modelling.
Trustees of Highfield Deer Park Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3884	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2740	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to strengthen provisions protecting the long term cultural, economic and social well-being of our communities. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12160	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10267	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6773	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities. WITHDRAW PPC1 until the provision for the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] are amended and the Vision and Strategy review completed, AND ENSURE a new Section 32 analysis has been undertaken which investigates the revised the impact on society and economy. AND AMEND the Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3783	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12108	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11923	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3248	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> is maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities... enables the people and communities, <u>in particular the Waikato and Waipā River Iwi</u> , to continue to provide for their social, economic, <u>spiritual</u> and cultural well-being <u>and prosperity</u> ."
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6233	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 2 to recognise the potential off-sets to the costs of change, and the potential economic benefits, that will assists in limiting any social disruption.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2989	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3158	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4680	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to recognise the importance of primary production activities to the Waikato's economy and the need for an appropriate regime to sustainably manage natural and physical resources.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11262	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural well-being is <u>recognised and</u> maintained in the long term... Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy <u>experience measurable benefits</u> from the <u>maintenance, restoration and/or protection as relevant</u> of water quality in the Waikato River catchment..."
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2078	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Wai Shing Ltd Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2232	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2 as proposed.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10314	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 2.
Walker, Richard Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6716	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] and the WRA's Vision and Strategy has been amended AND ENSURE a Section 32 analysis is conducted to investigate the revised impact PPC1 could have on society and economy AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments to align with the intention of Objective 2

Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term/Te Whāinga 2: Ka whakaūngia te oranga ā-pāpori, ā-ōhanga, ā-ahurea hoki i ngā tauroa

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6890	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 the maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities. AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the north eastern portion [Hauraki Iwi area] and the WRA's Vision and Strategy has been amended AND ENSURE a Section 32 analysis of the impact PPC1 on society and the economy is completed. AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest discharging sub-catchments AND AMEND to develop robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Williamson, Don and Robyn Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2786	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 2 to explicitly state that the objective is to enable people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and to provide for future generations AND DELETE the first paragraph of Objective 2 and include these words within Objective 1.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4168	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 2 maintenance of long-term social, economic and cultural well-being in the Waikato and Waipā catchment communities AND WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi area has been included, the Vision and Strategy amended, and a Section 32 analysis of the revised impact of PPC1 has been conducted AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point to align with intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND by addressing contaminants on a sub-catchment basis, to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments to align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND by developing robust indicators to measure social, economic and cultural well-being.
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11524	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 2 WITHDRAW PPC1 until the Hauraki Iwi area and the Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy has been amended, and a section 32 analysis has been conducted to investigate the revised impact of PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through Farm Environment Plans that align with the intention of Objective 2 AND AMEND to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to enable targeting of the highest emitting sub-catchments AND AMEND to develop robust indicators of social, economic and cultural well-being.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te whakaoranga me te tiakanga o te kounga wai i ia riu kōawāwa me te Wae Whakahaere Wai Māori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE) Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9502	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to make PPC1's timeframe 50 years with a short term target of 20% reduction in emissions.
Aitken, David John Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-719	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C to ensure that farmers may choose mitigations that allows stock exclusion to be staged, practical and sustainable. AND AMEND farm management practices are enhanced with education and support.
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7066	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 so that the changes proposed in PPC1 are made as soon as possible.
Anderson, Jack L and Ann A Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4247	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to include a plan of what there would be in 25 to 80 years.
A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4307	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale AND AMEND to ensure all four contaminants are given equal weighting when assessing contaminant reductions.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6100	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 as set out below, or such alternative wording in order to address the reasons for submission: "Actions put in place and <u>Changes to land use and water management</u> are implemented by 2026 which reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, <u>and</u> are sufficient to <u>eventually</u> achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10809	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the heading of Objective 3 to read: "Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit <u>as required by the Vision and Strategy</u> " AND AMEND the explanatory narrative to read: "Actions put in place and implemented by 2036 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and microbial pathogens, have achieved thirty percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80 year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 <u>once this Plan has been operative for 20 years.</u> " AND AMEND to define and refine short term targets AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include short-term attribute targets for all sites AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include a range of attributes and attribute targets that enable an accurate characterisation of water quality and ecosystem health AND that ensure freshwater resources are sustainably managed, provide for the

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			habitat of trout and indigenous fish and the significant values of wetlands, AND that measure progress toward outcomes and enable reviews to assess the effectiveness of PPC1 AND AMEND the Table 3.11-1 numerical 80-year targets to give effect to the water quality objectives of the Vision and Strategy AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to adopt the relief sought in Appendix 1 of the submission (pages 66 to 69) for rivers and streams, and add appropriate indicators for lakes and wetlands.
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9451	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farm use change while providing for environmental mitigation and financial stability.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6636	Oppose the provision	DELETE the long term land use provisions of Objective 3. AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there is a clear indication of what land use is required on farms.
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-4796	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to replace the 'staged' approach with an 'Adaptive Management' approach to managing nitrogen and all contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital as the basis of nitrogen management AND AMEND to enable transition toward the Vision and Strategy with Land Use Suitability as a starting point and using Adaptive Management as our understanding develops, reviewing and adapting through subsequent plan changes.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6569	Support the provision	ADOPT as notified Objective 3. AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Balle, Patricia Katherine Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4450	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 10% short-term improvement in water quality. Objective 3 AND AMEND PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to manage resources collaboratively and fairly AND enable tailored Farm Environment Plans that adopt appropriate mitigation in the context of water quality gains to be made.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11392	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of <u>maintenance</u> restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit... ...Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to <u>maintain or reduce</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>where relevant</u> , are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1" AMEND PPC1 to remove the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11482	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 so that it provides for and enables management approaches tailored to the sub-catchment unit or waterbody and which specifically focus on the issues identified for that waterbody (i.e. in some catchments it may be Nitrogen but in others it may be sediment). AND DELETE reference to 10 percent of the required change. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the interim targets and timeframes recognise and provide for the Economic and Social well-being of people and communities including implications for actions, investments, ongoing management changes and any social, cultural or economic implications. AND AMEND Objective 3 by extending timeframes to longer than 10 years, preferably 30 years.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Bodley, Jefferis William Submitter ID: 73429	PC1-2197	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN a 10% achievement of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources of contaminants for example koi carp and Canadian geese, and effects of hydro-dams. AND AMEND to ensure koi carp are removed from the Waikato River and its catchments.
Brodie, Philip Donald Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2831	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a definition of short term or be clear if short term means by 2026. (Objective 3)
Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-81	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3 in its entirety. AMEND Objective 3 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Buckley, Carol Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2538	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 and the 10% achievement of long-term water quality targets by 2026 AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Points from the rules AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1372	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 and the 10% achievement of long-term water quality targets by 2026. AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Points from the rules. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Buist Family Trust Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3395	Oppose the provision	AMEND to identify in Objective 3 specific problems causing reduced water quality.
C.J. & J.F. Luxford Submitter ID: 73961	PC1-9539	Not stated	Undertake research and find practical solutions to overcome uncertainty and achieve the 10-year targets. (Objective 3)
Cameron, Bruce Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6208	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the 10% achievement of the long term water quality targets AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Chapman, Brenhan J Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10206	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Chapman, John K Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10677	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Chapman, Sharon M Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10720	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Chapman, Victor J Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10699	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Chhagn Bros Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5512	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 by providing flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Chick, Adam Ross Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-7907	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to provide for a 30-35 year short term timeframe.
Clements, Robyn Ethel Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7895	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 3 OR AMEND to use methods that look at land use capability to assess land use.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10773	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3 as currently worded.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9673	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and rewrite in consultation with landowners to ensure it is achievable, affordable and practical AND AMEND to include a plan for how the Vision is to be funded and physically achieved, including consideration of compensation for land use change AND REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Oversee to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms AND AMEND to provide a reliable and easy to use measurement basis for each contaminant and for each property AND AMEND to provide for Council to remove carp.
Crawford, Fraser and Liz Submitter ID: 73767	PC1-8007	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to target the high-contaminant problem areas.
Cuttance, William Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-3434	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Objectives to acknowledge that there is uncertainty in predicting how water quality attributes will change over time.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10168	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3: Ten percent Short-term improvements in water quality as the first stage of <u>achieving Objective 1</u> restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/...Actions put in place and implemented by 2026... of the required change between current water quality in 2016, and the 80-year.... the short term water quality attribute^ targets^ <u>for each monitoring site listed in Table 3.11-1.</u> " AND AMEND Objective 3 to clarify that Table 3.11-1 is a list of existing water quality monitoring sites.
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7621	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3. AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10540	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 so that 10 year targets for water quality improvement be set for lakes using existing available expertise and models to prioritise those lakes to achieve a 20% improvement within 10 years. AND AMEND PPC1 to include the management of discharges of contaminants from point sources.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-406	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Objective 3 all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model AND AMEND to extend the time frame to allow alternative models to be developed AND AMEND so that heavy polluters are not rewarded at the expense of light AND AMEND to clarify how this will work in practicable terms.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AMEND to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with a reliable easy to use measurement for each contaminant and each property AND Council to develop a plan to get rid of carp.
Dysart, James David Submitter ID: 72722	PC1-5200	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to acknowledge the development and inclusion of future innovative and novel solutions which mitigate diffuse agricultural discharges based on the contaminants defined in PPC1, but are not currently accepted in models.
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1149	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to have a plan that covers the full 80 years that provides more certainty for land users and their businesses. AND conduct more monitoring on the contaminants s per sub-catchment. AND set more realistic water quality targets.
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-282	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE in its entirety. Objective 3 OR AMEND PPC1 and re-notify once there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms. Contaminant Loss from Farm AND AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
FarmRight Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5383	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9702	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Actions put in place to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to water, are sufficient to achieve at least ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1..."
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8278	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3 AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise AND AMEND water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10395	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1. AND AMEND Objective 3 when the scientific data is available.
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10459	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "...Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the desired 80-year water quality attribute targets states in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term desired water quality improvements states is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets states in Table 3.11-1."
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10741	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "...sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year desired water quality attribute targets states in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term desired water quality states improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute states targets in Table 3.11-1."
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2866	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Actions put in place and... sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent... 80-year desired water quality states attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change... long term desired water quality states improvements is indicated by the... attribute states targets in table 3.11-1."
Gemmell, Richard Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1216	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to have Council monitor each farm and seek acceptable levels of containment.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Gemmell, Timothy Clayton Submitter ID: 72908	PC1-9912	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "For this reason, the achievement of the objective will rely on <u>a measured increase in water quality measurement and monitoring of actions</u> taken on the land to reduce pressures on water quality."
Goodwright, Sydney Alfred Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4335	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 10% achievement of the PPC1 long-term water quality targets by 2026. AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Graymont (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2836	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3811	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 with clear notification as to what land use is required on a property going forward so farm use can be changed to meet both environmental mitigation and financial stability. AND AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Objective 3 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11447	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 Actions to support Objective 3 so that they reflect a better understanding of sub-catchment problems.
Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9446	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10211	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: " Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are <u>Changes to water management and land use sufficient to achieve, eventually, ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80 year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 are implemented by 2026.</u> "
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5374	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 so that improvements are required now to set the region on a firm trajectory toward achieving the 80 year goals, with measurable improvements in the first ten years and implementation of actions that are aligned with the long term goals.
Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-537	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to provide a model to show what it will look like in 80 years. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements to be less restrictive.
Hathaway, Bruce Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3744	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: " ... are sufficient enough to achieve ten percent of the required change to achieve sufficient change between current water quality water quality in 2010-2014 and the 80- year water quality attribute targets for each monitoring site listed in Table 3.11-1. "
Hathaway, John Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1051	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change to achieve sufficient change between the current water quality in 2010-2014 and the 80-year water quality attribute^ targets^ <u>for each monitoring site listed in Table 3.11-1...</u> "
Henson, Edgar	PC1-10479	Support the provision with amendments	No specific relief sought for Objective 3.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73631			
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7496	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to clarify now what will be required of farmers to achieve 80 year targets.
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4015	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Holmes, Gavin Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4550	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 regarding a 10 per cent achievement of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026 AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6045	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-9945	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "... A ten percent change towards the long term water quality attribute improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 <u>or achievement of the contaminant load reduction targets specified for each sub-catchment in Schedule 1C Table XX.</u> " For the purpose of this relief the submitter has produced a 10-year Sub-catchment Load Target Table (Schedule 1C Table XX) and attached it to proposed relief as part of a new Schedule 1C. As an alternative where it is mentioned in this submission, it could be inserted as a new part of Table 3.11-1.
Jefferis, Daniel Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6814	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 3 10 per cent achievement of the PPC1 long-term water quality targets by 2026 AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7231	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to plan for the next 80 years, not 10. AND AMEND to a science/scientifically based approach. AND AMEND by providing realistic goals.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1331	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules within PPC1 to reflect a sub-catchment approach to management of all four contaminants AND REMOVE the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point, unless a suitable alternative to the OVERSEER Model can be substantiated.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6950	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 in its entirety.
Johnston, Phillip Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9144	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3/PPC1 to provide for increased scientific data to support PPC1 If no additional scientific data/research to support PPC1 then DELETE Objective 3.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-959	Support the provision with amendments	Objective 3: Provide stakeholders with sub-catchment -specific economic data that demonstrates how targets can be met.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND any consequential amendments arising.
J Swap Ltd Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6406	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 as follows - "Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality states attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term <u>desired</u> water quality states improvements is indicated by Objective 3 the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. "
June, Selwyn Submitter ID: 73354	PC1-4771	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Actions put in ..., are sufficient to achieve ten <u>twenty</u> percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten <u>twenty</u> percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1." OR AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Actions put in place ..., are sufficient to achieve <u>at least</u> ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. <u>An at least</u> ten percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
Keane, Elizabeth Submitter ID: 73288	PC1-9628	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 so that the PPC1 approach is based on Best Management Practices and a pollution levy where pollution is above the assimilative capacity of the land.
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5489	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to match Objective 3. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a targeted sub-catchment approach AND AMEND to ensure that more information is shared by the Council and understood by all parts of the community, which will enable wider rules to be brought in if required.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1760	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to establish a more realistic goal, recognising that there are historic land uses affecting water quality that will continue to increase the nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens.
King Country Energy Limited Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7819	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8864	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3/PPC1 to a sub-catchment approach with communities working together AND RENOTIFY and allow submissions on this sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND to provide for PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and ensure the cost of this is not put on farmers. AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, to be sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts that are caused outside farmers control AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Lees, Brian Submitter ID: 71298	PC1-3218	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to include a sub-catchment management approach.
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5273	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Loader, A J Submitter ID: 74084	PC1-7402	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3 AND REPLACE with a staged approach on sub-catchments.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12206	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND ENSURE appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans are enabled.
Lumbercorp NZ Ltd Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9942	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to ensure clarity in the application of water quality target provisions.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2852	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future. AND AMEND to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
Mackenzie, David Stuart Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3003	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 AND AMEND Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Macnab, Rob and Tina Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8011	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include a full 80 year proposed regulation environment.
Makan Daya & Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4991	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land owners seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment scale.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9138	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Martyn, Anna Katrina Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4156	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3. AND AMEND the rules to achieve the objectives.
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3464	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read as follows: " <u>Changes to water management and land use are implemented by 2026 that achieve 10% of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11.1 whilst recognising the 'lag' period for nutrient flows already in the system.</u> "
Matira Sub Catchment Group Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9291	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3 in its entirety.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11726	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8979	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure sheep and beef farms on hill country are planted in native species, following advice from local hapu, and that historical deforestation is replanted AND AMEND to enable farmers to make an income from native plantings through selective logging, selling seeds to local nurseries, and for use in Rongoa.
McAlister, James and Maeve Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5825	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3.
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret	PC1-227	Oppose the provision	Objective 3: AMEND to include a clearly set out model of what this [farming] will look like after 80 years

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71175			AND ADD a provision that if tree planting is required as part of the 80 year plan rather than farm land, that compensation will be provided.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8309	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to establish a more realistic goal, recognising there are historic land uses that will continue to contribute nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and microbial pathogens to water.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11875	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9511	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8775	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify how measurement and monitoring will be undertaken (such as with respect to the 10 per cent of the required change by 2016) AND AMEND to ensure that sub-catchments are identified as Freshwater Management Units.
Moore, Josh Submitter ID: 70530	PC1-22	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Muir, Mark Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6852	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3's aim to achieve 10% of the long term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026 AND AMEND the Rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2020	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 3 a 10% achievement of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026. AND AMEND Objective 3 by changing rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to enable collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through tailored Federated Environmental Plans.
Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-2440	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide data to show the quality of water as it enters and leaves the property AND AMEND to provide more monitoring points along waterways to identify when and where spikes in contamination arise.
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2556	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 by requiring more scientific data on sub-catchment freshwater measurements.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4607	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3.
New Zealand Steel Ltd Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3697	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to clarify application of water quality target provisions.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11573	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust	PC1-11825	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73515			
Nicholson, Chris and Vikki Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3913	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3's aim to achieve 10% of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
North Waikato Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 73705	PC1-2283	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3 and the staged approach over 80 years.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6370	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to be consistent with the alternative approach in the submission [to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach] [overview of the alternative approach in Table 2 of submission] AND OR AMEND to read: "Actions put in place immediately and implemented by 2026 to reduce <u>non-point source</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve <u>Objective 1</u> . [as redrafted] ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80 year water quality towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute [^] targets [^] in Table 3.11-1."
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7297	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to target the contaminant(s)/issue specific to a sub-catchment FMU risk.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9373	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include scientific data of the current water quality near Honokiwi in the Moakurarua sub-catchment prior to the land use changing from low intensity sheep and beef farming to higher intensity farming. AND AMEND to ensure that once data has been obtained over a suitable recording period, the short term and 80 year attribute targets are set for the Moakurarua sub-catchment. AND AMEND to withdraw the Moakurarua sub-catchment until scientific data of current water quality is obtained and short and 80 year targets are set.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-4940	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 3 in its entirety. OR AMEND PPC1 where a re-notification process is begun when there are clear indications of what land use if required on every farm in the Waikato Region with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by re-notifying only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5291	Oppose the provision	DELETE from PPC1 provisions that create uncertainty about future land use requirements, AND REPLACE with an amended plan to provide for clear indications of what land use is required on a farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Pepper, Matt	PC1-9648	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73284			
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4182	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6528	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 3. AND REPLACE with a staged approach based on sub-catchments. AND AMEND Schedule C accordingly.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6580	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3 AND AMEND to provide precise baseline data/measurements for the water quality of the sub-catchments to enable future comparisons.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12000	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11675	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6280	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3. AND AMEND to provide clarification as to how appropriate measurement and monitoring will be undertaken and reported. AND AMEND to ensure that sub-catchments are identified as the FMUs.
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11132	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair allocation of the resources within the region, to achieve practicable reductions in discharges of contaminants across the Waikato and Waipā catchments.
Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7773	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3.
Purdie, Les and Helen Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4936	Oppose the provision	CONSIDER in Objective 3 the economic, and social impacts and cost to farming operations.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6936	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the water quality targets are realistic, achievable, and allow for environmental, natural and seasonal weather changes as well as farming and community survivability. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so the numerical targets do not apply during flood events. AND AMEND PPC1 so that water samples are taken from rivers below Taupo before and after each town and/or city catchment to account for possible pollution from urban areas.
Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8492	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3, OR AMEND to model the effects of fencing, and what it will look like in 90 years.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10544	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10099	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the overall intent of Objective 3 while AMENDING it by adding: <u>"Note: the ten percent change required by this objective is an overall improvement in water quality. It may not be feasible for each attribute in Table 3.11-1 to be reduced by ten percent."</u>

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8510	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a statement to Objective 3 that notes that many quality target locations already meet some (or all) of the 80-year targets, as noted in Table 3.11-1.
Ritchie, Hamish Submitter ID: 73935	PC1-2664	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to show how the reduction of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is going to be achieved over the 80 year period.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7138	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN 10 percent achievement of the long-term water quality targets by 2026 AND REMOVE reference to Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach.
Roberts, Kelly Submitter ID: 73809	PC1-5071	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to include to a catchment by catchment monitoring system, so that catchments with large amounts of discharge can be targeted more stringently than catchments with appropriate discharge.
Robson, Angus Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3971	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Objective 3 the timeframe and require best current practices to be used AND AMEND to require the most polluting activities to be subject to the greatest mitigation requirements, penalties, oversight, research, measurement and regulation AND ensure that there is enough room to innovate mitigations as new methods develop.
Russell, Jill Adrienne Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7088	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read as follows: "Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are sufficient to achieve ten per cent of the required change <u>change to achieve sufficient change between current water quality water quality in 2010-2014</u> and the 80-year water quality attribute targets <u>for each monitoring site listed in Table 3.11-1</u> . A ten per cent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets <u>for each monitoring site listed in Table 3.11-1</u> ."
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2239	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Rules to incorporate a sub-catchment system which addresses all contaminants. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model for vegetable production systems. (Objective 3)
Save Lake Karapiro Inc Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5634	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the timeframe in Objective 3. AND AMEND PPC1 to require best current practices to be used for all polluting activities. AND AMEND PPC1 to require the most polluting activities be subject to the greatest mitigation requirements, penalties, oversight, research, measurement and regulation. Ensure however that there is room to innovate mitigations as new practices and methods develop.
Scott, Fiona and John Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-854	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2575	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN 10% achievement of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026 AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Points from the rules AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5034	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and RE-NOTIFY once there is clear indication of future rules AND AMEND to make PPC1 less onerous on hill county farmers until future requirements become clear. For instance, only require stock to be excluded from slopes less than 15 degrees, as per the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10370	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify once there is a clear indication of future rules AND AMEND Objective 3 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
Simpson, Greg John Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5525	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to be backed by a well-defined model that shows how the land and water will look in 90 years.
Simpson, Jennifer Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9875	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 3 so it is modelled and will clearly set out what the land will be in 80 years' time.
Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1217	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN a 10% achievement of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Smuts-Kennedy, Robin Submitter ID: 73323	PC1-6310	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to achieve each time bound step in the plan in half the time.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11095	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 as set out below, or such alternative wording in order to address the reasons for submission: "Actions put in place and Changes to land use and water management are implemented by 2026 which reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and are sufficient to eventually achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80 year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent changes towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1."
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4032	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE Objective 3 with: " <u>Changes to water management and land use are implemented by 2026 that achieve 10% of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 in the period desirably to 2036.</u> "
Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2739	Oppose the provision with amendments	Objective 3: CONSIDER undertaking more work to assess existing information to ensure PPC1 measures and assumptions are correct.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5048	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 by substituting Table 3.11-1 with the minimum standards as set out in Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017 and within the National Objectives Framework in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. DELETE the entire paragraph AND REPLACE text to read: <u>"Put in place measuring and monitoring mechanisms to 2026 to inform the reduction of discharges, where needed, of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens."</u> AND AMEND by allowing movement of water quality within a band.
Stevenson Resources Limited Submitter ID: 73732	PC1-5024	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3:... Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year desired water quality states attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. 1. A ten percent change towards the long term desired water quality states improvements is indicated by Objective 3 the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. "

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Stokes, Kelvin Arnold Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5247	Oppose the provision	With respect to Objective 3, AMEND to provide a detailed model of the affect of PPC1 aspirations on all citizens and the impacts on their activities and businesses AND AMEND so that all citizens are aware they have a part to play AND AMEND so that towns and cities all brought to account in the same timeframe.
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4049	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 by providing a detailed model that clearly sets out the time frame between 0-80 years. AND AMEND by allowing the model to give expected outcomes as a result of the implementations made by the Council.
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7037	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
T.A. Reynolds Ltd Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7230	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8100	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Objective 3.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11774	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11954	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11623	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12232	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12050	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7589	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8221	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3: <u>Immediate improvements are achieved in water quality in each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit</u> Short term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit " AND AMEND the explanation to read: "Actions are put in place and implemented by 2026 immediately to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1"
The Worsp Family Trust Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5074	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE parts of Objective 3 relating to long term land use and Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND Objective 3 to consider all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association	PC1-7083	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 by ensuring point source consent holders are required to make reductions in pollutants at the same rate and within the same time frame as diffuse source land users.

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kounga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71751			
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5761	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "...Actions put in place and implemented by 2016 10 years from the Plan becoming <u>operative</u> to reduce discharges..."
Trustees of Highfield Deer Park Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3885	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to acknowledge that the short term goals may not be measurable within the 10 year timeframe. AND AMEND by changing timeframes in Table 3.11-1 to a more realistic date.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12163	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10268	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6774	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3's aim to achieve 10% of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026. AND AMEND the Rules to remove Nitrogen Reference Points. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3784	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12111	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11926	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3297	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Waikato Dairy Leaders Group Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-10909	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 3 as set out in "Reasons for adopting Objective 3" AND RETAIN the Objective 3 statement: 'Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80 year water quality attribute^ <u>targets</u> ^ in Table 3.11-1'.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2991	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 3.
Waipa District Council Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3159	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Short term Improvements <u>to water (ie. By 2026)</u> ..."
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4686	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to establish a more realistic goal, recognising that there are historic land uses affecting water quality that will continue to increase the nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11265	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are sufficient to achieve <u>an overall</u> ten percent of the required change between current water quality and

Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit/Te Whāinga 3: Ngā whakapainga taupoto o te kouniga wai i te wāhanga tuatahi o te wh

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			the 80-year water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1. A ten per cent change towards the long term water quality improvements is indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 <u>within each sub-catchment.</u> "
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2079	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND in Objective 3 FMU's to be comprised of sub-catchments that are grouped together by similar physical attributes.
Wai Shing Ltd Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2233	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
Waitomo Catchment Trust Board Submitter ID: 73124	PC1-7952	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that all discharges to contact recreation streams are considered as point source discharges [inputs] and monitored as such on a case-by-case basis. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that grazing adjacent to waterways and on steep contour is at least a controlled activity and where necessary a restricted discretionary activity. AND AMEND PPC1 to promote edge of field mitigation on farms bordering contact recreation streams. AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that robust, regular monitoring is mandatory where consented activities take place.
Waitomo District Council Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10315	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Short term Improvements to water <u>(ie. By 2026)</u> ..."
Walker, Richard Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6717	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 3 10 per cent achievement of the PPC1 long-term water quality targets by 2026 AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8255	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3.
Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6892	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 3's aim to achieve 10% of the long-term water quality targets set out in PPC1 by 2026 AND AMEND the Rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4172	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 3 ten per cent achievement by 2026 of the long-term water quality targets AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND by adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-924	Oppose the provision	DELETE parts of Objective 3 relating to long term land use and Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND Objective 3 to contain rules for Koi Carp elimination and measurement of all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
Wright, Nathan John Submitter ID: 72624	PC1-3083	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 3 and re-consult with the aim of providing certainty and reassurance that hill country farming has a viable future.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11525	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.

Objective 4: People and community resilience/Te Whāinga 4: Te manawa piharau o te tangata me te hapori

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Aldridge, Roderick Francis David Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7071	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to allow the stages of change to be set progressively to allow changes to occur at the most opportune time.
Allan, Eric Submitter ID: 73438	PC1-6111	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so that it addresses the imbalance between achieving the Table 3.11-1 water quality targets and providing for economic and community well-being. AND AMEND PPC1 to strengthen the requirements to provide for economic well-being, including vibrancy and resilience of farming within the region and certainty for the future.
Anderson, Jack L and Ann A Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4255	Oppose the provision	DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point. Objective 4 AND AMEND to deal with on farm problems.
Andree-Wiltens, Albert John Submitter ID: 71230	PC1-510	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so that the cost of lost land is spread equitably among all New Zealanders. The land that is fenced off is surveyed so that rates are not collected and/or the land is bought by government and/or land lots given to farmers to sell as compensation e.g. Maungatautari Ecological Island Trust.
Aston, Lucy Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7029	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so it provides for people and community resilience over the life of the plan. AND DELETE numerical freshwater objectives if they are not achievable. AND AMEND PPC1 to clearly set out how the required outcomes at year 80 will be reached. AND DELETE Objective 4b.
Aston, Penelope Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5341	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 4 (b) AND AMEND Objective 4 so it provides for people and community resilience over the life of the plan in the short term (10 years) and the long term (20-30 years).
A S Wilcox & Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4308	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long-term.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6113	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 as follows: "A staged approach to <u>changing e enables people and communities to provide for their the management of land use and related diffuse discharges undertake adaptive</u> enables people and communities to <u>continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term (the period to 2026) and to continue to realise the values and uses while:</u> a. <u>considering the values and uses when</u> taking action to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1; and

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			b. recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed in order to meet Objective 1."
Babington, Cliff and Leonie Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9453	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farm use change while providing for environmental mitigation and financial stability AND REMOVE reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND so that all landowners have the same Nitrogen Reference Point, which is the same level that high discharges cannot exceed.
Babington, Kelvin and Katherine Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6639	Oppose the provision	DELETE the long term land use provisions of Objective 4. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Objective 4. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there is a clear indication of what land use is required on farms.
Bailey, James Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9018	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to replace the 'staged' approach with an 'Adaptive Management' approach to managing nitrogen and all contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital as the basis of nitrogen management AND AMEND to enable transition toward the Vision and Strategy with Land Use Suitability as a starting point and using Adaptive Management as our understanding develops, reviewing and adapting through subsequent plan changes.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6574	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive <u>implement management responses (including those set out in Implementation Methods set out under section 3.11.4)</u> to continue to provide..." AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
Balle, Patricia Katherine Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4451	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach in Objective 4 AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions and land use change restrictions AND enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt appropriate mitigation in relation to water quality gains to be made AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to ensure resources are managed fairly and collaboratively.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11393	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the rule framework gives effect to Objective 4.
Barker, Christopher Ferguson Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-3732	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4(b) to read as follows: "recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future <u>implementation methods and</u> management approaches that will may be needed <u>required by subsequent regional plans</u> to meet Objective 1."
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1657	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested for Objective 4.
Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11483	Oppose the provision	ADD a NEW Objective to PPC1 which provides for people and community resilience, adaptive management, and sub-catchment approaches led by communities. AND DELETE from Objective 4 reference to the staged approach and future plan changes including increasing stringency in land use controls and requirements AND REPLACE with adaptive management Objectives and Policies. AND AMEND Objective 4 OR ADD a new Objective to PPC1 to give effect to the following intent: "People and community resilience / Te Whainga 4: Te manawa piharau o te tangata me te hapori, <u>and the achievement of the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>Communities working together to sustainably manage land and water resources within their sub-catchments, to protect the values for freshwater, to maintain and where degraded improve water quality, and to protect and restore biodiversity, for generations to come.</u></p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 interim targets so that they apply at a longer time frame such as 30 years, for those parameters which are significantly over allocated now AND AMEND the interim targets so that they progressively reduce over allocation at a rate and scale which provides for people and community resilience including economic well-being.</p> <p>AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 and Objective 4 so that PPC1 provides a pathway for individuals and communities to work together to achieve the Vision and Strategy over the long term.</p>
Black Jack Farms Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8015	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read as follows: "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term <u>into the future</u> while..."
Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-82	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4 in its entirety.
Buckley, Carol Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2539	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Objective 4 and the staged approach</p> <p>AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restrictions from the rules</p> <p>AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.</p>
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1376	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Objective 4 and the staged approach</p> <p>AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restrictions from the rules.</p> <p>AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.</p>
Buist Family Trust Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3396	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 4.
Cameron, Bruce Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6220	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN the staged approach detailed in PPC1</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction</p> <p>AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.</p>
Carey, Rita Anne Submitter ID: 74006	PC1-3076	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so that all people contribute to the restoration of waterways.
Chapman, Brenhan J Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10207	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is a transitional plan change to provide time to develop allocation tools.
Chapman, John K	PC1-10678	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is a transitional plan change to provide time to develop the allocation tools.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73086			
Chapman, Sharon M Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is a transitional plan change to provide time to develop the allocation tools.
Chapman, Victor J Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10700	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is a transitional plan change to provide time to develop allocation tools.
Charion Investment Trust Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7670	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 [2] to read : "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term while: a. considering <u>economic costs</u> , the values and uses when taking action to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1; and b. recognising that further contaminant reductions <u>may</u> will be required by subsequent regional plans <u>and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed</u> to meet Objective 1."
Clarke, Campbell Submitter ID: 73032	PC1-9522	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to extend the first stage of the 80-year timeframe to 35 years.
Clements, Robyn Ethel Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7727	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 4. OR AMEND Objective 4 to provide an in depth cost benefit analysis (social and economic) with any consequential amendments arising from this submission process. AND AMEND to use methods that look at land use capability to assess land use.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10774	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4 as currently worded.
DairyNZ Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10193	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "Objective 4: People and community resilience/... A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, and cultural well-being <u>and assist community resilience, while:...</u> "
Darke, Anthony and Adana Submitter ID: 72666	PC1-6464	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to give more security around decisions we make today for farming in the future. AND AMEND to give more time for farmers to implement some of the changes.
Dean, Matthew D'Ornan Keith Submitter ID: 60477	PC1-3871	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Objective 4 the words: " <u>c. The policies followed to achieve Objective 1 and the pace at which that objective will be pursued will be restrained by the affordability of the options available to farmers and the necessity to maintain prosperity equivalence with the major N.Z. urban centres, so as not to depopulate the countryside and small rural service towns or exacerbate the migration of rural people to urban centres in search of a better life.</u> "
Denize, Mathew John Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7622	Support the provision	RETAIN the staged approach of Objective 4. AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and the land use change restriction, AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment, AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10542	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to remove the term 'continue'. AND AMEND the objective to remove uncertainty around 'further contaminant reductions' by implementing an allocation regime for contaminants based on current information and knowledge with the ability to amend this regime further information becomes available. AND AMEND clause (a) to require that at least intrinsic values are recognised and provided for. AND AMEND PPC1 to implement greater changes to the management of contaminant discharges in the short-term, through an allocation regime that recognises land type and achieves a greater short term improvement in water quality.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Dixon, Grant Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7735	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so the Farm Environment Plan provides the reference point for nitrogen efficiency for each enterprise. AND AMEND PPC1 so that an industry standard forms the base measurement for increasing efficiency.
Dysart, James David Submitter ID: 72722	PC1-5207	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Eight Mile Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1151	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to set a plan for the whole 80 years to minimise uncertainty for land users and ensure more robust economic analysis on the effect of PPC1 is developed AND DELETE Objective 4 (b).
Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-283	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE in its entirety. Long-Term Land Use Objective 4 OR AMEND the plan and re-notify once there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms. Nitrogen Reference Point AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in its entirety.
Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC) Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10421	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Objective 4 reference to a staged approach and future plan changes including increasing stringency in land use controls and requirements. ADD a NEW Objective which provides for people and community resilience, adaptive management, and sub-catchment approaches lead by communities.
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9703	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4(b) to read: "recognising that further contaminant reductions <u>to water will may</u> be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1."
Findlay, Andrew Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8279	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4 OR AMEND to provide for Natural Capital, a flexible Nitrogen Reference Point, and sub-catchment water quality AND AMEND to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise.
Findlay, Thomas David Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9406	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4.
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10396	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1. AND AMEND Objective 4 when the scientific data is available.
Fletcher Trust Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5883	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4(a) [2] to read as follows: "considering <u>economic costs</u> , the values and uses when taking action to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1." AND AMEND Objective 4(b) [2] to read as follows: "recognising that further contaminant reductions <u>will may</u> be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1. "
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10468	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "...a) considering the values and uses <u>identified in Section 3.11.1</u> when taking action to achieve <u>Objectives 1 and 3</u> the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 11-1; and... "
Fulton Hogan Limited Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10743	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "...a) considering the values and uses <u>identified in section 3.11.1</u> when taking action to achieve <u>Objectives 1 and 3</u> the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1; and... ".
Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11309	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that Objective 4 is supported.
Gaston, Jo and Andrew Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-972	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules to ensure they meet the requirements of Objective 4 and to ensure that farming can continue in a secure and sustainable way AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
GBC Winstone Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2879	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach...a) considering the values and uses identified in section 3.11.1 when taking action to achieve <u>Objectives 1 and 3</u> the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 11-1; and.."
Genesis Energy Limited Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8798	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4 (in same or similar form).
Gilbert, Ben and Leanne Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2599	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 4b.
Gleeson, Graeme B Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6450	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to ensure people and community resilience is provided for over the life of the plan. AMEND to clearly set out how PPC1 intends to achieve the 80-year outcomes. If this cannot be undertaken with confidence then establish an interim target (suggested 30 year). AND DELETE Objective 4(b) AND ADD a NEW objective that provides for community and individual resilience, management process that allow for adaptation and community-led sub-catchment approaches. AND DELETE reference to future plan changes and the need for further de-intensification, unless this pathway forward is well articulated, with clear details about what will happen and when.
Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne Submitter ID: 73061	PC1-2316	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4(b) to replace 'will' with 'may'.
Goodwright, Sydney Alfred Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4337	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 4 the staged approach. AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Graymont (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2837	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Greenplan Holdings Limited Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2908	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Guy, Denise and John Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3812	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 with clear notification as to what land use is required on a property going forward so farm use can be changed to meet both environmental mitigation and financial stability. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND REPLACE with a provision that the highest nitrate level (that the 'high users' must adhere to) be the upper level for everyone no matter where/what farming type.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11448	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to signal what future management approaches will be. AND AMEND to identify what the sub-catchment problems are and target those.
Hale, Timothy John Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-1592	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and AMEND Objective 4 to better reflect how the economic sustainability of smaller rural communities is to be achieved. AND AMEND to include how the freshwater objectives are to be achieved over the 80 year timeframe to provide certainty for land owners and communities as to their sustainability.
Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9439	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Hamilton City Council Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10226	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read as: "A staged approach to change <u>changing the management of discharges of contaminants</u> enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term <u>period to 2026, while;</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			a. considering and continue to realise the values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers, when while; a. taking actions to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1; and b. recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed in order to meet Objective 1."
Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5377	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN the reference to the staged approach in Objective 4. AMEND Objective 4 by clarifying that actions will be required to achieve Objective 1 targets and that these actions need to be implemented within the life of PPC1.
Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-9281	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to provide an effects based solution that allows better parts of the farm to be used more intensively.
Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-553	Oppose the provision	REPLACE the Nitrogen Reference Point with an effects-based approach. Objective 4
Henson, Edgar Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10481	Support the provision with amendments	No specific relief sought for Objective 4.
Hill Country Farmers Group Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7498	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and consult with hill country communities.
Hira Bhana and Co Ltd Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4016	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long term.
Holmes, Gavin Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4552	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 4 the staged approach. AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6046	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Objective 4. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10005	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "...a. considering the values and uses when taking action to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1 <u>or achievement of the contaminant load reduction targets specified for each sub-catchment in Schedule 1C Table XX;</u> and b. recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1 <u>and</u> c. <u>recognising that this plan change is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reductions targets in the long-term</u> d. <u>enabling the production of contaminant accounting frameworks that support robust measurement of progress to achieving the long-term and short-term target states for attributes and sub-catchment load limits by more accurately identifying property level responsibilities for contaminant reduction."</u>
Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8433	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW Objective 4 until the provision has been investigated to ascertain farm financial implications AND CONSIDER a tax on urban and travelling individuals to help finance the accomplishment of the Vision.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5862	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE Objective 4(b).
Jefferis, Daniel Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6815	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 4 staged approach AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to remove Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Jeffries, Gary and Joy Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7233	Oppose the provision with amendments	Conversion from Farming to Policy AMEND Objective 4 to plan for the next 80 years, not 10. AND AMEND to a science/scientifically based approach. AND AMEND by providing realistic goals. Nitrogen Management DELETE Objective 4. OR AMEND by using the Farm Environment Plan to measure land use to land capability.
Jivan Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1332	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules within PPC1 to enable tailored on farm management and collective Sub-catchment management of all four contaminants, specific to the requirements of each sub-catchment and farm AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 to include attribute targets for sub-catchments AND REMOVE land use change restrictions for those sub-catchments that meet the attribute targets set in Table 3.11-1 AND ADD a restricted discretionary activity consent requirement for those changes in land use to occur in Sub-catchments that are unable to meet the attribute targets set in Table 3.11-1.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6951	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to outline the remaining 70 years of the plan OR DELETE PPC1 in its entirety.
Johnston, Phillip Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9146	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4/PPC1 to provide for increased scientific data to support PPC1 If no additional scientific data/research to support PPC1 then DELETE Objective 3.
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-960	Support the provision with amendments	Objective 4: Provide stakeholders with sub-catchment-specific economic data that demonstrates how targets can be met. AND ANY consequential amendments arising.
Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5136	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 4 once scientific data has been established for each sub-catchment.
J Swap Ltd Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6408	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 as follows - "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term while: a) considering the values and uses identified in section 3.11.1 when taking action to achieve Objectives 1 and 3 the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 11-1; and recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1."
Keeling, Peter Submitter ID: 73765	PC1-5490	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to match Objective 4. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a targeted sub-catchment approach AND AMEND to ensure that more information is shared by the Council and understood by all parts of the community, which will enable wider rules to be brought in if required.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-5956	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so that it provides for people and community resilience over the life of PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to clearly set out how it intends to achieve the 80 year outcomes now to provide certainty for people and communities AND REMOVE Objective 4 clause b. AND ADD a NEW objective which will provide for community and individual resilience, management processes which allow for adaptation, and community lead sub-catchment approaches AND DELETE any reference to a staged approach and future plan changes including increasing stringency in land use control and requirements.
Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5700	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to investigate the impact of the Nitrogen Reference Point in PPC1 on the flexibility of low impact pastoral land use.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1762	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to set objectives for the life of the Regional Plan. AND AMEND to continue to provide for the social, economic and cultural well-being of people and communities. AND AMEND to improve clarity and fulfil the role of an objective. AND AMEND to clearly state the desired outcome to be achieved within the life of the Regional Plan. AND AMEND Objective 4(a) to improve clarity.
King Country Energy Limited Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7836	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendment.
Lacewood Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8964	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
Lee, Malcolm and Sally Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8865	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to a sub-catchment approach with communities working together AND RENOTIFY and allow submissions on this sub-catchment approach. AND DELETE provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for a Land Use Capability Approach. OR AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to allow catchments to monitor its own land use and nitrogen loading to maintain levels at current levels. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Living Foods Ltd Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5274	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long term.
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12213	Support the provision	RETAIN the staged approach of Objective 4 AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and the land use change restriction AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
Lumbercorp NZ Ltd Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9944	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Macdonald, Hamish Stuart Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2715	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to use a natural capital approach of what the land can actually handle or use a sub-catchment approach and work within communities or combine a natural capital approach and a sub-catchment approach.
MacDonald, Janis Submitter ID: 71293	PC1-889	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to recognise the impact that PPC1 will have on rural communities AND AMEND to ensure PPC1 is practical, achievable and economically viable.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Mackenzie, David Stuart Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3051	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 4. AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restrictions. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Makan Daya & Co Ltd Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4992	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional and time is required to develop the tools required to more effectively allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long term.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9152	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Maraekowhai Ltd Submitter ID: 73776	PC1-8875	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to ensure that it provides for people and community resilience over the life of the plan AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure clarification on how the 80-year targets are to be achieved. DELETE Objective 4 clause b AND DELETE from Objective 4 any reference to the staged approach and future plan changes including increasing stringency in land use control and requirements. AND ADD a new objective which will provide for community and individual resilience, management processes which allow for adaption, and community lead sub-catchment approaches.
Matamata-Piako District Council Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3468	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read as follows: " <u>A staged approach to land use change is managed to minimise the impacts of transition on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities in the short term.</u> " AND AMEND the Reasons accordingly.
Matira Sub Catchment Group Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9293	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4 in its entirety OR AMEND to provide a land use suitability and a sub-catchment method that would work better.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11727	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Mayne, Anna Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8983	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure sheep and beef farms on hill country are planted in native species, following advice from local hapu, and that historical deforestation is replanted AND AMEND to enable farmers to make an income from native plantings through selective logging, selling seeds to local nurseries, and for use in Rongoa AND AMEND to ensure the OVERSEER Model is not used for a regulatory purpose AND AMEND to encourage the use of the Overseer Report and the Nitrate Leaching Estimate as part of a Farm Environment Plan AND ADD a method that will support farmers to reduce application rates of artificial nitrogen and phosphorus to a flat rate (30-50kg/ha/year) over time AND ENSURE that Waikato Regional Council considers working collaboratively with Ngati Rangi O Te Oro and the holistic environmental accounting/monitoring tool that whanau/hapu have developed.
McAlister, James and Maeve Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5832	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4.
McClunie, Joseph and Margaret Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-62	Oppose the provision	Objective 4: AMEND to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and replace with an actual measurement on each farm.
McLaughlin, Kate Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-5981	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from Objective 4 clause (b) as further uncertainty regarding additional plan changes are not beneficial to encourage resilience.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to set realistic goals which encourages community groups to work together to improve water quality. AND AMEND PPC1 to clearly outline how it plans to achieve the 80 year outcomes now, to provide some certainty for what is expected of farmers in the future without comprising businesses and livelihoods.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11876	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Mercury NZ Limited Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9514	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4 particularly the reference to values and uses in Objective 4 (a).
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8807	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Moore, Josh Submitter ID: 70530	PC1-23	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Muir, Mark Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6853	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 4 the staged approach to change. AND AMEND the Rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2022	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach under Objective 4. AND AMEND Objective 4 by changing rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND AMEND by adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-2441	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to provide scientific data for each farm.
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2558	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 by requiring more scientific data on each sub-catchment.
Nelson Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8727	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so that it provides for people and community resilience and certainty over the life of PPC1.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4608	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
New Zealand Steel Ltd Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3698	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11574	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Ngati Hau Iwi Trust	PC1-11826	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73515			
Nichol, Peter Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-528	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4 in its entirety.
Nicholson, Chris and Vikki Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3919	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 4 the staged approach to change. AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6389	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "...a. considering the values and uses when taking actions to achieve the attribute <u>short term goals</u> targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3-11.1; and b. recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required <u>during the life of the plan and by subsequent regional plans</u> and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1." OR AMEND to ensure that Objective 4 is consistent with the alternative approach in the submission to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach [An overview of the alternative approach can be found in Table 2 of submission].
Okell, Robert Steven Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-159	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to provide a balanced and equitable outcome without biases.
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7298	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to include the Council monitoring rural mental health issues and acting to mitigate issues that may arise and undertake research on the health trends in regions that have already engaged in such large policy change and support local health providers in ways the health providers believe will be effective.
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9374	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to ensure scientific data for each sub-catchment is obtained AND AMEND the rules to ensure the contaminant issues within a sub-catchment are addressed, rather than a blanket approach AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure the current state of each sub-catchment is established AND AMEND to provide long term certainty for significant capital investments.
Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-4958	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 4 in its entirety. OR AMEND PPC1 where a re-notification process is begun when there are clear indications of what land use if required on every farm in the Waikato Region with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by developing a fair system of nutrient allocation for the Waikato Region that allows communities and catchments to develop solutions that work towards the outcomes required. The plan could be amended and re-notified once an effective system is devised with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander & Ulrika Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5292	Oppose the provision	DELETE from PPC1 provisions that create uncertainty about future land use requirements, AND REPLACE with an amended plan to provide for clear indications of what land use is required on a farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point requirements from PPC1.
Pepper, Matt	PC1-9649	Support the provision	RETAIN the Objective 4 staged approach.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73284			
Perfect Produce Co Ltd Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4183	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long term.
Peters, Michael Joseph Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6530	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision in relation to Objective 4 requested.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6581	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Pitts-Brown, Brian Submitter ID: 71216	PC1-1491	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision was requested for Objective 4.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12001	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11676	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6281	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11135	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to give effect to Objective 4.
Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA) Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7774	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4 AND AMEND PPC1 to better reflect Objective 4
Purdie, Les and Helen Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4916	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4 timeframes for stock exclusion. AND CONSIDER the health and safety impact of overhead wires needed to supply electricity to stock exclusion fences.
R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6942	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so it provides for people and community resilience over the life of the plan AND DELETE numerical freshwater objectives if they are not achievable. AND AMEND PPC1 to clearly set out how the required outcomes at year 80 will be reached. AND DELETE Objective 4b. AND ADD a NEW Objective 4b which will provide for community and people resilience, adaptive management through a community lead sub-catchment approach.
Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8500	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and deal with problems such as sediment.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10546	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Ravenscroft, Michael and Clare Submitter ID: 71223	PC1-1567	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Objective 4 a table that indicates measures of social, cultural and economic well-being. Include any consequential amendments.
Ravensdown Limited Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10100	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Objective 4 as currently written.
Reese, Kate and Aaron Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7807	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4(b) to alleviate uncertainty through a commitment by Waikato Regional Council to publicly consult before future regional plan changes take effect.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9975	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4(b) as follows: "recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1."
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8514	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 4.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7139	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach AND REMOVE reference to Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction from the rules AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach AND AMEND to enable the adoption of appropriate mitigation strategies in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Roberts, Kelly Submitter ID: 73809	PC1-5072	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to adopt the sub-catchment approach as outlined in Objective 3.
Rotorua Lakes Council Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2468	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: " <u>A staged approach to changing the management of discharges of contaminants that enables people and communities to provide for their social, cultural and economic well-being for the period to 2016, while:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Restoring the values and uses for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers</u> • <u>Taking actions to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1; and</u> • <u>Recognising that further reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans.</u>" AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Rowe, Susan Helen Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6737	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
Ryan Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2244	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 for the use of sub-catchment plans. AND DELETE the land area capping and the Nitrogen Reference Point.
Saxton, David Christopher Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3354	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.
Scott, Fiona and John Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-857	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Scott, Neil, Ann, Brent and Louise Submitter ID: 73024	PC1-1811	Oppose the provision	AMEND by removing the grandparenting approach from PPC1. AMEND by providing a sub-catchment approach to address the contaminants that are relevant to each area rather than a blanket rule. AND any consequential amendments.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2577	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN a staged approach in Objective 4. AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point rules and land use change restrictions. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Sherlock, Jon and Fiona Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5035	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and RE-NOTIFY once there is clear indication of future rules AND AMEND to make PPC1 less onerous on hill county farmers until future requirements become clear. For instance, only require stock to be excluded from slopes less than 15 degrees, as per the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management REMOVE the grandparenting approach AND AMEND so that nitrogen is investigated at a sub-catchment level AND AMEND to set a band that all dischargers have to adhere to, that is equitable for all low and high dischargers.
Sherlock, Richard Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10371	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify once there is a clear indication of future rules AND AMEND Objective 4 to remove the grandparenting approach AND AMEND to manage nitrogen emissions at a sub-catchment scale, with levels set according to the sub-catchment issue AND AMEND to set an emission range for all properties to ensure equity across all emitters.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5600	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 4, Part 4b.
Simpson, Greg John Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5540	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to provide for the opportunity to use an effects based system to deal with the issues within each farm.
Simpson, Jennifer Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9881	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. AND AMEND PPC1 so that the effects of Nitrogen are addressed on a property basis.
Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1221	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach to change. Objective 4 AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11096	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach to changing <u>land use change</u> enables people and communities to provide for their <u>the management of land use and related diffuse discharges</u> undertake adaptive <u>enables people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term (the period to 2026) and to continue to realise the values and uses while:</u> a. Considering the values and uses when <u>taking actions to achieve the attribute^ targets^ for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 3.11-1; and</u> b. <u>Recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed in order to meet Objective 1."</u>
South Waikato District Council Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4034	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach to <u>land use change</u> enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their <u>is managed to minimise the impacts of transition on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities in the short term."</u> AMEND the reasons accordingly.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5049	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 by deleting Table 3.11-1 and substituting the minimum standards as set out in the Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017. AND AMEND by adding the following to Objective 4 to read as: " <u>Where there are negative economic impacts on landowners for the public good, public funding must be supplied.</u> " DELETE Clause B and require no further cost requirements on landowners for 25 years in order for them to afford the costs of significant investments required under PPC1.
Stevenson Resources Limited Submitter ID: 73732	PC1-5025	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach ... while: a) considering the values and uses <u>identified in section 3.11.1</u> when taking action to achieve <u>Objectives 1 and 3</u> the <u>attribute targets</u> for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in <u>Table 3.11-1</u> ; and..."
Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-5151	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to provide for a high regard for the ability of communities to thrive and prosper.
Stokes, Kelvin Arnold Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5256	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE PPC1's Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with an effects based system that encourages farmers to address actual farm problems e.g. sediment runoff.
Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4057	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 by setting aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and a system where effects based is utilised. AND AMEND Objective 4 by addressing actual farming problems rather than a blanket approach.
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7038	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long-term.
Taupo District Council Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8102	Support the provision	AMEND to clarify what the staged approach means, AND AMEND to clarify what is intended by the term 'short-term'.
Taupo Lake Care Incorporated Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9350	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Objective 4 the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model for regulatory purposes and any consequential amendments. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11775	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11955	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11624	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Te Miro Farms Partnership Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6891	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so it provides for people and community resilience over the life of the plan. AND DELETE numerical freshwater objectives if they are not achievable. AND AMEND PPC1 to clearly set out how the required outcomes at year 80 will be reached. AND DELETE Objective 4b. AND DELETE any reference to the staged approach and future plan changes, including increasing stringency in land use control and requirements. AND ADD a NEW Objective 4b which will provide for community and individual resilience through a community lead sub-catchment approach which will allow people to adapt their management processes and gives them ownership over solving the issues and achievements.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12233	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12051	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7592	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8224	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term while that requires: a) considering the values and uses when taking action to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers; b) Recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1".
The Worsp Family Trust Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5076	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 4 in its entirety.
Treweek, Glen Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5763	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Trinity Lands Ltd Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9758	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure this generation and the next have a future in primary production and growing food for ourselves and the world in a sustainable manner and to consider agriculture as an essential part of our national prosperity AND REMOVE Objective 4(b).
Trustees of Highfield Deer Park Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3886	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 and reasons to resolve lack of clarity.
Tucker, Geoff and Kara Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2742	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to strengthen provisions protecting the long term cultural, economic and social well-being of our communities. AND DELETE Objective 4 (b). AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12165	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10269	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6775	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 4 the staged approach to change. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Verry, Adrian Submitter ID: 73810	PC1-2471	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Objective 4 the Nitrogen grandparenting rule.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3785	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 4b.
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12112	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11927	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3298	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Waikato Dairy Leaders Group Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-10926	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Objective 4 as set out in 'Reasons for adopting Objective 4'.
Waikato Environment Centre Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6234	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise the potential off-sets to the costs of change, and the potential economic benefits, that will assist in limiting any social disruption.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2992	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11266	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term while:..."
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2081	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4.
Wai Shing Ltd Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2253	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional. AND AMEND to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long-term.
Walker, Richard Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6718	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Objective 4 staged approach AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to remove Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Wallace, Martin Lindsay Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8305	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Objective (a) to give priority to equity as a priority in formulating the staged approach with attention focused on the activities with the highest levels of effect on the water quality. AND AMEND existing Objective (a) as (b) so that it is subservient to (a). AND ADD a NEW clause to read: " <u>d) new impediments to the flexibility of the use of lands with low level discharges and effects are minimised.</u> "
Walter and Doran, Peter Alan Susan and Casey Submitter ID: 73078	PC1-9117	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the use of OVERSEER for obtaining the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND REPLACE with soil types and stock class to find the amount of nitrogen the soil can hold before leaching. AND AMEND to use sub-catchments to identify the amount of nitrogen loss and amount of nitrogen to be applied through scientific evidence not a grandparenting approach.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND so that farms not exceeding the 75th percentile can work on nitrogen applications for accumulating 5 year average.
Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-1226	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4 the Nitrogen Reference Point calculation. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Jenefer Fay Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-1544	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to include the dairy sector within the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND RETAIN the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated over the 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial years. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Lewis Bruce Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-1514	Support the provision	RETAIN the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated using the highest leaching loss over the reference period using 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial year. Objective 4 AND RETAIN using the current version of OVERSEER to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND RETAIN that the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value includes the dairy sector. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Waterworth, Serena Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-8562	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 4 AND AMEND to provide flexibility to move Nitrogen Reference Points across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
Welch, Andrew Submitter ID: 71841	PC1-7938	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 4.
Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6894	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 4 the staged approach to change. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restriction. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
Wildman, Anna Mary Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3858	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 so that more work is undertaken on the costs to farmers of implementing PPC1, and the impact it will have on people and community resilience, and provide what will be required in future years. AND DELETE Objective 4(b).
Williamson, Don and Robyn Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2787	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Objective 4 reference to the staged approach and future plan changes. AND ADD a NEW Objective which provides for people and community resilience, adaptive management, and sub-catchment approaches led by communities.
Williamson, Jack Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8921	Oppose the provision	AMEND to extend the reference period for Nitrogen Reference Points AND REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model to calculate Nitrogen Reference Points.
Williamson, Stephen David Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8667	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 1 requirements for farms to be held at or below a property's Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and, if an allocation of nitrogen discharges is needed, base the allocation on the natural capacity of soils and not on existing land uses.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4173	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach of Objective 4 AND AMEND PPC1 provisions to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and land use change restrictions AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Worsp, Simon Wynn & Rosemary Elizabeth Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-925	Oppose the provision	DELETE parts of Objective 4 relating to long term land use and Nitrogen Reference Point.
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11526	Support the provision	RETAIN the staged approach of Objective 4 AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point and the land use change restriction AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND ENABLE appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Allen, John Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4889	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5 in its entirety.
Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-11148	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for Objective 5.
Balle Bros Group Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11394	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested for Objective 5.
Barker, Christopher Ferguson Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-3734	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5(b).
Barton, Rachel and Jonathan Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1658	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 (b) to give flexibility to all land owners and that flexibility is based around the impacts that they are incurring on the land.
Brown, Peter Submitter ID: 72628	PC1-9820	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to treat all parties equally.
Buckley, Peter Ross Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1378	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Cameron, Bruce Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6224	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect in Mana Tangata.
CNI Iwi Land Management Limited Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10775	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5 as currently worded.
Coster, Paul Submitter ID: 72959	PC1-4102	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to ensure that all parties gain consent by the same processes and are held equally responsible for the health and well-being of the Waikato River.
Craig, Jeffery Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9748	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Māori land exclusion from Objective 5 land use change restrictions AND AMEND to consider land use change as a whole over the country rather than by property or owner.

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Dean, David Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4616	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5 in its entirety.
Department of Conservation Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10545	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to clearly outline that intrinsic values be recognised and provided for as required by section 5 and section 6 of the Resource Management Act. AND AMEND clause b to clarify that the intrinsic values are not considered 'impediments'.
Dunlop, Tania Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-480	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5 and replace it with a new land use change policy to take into account higher and lower intensity land use changes. AND AMEND so that land use change restrictions apply to all land.
Eyre, Stuart Murray Submitter ID: 71405	PC1-1136	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5, if not deleted, then AMEND to provide for everyone to work together under the same rules.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10814	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5 (b).
Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9706	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5 as notified.
Fleming, Gordon Gerald Shane Submitter ID: 74075	PC1-10412	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to be data driven and to provide that the rules are common to all people.
Goodwright, Sydney Alfred Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4338	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5/PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Grainger, Chris and Andrea Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-7103	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to have one rule for all landowners.
Hahn, Jacqueline Marie Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11449	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 b to read: "b. new impediments to the flexibility of the use of tangata whenua ancestral lands are minimised <u>by central government providing for all offsets to achieve water quality for the catchment</u> ; and"
Hamilton & Waikato Tourism Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9440	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Henson, Edgar Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10482	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to allow flexibility based on contaminant impact from the activity regardless of the ownership of the land AND AMEND PPC1 rules to be common to all.
Holmes, Gavin Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4553	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10009	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Huirimu Farms Ltd Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5863	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE Objective 5(b).
Jefferis, Daniel Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6816	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 and PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Jodean Farms Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6954	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5(b) so it applies to all land owners.
Johnston, Phillip	PC1-9147	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to provide for more flexibility for land owners.

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73245			
Jolly, Andrew Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-961	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5. AND any consequential amendments arising.
Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5137	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 b so that flexibility is based on the contaminant impact of the activity.
Jones, Donna Submitter ID: 73034	PC1-7576	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 and associated explanation should exclude ancestral land that is already developed.
Kerr, Ian D Submitter ID: 73056	PC1-4722	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from Objective 5 and PPC1 any special provision for tangata whenua.
Kilgour, Gareth Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1769	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to reflect the principle of enabling stewardship and kaitiakitanga as outlined in section 7(a) and 7(aa) of the Resource Management Act for all landowners. AND AMEND to ensure that impediments to the flexibility of land use are minimised for all lands.
Loft, Patricia Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-9845	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 so that all have the right to manage their own lands AND AMEND PPC1 so all landowners take part in its implementation.
Logan, Andrea Jane Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12218	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Lowry, Karen and Peter Submitter ID: 73133	PC1-7982	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5. AND AMEND to ensure that all landowner are allocated the same average/percentile nutrient rights per hectare for their land.
Mackenzie, David Stuart Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3054	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5/PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9158	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Maungatautari Marae Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11728	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
McFadden, Gifford Patrick and Robin Submitter ID: 73122	PC1-10985	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 5.
McGovern, Annette Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8310	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to reflect the principle of enabling stewardship and kaitiakitanga as outlined in Section 7(a) and 7(aa) of the Resource Management Act for all landowners AND AMEND to remove impediments to the flexibility of use of all lands.
McLean, Parekawhia Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11877	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Miraka Limited Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8809	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to clarify the minimisation of new impediments (refer submission point 8829 re Policy 16 for further discussion).
Muir, Mark Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6854	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Objective 5 the protection and restoration Mana Tangata values. AMEND Objective 5 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte	PC1-2446	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to ensure the rules are the same for everyone.

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73803			
Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2560	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 5.
Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11575	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Ngati Haua Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11827	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Nicholson, Chris and Vikki Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3920	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
North Waikato Federated Farmers Submitter ID: 73705	PC1-2347	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to DELETE item b).
Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6391	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 5 Clause b).
Oliver, William and Karen Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7300	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 5(b).
Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9376	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5(b) AND AMEND Objective 5 to ensure flexibility of land use is based on contaminant impact, regardless of land ownership AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure all landowners are subject to the same rules.
Paine, Lachlan Preston Submitter ID: 73538	PC1-7672	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5.
Pepper, Matt Submitter ID: 73284	PC1-9650	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6582	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 to ensure the rules are the same for everybody.
Pinnell, Graham Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4942	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5b and Policy 16.
Poohara Marae Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12002	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Potini Whaanau Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11677	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Pouakani Trust Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6282	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 5. AND AMEND to provide clarification on minimisation of new impediments to the flexibility of use of tangata whenua ancestral lands.
Primary Land Users Group Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11137	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested for Objective 5.
Raukawa Charitable Trust Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10602	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Reeves, John Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-877	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to ensure all land owners and all New Zealanders in the Waikato and Waipā Catchments are treated equally and take responsibility for improving waterways.
Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8516	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 5.
Roberts, Jessica Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7147	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2582	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5601	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Objective 5 Part b.
Southern Pastures Limited Partnership Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11097	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 5.
Stark, Steven and Theresa Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5075	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5 in its entirety.
Steffert, MP Submitter ID: 74093	PC1-4859	Oppose the provision	DELETE Objective 5 in its entirety.
Te Arawa River Iwi Trust Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11776	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11957	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Te Kauri Marae Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11625	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12234	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Te Taniwha o Waikato Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12052	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui) Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7593	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8226	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5(a)ii to read: "While achieving the water quality attributes and targets in Table 3.11-1 actively sustain a relationship with ancestral land and with the rivers and others water bodies in the catchments."

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7087	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND so that PPC1 and its rules apply equally to all sectors.
Tuaropaki Trust Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-6371	Support the provision	ADD a Controlled Activity rule to provide a more efficient pathway to give effect to Policy 16.
Turangawaewae Marae Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12169	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Tuwaharetoa Maori Trust Board Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10270	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6777	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5/PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Verry, Reon and Wendy Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3787	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Objective 5(a) and 5(c) AND DELETE Objective 5(b).
Waahi Pa Marae Committee Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12113	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Waahi Whaanui Trust Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11930	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Waikato and Waipa River Iwi Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3299	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Waikato Regional Council Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2993	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4687	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 to reflect the principle of enabling stewardship and kaitiakitanga as outlined in Section 7(a) and 7(aa) of the Resource Management Act for all landowners. AND AMEND to remove impediments to the flexibility of the use of all lands.
Wairakei Pastoral Ltd Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11267	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5 as notified or amended by similar wording to like effect.
Wairarapa Moana Incorporation Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2082	Support the provision	RETAIN Objective 5.
Walker, Brett Submitter ID: 74199	PC1-6783	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Objective 5.
Walker, Richard Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6719	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5 and PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.
Weake, Jeffrey Laurence James Submitter ID: 73450	PC1-9150	Oppose the provision	AMEND Objective 5 so that all people work together for good water quality.
Woodacre Partnership Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4178	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.

Objective 5: Mana Tangata – protecting and restoring tangata whenua values/Te Whāinga 5: Te Mana Tangata – te tiaki me te whakaora i ngā uara o te tangata whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11527	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to acknowledge primary production as a core value to reflect Mana Tangata.