



# **SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED TO PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS**

**By provision order/parts of plan - Volume 2 of 5**

**Policy Series: 2018/16  
ISSN: 2230-4347**

**Date: 20 August 2018  
# 12910534**

Principal Reasons for Adopting Objectives 1-6/Ngā Take Matua me Whai ngā Whāinga 1 ki te 6.....	3
Reasons for adopting Objective 1.....	3
Reasons for adopting Objective 2.....	4
Reasons for adopting Objective 3.....	5
Reasons for adopting Objective 4.....	6
Reasons for adopting Objective 5.....	7
3.11.3 Policies/Ngā Kaupapa Here.....	8
Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens/Te Kaupapa Here 1: Te whakahaere i ngā rukenga roha o te hauota, o te pūtūtae-whetū, o te waiparapara me te tukumate ora poto.....	13
Policy 2: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities/Te Kaupapa Here 2: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā mahinga pāmu.....	42
Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua.....	93
Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake ākuanei pea me panoni anō hei ngā tau e heke mai ana.....	109
Policy 5: Staged approach/Te Kaupapa Here 5: He huarahi wāwāhi.....	124
Policy 6: Restricting land use change/Te Kaupapa Here 6: Te here i te panonitanga ā-whakamahinga whenua.....	140
Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future/Te Kaupapa Here 7: Kia takatū ki ngā tohanga hei ngā tau e heke mai ana.....	167
Policy 8: Prioritised implementation/Te Kaupapa Here 8: Te raupapa o te whakatinanatanga.....	195
Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa).....	202
Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges of regional significance/Te Kaupapa Here 10: Te whakatau i ngā rukenga i ngā pū tuwha e noho tāpua ana ki te rohe ..	215
Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā pū tuwha ..	228

## Principal Reasons for Adopting Objectives 1-6/Ngā Take Matua me Whai ngā Whāinga 1 ki te 6

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6392	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Principal Reasons for Adopting Objectives 1-6. IF that relief is not accepted, AMEND to reframe the explanation with respect to the policies and methods, without confusing, repeating or extending the issues expressed in the policies and methods.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11268	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Principal Reasons for Adopting Objectives 1-6 [However refer changes to reasons for specific objectives].

## Reasons for adopting Objective 1

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10622	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the reasons for adopting the Objective 1 to read: "...Objective 1 sets a long term <del>limits</del> <sup>goal</sup> for water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy. Objective 1 sets aspirational 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality <del>targets</del> <sup>states</sup> <sup>^</sup> , which result in improvements in water quality from the current state monitored in 2010-2014. The water quality <u>states</u> <del>attributes</del> <sup>attributes</sup> listed in Table 3.11-1 that will be achieved by 2096 will be used to characterise the <u>desired</u> water quality of the different Freshwater Management Units when the effectiveness of the objective is assessed. <u>Objective 1 gives effect to the Vision and Strategy.</u> "
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10863	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for Objective 1 as follows: "Objective 1 sets a long term <del>limits</del> <sup>goal</sup> for water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy. Objective 1 sets aspirational 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality <del>targets</del> <sup>states</sup> <sup>^</sup> which result in improvements in water quality from the current state monitored in 2010-2014. The water quality <u>states</u> <del>attributes</del> <sup>attributes</sup> listed in Table 3.11-1 that will be achieved by 2026 will be used to characterise the <u>desired</u> water quality of the different FMUs when the effectiveness of the objective is addressed. <u>Objective 1 gives effect to the Vision and Strategy.</u> "
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3604	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 1 as follows: "Objective 1 sets a long term <del>limits</del> <sup>goal</sup> for water quality ... Objective 1 sets aspirational 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality <del>targets</del> <sup>states</sup> <sup>^</sup> , which result ... The water quality <u>states</u> <del>attributes</del> <sup>attributes</sup> listed in Table ... to characterise the <u>desired</u> water quality of the different ... objective is assessed. <u>Objective 1 gives effect to the Vision and Strategy.</u> "
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10012	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 1 to read: "Objective 1 sets long term limits for water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy. Objective 1 sets aspirational 80-year water quality targets, which result in improvements in water quality form the current state monitored in 2010-2014. The water quality attributes listed in Table 3.11-1 ( <u>and/or the contaminant load reduction targets specified for each sub-catchment in Schedule 1C Table XX</u> ) that will be achieved by 2096 will be used to characterise the water quality of the different FMU's when the effectiveness of the objective is assessed. <u>There is benefit in providing flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale. Contaminant load targets are therefore set for sub-catchments to support achieving the Vision and Strategy.</u> "
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1754	Oppose the provision	AMEND the targets for adopting Objective 1 to be more realistic and achievable.
<b>Moore, Josh</b>	PC1-25	Support the provision	RETAIN the Reasons for Objective 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 70530			
<b>Reese, Kate and Aaron</b> Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7813	Oppose the provision	Provide an explanation in Reasons for adopting Objective 1 as to why there are no values for chlorophyll, nitrogen and phosphorus for most Freshwater Management Units.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8518	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Objective 1.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8228	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 1 to reflect the changes sought for Objective 1.

## Reasons for adopting Objective 2

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5338	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Objectives to state that PPC1 will not adversely affect the current social, economic, and cultural well-being of businesses and communities and that any departure from how they currently function will be minimised and, if not possible, that appropriate financial assistance to achieve the objectives of PPC1 will be provided or compensation paid.
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10623	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the reasons for adopting Objective 2 to read: "...The full achievement of the Table 11-1 2096 <u>desired</u> water quality attribute <del>states</del> <sup>targets</sup> may require a potentially significant departure from how businesses and communities currently function, ..."
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10864	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the reasons for Objective 2 (second sentence) to read: "The full achievement of Table 11-1 2096 <u>desired</u> water quality <del>attributes</del> <sup>states</sup> <del>targets</del> may require a..."
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3605	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 2 as follows: "Objective 2 sets the ... The full achievement of the Table 11-12096 <u>desired</u> water quality <del>attributes</del> <sup>states</sup> <del>targets</del> may require ..."
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10015	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2 sets the long-term outcome for people and communities, recognising that restoration and protection of water quality will continue to support communities and the economy. The full achievement of the Table 11-1 2096 water quality attribute target may require a potentially significant departure from how businesses and communities currently function, and it is important to minimise <u>economic and social</u> disruption during this transition."
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8907	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the last sentence of Reasons for Adopting Objective 2 to read as follows: "... and it is important to minimise social disruption <u>and economic hardship</u> during this transition."
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6447	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting objective 2 to read "The full achievement of the Table 11-1 2096 water quality attribute target may require a potentially significant departure from how businesses and communities currently function, and it is important to minimise social disruption <u>and economic hardship</u> during this transition."
<b>Reeves, John</b> Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-873	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND so that the target is attainable and science-based. Objective 4 AMEND to use ongoing science and monitoring to determine which outcomes, and their timeframes, are achievable.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b>	PC1-8519	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a statement to Reasons for adopting Objective 2 to explain how restoration and protection of water quality will continue to support communities and the economy.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71614			
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8229	Support the provision	RETAIN Reasons for adopting Objective 2.

## Reasons for adopting Objective 3

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10189	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3 sets short term <del>goals</del> <u>targets</u> for a 10-year period, to show the first step toward full achievement of water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy. <u>As noted in the explanation to Table 3.11-1 on page 56, water quality targets are not intended to be used directly as receiving water compliance limits/standards.</u> "
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10625	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the reasons for adopting Objective 3 to read: "...at the time of consent renewal, guided by <del>the targets and limits set in Objective 1 and Objective 3.</del> <u>Objective 3 is a freshwater objective for the purposes of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.</u> "
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10865	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the reasons for Objective 3 (paragraph 3) to read: "...time of consent renewal, guided by <del>the targets and limits set in Objective 1 and Objective 3.</del> <u>Objective 3 is a freshwater objective for the purposes of the NPSFM.</u> "
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3606	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the third paragraph of Reasons for adopting Objective 3 as follows: "Point source discharges ... renewal, guided by <del>the targets and limits set in Objective 1 and Objective 3.</del> <u>Objective 3 is a freshwater objective for the purposes of the NPSFM.</u> "
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10248	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND paragraph 3 in Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to read as: "Point source discharges are currently managed through existing resource consents, and further action required to improve the quality of these discharges will occur on a case-by case basis <del>at</del> <u>following</u> the time of consent renewal, guided by the targets and limits set in Objective 1 <u>and recognising the need for a staged approach and application of the best practicable option.</u> "
<b>Hathaway, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3761	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to read as: "Objective 3 sets short term <del>goals</del> <u>targets</u> for a 10-year period, to show the first step toward <del>full achievement</del> <u>a realistic achievement</u> of water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy, <u>as noted in the explanation to Table 3.11-1 on page 56. Water targets are not intended to be used directly as receiving water compliance limits/standards.</u> "
<b>Hathaway, John</b> Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1055	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3 sets short term <del>goals</del> <u>targets</u> for a 10-year period, to show the first step toward <del>full</del> <u>realistic</u> achievement of water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy, <u>as noted in the explanation to table 3.11-1 on page 56, water quality targets are not intended to be used directly as receiving water compliance limits/standards.</u> "
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10016	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3 sets short term goals for a 10 years period, to show the first step toward full achievement of water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy. The effort required to make the first step may not fully reflected in water quality improvements that are measurable in the water in 10 years. For this reason, the achievement of the objective will rely on measurement and monitoring of

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			actions taken on the land to reduce pressures on water quality. <u>A range of actions will be promoted including collaborative approaches to managing discharges at a sub-catchment scale to achieve sub-catchment load limits.</u> Point source discharges are currently managed through existing resource consents, and further action required to improve the quality of these discharges will occur on a case-by-case basis at the time of consent renewal, <u>guided by giving effect to the targets and limits set in Objective 1."</u>
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-11312	Support the provision	RETAIN Reasons for adopting Objective 3.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-12313	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from the Reasons for Adopting Objective 3: " <del>Point source discharges are currently managed through existing resource consents, and further action required to improve the quality of these discharges will occur on a case-by-case basis at the time of consent renewal, guided by the targets and limits set in Objective 1."</del>
<b>Reese, Kate and Aaron</b> Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7815	Oppose the provision	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to specify how the effects of actions taken on land will be measured and monitored. AND CONSIDER encouraging the use of on-farm water quality measurement tools and collaboration with neighbours to test water quality.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8521	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE this text from the reasons for adopting Objective 3: " <del>Point source discharges are currently managed through existing resource consents, and further action required to improve the quality of these discharges will occur on a case-by-case at the time of consent renewal, guided by the targets and limits set in Objective 1."</del>
<b>Russell, Jill Adrienne</b> Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7227	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 3, paragraph 1 to read as follows: "Objective 3 sets short term <del>goals</del> <u>targets</u> for a 10-year period, to show the first step toward <del>full achievement</del> <u>realistic achievement</u> of water quality consistent with the Vision and Strategy <u>as noted in the explanation to Table 3.11-1 on page 56, water quality targets are not intended to be used directly as receiving water compliance limits/standards."</u>
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8230	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 3 to reflect the changes sought in Objective 3 , AND AMEND to ensure that Council set dates for limits/targets in a rule to trigger a review of consents on a sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit basis.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11270	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for Adopting Objective 3 to read: "Point source discharges are currently managed through <u>permitted activity rules and</u> existing resource consents, and further action required to improve the quality of these discharges will occur on a case-by-case basis at the time of consent renewal ( <u>where relevant</u> ), guided by the targets and time limits set in Objective 1."

## Reasons for adopting Objective 4

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5514	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocated responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long term.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10017	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 4 to read: "... Objective 4 seeks to minimise <u>economic and</u> social disruption in the short term, while encouraging preparation for possible future requirements."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADD the following statement: " <u>The consenting regime will manage to discharges from activities by managing the use. The regime is, therefore, a discharge consent regime under section 15 RMA not a land use consent regime under section 9 RMA.</u> "
<b>Martyn, Anna Katrina</b> Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4157	Support the provision	AMEND Objective 4 to investigate the financial implications for each farm.
<b>Reese, Kate and Aaron</b> Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7831	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Reasons for adopting Objective 4 to recognise the following costs to farmers: Fencing off water bodies; installation of bridges and other crossing structures; planting riparian areas; pest management (plants and animals); installation of water reticulation; costs of consents; membership costs to a Certified Industry Scheme; creating a Farm Environment Plan; procuring a nutrient budget; increased rates; decreased farm value; reduced productivity; and increased debt.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8524	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a statement to Reasons for adopting Objective 4 to explain what the basis for any future allocation will be, and the probable framework that will be used for this allocation.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8233	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 4 to read: " <del>A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term while</del> that requires: a) <del>considering the values and uses when taking action to</del> <u>reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens</u> to achieve the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers; b) Recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1".
<b>Tuaropaki Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73769	PC1-3014	Not stated	REMOVE any pre-judgement on the basis of future allocation mechanisms. Reasons for adopting Objective 4
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11271	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Reasons for Adopting Objective 4 by adding the following paragraph: " <u>Encouraging enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change will provide a key method (alongside participation in any relevant Certified Industry Schemes) for achieving clear and enduring improvements in water quality.</u> "

## Reasons for adopting Objective 5

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Kerr, Ian D</b> Submitter ID: 73056	PC1-4723	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from Objective 5 and PPC1 any special provision for tangata whenua.
<b>Reeves, John</b> Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-878	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Reasons for adopting Objective 5 to ensure all land owners and all New Zealanders in the Waikato and Waipā Catchments are treated equally and take responsibility for improving waterways.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b>	PC1-8235	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 5(a)ii to read: " <u>While achieving the water quality attributes and targets in Table 3.11-1</u> actively sustain a relationship with ancestral land and with the rivers and others water bodies in the catchments."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74122			

### 3.11.3 Policies/Ngā Kaupapa Here

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Aldridge, Roderick Francis David</b> Submitter ID: 73788	PC1-7075	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.3 Policies in line with suggested amendments to Objectives 1, 2, 3 and 4 as per this submission
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10833	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN, DELETE or AMEND 3.11.3 Policies to ensure they will, individually and collectively, direct how the objectives (as retained or amended by the submission) are to be achieved AND ADD new policies to ensure they will, individually and collectively, be capable of implementing the objectives.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11484	Not stated	ADD new Policy 1A OR AMEND Policy 1 to give effect to Objective 1A and 1B with the intent of: “ <u>Where current water quality meets the relevant Table 3.11 - 1 water quality outcomes (interim targets or 80 year targets/limits) within each sub-catchment, water quality must be managed in a manner which ensures that the water quality targets/ limits continue to be met beyond the zone of reasonable mixing.</u> <u>Where Table 3.11-1 water quality targets/limits are not met, water quality within the sub-catchment must be managed in a manner which progressively improves existing water quality relevant to the parameter exceeded , in order to meet:</u> <u>(i) The water quality target/limit for the sub-catchment by 2096, and/or</u> <u>(ii) The relevant value that the water quality target/limit is designed to safeguard”</u> <u>AND IF Nitrogen is to be allocated through PPC1 THEN AMEND PPC1 through either amending existing Policies (such as Policy 1) and Rules (such as 3.11.5.1 to 3.11.5.7) OR including a new Policy and associated Rules which sets out how Nitrogen will be allocated and discharges managed.</u> AND AMEND Policy 1 AND/OR include a new Policy to enable establishment and operation of sub-catchment groups working through global consents to sustainably manage land and water resources, to be innovative, to share and move resources as required within environmental limits, to be flexible, to recognise and provide for biodiversity values, to adopt edge of field mitigation and to offset residual impacts. AND AMEND PPC1 to insert the nutrient allocation principles listed in Appendix 1 of the submission and apply these across the catchment.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10261	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD A NEW enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10687	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND ADD an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10731	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD a NEW enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10715	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD a NEW enabling Policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Clune, Grant William John</b> Submitter ID: 73544	PC1-6590	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to allow nitrogen trading.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10639	Support the provision with amendments	ADD NEW policies [and rules] to protect inanga spawning habitat.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-11176	Support the provision with amendments	AND ADD a NEW Policy to read: " <u>Policy 13A: Non-point sources consent duration.</u> <u>When determining an appropriate duration for any consent granted consider the following matters:</u> <u>a. A consent term exceeding 25 years, where the applicant demonstrates the approaches set out in Policies 1 to 4 will be met; and</u> <u>b. The magnitude and significance of the investment made or proposed to be made in contaminant reduction measures and any resultant improvements in the receiving water quality; and</u> <u>The need to provide appropriate certainty of investment where contaminant reduction measures are proposed (including investment in treatment plant upgrades or land based application technology)."</u>
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10748	Support the provision with amendments	ADD in a NEW Policy following Policy 11 to read as follows: <u>"Offsetting the effects of point source discharges:</u> <u>Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate any significant adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge provided that:</u> <u>a) The primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and</u> <u>b) The offset measure is for the same contaminant; and</u> <u>c) The offset measure occurs within or upstream of the sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs.</u> <u>d) The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects on the Waikato and Waipā River catchment caused by nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and/or microbial pathogens; and</u> <u>e) The offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition or another legally binding mechanism."</u>
<b>Hamilton, Malibu</b> Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9865	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that policies and methods to be used to manage to a target, are set out once a target is set. AND AMEND 3.11.3 Policies by including a policy that specifies " <u>Waikato Regional Council does not support an 'under and overs' approach to water quality between sub-catchments within a catchment or catchments within a FMU and/or region."</u>
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10259	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Policy that reads: " <u>Policy 5a: New urban development</u> <u>Allow urbanisation of land that gives effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, which will result in increased volumes of storm water and treated wastewater and may result in increased discharges of contaminants."</u> AND ADD a NEW policy to read as: <u>"Policy 10a: Revision of existing consented municipal and industrial point source discharges at the expiry of existing consent terms</u> <u>Incorporate the requirements of the Vision and strategy, the water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets into the consent conditions for point source discharges from regionally significant infrastructure, including municipal water supplies, wastewater and storm water infrastructure, and regionally significant industry when the current consents expire and are renewed, while recognising the benefits to communities of the continued operation of such activities, which are provided for in Policy 10."</u> AND ADD a NEW Policy as follows: <u>"Policy 12a: Consideration of the effects of land use change on discharges</u> <u>When considering a consent application to discharge contaminants from a changed land use, take into account any changes in contaminant load resulting from the change in land use as well as the contaminants associated with the new activity being consented."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>H N Kloeten Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72664	PC1-7905	Oppose the provision	AMEND the policies to ensure that any proposed limits are based on a sub-catchment approach, taking into account land class and specific contaminants required to be removed in that sub-catchment. AND AMEND to develop a method by which similar within a sub-catchment is allocated the nutrient limits regardless of historical performance to provide opportunities to invest in land improve productivity.
<b>Johnston, Moss and Relda</b> Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8091	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to implement the National Water Accord which recommends streams over 1.5 metres wide are fenced off and protected.
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6189	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW OR AMEND existing Policies to enable catchment groups to manage their land and water resources to achieve water quality outcomes while providing for their economic and social well-being and sustainability. AND WITHDRAW PPC1 AND NOTIFY when the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1840	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Policy that identifies non-regulatory methods for achieving the objectives AND ADD a NEW Policy that provides for temporary increases in stocking numbers due to breeding.
<b>Lawson, John</b> Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11215	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.3 Policies by including a policy that specifies " <u>Waikato Regional Council does not support an 'under and overs' approach to water quality between sub-catchments within a catchment or catchments within a FMU and/or region.</u> "
<b>Loft, Patricia</b> Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-11126	Oppose the provision	DELETE from 3.11.3 Policies the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to provide more information regarding the science behind the measurements underpinning the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to include more information addressing whether the OVERSEER Model is the best measurement tool for this process.
<b>Lyons-Montgomery, Stephen</b> Submitter ID: 73449	PC1-9984	Oppose the provision	AMEND the policies to adopt a catchment based, flat nitrogen cap.
<b>Mangakotukutuku Stream Care Group Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 72412	PC1-4444	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to protect remaining wetlands and gully seeps and create new incentives to encourage the creation or reinstatement of wetland areas. AND RETAIN all PPC1 Policies.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3478	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.3 Policies to improve clarity, meaning and certainty of the policies for their application in decision making on resource consents.
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8318	Not stated	ADD a NEW Policy which identifies the non-regulatory methods for achieving the objectives such as funding and incentives for fencing and planting of waterbodies. AND AMEND to give recognition that there will be temporary increases in stocking rates due to breeding cycles, which are critical to farms.
<b>Noakes, Anna</b> Submitter ID: 73693	PC1-9555	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policies to provide a carrot approach rather than a stick approach, such as removing wetlands from rateable areas, recognising infrastructure such as feed pads and standoff pads, use of appropriate pasture species, and provision of information about how different techniques can improve soil and water quality based on scientific evidence.
<b>Okell, Robert Steven</b> Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-175	Oppose the provision	The submission does not support an approach that includes resources trading. IF resources trading is included, then AMEND so that resource trading is for a period of less than five years, non-renewable, and terminate when the owner of the resource sell the assets. (3.11.3 Policies)
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6713	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE 3.11.3 Policies in their entirety.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parry, Bruce Bregmen</b> Submitter ID: 73453	PC1-10404	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for 3.11.3 Policies.
<b>Population Health</b> Submitter ID: 73996	PC1-4862	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policies to give greater encouragement to decreasing current levels of discharge from land use practices, instead of just maintain. AND AMEND Policies to decrease the time frames provided to put into practice the proposed policy changes.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10121	Not stated	ADD a NEW Policy 13A that provides for a duration for non-point source discharges as follows (or similar): <u>"Policy 13A: Non-point sources consent duration</u> <u>When determining an appropriate duration for any consent granted consider the following matters:</u> <u>(a) A consent term exceeding 25 years, where the applicant demonstrates the approaches set out in Policies 1 to 4 will be met; and</u> <u>(b) The magnitude and significance of the investment made or proposed to be made in contaminant reduction measures and resultant improvement in the receiving water quality; and</u> <u>(c) The need to provide appropriate certainty of investment where contaminant reduction measures are proposed (including investment in treatment plant upgrades or land based application technology)."</u>
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9991	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Policy to address underutilised non-Māori land, and within that, quantify the permitted land use change thresholds.
<b>Rotorua Lakes Council</b> Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2470	Support the provision	AMEND 3.11.3 Policies by adding a new policy as follows: <u>"Policy X: New and intensified urban development.</u> <u>Allow urbanisation of land that gives effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement and Territorial Authority Spatial Plans which will result in increased volumes of storm water and treated wastewater and may result in increased discharge of contaminants. It is also recognised that given improvements in waste water treatment technology and urban design, urban development can and will over the coming years become more effective at removing contaminants relative to other land uses."</u> AMEND 3.11.3 Policies by adding a new policy as follows: <u>"Policy X: Consideration of the effects of land use change on discharges.</u> <u>When considering a consent application to discharge contaminants from a proposed change in land use, take into account any changes in contaminant load and profile, alongside the contaminants associated with the new activity for which consent is being sought."</u> AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4035	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND policies to improve clarity and certainty for their application in decision making on resource consents.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5156	Support the provision	ADD a NEW Policy to PPC1 which provides for economic, social and cultural certainty for landowners dealing with diffuse discharges, and provides a minimum of 25 years for a resource consent and/or Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8106	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of the policies, AND AMEND to amalgamate Policies 1, 2, 3 and 4 and using the information to inform the implementation methods and rules. AND ADD a NEW Policy that provides for an increase in contaminant concentrations from regionally significant infrastructure to provide for population growth in urban areas.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>van der Voorden, Vera and Nora</b> Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-11280	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND 3.11.3 Policies by including a policy that specifies " <u>Waikato Regional Council does not support an 'under and overs' approach to water quality between sub-catchments within a catchment or catchments within a FMU and/or region.</u> "
<b>Volker, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 73690	PC1-10288	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW policy to PPC1 which states that existing water quality is to be maintained or enhanced, including for significant and high natural character water bodies, and that an increase in water quality in one part of a catchment does not mean there can be a decrease in water quality in another part AND AMEND PPC1 to detail policies and methods for managing to the described targets, including describing responsibilities for meeting the target and how the policy will affect land users and others discharging contaminants, and how rules and resource consents will be adjusted.
<b>Waikato River Authority</b> Submitter ID: 74033	PC1-11560	Not stated	AMEND the policies to include a statement that no further degradation of water quality, or increases in the load of the four contaminants, shall occur.
<b>Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4705	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW Policy which identifies the non-regulatory methods for achieving the objectives such as funding, and incentives for fencing and planting of waterbodies. AND AMEND 3.11.3 Policies by recognising that there will be temporary increases in stocking rates due to breeding cycles, which are critical to farms.
<b>Waitomo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-11105	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policies nitrogen discharge provisions to take account of sub-catchment differences AND REPLACE the Nitrogen Reference Point catchment-wide approach with a sub-catchment planning and management alongside the implementation of robust Farm Environment Plans AND REMOVE the use of modelled (OVERSEER Model) numerical values of nitrogen discharges to determine resource consent status and compliance with standards AND AMEND to consider the risks, cost and benefits of Overseer in more detail AND AMEND to replace the nitrogen management provisions with an emphasis on Farm Environment Plans AND CLARIFY how phosphorus is being measured in the Waipā Freshwater Management Unit AND AMEND to consider in setting stock exclusion requirements the total E.coli reduction that can actually be achieved by fencing drystock farms waterways compared to the total cost of undertaking the fencing.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8329	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND 3.11.3 Policies to reflect the changes sought in the Objectives. AND AMEND to provide a policy that requires use of land suitability.
<b>Watercare Services Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8455	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 objectives and policies so they are RMA statutory plan objectives and policies and reflect best practice RMA plan drafting. AND AMEND the objectives and policies to clearly identify the objectives and policies that apply to farming activities and those that apply to municipal discharges.
<b>Watkins, Lloyd Murray</b> Submitter ID: 73529	PC1-6119	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 Policies so that it's fair for all farming operations. AND AMEND PPC1 to shift from the grandparenting approach to a fair and measured system. AND AMEND PPC1 to encourage low emission land use types.

## Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens/Te Kaupapa Here 1: Te whakahaere i ngā rukenga roha o te hauota, o te pūtūtae-whetū, o te waiparapara me te tukumate ora poto

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9536	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 a) to read: " <u>Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies, and</u> " AND AMEND Policy 1 b) to read: " <u>Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges in order, from highest to least.</u> "
<b>Aitken, David John</b> Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that farmers may choose mitigations that allows stock exclusion to be staged, practical and sustainable, and farm management practices are enhanced with education and support. (Policy 1) AND AMEND to ensure that stock exclusion may be in the form of 1 wire electric fencing. AND AMEND to read: Fence main d rains leading to a stream and/or river is fenced using 1 wire electric fencing, either: a) at least 100-200m the length of the drain; or b) To the foot of a hill, whichever comes first. AND AMEND to ensure the continued and planned process and progression of water reticulations systems.
<b>Aitken, David John</b> Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-12567	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure the continued and planned process and progression of water reticulations systems.
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4504	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure water sampling is undertaken to identify and address point source discharges.
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-12568	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for weed and algae harvesting AND AMEND to ensure mitigation put in place under PPC1 will not be undermined by future plan changes.
<b>Andree-Wiltens, Albert John</b> Submitter ID: 71230	PC1-518	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that the cost of lost land be spread equitably among all New Zealanders. The land that is fenced off is surveyed so that rates are not collected and/or the land is bought by government and/or land lots given to farmers to sell as compensation e.g. Maungatautari Ecological Island Trust.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6120	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to define or explain what is meant by 'low level of contaminant discharge' and 'high levels of contaminant discharge'.
<b>Atkinson, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-5212	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include a reference point for each sub-catchment, referencing nitrogen and/or sediment depending on what the issue is.
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10875	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...a. <u>Enabling, through permitted activity rules,</u> activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not increase <u>and adverse effects, including cumulative effects, are avoided;</u> and b. Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges <u>so as to avoid adverse effects, including cumulative effects, through the use of resource consents;</u> and ... <u>d. Allocating diffuse discharges to land based on science defined limits and targets, and progressively phasing out the over-allocation of contaminant discharges over time; and...</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-12569	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: " <u>...e. Progressively increasing riparian buffering of rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes.</u> "
<b>Auckland Regional Public Health Service</b> Submitter ID: 71612	PC1-10175	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6643	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6704	Support the provision with amendments	ADOPT Policy 1 as notified. AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4452	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read as follows: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas." AND CLARIFY how slope will be measured.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-12570	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN management of diffuse discharges on a sub-catchment basis in Policy 1.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-12571	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt mitigation appropriate to the water quality gains to be made.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-12572	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 AND AMEND to ensure that subsidies are provided to implement PPC1.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11399	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: 'Manage and require " <u>maintenance or</u> " reductions " <u>where relevant</u> " in sub-catchment-wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by: ..."
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-12573	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope of less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas."
<b>Barker, Christopher Ferguson</b> Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-3737	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1660	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 AMEND the timelines to ensure they are adjusted to be more practical in their approach AND AMEND to identify point source through targeted, evidence based data and work to reduce or mitigate it over time through better management.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12574	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to follow national stock exclusion policy AND AMEND to ensure intensive farming units with excess of 18su/ha fence all waterways.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision																																
<p><b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369</p>	<p>PC1-11485</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Policy 1 to enable land uses which are less than or equal to 20 hectares, or which are leaching at or less than the 'sustainable level' (<i>Footnote: 'Sustainable level' can be defined as either a kg liveweight per ha relative to land use capability (LUC) or Nitrogen kg discharge rate per hectare (kgN/ha/year) which achieves the desired in-stream Nitrogen load</i>) to continue and provide them with flexibility to change farm systems or stocking rates up to the 'sustainable level'. AND IF Nitrogen is to be allocated through PPC1 THEN AMEND PPC1 through either amending existing Policies (such as Policy 1) and Rules (such as 3.11.5.1 to 3.11.5.7) OR including a new Policy and associated Rules which sets out how Nitrogen will be allocated and discharges managed.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the allocation and management framework for Nitrogen promotes the efficient use of natural resources AND incentivises activities and behaviour change which promote the sustainable management of natural resources AND will achieve the Vision and Strategy AND incorporates the allocation principles set out under appendix 1 in the submission.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to manage or allocate Nitrogen based on:</p> <p>(a) 'flat rate per hectare' permitted threshold (where the sub-catchment load is divided by the total number of hectares in the sub-catchment and this amount is allocated as a Nitrogen discharge threshold to each hectare of land) for example 20kgN/ha/year; OR</p> <p>(b) Natural capital or land use suitability based allocation per hectare' where a sub-catchment Nitrogen load is attributed to land based on its underlying characteristics and factors (including productive capability using the Land Use Capability classification system). This approach should be used to determine the permitted baseline, and where required to stage reductions in Nitrogen discharges over time as per Table 1 below (<i>footnote: Categories and discharge numbers are indicative only and subject to change through Schedule 1 process as more evidence and data becomes available</i>); AND</p> <p>c) Natural capital or land use suitability based threshold for the discharge of Nitrogen per hectare' that is used to determine where and when Council require additional regulatory standards or stricter activity status to reduce Nitrogen loss over time - based on calculating a sub-catchment Nitrogen load and focusing on priority areas where Nitrogen is over allocated and therefore reductions from land uses are required. For example as set out in Table 1.</p> <p>Table 1</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="8">Land Use Capability - Natural Capital</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Class</th> <th>I</th> <th>II</th> <th>III</th> <th>IV</th> <th>V</th> <th>VI</th> <th>VII</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Year 1 (Kg/N/ha/year)</td> <td>30</td> <td>27</td> <td>24</td> <td>18</td> <td>16</td> <td>15</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 5 (kgN/ha/year)</td> <td>27</td> <td>25</td> <td>21</td> <td>16</td> <td>13</td> <td>12</td> <td>8</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>AND AMEND Policy 1 and Table 3.11-1 OR ADD a NEW Policy which sets out the:</p> <p>a) Current Nitrogen load (<i>footnote: Current Nitrogen load includes both the Allowable in-stream nitrate load to achieve current in-stream Nitrogen concentration and the Maximum Allowable Zone Load (MAZL) which accounts for attenuation and provides the load that can be allocated to land</i>)</p>	Land Use Capability - Natural Capital								Class	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	Year 1 (Kg/N/ha/year)	30	27	24	18	16	15	8	Year 5 (kgN/ha/year)	27	25	21	16	13	12	8
Land Use Capability - Natural Capital																																			
Class	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII																												
Year 1 (Kg/N/ha/year)	30	27	24	18	16	15	8																												
Year 5 (kgN/ha/year)	27	25	21	16	13	12	8																												

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>b) Desired Nitrogen load (<i>footnote: Desired Nitrogen load includes both the Allowable in stream nitrate load to achieve the desired in-stream Nitrogen concentration, and the Maximum Allowable Zone Load (MAZL) which accounts for attenuation and provides the load that can be allocated to land</i>)</p> <p>c) Nitrogen discharge rate/ha/year to achieve current Nitrogen load</p> <p>d) Nitrogen discharge rate/ha/year to achieve the desired Nitrogen load</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that:</p> <p>f) activities which would cause the maximum catchment load to be exceeded are avoided</p> <p>g) In catchments which are already over allocated, PPC1 should avoid allocating any further Nitrogen</p> <p>h) In catchments which are already over allocated, PPC1 should put in place Methods (such as a 'sinking lid on the allocation') so that over time the over allocation is phased out.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure that those activities and land uses which are contributing the most to the over allocated parameter bear the majority of the cost of reducing the over allocation</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 1 (a) to ensure that low discharging land uses such as small scale (&lt;20kg N/ha) or low impact activities (those discharging at or below the sustainable level) are enabled to continue and are provided with flexibility to change farm systems and stocking rates up to the sustainable levels for the sub-catchment (Freshwater Management Unit).</p>
<p><b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369</p>	<p>PC1-12575</p>	<p>Oppose the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Policy 1 so that management approaches are tailored to addressing water quality issues identified on a sub-catchment basis, and where the responsibility of addressing the impacts is apportioned to those land uses including point and non-point source discharges which have caused or contributed to any over allocation, and where improvements required over time are appropriate to the level of impact.</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 1 AND/OR include a new Policy to enable establishment and operation of sub-catchment groups working through global consents to sustainably manage land and water resources, to be innovative, to share and move resources as required within environmental limits, to be flexible, to recognise and provide for biodiversity values, to adopt edge of field mitigation and to offset residual impacts.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 and Policy 1 so that land use rules and management frameworks include both land use and ancillary discharge provisions (sections 9 and 15 Resource Management Act)</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 and Policy 1 to enable establishment of nutrient user groups within the same catchment as part of catchment collective groups AND enable transfer of nutrients (at a level not exceeding the desired in-stream nutrient load), where principles in Appendix A of the submission are met, precluding nutrient transfer when allocation is based on current or historic discharges AND transfer within nutrient user groups only occurs: within a sub-catchment or watershed; within an established sub-catchment programme that's based on fair allocation of a load; only pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcome; results in improved economic outcomes and land use optimization.</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 1 to apply Policy 12 clauses (a), (b) and (c), and Policy 13 (a), (b), and (c), and require the application of best practicable option to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects of a discharge (either directly or indirectly to freshwater) where the discharge may cause or contribute to a freshwater attribute being exceeded, through resource consents.</p> <p>AND ADD new Policy 1A OR AMEND Policy 1 to give effect to Objective 1A and 1B with the intent of:  <u>"Where current water quality meets the relevant Table 3.11 - 1 water quality outcomes (interim targets or 80 year targets/limits) within each sub-catchment, water quality must be managed in a manner which ensures that the water quality targets/ limits continue to be met beyond the zone of reasonable mixing.</u></p>



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>Where Table 3.11-1 water quality targets/limits are not met, water quality within the sub-catchment must be managed in a manner which progressively improves existing water quality relevant to the parameter exceeded, in order to meet:</u> <u>(i) The water quality target/limit for the sub-catchment by 2096, and/or</u> <u>(ii) The relevant value that the water quality target/limit is designed to safeguard”</u>
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12576	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (a) as follows: “enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided those discharges do not increase</del> ” AND AMEND Policy 1 (b) as follows: “Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges; and which exceed the 'sustainable level' for the sub-catchment (Freshwater Management Unit) to progressively reduce contaminant discharges over time, where the reductions are proportionate to the level of over allocation within the sub-catchment and proportionate to the discharge level of the activity.”
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12577	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (c) as follows: “progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer, and pigs from rivers, stream, drains, wetlands and lakes on land up to 15 degrees slope, and where break fed on land above 15 degrees slope.” AND AMEND PPC1 so intensively farmed animals are required to be excluded from all permanently flowing waterbodies, but enable flexibility for low intensity land uses or/and hill country farming AND focus management approaches for hill country on critical source management.
<b>Beverland, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 73792	PC1-6888	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 a and Policy 1 b so that stocking rate caps and nutrient application restrictions are used to manage high input systems. AND AMEND Policy 1 a to allow increased production up to level where the farm can become more economical but the environmental impacts are minimal. AND AMEND Policy 1 b to include requirements for wintering barns in highly sensitive areas. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the Objectives, Policies and Rules to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Beverland, Robert William</b> Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5198	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1 but; If not deleted, then AMEND Policy 1 to use a Farm Environment Plan to determine what that land is capable of and likely nutrient loss. Then allocate a plan to meet the objectives of the area that the farm comes into and the catchment it is supplying.
<b>Black Jack Farms</b> Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8016	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Bodley, Jefferis William</b> Submitter ID: 73429	PC1-2199	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges.
<b>Bodley, Jefferis William</b> Submitter ID: 73429	PC1-12578	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources of contaminants, for example koi carp and Canadian geese, and effects of hydro-dams. AND AMEND to ensure koi carp are removed from the Waikato River and its catchments.
<b>Boom, Robin</b> Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5729	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point grandparenting approach AND AMEND to replace the use of the OVERSEER Model with better indicators such as the Land Utilisation and Capability Indicator and the Phosphate Saturation Index.
<b>Boom, Robin</b> Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-12579	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to provide catchment limits that are equitable, with low polluters able to increase and high polluters having to decrease to that level AND AMEND to target known hotspot catchments and specific farms where pollutants are entering waterways.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-118	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2540	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-12580	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses... wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees, and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> d. <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND CLARIFY how slope is measured.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-12581	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - ENABLE appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-12582	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1379	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-12583	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses... wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees, and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs</u> d. <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-12584	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-12585	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Buist Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3397	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-12586	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Policy 1 the managing of diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-12587	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE Point (c) of Policy 1 with - "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs</u> ". AND ADD an additional point to Policy 1 to read - " <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas</u> ". AND AMEND to require clarification on how slope is measured given the ranges of topography experienced with each paddock and watercourses.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-12588	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6226	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10209	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10679	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10722	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10701	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7681	Oppose the provision with amendments	REVIEW Policy 1 /Policy 4 for consistency in relation to the policy test that applies to the enablement of low discharging activities.
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5538	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1 as proposed.
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10776	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Manage <del>and require reductions in</del> sub-catchment wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by: a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided those discharges do not increase,"</del>
<b>Cotman, Jim</b> Submitter ID: 59884	PC1-4585	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND REPLACE it with a new plan that endorses 'Best Practical Options' that are developed by landowners. AND AMEND to provide a new plan that supports the development and implementation of Catchment Management Plans that are led by landowners AND ENSURE that this new plan supports identifying potential critical source contaminant pathways on a sub-catchment basis to provide quantifiable measures and factual information into Catchment Management Plans. AND ENSURE that the new plan encourages innovative new science that provide alternative means to managing water quality AND ENSURE that the new plan recognises that well informed landowners as stewards of their land are the best and only people who can create action on the ground AND ENSURE the new plan approach favours a 'shared values' approach where all parties work toward achieving sensible water quality targets. AND ENSURE that the primary focus of the new plan is a comprehensive programme to eliminate Koi Carp along with the native species predator, catfish. AND AMEND so that the NRP is not used as a surrogate for setting the rules.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9688	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to determine stock exclusion fencing requirements on an individual farm basis, taking other mitigation measures into account AND AMEND to exclude small waterways from fencing requirements, with a minimum width and depth for fencing

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for fencing</p> <p>AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured</p> <p>AND AMEND to clarify how land within setbacks is to be maintained and funded, with consideration of Council-subsidised planting and spraying programmes</p> <p>AND AMEND to determine stock crossing requirements on an individual farm basis, with no culvert crossing required when stock crossing is infrequent</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure drains are still able to be cleaned</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for Council to fund fencing and associated costs.</p>
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12589	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>Policy 1 - REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed.</p>
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12590	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 1 to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide a reliable and easy to use measurement basis for each contaminant and for each property.</p>
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12591	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide for Council to remove carp.
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10196	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 1 to read:</p> <p>"Policy 1: Manage diffuse <u>and point source</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens/ Te Kaupapa...</p> <p>a. Enabling activities with a low level <u>or a managed low risk</u> of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not increase; and</p> <p>b. Requiring farming activities <u>to be managed through a tailored, risk-based approach, including;</u></p> <p><u>i. each farm and enterprise and demonstrating achievement of industry-agreed good management practice, and;</u></p> <p><u>ii. pastoral farms with moderate to high levels of nitrogen leaching over a specified amount</u> <del>contaminant discharge to water bodies, or for</del> to reduce their <u>nitrogen</u> discharges; and...</p> <p>c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer, pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes; <u>and</u>"</p>
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-12592	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 1 to read:</p> <p>"...<u>d. Analysing and reporting the effects of mitigation actions to demonstrate Objective 3 is achieved, and acknowledging time lags in the water and on the land.</u>"</p>
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4548	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7623	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 1 c. to read "<u>Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u>"</p> <p>AND ADD Policy 1 d. "<u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u>"</p> <p>AND CLARIFY how to measure slope given topographical variation.</p>
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-12593	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 management of discharges on a sub-catchment basis.

Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens/Te Kaupapa Here 1: Te whakahaere i ngā rukenga roha o te hauota, o te pūtūtae-whetū, o te waiparapara me te tukumate ora poto

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-12594	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 1 and Policy 9.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-12595	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10643	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to replace " <del>manage and require reductions</del> " with " <u>reduce</u> ". AND AMEND to provide clear definition of the terms low, moderate and high levels of contaminant discharge or replace these terms with other clearly defined terms.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-477	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with a reliable easy to use measurement for each contaminant and each property. DELETE all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-12596	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to use alternative methods to fencing waterways and stock crossings on a farm by farm basis with an extended timeframe AND AMEND to establish minimum width and depth for waterways before fencing is required AND CLARIFY the slope measurements and setback requirements AND AMEND to address drain cleaning issues AND CONSIDER the cost of fencing to be paid by Council.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-12597	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - CONSIDER the development of a plan from Council to get rid of carp.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-630	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to provide for different land types AND AMEND the criteria for fencing.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-12598	Oppose the provision	Policy 1 - AMEND the definition for waterways.
<b>Eel Enhancement Company Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73062	PC1-4448	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to apply the property scale Nitrogen Reference Point system to cover sediment including fine sediment and apply 80 year targets.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1160	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-12599	Oppose the provision	Policy 1 - ADD a robust and economically sustainable Farm Environment Plan to ascertain the land use capability of individual properties.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-447	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Eyre, Stuart Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71405	PC1-1137	Oppose the provision	DELETE stock exclusion provisions in Policy 1, IF not deleted, then AMEND to adopt the Governments stock exclusion policy as it will be a National Policy Statement.
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5384	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(b) to read: "b. Requiring <del>farming all</del> activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges; and..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Policy 1 so 'moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge' means discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, microbial pathogens and/or E.coli which exceed industry standard practice for contaminant loss for the relevant industry or rural sector or sub-sector.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10815	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1, subject to refinement through the further submissions process AND AMEND to read as follows: "Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, where improvements in the levels of specific contaminants will contribute to the relevant ten-year target in Table 3.11-1, by: a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not increase <u>when assessed on a sub-catchment basis</u> ;..."
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9707	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment-wide <u>diffuse</u> discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>to water and where the sub-catchment is over allocated require reductions in these losses</u> , by: a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those <del>discharges-losses</del> do not <del>increase-reduce</del> water quality or compromise achievement of the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1; and b. Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their <del>discharges-losses where required so as not to compromise achievement of the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1</del> ; and c. progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes."
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8280	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
<b>Findlay, James Thomas</b> Submitter ID: 73509	PC1-9857	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5892	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure Policy 1 is consistent with Policy 4 as it relates to the policy test that applies to the enabling of low discharge activities.
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10469	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so the policy test that applies to the enablement of low discharging activities is consistent with Policy 4, amend to read: "...a) Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <u>consistent with Policy 4 provided those discharges do not increase</u> ; and..."
<b>Gemmell, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1248	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Genesis Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8736	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1 (in same or similar form).
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3231	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-12600	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to focus on contaminants important to each farm and sub-catchment. AND AMEND the rules to ensure low contaminant loss land uses are a permitted activity. AND AMEND to ensure horticultural nitrogen losses are managed in a manner that recognises the value of the industry to the community.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-12601	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans assess appropriate land use options for each farm, and encourage better science to determine which contaminants are of concern for each farm and sub-catchment.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-12602	Oppose the provision	Policy 1 - DEVELOP greater understanding about spatial location of natural resources so this knowledge can be applied to better inform and manage contaminant loss. AND AMEND or ADD new rules that are based on land class and pasture production capability, where land use is supported by the capability of the land giving rise to contaminant loss no greater than acceptable ecosystem health limits. OR ADOPT equal nitrogen allocation flexibility for all land users (at 20kgN/ha) as a permitted activity.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6428	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1 requirements to manage farming activities to a historic Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with live weight standards linked to the natural capital of soils, climate and assimilative capacity of water OR allocate nitrogen as it is tied to the natural capital of soils. AND DELETE 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value AND REPLACE with requirements and standards that ensure the reductions required in over-allocated catchments, and where nitrogen is an issue, are proportionate to the level of improvement required and the impact of the discharge. Highest dischargers should be targeted first and consideration should be given to the economic implications of reducing and the timeframe for making reductions. AND AMEND to ensure that high nitrogen dischargers, except horticulture, are required to reduce over time, starting immediately, and achieving 10% reductions every year for the life of PPC1.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4340	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-12603	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read as follows: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas."</u> AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experiences within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-12604	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2910	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to identify point sources through targeted, evidence-based data, and work to reduce or mitigate them over time through better management practices. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-12605	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure the national stock exclusion policy is followed AND AMEND to extend the timeframes for stock exclusion AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3813	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Policy 1 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11450	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 b to read: "b. <u>Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges where possible;</u> and <del>Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges where possible;</del>
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5378	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to reflect amendments to the rules requiring all farming activities to adopt the Best Practicable Option.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Hansen, Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73151	PC1-10348	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the government's Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the stock exclusion slope requirements to no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (Feb 2017). AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degree slopes so that they apply only to all permanently flowing water bodies 1 metre wide or greater. [note: submissions references Policies 3 and 4]
<b>Hathaway, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3763	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to include diffuse and point of source discharges. AMEND Policy 1 b) to read: "... contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges <u>through a managed approach</u> ; and" AND AMEND Policy 1 by adding in a new point to read as: " <u>d) Requiring point source activities with moderate high levels of contaminant to reduce their discharges to water bodies through a managed approach</u> ".
<b>Hathaway, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-12606	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 c) to include sheep.
<b>Hathaway, John</b> Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1057	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to read: "Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer, <u>sheep</u> and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes; and"
<b>Hathaway, John</b> Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-12607	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to include diffuse and point of source discharges AND AMEND Policy 1(b) to read: "Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges <u>through a managed approach</u> ; and" AND ADD to Policy 1 a new clause (d) to read: " <u>Requiring point of source activities with moderate to high levels of contaminants to reduce to their discharges to water bodies through a managed approach.</u> "
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10483	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) by undertaking better research and testing on this matter and revise Policy 1 accordingly.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7713	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND AMEND the stock exclusion fencing requirement to align with proposed amendments to NPS-FM. AND AMEND to provide clarification in rules and schedules of slope interpretation (i.e. how slope is measured) and associated mandatory fencing requirements.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4019	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1 as proposed.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4555	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-12608	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 in PPC1 to state (changes are reduced): "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u>



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas."</u> AMEND PPC1 to clarify how slope is measured given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-12609	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis in Policy 1.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-12610	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Hooper, Cliff and Annette</b> Submitter ID: 73902	PC1-3371	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to utilise other more effective methods (eg, good stock management).
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6031	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10050	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to achieve the intent of the following revisions: "a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not increase; and b. Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce <u>the effect of their discharges through on-farm and/or off-farm actions;</u> <u>ba. Enabling collective action at a catchment scale by groups seeking to manage discharges as a single entity; and</u> <u>bb. Providing criteria for the approval of natural resource accounting systems used to enable catchment or sub-catchment based approaches;</u> <u>bc. Providing a table of ten-year sub-catchment load targets for the four contaminants (Schedule C Table XX),</u> c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, stream, drains wetlands and lakes."
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5864	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 by ensuring that all aspects of the plan come under the Farm Environment Plans which are developed by a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-12611	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-12612	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-12613	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas."</u> AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6818	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 Rules to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b>	PC1-1340	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1 as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71429			
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-12614	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 (c) so that it does not apply to low dischargers.
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6961	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to permit low leaching land uses (eg., 15-20 kgN/ha/yr) and allow increases to this level.
<b>Johnston, Moss and Relda</b> Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8105	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it rewards individual efforts and condemns detrimental practices on a case by case basis.
<b>Johnston, Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9149	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to exclude low intensity farms from the management of discharges.
<b>Jolly, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-974	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 in its entirety, or alternatively AMEND to treat like land equally within each sub-catchment, and AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what a reference point should be. This should be backed up by science and modelling, and Any consequential amendments.
<b>Jolly, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-12615	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to reflect the following principles: like land should be treated the same; flexible use of land; the feasibility, simplicity and understandability of the allocation system and; the natural capital of soils should be the primary consideration when establishing an allocation mechanism for nutrient loss; appropriate timeframes must be set. And any consequential amendments
<b>Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander</b> Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5138	Oppose the provision	DELETE provisions in Policy 1 relating to grandparenting of existing use and farming methods and nitrogen level OR AMEND PPC1 to reflect the principles outlined in the submission OR AMEND Rules so that like land should be treated equally within each sub-catchment (rules reflect future/intended land use) AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander</b> Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-12616	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 c so stock exclusion is not required if it can be shown there is not adverse effect to the waterways AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Jolly, Robert Campbell</b> Submitter ID: 60650	PC1-7501	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (a) to use Farm Environment Plans, along with sub-catchment modelling, based on hard science, to set the nitrate limits for each individual property.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-801	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 test water levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and E.coli when they enter and exit individual farms.
<b>Kearney, Celine Patricia</b> Submitter ID: 72562	PC1-9965	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide specific consequences, such as financial penalties, for not meeting requirements and time frames.
<b>Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith</b> Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7867	Oppose the provision	DELETE provisions relating to Nitrogen Reference Point in Policy 1. If not deleted, then AMEND to REMOVE the grandfather clause. AND AMEND to establish a rule for each class of farming. AND AMEND to use Olsen Phosphorus rather than nitrogen.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1786	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide additional clarity AND AMEND to recognise that other land uses contribute to contaminant levels in water bodies and outline methods to address this

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADD a definition of clarity for water bodies.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-12617	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide clarity and consistency on how far stock exclusion extends from the beds of water bodies.
<b>KiwiLane Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72143	PC1-8400	Not stated	No specific decision requested for Policy 1.
<b>Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG)</b> Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4071	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that freshwater objectives (broad numerical) are included from the catchment (or Freshwater Management Unit) to sub-catchment level that are not set lower than current water quality.
<b>Lea, Helen</b> Submitter ID: 73363	PC1-9241	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point to provide for rules based on land classification, natural capital, tools such as the OVERSEER Model and mitigation measures to manage the land to comply with PPC1.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-9259	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to provide for PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and ensure the cost of this is not put on farmers AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, to be linked to sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts that are outside farmers control AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lees, Brian</b> Submitter ID: 71298	PC1-3222	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to no longer require fencing of slopes of 15 degrees.
<b>Leveson and Gower, Alexander and Vicki</b> Submitter ID: 71353	PC1-1652	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Lichtwark, Quintin Owen</b> Submitter ID: 72535	PC1-1860	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW clause to Policy 1 to set out the course of action to implement Objective 3 AND AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Policy 1: Manage diffuse and <u>point source discharges</u> of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens/Te reo translation Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment-wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by: a. Enabling activities with a low level <u>or a managed low risk</u> of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not increase; and b. Requiring farming activities <del>with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges; and to be managed through a tailored, risk-based approach, including;</del> <u>i. each farm and enterprise and demonstrating achievement of industry-agreed good management practice, and;</u> <u>ii. pastoral farms with moderate to high levels of nitrogen leaching over a specified amount contaminant discharge to water bodies, or for to reduce their nitrogen discharges; and</u> c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes; and <u>d. Analysing and reporting the effects of mitigation actions to demonstrate Objective 3 is achieved, and acknowledging time lags in the water and on the land."</u>
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5275	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12228	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to read: 'Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>"for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs."</u> AND ADD Policy 1(d) to read: " <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND CLARIFY how to measure slope given topographical variation.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12618	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 management of discharges on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12619	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - ENSURE appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans are enabled.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12620	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 1.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9946	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Macdonald, Hamish Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2853	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
<b>Macdonald, Hamish Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-12621	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future.
<b>Macdonald, Ian</b> Submitter ID: 71217	PC1-496	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide more flexibility for fencing drains, particularly small drains and should just require hot wires.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3120	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9 AND AMEND Policy 1 to read as follows: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> " AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-12622	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read as follows: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> " AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-12623	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>MacLachlan, Daniel and Amanda</b> Submitter ID: 73959	PC1-2955	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from Policy 1 and instead use Farm Environment Plans to manage effects.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Lindsay Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 71205	PC1-676	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 1 and instead use Farm Environment Plans as a way to determine land use capability and to measure nutrient allocation.
<b>MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Susan Molly</b> Submitter ID: 71243	PC1-332	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capabilities and nutrient use.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8014	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Point (c) of Policy 2 to say stock exclusion as written in the National Water Policy on stock exclusion.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 1)</b> Submitter ID: 72604	PC1-2920	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 2)</b> Submitter ID: 72598	PC1-2869	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 3)</b> Submitter ID: 72602	PC1-2907	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 4)</b> Submitter ID: 72600	PC1-2894	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 5)</b> Submitter ID: 72606	PC1-2791	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 6)</b> Submitter ID: 72590	PC1-2931	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 1.
<b>Main, Richard and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73927	PC1-3261	Oppose the provision	DELETE OVERSEER in its form.
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4993	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9167	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Matahuru Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-12624	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include landowner education on sustainable farming and grazing practices.
<b>Matahuru Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-6987	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1(c) to cap mandatory fencing at 15 degree slopes as per the national recommendations AND AMEND so that for areas over 15 degrees slopes mitigations other than fencing can be utilised, as identified through Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide 50 per cent funding of all mandatory fencing for stock exclusion AND financially assist water reticulation projects.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3482	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to incorporate an agreed, measurable and enforceable baseline for each of the four diffuse discharges from which these general terms can then be measured or benchmarked.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11730	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10928	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to allow flexibility within a range for contaminant levels for low level activities, such as, nitrogen levels of less than 25kg/Ha.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-12625	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to take account of the net costs and benefits from excluding cattle from water ways on extensive hill country.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11879	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9516	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8810	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(b) to read: " <u>Requiring all farming activities to apply best management practices to mitigate the discharge of contaminants to water bodies.</u> "
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4287	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide for a change to the slope requirements to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord AND CONSIDER subsidising fencing waterways and contaminant mitigation AND AMEND to provide for science to determine the necessity of fencing of water bodies, based on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing of water bodies.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12626	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12627	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide for a change to the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord.
<b>Morison, Steve and Toni</b> Submitter ID: 71439	PC1-1437	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to exclude land users with a nitrogen discharge of 20 kg/ha or less from complying with Nitrogen Reference Point requirements. AND AMEND to ensure that all pastoral land users with low nitrogen discharge farm systems have an equal allocation of 20kgN/ha.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-12628	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-12629	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> d. <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experiences within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6855	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1's support for managing water quality on a sub-catchment basis. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2025	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 read: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, rains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> d. <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND by requiring clarification on how slope is measured given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-12630	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis under Policy 1.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-12631	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 by enabling appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-12632	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 2.
<b>Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte</b> Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-2450	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(b) to ensure that properties that identified as having high levels of contaminants are monitored to reduce their discharges. This could also be achieved by effective stock management to topography and seasonal variances.
<b>Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte</b> Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-12633	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to ensure that encouragement and financial support is given to farmers to provide reticulated water sources.
<b>Neal, Edward Murray and Patricia Charlotte</b> Submitter ID: 73803	PC1-12634	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Farm Environment Plans allow for emergency stock access to alternative water sources. (Policy 1)
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2563	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 Section C by excluding low intensity farming.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4610	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3699	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to make it clear that it is the overall effect of the discharge that is not increasing.
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11577	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Ngati Hau Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11829	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Nichol, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-502	Oppose the provision	RETAIN Policy 1, but with methods for more research by Council and or Central Government into other non-agricultural pollutants entering waterways and more education for urban residents including washing cars on the grass not on the concrete so chemicals don't go down the drain into waterways.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3923	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1's support for managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-12635	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) as follows: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>AND AMEND Policy 1 by adding the following bullet point (d): Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-12636	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-12637	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>NZ Transport Agency</b> Submitter ID: 73542	PC1-4829	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 as follows: "Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment-wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>from farming activities</u> , by..."
<b>O.M and P.R Houchen Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71655	PC1-7821	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it includes provisions to discourage water from draining into the hills which will slow the water down in the swamps and filter out the nutrients and in combination with a troughing system should control the problem. AND AMEND PPC1 so planting of deciduous trees is encouraged as grass can grow down to the tree roots with troughs being places close to the shade on firm dry land.
<b>O.M and P.R Houchen Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71655	PC1-12638	Oppose the provision	Policy 1 - AMEND the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of PPC1 so they are flexible for hill country.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6395	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: <del>"Manage and require Achieve</del> reductions in sub-catchment-wide <u>diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities</u> , by: <del>a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not increase;</del> <u>Requiring farming activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies to progressively exclude livestock (not including sheep) from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes</u> and b. Requiring farming activities <del>with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to adopt the Best Practicable Options to reduce or mitigate their discharges; and c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes.</del> OR AMEND to redraft the policy to reflect the alternative approach proposed in the submission to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach [overview of the alternative approach in Table 2 of submission].
<b>Oliver, Murray and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73440	PC1-6052	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND PPC1 to use stock units per hectare per class of land for a reference point.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7301	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to be consistent with the proposed national stock exclusion regulations.
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-2723	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure the Nitrogen Reference Point is applied only to those users who need to reduce below the 75th percentile.
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-12639	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - ADOPT the Governments clean water (2017) stock exclusion policies.
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-12640	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to promote good management practice within each catchment.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-12641	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans deal with other nutrient discharges, including microbial pathogens.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9377	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to exclude low intensity farming.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-12642	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure alternative mitigation methods are utilised and incorporated into the Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Paine, Lachlan Preston</b> Submitter ID: 73538	PC1-7674	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that reductions in contaminants are only required where it is scientifically proven to be necessary.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6680	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 1 in its entirety. OR AMEND by re-notifying the PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms within the future, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by re-notifying only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5325	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn</b> Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-1033	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 stock exclusion fencing of waterway requirements to reflect the National Standard for stock exclusion.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4184	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1 as proposed.
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6583	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure control and access of waterways is defined under the Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12004	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11679	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6283	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1. AND AMEND Clause b to read “Requiring <u>all</u> farming activities <del>with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges</del> to apply best management practices to mitigate the discharge of contaminants to water bodies”.
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11143	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - CONSIDER developing an improved measuring programme to gain more reliable data AND AMEND to clarify the definition of 'do not increase.'

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-12643	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to read: 'Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes " <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs</u> ".' AND ADD a new point (d) to read " <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND AMEND to develop interpretation guidance of the rules and Schedule C in relation to determining slope and mandatory fencing requirements.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7777	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Ransley, Adrienne Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73763	PC1-6069	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to make is more simple. AND DELETE Policy 1(c) and (d).
<b>Ransley, Kelvin John</b> Submitter ID: 73761	PC1-6058	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to make is more simple. AND DELETE Policy 1(c) and (d).
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10549	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10101	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Policy 1 while AMENDING it to read: " <del>Manage and required reductions in</del> sub-catchment-wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens and where over-allocated required reductions in these discharges, by:"
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8525	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to include point source discharges. AND AMEND so the first sentence reads: "Manage and, <u>where necessary,</u> require reductions in..." AND AMEND Policy 1 (b) to explain what actually constitutes moderate to high levels. AND ADD new point (d) detailing how contaminants will be measured.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-12644	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (c) to read: "Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from <del>rivers, streams, drains, wetlands, and lakes</del> <u>all waterbodies more than 1m wide that hold water year round</u> " (or any other definition that provides better clarity as to what actually constitutes a waterbody).
<b>Reymer, Garry</b> Submitter ID: 74183	PC1-5581	Not stated	Keep a focus on reducing all contaminants. Policy 1
<b>Riverheads Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3146	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1 OR REPLACE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point with a Farm Environment Plan that would encourage responsible use of nutrients and application guidelines.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-12645	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to state: c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes " <u>from areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> " " <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND CLARIFY how slope is measured given the changes of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-12646	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - RETAIN managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-12647	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7150	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3983	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1(a) to address the aggregate effects in a catchment from permissions or consents.
<b>Russell, Jill Adrienne</b> Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7244	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to include diffuse and point source discharges AND ADD " <u>through a managed approach</u> " to Policy 1(b).
<b>Russell, Jill Adrienne</b> Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-12648	Support the provision with amendments	ADD sheep in Policy 1(c).
<b>Russell, Jill Adrienne</b> Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-12649	Support the provision with amendments	ADD Policy 1(d) to read as follows: " <u>requiring point [of] source activities with moderate high levels of contaminant to reduce [to] their discharges to water bodies through a managed approach</u> ".
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2248	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 as proposed.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5609	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 so that where there are numerous permissions or consents within a catchment for common activities the overall effect of the total number of them is regarded and addressed both as individual and in the aggregate rather than as solely individual.
<b>Scott, Fiona and John</b> Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-859	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 c. to include an exemption from stock exclusion requirements in areas of low intensity farming.
<b>Shabor Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1126	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1 Nitrogen Reference Point provisions, but if not deleted then AMEND to allocate all drystock farms around 25 kg Nitrogen /hectare/year [25 units of nitrogen]. AND AMEND to make better use of Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2584	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-12650	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-12651	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1(c) to read as follows: " <u>Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> " AND ADD Policy 1(d) to read as follows: " <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND CLARIFY how slope is measured where topography ranges.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-12652	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 management of diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5347	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10372	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5613	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to provide for all aspects of the plan to come under Farm Environment Plans which should be developed using a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Sinclair, Andrew and Louise</b> Submitter ID: 74047	PC1-4739	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Sinclair Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-6781	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1225	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-12653	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read as follows: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> d. <u>Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas</u> " AND AMEND to clarify how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experiences within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-12654	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-12655	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas</b> Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1196	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 1 reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND REPLACE with Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11098	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to define or explain what is meant by 'low level of contaminant discharge' and 'high levels of contaminant discharge'.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4036	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to incorporate an agreed, measurable and enforceable baseline for each of the four diffuse discharges from which these general terms can be measured or benchmarked.

Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens/Te Kaupapa Here 1: Te whakahaere i ngā rukenga roha o te hauota, o te pūtūtae-whetū, o te waiparapara me te tukumate ora poto

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2741	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 1: AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity for farms and more objectivity regarding land use change AND AMEND Policy 1 to reconsider the economic outfall and value loss from people leaving farming AND AMEND to include logical solutions that have positive economic and environmental effects AND undertake wider consultation, on a farm by farm basis.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5077	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following to Policy 1: "d) as per standards set out in Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published. Feb 2017, publication number ME 1293; and within the National Objectives Framework in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014."
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-12656	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by: a) Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided these discharges do not increase;</del> "
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7039	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8108	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Policy 1.
<b>Taylor, Ian McNicol</b> Submitter ID: 73406	PC1-7579	Oppose the provision with amendments	Reassess Policy 1 c) using science to assess the amount of nitrogen leaching off steep country relative to the flat land AND AMEND Policy 1 c) to include the use of nutrient contaminant areas with riparian planting to reduce sediment runoff.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11778	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11961	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11627	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Templeton, Heather and Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71219	PC1-2525	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1 OR make better use of Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capability and as a measure of nutrient allocation.
<b>Templeton, Heather and Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71219	PC1-12659	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 1 OR make better use of Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capability and as a measure of nutrient allocation.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12236	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12054	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7804	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8236	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to clarify what 'low level of contaminant discharge' is.
<b>The Surveying Company Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-5664	Support the provision with amendments	ADD in Policy 1 (c) "... lakes <u>where practical to do so and a measurable gain to water quality will be achieved.</u> "
<b>The Surveying Company Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-12657	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to include an element of discretion for fencing through the Farm Environment Plan process.
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5091	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to consider all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Thomas, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 73447	PC1-9935	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that properties with the same soil type, contour and farming type have the same Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Thomas, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 73447	PC1-12658	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to ensure suitable land is used for dairy farming AND AMEND to introduce flexibility for land use change AND AMEND to allow land use rights to be tradable and transferable AMEND PPC1 to introduce an eradication policy of ducks, geese, swan and pukeko.
<b>Tierney, Colm and Gaynor</b> Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-7707	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3273	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Manage <del>and require reductions in</del> sub-catchment wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by: a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided these discharges do not increase;</del> "
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-12660	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...c. Progressively excluding... wetlands and lakes, <u>where practical to do so.</u> "
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5769	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Manage <del>and require reductions in</del> sub-catchment wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens by: a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided these discharges do not increase; and ....</del> "
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9761	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (b) to read "...b. Requiring farming activities with <del>moderate to</del> high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges; and..."
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3909	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (a) to read: "Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided those discharges do not <del>increase</del> <u>exceed the sub-catchment average;</u> " AND AMEND Policy 1 (b) to read: "Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges <u>to the sub-catchment average;</u> "
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12171	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10272	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-12661	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 (c) to read: "c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> AND AMEND Policy 1 by adding the following bullet point (d): "... <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experiences within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-12662	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-12663	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1's managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6780	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Verkerk, Gwyneth</b> Submitter ID: 60476	PC1-1282	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that low nitrogen dischargers are permitted to increase their discharges by a margin, up to a limit, with that increase in discharges offset by those in the third quartile required to reduce their discharges to the median for their sub-catchment.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3791	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: " <del>Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment wide discharges of N, P, sediment and pathogens by</del> <u>Monitor discharges on a sub-catchment basis. In sub-catchments where contaminants are above the 80 year level, manage and reduce as required.</u> " AND AMEND to ensure the use of specific and measurable language in Policy 1(a) and 1(b).
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12115	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11936	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3304	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2996	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11272	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "Manage and require <u>maintenance and/or reductions as relevant</u> in sub-catchment wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by:..." AND AMEND Policy 1 by adding a new final paragraph: " <u>Encouraging enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consents for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change.</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2084	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE Policy 1(b) with the following: " <u>Requiring all farming activities to apply Best Management Practices to mitigate the discharge of all contaminants to water bodies (nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens).</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2254	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 1.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-12664	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: "...c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u> <u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND AMEND to provide clarification on how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experienced within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-12665	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-12666	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 Rules to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8330	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 1 to read: "a) Enabling activities with low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided those discharges do not increase;</del> b) Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges <u>in order, from highest to least and;</u> "
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7268	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1a to read - "Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies <del>provided those discharges reduce if higher than that set by Government's National Policy freshwater standards do not increase</del> " AND AMEND Policy 1b to read - "Requiring <del>all</del> farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to reduce their discharges".
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-12667	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1c to read - "Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes <u>whether they flow all year (with water) or part of the year, no matter how wide or deep</u> ".
<b>Ward-Allen, William Alec</b> Submitter ID: 74147	PC1-9868	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Policy 1.
<b>Washer and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73973	PC1-8601	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to remove the restriction on nitrogen use in hill country.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-12668	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-12669	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 regarding managing diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b>	PC1-12670	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 1 - CLARIFY how slope is measured, given the ranges of topography experiences within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73026			
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6898	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Rules in PPC1 to reflect Policies 1 and 9.
<b>Wildman, Anna Mary</b> Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3880	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that the stock exclusion provisions on rolling to steeper country up to a 15 degree slope only waterways over 1 metre wide at any point are required to be fenced in line with the governments clean water report released in February 2017 AND AMEND to provide for funding to be made available to support farmers in fencing off larger waterways and riparian planting AND AMEND to provide for managing stock across a waterway without a crossing structure if the number of crossings are limited to X crossings per week AND AMEND to recognise that the fencing requirements on some properties will be impossible to comply with within the timeframes set and provide flexibility to manage the timescales through Farm Environment Plans and in line with the governments February 2017 water quality standards.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-770	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE in Policy 1 (c) the provisions related to the historic Nitrogen Reference Point with a system that allows for land use potential.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-12671	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 so that where fencing is problematic perhaps a water test could be the decider.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-12672	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to establish controls for bird and koi carp populations where applicable.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4188	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-12673	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 by clarifying how slope is measured given the ranges of topography within each paddock and adjoining watercourses.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-12674	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 1 management of diffuse discharges and water quality on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-12675	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-926	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 1 to contain rules for Koi Carp elimination and measurement of all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11528	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 1.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-12676	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-12677	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 1 to read: ' c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains wetlands and lakes" <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs.</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>d. Requiring farming activities on slopes exceeding 15 degrees (where break feeding does not occur) to manage contaminant discharges to water bodies through mitigation actions that specifically target critical source areas.</u> " AND CLARIFY how to measure slope given topographical variation.

## Policy 2: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities/Te Kaupapa Here 2: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā mahinga pāmu

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9505	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2d) to read: " <u>Require reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens by the dischargers (progressively from the highest to the least) to the extent necessary to meet the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment [Reductions will be required from the highest dischargers until the water quality target is met].</u> "
<b>Aitken, David John</b> Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-701	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure compensation or subsidies are provided to farmers who are vulnerable to loss of income due to the impacts of a low Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND so that the Nitrogen Reference Point falls within a range of values to allow for the potential to make profitable decisions based on market demands AND AMEND to ensure that farmers may choose mitigation options not modelled in the OVERSEER Model.
<b>Aitken, David John</b> Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-12678	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure farmers are enabled to write their own Farm Environment Plans which serve to enhance mitigation through education and support AND AMEND to provide Certified Farm Environment Planners with Code of Conduct training to ensure that information provided by the farmer remains confidential. Alternatively, relevant information can be obtained from National Animal Identification and Tracing or Statistics New Zealand.
<b>Aitken, David John</b> Submitter ID: 71238	PC1-12679	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure stock exclusion is staged in order to be affordable, practical and sustainable AND AMEND to ensure that stock exclusion may be in the form of 1 wire electric fencing AND AMEND to read: Fence main drains leading to a stream and/or river is fenced using 1 wire electric fencing, either: a) at least 100-200m the length of the drain; or b) To the foot of a hill, whichever comes first.
<b>Alcock, Carl and Jo</b> Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2175	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND by removing the Nitrogen Reference Point and use of Overseer. AMEND by adopting a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants relevant to each sub-catchment. AMEND by removing a blanket restriction of one nutrient that may not even be relevant for that sub-catchment. AMEND by using Farm Environment Plans to determine what is best for each farm and science to determine what contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment. DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and OVERSEER from all other areas in PPC1. AND any other consequential amendments.
<b>Alcock, Carl and Jo</b> Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-12680	Support the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plan AMEND Policy 2 by requiring Farm Environment Plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AMEND by allowing flexibility in Farm Environment Plans so that they can be tailored to the individual property and focus on critical source management rather than blanket regulatory standards. AND establish an independent panel to allow contested points between staff and farmers to be settled without the expensive need to appeal to the Environment Court. AND any other consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Alcock and Easton, Jo and John</b> Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9227	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point in their entirety AND DELETE provisions relating to the OVERSEER Model in their entirety AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each sub-catchment AND AMEND to ensure there is no blanket restriction of one nutrient that may not even be relevant for a sub-catchment.
<b>Alcock and Easton, Jo and John</b> Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-12681	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm AND AMEND to use science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment AND AMEND to provide for Farm Environment Plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are written to allow flexibility with nitrogen discharges and application of good management practices AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the individual properties and focus on critical source management rather than applying blanket regulatory standards AND AMEND to convene an independent panel to address points between staff and farmers in Farm Environment Plans without the cost of appeal to the Environment Court.
<b>Allen, John</b> Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4890	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by defining what constitutes a 'commercial' vegetable grower as distinct from a small scale grower who sells excess produce to a retail outlet or at their farm gate. AND AMEND by providing a definition based on the area under cultivation and parallels the small and low intensity farmer (Rule 1): " <u>Definition: Commercial vegetable production &gt;= 1000 square metres of land in production at any one time.</u> "
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4510	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure water sampling is undertaken to identify and address point source discharges.
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-12682	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for weed and algae harvesting AND AMEND to ensure mitigation put in place under PPC1 will not be undermined by future plan changes.
<b>Anderson, Jack L and Ann A</b> Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4256	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to deal with on farm problems.
<b>Anderson, Jack L and Ann A</b> Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-12683	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to extend time-frames for Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to increase flexibility of Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to lower the cost of Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Anderson, Jack L and Ann A</b> Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-12684	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Andree-Wiltens, Albert John</b> Submitter ID: 71230	PC1-525	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so that an adequate level of fertiliser applied according to what the land needs.
<b>Aston, Lucy</b>	PC1-12685	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans are used to determine what would work best on each farm

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73020			<p>AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements so they are only required in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that for Farm Environment Plans an independent panel is available to ensure accountability and enable contested points to be settled without appeals to the Environment Court</p> <p>AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements so they are produced by the landowner with Waikato Regional Council guidance and support.</p> <p>AND AMEND the timeframes to prepare Farm Environment Plans so they are set through consultation with the farmer taking into account the Waikato Regional Council subsidy available; the individual farmer's financial constraints; and the sensitivity of the waterbody to any impact.</p>
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-12686	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - DELETE point 5(a) from Schedule 1.
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-12687	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 to the standards recommended in the Clean Water Report (February 2017)</p> <p>AND AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so fencing is required above 15 degree slope for farming operations greater than 18su/ha.</p>
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-12688	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 so mitigations are set on a farm by farm basis, focused on management of clearly identified and measurable 'critical source management areas'</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so the rules are focused on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture greater than 18su/ha rather than applying blanket rules to all extensive agriculture.</p>
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7123	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point requirements from PPC1</p> <p>AND, if the Nitrogen Reference Point requirements are not deleted, AMEND PPC1 so that the OVERSEER Model is not solely relied on but is used as part of a range of measurement tools</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that where Overseer is used that the Best Management Practices are applied including input standards and protocols, applying actual farm specific information and reducing use of standardised input parameters</p> <p>AND REMOVE the requirement for operations at or under 18 stock units, and sheep and beef farmers to have to manage to a Nitrogen Reference Point</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm and water body</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that where nitrogen discharges from a property have to be allocated then the allocation system is based on the natural capital of soils and the water quality outcomes that are to be achieved for each sub-catchment, not on the 2014/15 or 2015/16 land use or grandparenting approach</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so that there is flexibility in nitrogen leaching from hill country sheep and beef farming, and land uses which are low impact (at or below 20kgN/ha/yr for example or apply natural capital allocation).</p>
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5361	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>Policy 2: DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1</p> <p>OR AMEND to ensure that the OVERSEER Model is not solely relied on but is part of a range of measurement tools.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure where Overseer is used that Best Management Practices are applied, including input standards and protocols, applying actual farm specific information and reducing use of standardised input parameters.</p> <p>AND REMOVE the requirement for extensive operations (at or under 18 stock units) and sheep and beef farmers to have to manage to a Nitrogen Reference Point through these provisions including rules as losses are low.</p> <p>AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm, not a blanket restriction of one particular nutrient that may not even be relevant to the water bodies in that sub-catchment.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12689	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2: AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm and science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to require Farm Environment plans only in sub-catchments where science indicates improvements are required. AND ENSURE independent panel is available to ensure accountability and enable contested points between staff and farmers to be settled without expensive appeals to the Environment Court. AND AMEND PPC1 so Farm Environment Plans are produced by the landowner with council guidance and support. AND DELETE time frames and instead set time frames through consultation with the farmer, taking into account the amount of council subsidy available and the individual farmer's financial constraints and the sensitivity of the water-body to any impact.
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12690	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2: AMEND the thresholds for mandatory stock exclusion to nationally recommended standards (Clean Water Report 2017). AND AMEND so that fencing is required above the 15 degrees threshold for intensive farming operations (over 18 stock units per hectare).
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-12691	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2: AND AMEND mitigations so they are set on a farm by farm basis and focused on management of clearly identified and measurable critical source management areas.
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4309	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period AND AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups to take responsibility for contaminant reductions through catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6122	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) as follows: "d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchments <u>which is capable of being achieved in the short-term taking into account the particular characteristics of each sub-catchment;</u> ..." AND ADD a NEW clause da. as follows: " <u>da. Providing for and allowing opportunity for offset mitigation between properties or enterprises which will achieve the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens proportionate to the amount of current discharge and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchments capable of being achieved in the period to 2026, taking into account the particular characteristics of each sub-catchment.</u> "
<b>Atkinson, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-5213	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include a reference point for each sub-catchment, referencing nitrogen and/or sediment depending on what the issue is.
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10876	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure consistency with the amendments sought in Appendix 1 of this submission AND AMEND Policy 2(d) to read: "d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be <del>proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment in accordance with the short and long term targets in Table 3.11-1, the sub-catchment reduction targets and timeframes in Table 3.11-2, and the sub-catchment nitrogen leaching requirements in Schedule E;</del> and..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-12692	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Policy 2(a) to read: "a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land <del>that will reduce</del> <u>which will achieve required reductions specified in this plan in</u> diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, with the mitigation actions to be specified in a Farm Environment Plan <del>either associated with as part of a resource consent; or in specific requirements established by participations in a Certified Industry Scheme;</del> " AND DELETE Policy 2(b).
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-12693	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a NEW clause (f) to Policy 2 to read: " <u>f. Promoting the use of riparian buffers to avoid sediment discharge.</u> "
<b>Awaroa Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-6616	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point and use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 AND AMEND so that each farm can review contaminant issues AND AMEND so that natural capital - not specific years or grandparenting, but can be used as a reference point of higher and best use for sustainable production.
<b>Babington, Cliff and Leonie</b> Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9455	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point from Policy 2 AND AMEND so that all landowners have the same Nitrogen Reference Point, which is the same level that high discharges cannot exceed.
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-12694	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Farm Environment Plan provisions of Policy 2 AND, if not deleted, AMEND the timelines for the development of Farm Environment Plans by lengthening them.
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-12695	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6707	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Policy 2.
<b>Bailey, James</b> Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9019	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to replace the 'staged' approach with an 'Adaptive Management' approach to managing nitrogen and all contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital as the basis of nitrogen management AND AMEND to enable transition toward the Vision and Strategy with Land Use Suitability as a starting point and using Adaptive Management as our understanding develops, reviewing and adapting through subsequent plan changes AND AMEND PPC1 to apply Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital now by including allocation based on the Natural Capital of soils through a Land Use Capability approach AND AMEND to use the sub-catchment approach by incentivising the development of catchment groups to work alongside Council to identify and target contaminant hot spots.
<b>Bailey, James</b> Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-12696	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the Policy 2 requirement to manage property level discharges to a Nitrogen Reference Point based on historic profiles AND AMEND to provide a flexibility cap for low leaching farm systems below a certain threshold (20kg/N/ha/yr) that is deemed as a sustainable level for the transition period, with farmers with a Nitrogen Reference Point below this enabled to increase up to this point.
<b>Bailey, James</b> Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-12697	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to place a strong emphasis on identifying and addressing critical source areas through the farm planning process

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Bailey, James</b> Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-12698	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to make stock exclusion consistent with central Government's recommendations being proposed through the advice of the Land and Water Forum.
<b>Bailey, Pamela</b> Submitter ID: 71268	PC1-921	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2, allocation of nitrogen to be made on the consideration of land suitability.
<b>Baldwin, Jeremy and Alana</b> Submitter ID: 71389	PC1-1045	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that the bottom 25% of nitrogen dischargers have buffer zone to provide with the flexibility to move within the bottom quartile.
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-12699	Support the provision	ADOPT Policy 2 as notified AND AMEND (d) to read: "Requiring the degree of reduction... required in the sub-catchment, with reductions guided by mitigations <u>actions specified in a Farm Environment Plan and through implementation of Best Management Practices.</u> " and AND AMEND (e) to read: "Requiring stock exclusion to be... provided to the Council, or in <del>any case</del> <u>cases involving properties comprising extensive hill country and where alternative mitigation measures for areas with a slope exceeding 25 degrees area required</u> , no later than 1 July 2026." AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6862	Support the provision	ADOPT Policy 2 as notified AND AMEND (d) to read: "Requiring the degree of reduction... required in the sub-catchment, with reductions guided by mitigations <u>actions specified in a Farm Environment Plan and through implementation of Best Management Practices.</u> " and AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4453	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 Farm Environment Plans that enable mitigation appropriate to the water quality gains to be made.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-12700	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point provisions.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-12701	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 by adopting a sub-catchment approach to manage resources fairly and collaboratively.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11401	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: 'Policy 2: Tailored approach to " <u>managing and where relevant</u> " reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities... Manage and " <u>where relevant</u> " require reductions in sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities on properties and enterprises by: ... c. " <u>Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise</u> "; and d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens " <u>where required</u> " to be proportionate to the amount... e. Requiring stock exclusion " <u>for areas with a slope of less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs</u> " to be completed within 3 years...'
<b>Barker, Christopher Ferguson</b> Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-3738	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to confirm the Certified Industry Scheme to be used.
<b>Barker, Christopher Ferguson</b> Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-12702	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND the methodology of calculating the Nitrogen Reference Point to take into account the historic changes made to improve water quality.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Bartholomew and Tulloch, Flora Beryl and David John</b> Submitter ID: 73083	PC1-4324	Oppose the provision	REMOVE PPC1.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-2902	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE Policy 2 (c ) AND AMEND to collect data through industry groups on Nitrogen Reference Point, but don't use it as a restricting tool for low emitting farming systems where the problem doesn't exist.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12703	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure all contaminants are treated the same AND AMEND to provide for data from a sub-catchment approach which identifies relevant or significant areas that are causing the problem.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12704	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE Policy 2 (e) AND AMEND to ensure stock exclusion requirements are based around a national standard ruling.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12705	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to work through Farm Environment Plans or contaminant plans to mitigate or reduce discharges.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-12706	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to collect data to see what does exist and deal with that, create flexibility during this process to ensure business and communities aren't economically restricted and unviable.
<b>B Das and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73689	PC1-9070	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide flexibility for growers to reduce discharges by working collaboratively with neighbours at a catchment or sub-catchment level.
<b>B Das and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73689	PC1-12707	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for Farm Environment Plans for vegetable growers to be part of an industry scheme like NZ GAP, so that there is a single audit approach across regional or planning boundaries.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11487	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that management approaches are tailored to managing water quality on a sub-catchment basis. Reductions may not always be required AND AMEND to incentivise and support collaborative community groups working together to sustainably manage land and water resources and to implement a staged approach to achieving the Objective of PPC1, through long term global sub-catchment land use and discharge permits, including land use change AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt the recommendations released in the government's clean water document ( <i>New Zealand Government Clean Water (February 2017) Ministry for the Environment, ME 1293</i> ).
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12708	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Policy 2 (e) as follows: "Requiring <del>stock exclusion</del> the exclusion of stock from permanently flowing waterbodies on land up to 15 degrees slope, and stock when break fed on land with a slope exceeding 15 degrees slope, to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026."
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12709	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to reflect the amendments set out against Policy 1 which relate to the management of Nitrogen discharges and allocation, and which enable flexibility for low discharging land uses.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12710	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(a) as follows: "Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land <del>that will reduce to manage</del> diffuse discharges of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens..." AND AMEND Policy 2 and PPC1 to ensure that management approaches for hill country are focused on critical source management with timeframes tailored through Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-12711	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 (d) AND REPLACE with: " <u>where current water quality is over allocated such that the water quality outcome in the sub-catchment, as set out in Table 3.11-1 is not met, require reductions in the contaminant discharge to be proportionate to the amount of the</u>



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment, to provide for the values."</u> AND AMEND Policy 2 to apply Policy 12 clauses (a), (b) and (c), and Policy 13 (a), (b), and (c), and require the application of best practicable option to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects of a discharge (either directly or indirectly to freshwater) where the discharge may cause or contribute to a freshwater attribute being exceeded, through resource consents.
<b>Beverland, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 73792	PC1-12712	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - CONSIDER running workshops with farmers on environmental stewardship AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council implement specific plans for specific areas e.g. wetland management plan AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the Objectives, Policies and Rules to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Beverland, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 73792	PC1-6866	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 AND REPLACE with stocking rate restrictions in sensitive areas, restrictions on timing of nutrient application or stock grazing certain areas AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the Objectives, Policies and Rules to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Beverland, Robert William</b> Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5199	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 but; If not deleted, then AMEND Policy 2 to use a Farm Environment Plan to determine what that land is capable of and likely nutrient loss. Then allocate a plan to meet the objectives of the area that the farm comes into and the catchment it is supplying.
<b>Bignell, Tony and Hannah</b> Submitter ID: 72770	PC1-12713	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Policy 2 after considering altering Policy 2(e) to allow more flexibility. Financial constraints and timing to complete make it difficult to achieve.
<b>Bignell, Tony and Hannah</b> Submitter ID: 72770	PC1-7134	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(c) making each farms' Nitrogen Reference Point available to the public. AND RETAIN that farms establish a Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND Policy 2(d) to allow farms operating at or below the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value levels to be able to discharge up to the 75th percentile. AND RETAIN having farms reduce below the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND AMEND to reassess the nitrogen leaching value regularly (i.e. every five years) and gradually reduced.
<b>Black Jack Farms</b> Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8020	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to apply to moderate to high dischargers and exclude drystock farms.
<b>Boom, Robin</b> Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5730	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point grandparenting approach AND AMEND to replace the use of the OVERSEER Model with better indicators such as the Land Utilisation and Capability Indicator and the Phosphate Saturation Index AND AMEND to provide catchment limits that are equitable, with low polluters able to increase and high polluters having to decrease to that level.
<b>Boom, Robin</b> Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-12714	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to target known hotspot catchments and specific farms where pollutants are entering waterways.
<b>Briggs, Graham John</b> Submitter ID: 73938	PC1-3174	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by assessing on a farm by farm basis, not just one rule fits all. Natural water is needed in hill country. AND any other consequential amendments.
<b>Briggs, Graham John</b> Submitter ID: 73938	PC1-12715	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by providing a fair limit of 30 kilograms per hectare, per year, for all farm types and closely monitor. For those who farm above incur costs and provide possible awards for low end emitters.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Briggs, Robin John</b> Submitter ID: 73920	PC1-4959	Oppose the provision	Nitrogen Reference Point AMEND Policy 2 to a nitrogen cap set at 30kg/N/ha for all farms. Farms higher than 30kg/ha pay a fee for this right. AND AMEND so that farms under 30kg/N/ha nitrogen cap have minimum restrictions imposed on them. AND AMEND so that farm under 30kg/N/ha are to fence main water ways (lakes, rivers) only. AND AMEND so that farm under 30kg/N/ha have an Environmental Farm Plan to back this up. AND AMEND to a more reliable tool of measuring nitrogen than OVERSEER. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Briggs, Robin John</b> Submitter ID: 73920	PC1-12716	Support the provision with amendments	Farm Management Plan AMEND Policy 2 to include compensation to cover the costs incurred to meet requirements, this could come from the fines from the high end nitrogen users. AND AMEND to consider for environment work already achieved on farms. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Brodie, Philip Donald</b> Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2833	Support the provision with amendments	The submission on Policy 2 did not have a solution to the problem where an enterprise has a contractual agreement that cannot be reviewed within the term of PPC1.
<b>Brodie, Philip Donald</b> Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-12717	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2c, to remove the requirement to provide a Nitrogen Reference Point in all sub-catchments where the nitrogen current state is less or equal to the 80 year targets.
<b>Brodie, Philip Donald</b> Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-12718	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2d.
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-91	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety. AND MEND Policy 2 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Brown, Tracy Lee</b> Submitter ID: 72955	PC1-2173	Not stated	AMEND Policy 2 to clarify 'suitably qualified and experienced people'.
<b>Browne, Allan Steward and Toni Rebecca</b> Submitter ID: 71696	PC1-7109	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 [2] to read: Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in exiting diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will be <del>generally</del> granted.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2541	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 as appropriate mitigation strategies through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-12719	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-12720	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1397	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 as appropriate mitigation strategies through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-12721	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-12722	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Buist Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3398	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.
<b>Burgenridge Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74196	PC1-7974	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in PPC1 to recognise the differences between beef and dairy cattle when calculating the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in PPC1 to allow low impact farming flexibility around their Nitrogen Reference Point by allowing an increase from it to a set threshold.
<b>Burgenridge Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74196	PC1-12723	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 to add designated 'sheep only' areas to the mitigation measures available to be used on land with a slope of >25 degrees AND AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so that stock exclusion on land over 3 degrees slope (rolling or steep) applies only to permanently flowing waterways where the active channel is over 1 metre wide at any point.
<b>Burton, John and Diane</b> Submitter ID: 71292	PC1-7356	Oppose the provision	ENSURE Policy 2 does not introduce an input tax and redirect resources to technological improvements and the efficient and timely use of inputs.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-12724	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-12725	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 AND RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6225	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2. AND AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Carter, Michael and Jackie, Matthew and Amy</b> Submitter ID: 73372	PC1-6552	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point system and the use of OVERSEER Model. AND REPLACE with a farm stocking policy based on Land Use Capability classes, which would be assessed during development of the Farm Environmental Plan, particularly around problem waterways.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10210	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-12726	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10680	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-12727	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10723	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-12728	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10702	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-12729	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7685	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE Policy 2 (d) with: "...d. <u>Requiring Farm Environment Plans to identify the areas and activities representing diffuse discharge risks and the most effective way of managing those risks on the particular property.</u> "
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-12730	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 and all associated Rules as required to incorporate the intent of Policy 9 .
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5539	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems.
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-12732	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4738	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2: REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model to derive the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to invest in a purpose built model to deliver information on properties and management practices and to enable a base/reference point to be established. AND AMEND so nitrogen usage is allocated on an individual property capacity (as denoted in the Farm Environment Plan which would take into account soil type, climatic conditions, etc) AND AMEND so nutrient management methods are not based on grandparenting. Use science to regulate appropriate usage AND AMEND to add a template that can be used by the landowner/manager to complete a Farm Environment Plan and Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to provide training for individuals who want to complete their own Farm Environment Plans and derive their own Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-12733	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so the sub-catchment approach focuses on contaminants that are pertinent to individual farms (as denoted in the Farm Environment Plan) AND DELETE requirement for certified personnel to complete Farm Environment Plans and Nitrogen Reference Points AND AMEND so individuals can complete Farm Environment Plans and Nitrogen Reference Points and be audited by Waikato Regional Council AND AMEND to add a template that can be used by the landowner/manager to complete a Farm Environment Plan and Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to provide training for individuals who want to complete their own Farm Environment Plans and derive their own Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Clayton-Greene, Cindy and Warren</b> Submitter ID: 71426	PC1-1219	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 the requirement to exclude cattle on hill country from all permanently flowing streams.
<b>Clayton-Greene, Cindy and Warren</b> Submitter ID: 71426	PC1-12734	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 the requirement for a farm to manage and not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Clements, Robyn Ethel</b> Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7736	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety. OR AMEND Policy 2 by substituting to individual Farm Environment Plans instead of the OVERSEER Model to determine land use capability and individual farm base discharge allowance.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide Nitrogen Reference Point grant credits to farms where evidence farming practices have been environmentally active to reduce use of nitrogen and other potential contaminants. AND AMEND Nitrogen Reference Points to recognise historic lower use of Nitrogen by beef and sheep farms to dairy and allow prescribed favourable variation for that farm type. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Clover Farm Limited</b> Submitter ID: 72492	PC1-3867	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule C (5) (ii) to read: "Any drain that <del>continually contains surface water</del> runs at greater than 5 litres per second year round." (Policy 2).
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10777	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (c): "Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise <u>for the sole purpose of establishing an ability to reduce nitrogen loss; and</u> " Or words to like effect.
<b>Coleman, Mark and Ruth</b> Submitter ID: 71424	PC1-7407	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that dams, drain and swamps on sheep and beef farms are exempt from stock exclusion provisions AND AMEND to ensure that where water reticulation must be installed due to the implementation of PPC1, Waikato [Waitomo] Regional Council covers full installation costs AND AMEND to ensure that Waikato Regional Council meets the full costs of fencing AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Coleman, Mark and Ruth</b> Submitter ID: 71424	PC1-12735	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to exclude sheep and beef farms from Nitrogen Reference Point provisions. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Cotman, Jim</b> Submitter ID: 59884	PC1-4586	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 AND REPLACE with a new plan that endorses 'Best Practical Options' that are developed by landowners. AND AMEND to provide a new plan that supports the development and implementation of Catchment Management Plans that are led by landowners AND ENSURE that this new plan supports identifying potential critical source contaminant pathways on a sub-catchment basis to provide quantifiable measures and factual information into Catchment Management Plans AND ENSURE the new plan encourages innovative new science that provide alternative means to managing water quality AND ENSURE the new plan recognises that well informed landowners as stewards of their land are the best and only people who can create action on the ground AND ENSURE the new plan approach favours a 'shared values' approach where all parties work toward achieving sensible water quality targets. AND ENSURE that the primary focus of the new plan is a comprehensive programme to eliminate Koi Carp along with the native species predator, catfish. AND AMEND so that the NRP is not used as a surrogate for setting the rules.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9704	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to allow owners to prepare Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide for a Council subsidy for Farm Environment Plan costs AND AMEND to clarify penalties and enforcement for not preparing or implementing a Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans for each land use block rather than the whole property where there is mixed use.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12736	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the OVERSEER Model AND REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12737	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for separate, reliable measures for the discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorous and microbial pathogens AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms AND AMEND to provide a reliable and easy to use measurement basis for each contaminant and for each property.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-12738	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for Council to remove carp.
<b>Cranleigh Agri-Business Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72502	PC1-4563	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that nitrogen inputs are able to increase if healthy soils are built.
<b>Cuttance, William</b> Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-3438	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2(a).
<b>Cuttance, William</b> Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-12739	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Policy 2 requirement to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Cuttance, William</b> Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-12740	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to extend the timeframes for stock exclusion, which should be detailed in the Farm Environment Plan and take into account capital expenditure required, significance of the waterway and any likely benefits to the environment.
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10198	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (d) to read: "d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the <del>amount</del> risk of current discharge <u>leaving a property from overland flow or leaching below the root zone, as identified in farm environment plans entering waterbodies</u> (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment <u>plan</u> ; and..."
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-12741	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the first sentence of Policy 2 and clause (b) and (c). AND AMEND Policy 2 (a) to read: "a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land <del>that will reduce for</del> diffuse discharges of nitrogen..." AND ADD a NEW clause (e) to read: " <u>Where sub-catchment plans do not exist, individual Farm Environment Plans shall ensure that that the risk of diffuse phosphorus, nitrogen, sediment, and microbial pathogens entering waterbodies is identified by suitably qualified and experienced people, and time-bound and monitored actions are put in place to address risks of phosphorus, sediment and microbial contaminants. For diffuse nitrogen discharges, Farm Environment Plans will:</u> <u>i. ensure that nitrogen losses stay within a five year rolling average, and</u> <u>ii. for farms above the 75th percentile value, nitrogen losses decrease to that value, and</u> <u>iii. for all other farms, nitrogen losses do not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point.</u> "
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4651	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7624	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 2 adoption of mitigation strategies through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-12742	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Policy 2(c).
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-12743	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 2 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10646	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide clearer direction to landowners and PPC1 users by amending Policy 2 to read: " <del>Manage and require reductions in</del> <u>Reduce</u> sub-catchment wide diffuse discharges..."
<b>Dixon, Grant</b> Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7606	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are developed in conjunction with the land occupier AND AMEND timelines for Farm Environment Plans so they are achievable AND AMEND PPC1 to ensure the person developing the Farm Environment Plan is suitably experienced in farming matters AND AMEND PPC1 so the Farm Environment Plan provides the reference point for nitrogen efficiency for each enterprise.
<b>Dixon, Grant</b> Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-12744	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that an industry standard forms the base measurement for increasing efficiency AND AMEND PPC1 so contaminants can be mitigated in a way that is beneficial to animal behaviour.
<b>Duncan, Andrew Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73410	PC1-6184	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to define the term 'stock' as 'cattle, horses, deer and pigs'.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-641	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works AND REPLACE the Nitrogen Reference Point with a reliable easy to use measurement for each contaminant and each property.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-12745	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so that land owners prepare Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to extend the time frame for when Farm Environment Plans are due AND CLARIFY penalties for not having a farm environment plan and how this will be enforced AND Council to provide a subsidy for costs when developing farm plans.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-12746	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - Council to develop a plan to get rid of carp.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-12747	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to establish separate alternative measurements for nitrogen, phosphorus and pathogens.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-1117	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the criteria for fencing in Policy 2.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-12748	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for different land types. AND AMEND the definition for waterways.
<b>Eel Enhancement Company Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73062	PC1-4254	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to apply the property scale Nitrogen Reference Point system to cover sediment including fine sediment and apply 80 year targets.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b>	PC1-1161	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71395			AND CONSIDER a robust and economically sustainable Farm Environment plan to ascertain the land use capability of individual properties.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-286	Oppose the provision with amendments	Nitrogen Reference Point Policy 2 DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in its entirety.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-12749	Oppose the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plan AMEND to extend the Farm Environment Plan timeframe. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-12750	Support the provision with amendments	Contaminant Loss From Farm AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Eyre, Stuart Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71405	PC1-1138	Oppose the provision	DELETE stock exclusion provisions in Policy 2, IF not deleted, then AMEND to adopt the Governments stock exclusion policy as it will be a National Policy Statement.
<b>Eyre, Stuart Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71405	PC1-12751	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Policy 2, however highlighted the impact of the Nitrogen Reference Point on farming sustainability and profitability.
<b>Falconer, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 72027	PC1-3955	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Farm Environment Trust (Waikato)</b> Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-5058	Support the provision	Policy 2: CLARIFY as to how the Farm Environment Plans link to targets that are set in Table 3.11-1 AND AMEND after considering if Farm Environment Plans may be at odds to the objective of no net increase in contaminants at the catchment level.
<b>Farm Environment Trust (Waikato)</b> Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-12752	Support the provision	ADD to PPC1 a clearly outlined compliance policy.
<b>Farm Environment Trust (Waikato)</b> Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-12753	Support the provision	Policy 2: AMEND to consider the limitations of the OVERSEER Model when setting fixed reference points in catchments where nitrogen is not the issue.
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5386	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the wording of Policy 2 as notified AND AMEND related Rules to address issues identified in this submission.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10816	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (a) to clarify that discharges to water bodies will be assessed on a sub-catchment basis. AND AMEND to provide an exemption for those emitting less than 15 kgN/ha, by AMENDING Policy 2 (a) to read: "a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>when assessed on a sub-catchment basis</u> , with the mitigation actions to be specified in a Farm Environment Plan either associated with a resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme <u>where the Nitrogen Reference Point is not less than 15KgN/ha;</u> "
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-12754	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2, subject to refinement through the further submissions process. AND ADD Policy 2 (f), as follows: "f. <u>When determining an appropriate duration for any consent granted consider the following matters:</u> <u>i. A consent term of a minimum of 25 years is appropriate; and</u> <u>ii. A consent term exceeding 25 years, where the applicant demonstrates the approaches set out in Policy 2.a. – 2.e. will be met; and</u> <u>iii. The magnitude and significance of the investment made or proposed to be made in contaminant reduction measures</u>



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>and any resultant improvements in the receiving water quality; and</u> <u>iv. The need to provide appropriate certainty of investment where contaminant reduction measures are proposed (including investment in treatment plant upgrades or land based application technology)."</u>
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-12755	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (e) as appropriate to give effect to the 'Keeping stock out of our waterways' provisions of the government's Clean Water Package 2017.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9712	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "Manage <del>and require reductions in</del> sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>to water</u> from farming activities on properties and enterprises <u>and where the sub-catchment is over-allocated require reductions in these losses</u> by: a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land that will <del>reduce control</del> diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>to water</u> , with the mitigation actions to be specified in a Farm Environment Plan either associated with a resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme; and ... d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>to water</u> to be proportionate to the amount of current <u>diffuse discharge to water</u> , (those <del>losing discharging</del> more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment <u>with reductions guided by mitigations set out in specified a Farm Environment Plan and through implementation of Good Management Practice</u> ; and e. Requiring stock exclusion <u>from water bodies, as identified in the Schedules to this Plan</u> , to be completed within 3 years following the dates ..."
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8281	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 OR AMEND to provide for Natural Capital, a flexible Nitrogen Reference Point, and sub-catchment water quality.
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-12756	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 stock exclusion provisions to start at the top of the catchment over a certain timeframe when finance is achievable.
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-12757	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 Farm Environment Plans to be individual plans depending on individual farms.
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-12758	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
<b>Findlay, James Thomas</b> Submitter ID: 73509	PC1-9858	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.
<b>Findlay, Thomas David</b> Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9408	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5894	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) AND REPLACE with the following: " <u>Requiring Farm Environment Plans to identify the areas and activities representing diffuse discharge risks and the most effective way of managing those risks on the particular property.</u> "
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10470	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 part a. to read: "...a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach: <u>i. To define mitigation actions on the land that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus...</u> <u>ii. To manage the diffuse discharge of nitrogen to:</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Ensure discharges do not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point for the property of enterprise; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Avoid management practices that would result in significant inefficiency of nitrogen use; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Reduce on any property or enterprise whose Nitrogen Reference Point is above the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value so that the discharge is less than or equal to the discharge of the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value with the maximum three year rolling average to be specified in a resource consent or Farm Environment Plan...</u></li> </ul>
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-12759	Oppose the provision	REPLACE Policy 2 part d to read: "...d. <u>Requiring Farm Environment Plans to identify the areas and activities representing diffuse discharge risks and the most effective way of managing those risks on the property or enterprise...</u> "
<b>Fonterra Shareholders Council</b> Submitter ID: 72610	PC1-10636	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Part A of Policy 2 to reflect a differentiation between the way Nitrogen and the other three contaminants, phosphorus, sediment and E.coli are managed.
<b>Fonterra Shareholders Council</b> Submitter ID: 72610	PC1-12760	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that Nitrogen be managed using the OVERSEER Model and the other three contaminants should be managed via the Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11313	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 clause (a).
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9088	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model from Policy 2 and PPC1 AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm AND AMEND to take soil type and fertiliser history into account when determining nitrogen discharges from a property.
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-12761	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm AND AMEND to remove timeframes and individualise them through consultation with farmers AND AMEND to enable farmers to be in charge of their own Farm Environment Plans, with input from Waikato Regional Council AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans form the basis of PPC1.
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-12762	Oppose the provision with amendments	AND AMEND to provide for stock exclusion slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope to only apply to all permanently flowing waterways greater than 1m wide AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council and central government continue to subsidise any waterway fencing AND AMEND to ensure that actively managing stock across waterways be allowed but limited.
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-12763	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to use science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to ensure rules are effects- and science-based AND AMEND to use the Clean Water Report (February 2017) for stock exclusion rules AND AMEND to ensure the rules focus on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture and not penalising extensive agriculture.
<b>Gemmell, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-1229	Oppose the provision	AMEND Subsection (e) of Policy 2 to ensure Council will have the flexibility to exclude properties with low contaminant discharge from the need to fence off waterways.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Gemmell, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 71407	PC1-12764	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - Council will need to ensure nitrogen applications are reduced.
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3233	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (d) to ensure that once best practice is achieved and contaminant loss targets have been reached no further on-farm change is required.
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-12765	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2 (a) and (b).
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-12766	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2 (c).
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-12767	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 requirements to manage farming activities to a historic Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with live weight standards linked to the natural capital of soils, climate and assimilative capacity of water OR allocate nitrogen as it is tied to the natural capital of soils. AND DELETE 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value AND REPLACE with requirements and standards that ensure the reductions required in over-allocated catchments, and where nitrogen is an issue, are proportionate to the level of improvement required and the impact of the discharge. Highest dischargers should be targeted first and consideration should be given to the economic implications of reducing and the timeframe for making reductions. AND AMEND the rules to ensure low contaminant loss land uses are a permitted activity. AND AMEND to ensure that high nitrogen dischargers, except horticulture, are required to reduce over time, starting immediately, and achieving 10% reductions every year for the life of PPC1. AND AMEND to ensure horticultural nitrogen losses are managed in a manner that recognises the value of the industry to the community.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-12768	Support the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 until the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach to focus on contaminants important to each farm and sub-catchment AND ENSURE greater understanding about spatial location of natural resources is developed so this knowledge can be applied to better inform and manage contaminant loss AND AMEND or ADD new rules that are based on land class and pasture production capability, where land use is supported by the capability of the land giving rise to contaminant loss no greater than acceptable ecosystem health limits. OR ADOPT equal nitrogen allocation flexibility for all land users (at 20kgN/ha) as a permitted activity.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6409	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Schedule 1 to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are as uncomplicated as possible, including plans that are hand-written. AND AMEND to ensure supporting documents outlining Good Management Practices, as recognised by industry, are readily available to all land users. AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans include who will be responsible for and when and how mitigation will occur. The financial position of a farm as a means of justifying the amount of mitigation undertaken by a farm should not be required. AND AMEND the rules so that farms with a low risk of contaminant loss are enabled to operate for the next 10 years as a permitted activity, subject to conditions relating to stocking rate and the preparation of a Beef and Lamb New Zealand Land and Environment Plan Level 1 and 2 or equivalent, and adopting industry-supported Good Management Practice. AND AMEND to ensure that low risk land users are able to continue as a permitted activity without the need to prepare a Farm Environment Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 to be a Permitted Activity where a Farm Environment Plan is provided and REMOVE the requirement to be under a Certified Industry Scheme. AND AMEND to extend the timeframes required for Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans adopt a tailored individual approach. AND ENSURE Farm Environment Plans assess appropriate land use options for each farm, and encourage better science to determine which contaminants are of concern for each farm and sub-catchment.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4359	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. Policy 2.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-12769	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-12770	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 rules.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2918	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that all contaminants are treated the same AND AMEND to use sub-catchment data to identify relevant or significant areas that are causing a problem.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-12771	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c).
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-12772	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2(e) AND AMEND so that stock exclusion requirements are based around a national standard.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-12773	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are used to address identified problems.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-12774	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 once data is collected to see what problems do exist and deal with them, create flexibility during this process to ensure business and communities aren't economically restricted and unviable.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3814	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND REPLACE with a provision that the highest nitrate level (that the 'high users' must adhere to) be the upper level for everyone no matter where/what farming type.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-12775	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions of Policy 2 so that a 'catchment level' plan is adopted.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-12776	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Policy 2 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11451	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "c. Run OVERSEER to indicate nitrogen losses sources <u>Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise in the same sub-catchment for the past 5 years. Estimate what reductions could be made by changes in management without affecting profit, and supply this and the highest number in the Farm Environment Plan. Identify areas where good practice management is not occurring in regards to nitrogen and feed inputs; and</u> "
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-12777	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 e to provide for progressive exclusion.
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-12778	Oppose the provision with amendments	AND ADD to Glossary of terms definition of 'Wetlands' to read: " <u>Wetland (functioning wetlands in past 5 years) and is 4% of wetlands catchment or portion there of when in intensive land use.</u> " AND AMEND Policy 2 to read: "f. <u>Outside catchments using Waikato water for consumption or power will contribute to a retire land-use fund.</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND so that required catchment work before total exclusion at farm scale.
<b>Hale, Timothy John</b> Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-1593	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to remove the use of a blanket Nitrogen Reference approach.
<b>Hale, Timothy John</b> Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-12779	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AND ADOPT a sub-catchment methodology to addressing the environmental issues which are relevant to the farms within that catchment. Use Farm Environment Plans to achieve this. If an allocation methodology is required than utilise a natural capital approach on a sub-catchment basis AND AMEND Farm Environment Plan requirements to align with nationally recommended stock exclusion requirements and mitigation requirements should be on a farm basis to manage critical source areas AND AMEND to focus on reducing impacts from intensive practices rather than penalising extensive operations further AND RETAIN Farm Environment Plan submission timeframes AND AMEND to provide for implementation timeframes to be agreed between Waikato Regional Council and landowners depending on likelihood of measureable improvements and with consideration to financial impacts AND AMEND to ensure each Farm Environment Plan aligns to achieve sub-catchment objectives.
<b>Hamilton, Malibu</b> Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-10415	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure dissolved inorganic nitrogen and dissolved reactive phosphorus limits and targets are set for each sub-catchment at levels which provide for ecosystem health (rather than toxicity) AND AMEND to ensure once limits are set, the corresponding load or quantity can be allocated AND AMEND to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5387	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by either replacing OR supplementing the tailored approach with Best Practicable Options for all land use activities, to be adopted within workable but prompt time frames.
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-12780	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c).
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-12781	Oppose the provision	RETAIN Policy 2(d).
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-12782	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (e) as required to reflect realistic time frames for extensive drystock farms.
<b>Hansen, Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73151	PC1-10349	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the government's Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the stock exclusion slope requirements to no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degree slopes so that they apply only to all permanently flowing water bodies 1 metre wide or greater. [note: submissions references Policies 3 and 4]
<b>Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy</b> Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-9280	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in Policy 2.
<b>Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy</b> Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-12783	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so farmers can do more themselves in terms of producing Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy</b> Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-12784	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that it is effects based, allowing the use of better parts of the farm more intensively AND AMEND Policy 2 provisions to allow a greater time span to comply.

*Policy 2: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities/Te Kaupapa Here 2: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā mahinga pāmu*

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Harris, Mark Beaven</b> Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-12785	Oppose the provision	ADD to Method 3.11.4.7 with requirement for baselining of representative monitor farms, requiring that the Waikato Regional Council to set up the nitrogen and other nutrient monitoring programme on representative monitor farms in collaboration with other credible industry stakeholder organisations.
<b>Harris, Mark Beaven</b> Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-12786	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that the effort on farm should go into reducing sediment and phosphorus losses.
<b>Harris, Mark Beaven</b> Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-6343	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 c Nitrogen Reference Point. AND ADD to Method 3.11.4.7 with requirement for baselining of representative monitor farms, requiring that the Waikato Regional Council to set up the nitrogen and other nutrient monitoring programme on representative monitor farms in collaboration with other credible industry stakeholder organisations. AND AMEND PPC1 so that the effort on farm should go into reducing sediment and phosphorus losses.
<b>Harris, Peter John</b> Submitter ID: 72425	PC1-3901	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c).
<b>Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham</b> Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-554	Oppose the provision	REPLACE Policy 2 the Nitrogen Reference Point with an effects-based approach.
<b>Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham</b> Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-12787	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to remove the need for Certified Farm Environment Planners.
<b>Harvey, Brett and Amanda</b> Submitter ID: 71192	PC1-196	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2.
<b>Hathaway, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73868	PC1-3766	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read as: "e. requiring stock exclusion to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, <u>and where water reticulation is already supplied over the whole farm, other farms (e.g hill country sheep and beef) to be assessed on an economic and environmental basis.</u> <del>or in any case no later than 1 July 2026.</del> "
<b>Hathaway, John</b> Submitter ID: 71390	PC1-1058	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2(e) to read: "Requiring stock exclusion to be completed with three years following the dates by which a farm environment plan must be provided to the council, <del>or in any case no later than 1st July 2026</del> <u>and where water reticulation is already supplied over the whole farm, other farms (e.g. hill country sheep and beef) to be assessed on an economic and environmental basis.</u> "
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10484	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) to provide that polluters be hit hard and soon.
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-12788	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) to provide that polluters be hit hard and soon.
<b>Hill, John Cyril &amp; Janice Mary</b> Submitter ID: 71261	PC1-403	Oppose the provision	AMEND Schedule B so that the Nitrogen Reference Point is the same discharge rate per hectare for all land owners. (Policy 2)
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7719	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that new technologies can be included into the mitigation toolbox without plan amendments.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-12789	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "Tailored approach to <u>managing and where relevant</u> reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities... <u>Manage and where relevant</u> require reductions in sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities on properties and enterprises by:...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>where required</u> to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and e. Requiring stock exclusion <u>for areas with a slope less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs</u> to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026." AND AMEND to require reduction in contaminants where attribute targets are breached and maintain in other sub-catchments.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-12790	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND the stock exclusion fencing requirement to align with proposed amendments to NPS-FM.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4020	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternative to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-12791	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4556	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-12792	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Hooper, Cliff and Annette</b> Submitter ID: 73902	PC1-3375	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to utilise other more effective methods (eg, good stock management).
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-12793	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so that the timeframe for the development of a Farm Environment Plan is extended.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-12794	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Policy 2.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6047	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10051	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities on properties and enterprises by: a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, with the mitigation actions to be specified in a Farm <u>or Enterprise</u> Environment Plan either associated with a resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme; and b. Requiring the same level of rigour in developing, monitoring and auditing of mitigation actions on the land that is set out in a Farm <u>or Enterprise</u> Environment Plan, whether it is established with a resource consent or through Certified

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			Industry Schemes; and c. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point <u>or proxy for the a property or enterprise that is <u>not part of a consented catchment collective managing a range of properties as a single group</u></u> ; and d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions) <u>when assessed across all 4 contaminants, and proportionate to the scale tailored to ensure reductions are targeted at actions within the sub-catchments that will improve the values of freshwater specified within this plan.</u> Of improving values water quality improvements required in the sub-catchment; and e. Requiring stock exclusion to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026."
<b>Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin</b> Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8430	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 provisions related to the OVERSEER Model and the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin</b> Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-12795	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - require the use of Farm Environment Plans that include best practice for sheep and beef farming.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-12796	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE Policy 2(d).
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-12797	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to make better use of the Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to use this tool to develop individual plans for individual farms.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-12798	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to allow credit for mitigation.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5865	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE Policy 2(c).
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-12799	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 rules.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-12800	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6819	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Jeffries, Gary and Joy</b> Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7255	Oppose the provision with amendments	Nitrogen Management DELETE Policy 2. OR AMEND by using the Farm Environment Plan to measure land use to land capability.
<b>Jeffries, Gary and Joy</b> Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-12801	Oppose the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plans AMEND Policy 2 by providing LEP's with good farm management. AND AMEND to allow community buying into Wetland/Riparian areas.
<b>Jeffries, Gary and Joy</b> Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-12802	Oppose the provision with amendments	Stock Exclusion AMEND Policy 2 to follow the Farm Environment Plan of each individual farm. AND AMEND to provide a definition of what water has to be fenced.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b>	PC1-1341	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE from Policy 2 the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point for Commercial Vegetable Production, unless a viable alternative to the OVERSEER Model can be verified.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71429			
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-12803	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 (e) so that it does not apply to low dischargers.
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6967	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c) - capping of the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND PPC1 to permit low leaching land uses (eg., 15-20 kgN/ha/yr) and allow increases to this level.
<b>Johnston, Moss and Relda</b> Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8107	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it rewards individual efforts and condemns detrimental practices on a case by case basis.
<b>Johnston, Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9151	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide scientific guidance.
<b>Jolly, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-975	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what a reference point should be. This should be backed up by science and modelling.
<b>Jolly, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-12804	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 in its entirety, or alternatively AMEND to treat like land equally within each sub-catchment.
<b>Jolly, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71349	PC1-12805	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to reflect the following principles: like land should be treated the same; flexible use of land; the feasibility, simplicity and understandability of the allocation system and; the natural capital of soils should be the primary consideration when establishing an allocation mechanism for nutrient loss; appropriate timeframes must be set And any consequential amendments.
<b>Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander</b> Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5163	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 DELETE provisions relating to grandparenting of existing use and farming methods and nitrogen level. OR AMEND PPC1 to reflect the principles outlined in the submission. OR AMEND Rules so that like land should be treated equally within each sub-catchment (rules reflect future/intended land use). AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander</b> Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-12806	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so stock exclusion is not required if it can be shown there is not adverse effect to the waterways AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-804	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that water testing takes place for individual farms.
<b>Kay, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73490	PC1-9730	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c).
<b>Keane, Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 73288	PC1-9622	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so that the approach is based on Best Management Practices and a pollution levy where pollution is above the assimilative capacity of the land.
<b>Kearney, Celine Patricia</b> Submitter ID: 72562	PC1-9966	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(e) to replace 2026 with a more immediate time frame.
<b>Kearney, Celine Patricia</b> Submitter ID: 72562	PC1-12807	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide specific consequences, such as financial penalties, for not meeting requirements and time frames.
<b>Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith</b> Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7868	Oppose the provision	DELETE provisions relating to Nitrogen Reference Point in Policy 2. If not deleted, then AMEND to REMOVE the grandfather clause. AND AMEND to use Olsen Phosphorus rather than nitrogen.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith</b> Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-12808	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to establish a rule for each class of farming.
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-12809	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - WITHDRAW AND NOTIFY the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-12810	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - REMOVE any reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6207	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that if a Farm Environment Plan is supplied, the Rule/s should be a permitted activity, regardless of the presence of a certified industry scheme. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plans timeframes to enable compliance. AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans allow for mitigation against contaminants.
<b>Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May</b> Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5701	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to incentivise land use change towards low environmental footprint, non-pastoral uses.
<b>Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May</b> Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-12811	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER investigating the impact of the Nitrogen Reference Point in PPC1 on the flexibility of low impact pastoral land use.
<b>Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May</b> Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-12812	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(e) to give discretion to difficult properties being fenced by 2026.
<b>Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May</b> Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-12813	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 provisions relating to Farm Environment Plans to provide certainty around what will be required.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1795	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to recognise that other land uses contribute to high contaminant levels in water bodies, and outline methods to address this. AND AMEND to provide additional clarity.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-12814	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2(e) to ensure stock exclusion is specific to water bodies.
<b>K M Organics Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73550	PC1-5617	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so any Asure Quality or Bio Gro registered farming operations have the right to have their farm revert to the average leachate levels of the district if they so wish.
<b>Koster and Birdsall, Linda Jannet and Anthony Mackenzie</b> Submitter ID: 72707	PC1-6594	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that properties only require resource consent if their Farm Environment Plan shows improvements are needed to their discharge levels.
<b>Lacewood Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8977	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations. AND AMEND to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
<b>Lacewood Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-12815	Oppose the provision	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of Policy 2 so they are tailored on a farm by farm basis and are based on the effect that hill country farmers with low stocking rates have on waterways.
<b>Lacewood Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-12816	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so they are flexible and developed on a farm-by-farm/catchment area basis.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Laurich, David Anthony and Valda Joy Benner</b> Submitter ID: 60681	PC1-7969	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.
<b>Lawson, John</b> Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11220	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen and dissolved reactive phosphorus with associated limits and targets within each sub-catchment at levels which provide for ecosystem health AND AMEND to ensure Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen is set at levels which provide for ecosystem health, not toxicity AND AMEND to ensure once a limit is set, the corresponding load or quantity of Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen can be allocated.
<b>Lawson, John</b> Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-12817	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to give effect to Objective A2 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to give effect to Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>Lea, Helen</b> Submitter ID: 73363	PC1-9242	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point to provide for rules based on land classification, natural capital, tools such as the OVERSEER Model and mitigation measures to manage the land to comply with PPC1.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8874	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2, provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for a Land Use Capability Approach OR AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to allow catchments to monitor its own land use and nitrogen loading to maintain levels at current levels AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-12818	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are not bound to title and if they are bound to title, that personal goals are not included. AND AMEND to provide for a template to allow farmers to work and prepare their own Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to focus on mitigation rather than rules AND AMEND to reconsider the level of impact on smaller areas of adverse events OR AMEND to provide for more flexibility in Farm Environment Plans for small local adverse events. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-12819	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for a template to allow farmers to work and prepare their own Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to focus on mitigation rather than rules. AND AMEND to reconsider the level of impact on smaller areas of adverse events OR AMEND to provide for more flexibility in Farm Environment Plans for small local adverse events. AND AMEND to provide for the adjustment to PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and ensure the cost of this is not put on farmers. AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, to be linked to sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts that are out of the farmers control. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lees, Brian</b> Submitter ID: 71298	PC1-3223	Support the provision with amendments	No specific relief requested for Policy 2.
<b>Leveson and Gower, Alexander and Vicki</b> Submitter ID: 71353	PC1-1653	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5276	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-12820	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Loader, A J</b> Submitter ID: 74084	PC1-13146	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that any required reduction in emissions be made on the basis of the total percentage emitted from farming (i.e. 61% nitrogen and 45% phosphorus) as a part of the total reduction required for all waterways.
<b>Loft, Patricia</b> Submitter ID: 73495	PC1-9849	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c) AND AMEND to provide more information regarding the science behind the measurements underpinning the Nitrogen Reference Point AND ADD more information addressing whether the OVERSEER Model is the best measurement tool for this process.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12238	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 2 adoption of mitigation strategies through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12821	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12822	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 2 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Macdonald, Hamish Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2716	Oppose the provision with amendments	AND AMEND Policy 2 to provide for individual plans through Farm Environment Plans for each farm where the combination of fencing waterways or other mitigation measures are taken into consideration, to work out a balance that benefits both the environment and the farmer. AMEND Policy 2 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future.
<b>Macdonald, Hamish Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-12823	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to use a natural capital approach of what the land can actually handle or use a sub-catchment approach and work within communities or combine a natural capital approach and a sub-catchment approach AND AMEND to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
<b>Macdonald, Ian</b> Submitter ID: 71217	PC1-608	Not stated	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for the right to use nitrogen and flexibility within boundaries.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3123	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-12824	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 rules.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-12825	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>MacLachlan, Daniel and Amanda</b> Submitter ID: 73959	PC1-2959	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from Policy 2 and instead use Farm Environment Plans to manage effects.
<b>MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Lindsay Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 71205	PC1-677	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 and instead use Farm Environment Plans as a way to determine land use capability and to measure nutrient allocation.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Susan Molly</b> Submitter ID: 71243	PC1-333	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capabilities and nutrient use.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8018	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to refer to sub-catchment control and governance AND AMEND PPC1 so that point source emitters are included in sub-catchment solutions AND AMEND PPC1 so that there is increased in monitoring at the sub-catchment level.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-12826	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so the Nitrogen Reference Point is not used as a grandparenting solution but as a reference point to start the journey, and to be used as a measure so high emitters can begin reduction.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-12827	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to change the stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with that stated by national water policy.
<b>Main, Richard and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73927	PC1-3264	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 DELETE OVERSEER in its form.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9182	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Martyn, Anna Katrina</b> Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4150	Oppose the provision	DELETE all provisions relating to Nitrogen Reference Points in PPC1 OR AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans OR ENSURE monitoring of waterways where they enter and exit a property in order to establish current contribution to water quality.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3485	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 'c.' to read: "c. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for <del>the</del> a property or enterprise <u>based on using industry or sector group accepted models or similar</u> ; and"
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-12830	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 'e.' to read: "e. Requiring stock exclusion to be completed within <u>the timeframes set out in a Farm Environment Plan.</u> "
<b>Matira Sub Catchment Group</b> Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9301	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety OR AMEND to provide a land use suitability and a sub-catchment method that would work better.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11731	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Mayne, Anna</b> Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8984	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the OVERSEER Model is not used for a regulatory purpose AND ENCOURAGE the use of the Overseer Report and the Nitrate Leaching Estimate as part of a Farm Environment Plan AND ADD a method that will support farmers to reduce application rates of artificial nitrogen and phosphorus to a flat rate (30-50kg/ha/year) over time.
<b>Mayne, Anna</b> Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-12831	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - ENSURE that Waikato Regional Council considers working collaboratively with Ngati Rangi O Te Oro and the holistic environmental accounting/monitoring tool that whanau/hapu have developed.
<b>McAlister, James and Maeve</b> Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5833	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2.
<b>McClunie, Joseph and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-63	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and replace with an actual measurement on each farm.
<b>McClunie, Joseph and Margaret</b>	PC1-12832	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 provision about Farm Environment Plans and consider that people pay regional rates over and above general rates for many years.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71175			
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8312	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to recognise other land uses contribute to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies and outline methods to address this AND AMEND Policy 2(d) by refining it with regard to site size and distance from key waterways.
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-12833	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide additional clarity AND AMEND to include the ability for people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being.
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-12834	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2(c) to allow flexibility of species and seasonal change in stock, and flexibility in stocking rates in response to climatic conditions.
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-12835	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 (e) to read: " <u>Requiring a risk based approach to stock exclusion from waterbodies within a property to be completed within 3 years, or the timeframe agreed to by Council through acceptance of the Farm Environment Plan following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026.</u> "
<b>McLaughlin, Kate</b> Submitter ID: 72498	PC1-5994	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that Farm Environment Plans be implemented in sub-catchments that have scientific evidence of the need for improvement. AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans must be flexible to accommodate market and environmental changes. AND REMOVE the time constraints for preparation of Farm Environment Plans and allow farmers to set a plan based on their financial restrictions and apply it to the scientific, sub-catchment specific data.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10929	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to assess potential contaminate levels of particular farming systems/practice and whether or not a Farm Environment Plan is required.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-12836	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to use the OVERSEER Model as an indicator of nitrogen loss and not as a regulatory tool AND REPLACE the Nitrogen Reference Point with a 'range' for a property.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-12837	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to require stock exclusion only if it will significantly reduce contaminants, and if so, to allow a range of alternative mitigation actions.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11880	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Meier, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-9480	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach AND AMEND to include sub-catchment-specific implementation plans that provide for all point source and diffuse discharges to water bodies AND AMEND to ensure that mitigation measures are proportionate to their relative impacts.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9517	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (a and b) to read: "a. .... established by participation in a Certified <del>Industry Sector</del> Scheme; and b.....established with a resource consent or through a Certified <del>Industry Sector</del> Schemes; and..." DELETE from PPC1 the term Certified Industry Scheme AND REPLACE with Certified Sector Scheme.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-12838	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (e) to read: "e. Requiring stock exclusion <u>from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes</u> to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Minoprio, Christopher</b> Submitter ID: 73956	PC1-2745	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 (c) and (d) to allow Asure Quality and BioGro registered farming operations to revert to the average leachates levels of the district.
<b>Minoprio, Matthew Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73099	PC1-4788	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the OVERSEER Model and set catchment limits based on a Land Utilisation and Capability Indicator or some other land use suitability/capability model where every farmer and landowner are on equal footing. (Policy 2) AND AMEND Farm Environment Plans so they consider positive management practices. AND promote a biological approach to farming to reduce nutrient leaching and restore nutrient cycles.
<b>Minoprio, Matthew Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73099	PC1-12839	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the OVERSEER Model and set catchment limits based on a Land Utilisation and Capability Indicator or some other land use suitability/capability model where every farmer and landowner are on equal footing. (Policy 2) AND promote a biological approach to farming to reduce nutrient leaching and restore nutrient cycles.
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8811	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(b) to extend the parity of rigour of Farm Environment Plans to all farming sectors.
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-12840	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) to read as follows: "Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the <del>amount of current discharge</del> <u>difference between current practices and the application of Best Management Practices</u> (those <del>discharging more are currently not applying mitigations</del> expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and"
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4284	Oppose the provision with amendments	AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12841	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for a change to the slope requirements to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord AND CONSIDER subsidising fencing waterways and contaminant mitigation AND AMEND to provide for science to determine the necessity of fencing of water bodies, based on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing of water bodies.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12842	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for a change to the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12843	Oppose the provision with amendments	AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine the best scenario for each farm and allow them to address the potential or present contaminants.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-12844	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND to ADD a sub-catchment approach which focuses on the contaminants of each particular sub-catchment and is based on science.
<b>Morison, Steve and Toni</b> Submitter ID: 71439	PC1-1441	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to exclude land users with a nitrogen discharge of 20 kg/ha or less from complying with Nitrogen Reference Point requirements. AND AMEND to ensure that all pastoral land users with low nitrogen discharge farm systems have an equal allocation of 20kgN/ha.
<b>Morison, Steve and Toni</b> Submitter ID: 71439	PC1-12845	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that farmers are not penalised for a lack of Certified Farm Environment Planners to prepare Farm Environment Plans in the required time frame AND AMEND to enable extensions of the time frame for the completion of a Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-12846	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-12847	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6857	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2's support for appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2029	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by removing the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-12848	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan under Policy 2.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-12849	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 by changing PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9028	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 in their entirety. AND AMEND the rules so that they are science based, not based on grandparenting.
<b>Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-12850	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm.
<b>Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-12851	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm, and science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2564	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 by including all discharges of contaminants so that water quality improvements can be made as a whole community.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8750	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 AND AMEND so that, if nitrogen discharges do have to be allocated, the allocation system is based on the natural capital of soils and the water quality outcomes for each sub-catchment AND REMOVE allocation based on 2014/15 or 2015/16 land use or grandparenting, especially for lower leaching land uses such as drystock AND AMEND to reconsider the use of Overseer modelled nitrogen discharge numbers to determine resource consent status and compliance AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point discharge restrictions and enable flexibility in nitrogen leaching from hill country sheep and beef farming and low impact land uses (< 20kgN/ha/yr) or apply a natural capital allocation.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-12853	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what works best for each farm, science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment, and effects-based provisions AND AMEND to allow landowners to produce their own Farm Environment Plans with guidance and support from Waikato Regional Council AND DELETE the timeframes and set individual timeframes based on consultation with landowners and the sensitivity of the waterbody.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-12854	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Policy 2 Farm Environment Plan requirements to reduce the thresholds for mandatory stock exclusion to nationally recommended standards (Clean Water Report, February 2017, with stock exclusion only applying to slopes of up to 15 degrees for deer and cattle, and only applying to waterbodies 1m or wider for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope AND RETAIN the requirement for fencing on land above 15 degrees for intensive farming operations (>18su/ha) AND REPLACE input standards such as riparian setbacks and limitation on cultivation with mitigations that are set on a farm by farm basis and focused on management of critical source areas.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-12855	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants relevant to each farm AND AMEND to focus on reducing impacts from intensive agriculture (>18su/ha) rather than applying inappropriate rules to extensive agriculture.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4611	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11578	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Ngati Hau Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11830	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Nichol, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 71207	PC1-688	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3924	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2's support for appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-12856	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-12857	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>O.M and P.R Houchen Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71655	PC1-7832	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so it includes provisions to discourage water from draining into the hills which will slow the water down in the swamps and filter out the nutrients and in combination with a troughing system should control the problem. AND AMEND PPC1 so planting of deciduous trees is encouraged as grass can grow down to the tree roots with troughs being places close to the shade on firm dry land.
<b>O.M and P.R Houchen Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71655	PC1-12858	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of PPC1 so they are flexible for hill country.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-12859	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by redrafting to reflect the alternative approach proposed in this submission [overview of the alternative approach in Table 2 of submission], including by deleting reference to the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point and incorporating a reference to the requirement for new farming activities to adopt the Best Practicable Options. IF this relief is not accepted then AMEND to as a minimum achievement of diffuse discharges from farming activities and commercial vegetation through the adoption of Best Practicable Options in accordance with Policy 3 d) [as redrafted the submission].
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b>	PC1-6397	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by replacing "management and require reductions" of diffuse discharges with " <u>achieve reductions</u> ".

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73725			
<b>Okell, Robert Steven</b> Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-174	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide a rate of change that properly targets the persons or entities discharging the greatest amounts and concentrations.
<b>Okell, Robert Steven</b> Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-12860	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide and unbiased method of developing any Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Oliver, Richard Douglas</b> Submitter ID: 71343	PC1-912	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Oliver, Richard Douglas</b> Submitter ID: 71343	PC1-12861	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - RETAIN Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Oliver, Richard Douglas</b> Submitter ID: 71343	PC1-12862	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to adopt a Land Use Capability approach.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7302	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 (a) to refer to sub-catchment control and governance with point source emitters included in sub-catchment solutions AND AMEND to refer to the increase in monitoring sites quantified AND AMEND to use Land Use Capability and natural capital sub-catchment control governance AND AMEND to provide for tradeable discharges if compatible with sub-catchment targets.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-12863	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that the Nitrogen Reference Point is not used for a grandparenting solution, but used as a reference /measure for reduction from high emitters AND REMOVE from Policy 2 clause (c).
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-12864	Oppose the provision	REMOVE from Policy 2 clause (d).
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-12865	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - AMEND stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the proposed national water policy AND REMOVE from Policy 2 clause (e).
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-2725	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to promote good management practice within each catchment and use the Nitrogen Reference Point only for those users who need to reduce below the 75th percentile and use Farm Environment Plans to deal with other nutrient discharges, including microbial pathogens.
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-12866	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - ADOPT the Governments clean water (2017) stock exclusion policies.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9382	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure diffuse and point source discharges are addressed at a sub-catchment level AND AMEND to ensure guidance on the level of discharge allowed by each discharger is provided AND AMEND to provide maximum discharge levels for each sub-catchment based on the level of improvement required, rather than on existing discharges.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-12867	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - REMOVE Nitrogen Reference Point 'trading'.
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5754	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for amending the regional plan fertiliser application permitted activities to restrict phosphorus based fertiliser application only to the individual paddocks where industry recognised agronomic Olsen Phosphorus levels are deficient, phosphorus need is demonstrated and suppliers bonded or liable for non-conforming release.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-12868	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide adequate resourcing for monitoring.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-12869	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by developing a fair system of nutrient allocation for the Waikato Region that allows communities and catchments to develop solutions that work towards the outcomes required. The plan could be amended and re-notified once an effective system is devised with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND by re-notifying only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-12870	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety OR AMEND by extending the timeframe.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6755	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety. OR AMEND Policy 2 by re-notifying the plan only when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5302	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from Policy 2 in its entirety.
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-12871	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to provide for timeframe extension for Farm Environment Plan development with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-12872	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn</b> Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-1022	Oppose the provision with amendments	Farm Environment Plans Policy 2: AMEND Schedule 1 rather than consultants have more land owner input into Farm Environment Plan development.
<b>Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn</b> Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-12873	Oppose the provision with amendments	Nitrogen Reference Point: AND AMEND to adopt monitoring of actual levels of contaminants at a sub-catchment level over a period of time before rules are made AND AMEND approach to nitrogen to include guidelines based on scientific evidence rather than rules.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4185	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternative to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period given the limitations of OVERSEER for modelling horticultural systems.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-12874	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Peters, Michael Joseph</b> Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6531	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision in relation to Policy 2 requested.
<b>Phillips, Neal</b> Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-757	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 approach to nutrient management to remove the OVERSEER Model as a measurement tool on medium to low stocking rate farms. For example farms with lower than 12 stock units per hectare are exempt from nitrogen measurement and maintain soil phosphorus level at or below optimum levels as per regular soil tests.
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6585	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to apply one uniform unit as discharge allowance for everyone.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12005	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11681	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Potter, Neil and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 72823	PC1-4823	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1, science based testing is needed to prove what are best practices to create on accurate Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plan includes an estimated timeframe for the work to be done, along with the provision to change this as situation changes with seasonal and market influences AND MAKE any consequential amendments including objectives, polices and rule or restructuring of PPC1 to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-12875	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 AND AMEND Policy 2 b to extend the parity of rigour of Farm Environment Plans to all farming sectors.
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6285	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 AND AMEND part d to read "Requiring degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the <u>amount of difference between current dischargespractices and the application of Best Management Practices (those discharging more not currently applying mitigations are expected to make greater reductions)</u> , and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and"
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11144	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "Policy 2: Tailored approach to " <u>managing and where relevant</u> " reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities... Manage and " <u>where relevant</u> " require reductions in sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities on properties and enterprises by: ...d. Requiring a degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens " <u>where required</u> " to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and"
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-12876	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "e. Requiring stock exclusion " <u>for areas with a slope of less than 15 degrees and on those slopes exceeding 15 degrees where break feeding occurs</u> " to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026"
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-12877	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to align with the proposed amendments to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7779	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 2 reference to Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-12878	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from Policy 2 and PPC1 AND AMEND by recognising the limitations of the OVERSEER Model as a regulatory tool.
<b>Pukerimu Farms Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4797	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 (c).
<b>Pukerimu Farms Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-12879	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 (d). AND REPLACE OR AMEND the tailored approach to managing diffuse discharges with best management practices for all land use activities to be adopted within workable but prompt time-frames.
<b>Pukeroa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-12880	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 provisions relating to nitrogen management so that all farms have independent watershed tests for what and if contaminants (nitrogen, phosphorous, pathogens and sediment) are detected, and then farm can make changes where and if necessary. AND AMEND so that the nutrient loss is based on science and actual results on a farm by farm basis. AND AMEND after a review of the way a Nitrogen Reference Point is completed.
<b>Pukeroa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-12881	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include more publically available information on the problem areas. AND AMEND so that all properties within the region are in PPC1.
<b>Pukeroa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-7056	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that rather than rules, the requirement for stock exclusion on steeper land can be looked at in consultation. AND AMEND to a practical variation of the waterway requirements for hill country.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4929	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 Priority 1 timeframes for stock exclusion to allow more time.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-12882	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - CONSIDER the health and safety impact of overhead wires to supply electricity fences.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-12883	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - AMEND after undertaking the science backing the Nitrogen Reference Point for beef cows.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-12884	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - CONSIDER that the Farm Environment Planner is more money and the availability of enough Farm Environment Planners.
<b>Quinn, Kevin</b> Submitter ID: 71183	PC1-148	Oppose the provision	No specific relief was requested for Policy 2.
<b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-12885	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so it is a simple, workable document similar to a farm business plan with future focussed goals rather than being regulated by one rule.
<b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-12886	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to use a sub-catchment approach to address contaminants that are relevant to each farm. Use Farm Environment Plans to determine the best scenario for each farm, and science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment.
<b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6981	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of OVERSEER from PPC1 AND AMEND the rules so that they are not based on 'grandparenting'.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy</b> Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8501	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and deal with problems such as sediment.
<b>Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy</b> Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-12887	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to extend the time frames and give more flexibility and allow farmers to do more themselves to lower costs.
<b>Ratray, Earl Steven</b> Submitter ID: 73528	PC1-12888	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policies 2(c) and (d) to allow farmers to select the highest nitrogen level from the preceding 10 year period for the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Ratray, Earl Steven</b> Submitter ID: 73528	PC1-12889	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to include a combination of evidence of environmental damage (i.e. outcomes) with a risk-based approach to define mitigation actions, such that where there is no evidence of negative environmental impact from grazing land and practices, prescriptive mitigation actions are not imposed based on risk alone.
<b>Ratray, Earl Steven</b> Submitter ID: 73528	PC1-6935	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to specifically require Certified Industry Schemes to use consistent definitions of area in terms of the calculations of nutrient loss when determining the reference point and this should be the total area of the single farming enterprise.
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10551	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10102	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to retain the overall intent but reword and clarify to read: <del>"a. Taking a tailored, risk-based approach to d Define mitigation actions...</del> <del>b. Requiring the same level of rigour in developing, monitoring and auditing of mitigation actions on the land that is set out in a Farm Environment Plan, whether it is established with a resource consent or through Certified Industry Schemes; and</del> <del>c. b. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise in accordance with Schedule B; and</del> <del>d. c. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and"</del> AND ADD a new clause d.: "d. Require <b>farming activities to adopt Good Management Practices; and"</b>
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9977	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to develop a workable system.
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-12893	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2(b) and REPLACE with: " <u>i) A Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise to be kept by the land owner (or their representative). ii) Waikato Regional Council to carry out a random survey of no more than ten per cent of dairy properties in each Freshwater Management Unit in order to establish the 75th percentile figure for that Freshwater Management Unit.</u> "
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-12894	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2(e) and REPLACE with: " <u>Waikato Regional Council to develop best practice guidelines for reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities.</u> "
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8527	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (a) to read: ". ....define mitigation actions on the land <del>that will reduce for</del> diffuse discharges of nitrogen...." AND AMEND Policy 2 (d) to read: ".....to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment, <u>and proportionate to the average on-farm discharge in the sub-catchment</u> and"
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b>	PC1-12895	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 (e) OR AMEND to read: " <u>Requiring stock exclusion, or acceptable alternative mitigation, to be completed.."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71614			
<b>Reymer, Garry</b> Submitter ID: 74183	PC1-5583	Not stated	Keep a focus on reducing all contaminants. Policy 2.
<b>Ridings, Raymond John and Jennifer Jane</b> Submitter ID: 72501	PC1-3869	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable Certified Organic farmers to revert to the average leachate levels for the district.
<b>Riverheads Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3148	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 OR REPLACE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point with a Farm Environment Plan that would encourage responsible use of nutrients and application guidelines.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-12896	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - REMOVE reference to Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-12897	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7159	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3985	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2(a) the use of OVERSEER and REPLACE with a measuring tool that is accurate, both relatively and absolutely AND use and drive best management practices.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-12899	Oppose the provision	Policy 2(c) do not do benchmarking or allocation.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-12900	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - prohibit and prosecute the worst practices, maintain pressure on the 'tail' as it improves AND research a series of mitigations to support efficiency and help to introduce them, in combination with pollution levies have the greatest and fastest effect on water pollution AND do not use a proportional system, use pollution levies.
<b>Rotorua Lakes Council</b> Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2503	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (c) to read: <u>"Establishment of a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise based on the natural ability if the land to limit leaching of contaminants, which is inter alia imparted by the land's Land Use Capability class."</u> AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Rotor Work Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-12901	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable assessment of stock exclusion requirements on a farm by farm basis dependent of the factors identified in the submission.
<b>Rotor Work Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-5964	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to set a fair nitrogen leaching loss limit of 30kg/N/ha for all farms and all farm types. AND AMEND to make provision for monitor more closely all farms above 30kg/N/ha AND AMEND provision so that farms above 30kg/N/ha incur costs if they continue above 30kg/N/ha. AND AMEND Policy 2 to reward low end dischargers.
<b>Rowe, Susan Helen</b> Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-12902	Oppose the provision	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of Policy 2 so they are tailored on a farm by farm basis and are based on the effect that hill country farmers with low stocking rates have on waterways.
<b>Rowe, Susan Helen</b> Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-12903	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so they are flexible and developed on a farm-by-farm/catchment area basis.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Rowe, Susan Helen</b> Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6750	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations AND AMEND to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
<b>Russell, Jill Adrienne</b> Submitter ID: 71348	PC1-7246	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(e) to read as follows: "Requiring stock exclusion to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council <u>and where water reticulation is already supplied over the whole farm, other farms (e.g. hill country sheep and beef) to be assessed on an economic and environmental basis or in any case no later than 1 July 2026.</u> "
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2249	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE from PPC1 Nitrogen Reference Points AND AMEND to include the restrictions of the OVERSEER Model in considering alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Samuel, Criton Karaitiana</b> Submitter ID: 71617	PC1-1674	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2c.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5645	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - DELETE the use of industry self-management schemes AND AMEND PPC1 to use and drive best management practices to achieve the pollution reduction objectives AND research a series of mitigations with strong data to support their efficacy and help introduce them. These will in combination with pollution levies have the greatest and fastest effect on water pollution AND DELETE the use of a proportional system in PPC1 and REPLACE with pollution levies.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-12904	Oppose the provision	Policy 2- REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 and do not use it for any mitigation practice. AND REMOVE the use of Overseer or any other measuring tool in PPC1 until it is accurate both relatively and absolutely. AND DELETE the use of benchmarking or allocation. AND AMEND PPC1 to prohibit and strongly prosecute the worst practices maintaining pressure on the 'tail' as it improves.
<b>Saxton, David Christopher</b> Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3355	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.
<b>Saxton, David Christopher</b> Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-12905	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of Policy 2 so exclusion is only required for waterbodies greater than 1m wide and where it is reasonable and practical to do so. Financial support from the Waikato Regional Council or Central Government is required for the construction of stock exclusion fencing. Consideration must also be given for the ongoing maintenance of fencing, the waterbody, any planting and the control of weeds within the exclusion zone.
<b>Saxton, David Christopher</b> Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-12906	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Farm Environment Plan provisions of Policy 2 but they must be cost effective, simple, practicable and achievable.
<b>Scott, Neil, Ann, Brent and Louise</b> Submitter ID: 73024	PC1-1812	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 by removing the grandparenting approach from PPC1. AMEND by providing a sub-catchment approach to address the contaminants that are relevant to each area rather than a blanket rule. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Shabor Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1127	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 Nitrogen Reference Point provisions, but if not deleted then AMEND to allocate all drystock farms around 25 kg Nitrogen /hectare/year [25 units of nitrogen].
<b>Shabor Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-12907	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to make better use of Farm Environment Plans.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2608	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 2 [1] in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-12908	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point rules.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-12909	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5037	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the grandparenting approach AND AMEND so that nitrogen is investigated at a sub-catchment level AND AMEND to set a band that all dischargers have to adhere to, that is equitable for all low and high dischargers.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-12910	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that farmers are able to manage or amend the Farm Environment Plan, with this being audited only.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-12911	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10373	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to remove the grandparenting approach AND AMEND to manage nitrogen emissions at a sub-catchment scale, with levels set according to the sub-catchment issue AND AMEND to set an emission range for all properties to ensure equity across all emitters.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-12912	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable farmers to manage or change the Farm Environment Plan with this being audited only.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-12913	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5615	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to make better use of Farm Environment Plans and to develop individual plans for individual farms.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-12914	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 Part c.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-12915	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 Part e.
<b>Sieling Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5462	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are only required for livestock farms running more than 20 SU/ha or greater than 50ha. AND AMEND to provide for an exemption for low intensity land use.
<b>Simpson, Greg John</b>	PC1-5541	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide for the opportunity to use an effects based system to deal with the issues within each farm. AND AMEND to provide for higher allowances for land inputs at the start, especially in the case of low producing farms.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73225			
<b>Simpson, Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9882	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 so that the effects of Nitrogen are addressed on a property basis.
<b>Simpson, Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-12916	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions of PPC1 so that farmers can do a lot of the work themselves.
<b>Sinclair, Andrew and Louise</b> Submitter ID: 74047	PC1-4743	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Sinclair Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-12917	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ADD the following to Policy 2(c): " <u>Provide guidelines within the Farm Environment Plan to ensure that:</u> i. Farms with Nitrogen losses lower than the 75th percentile plan and implement good practice; ii. Farms above the 75th percentile for Nitrogen losses plan and implement methods to reduce to below that value"
<b>Sinclair Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-12918	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2(d) and change to the suggestion made by DairyNZ for Policy 2(d): ["...d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the amount risk of current discharge <u>leaving a property from overland flow or leaching below the root zone, as identified in farm environment plans entering water bodies</u> (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment plan; and..." ]
<b>Sinclair Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-12919	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2(e).
<b>Sinclair Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-6787	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(a) to include a requirement to establish the current situation (management, infrastructure, actions) in the Farm Environment Plan AND RETAIN Policy 2(b).
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1227	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-12920	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-12921	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas</b> Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1200	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND REPLACE with Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11099	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Clause d) of Policy 2(d) to read: "d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchments <u>which is capable of being achieved in the short-term taking into account the particular characteristics of each sub-catchment;...</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			ADD a NEW clause that reads: "da. <u>Providing for and allowing opportunity for offset mitigation between properties or enterprises which will achieve the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens proportionate to the amount of current discharge and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchments capable of being achieved in the period to 2026, taking into account the particular characteristics of each sub-catchment.</u> "
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4039	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(c) to read: "c. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for a property or enterprise <u>based on using industry or sector group accepted models or similar...</u> "
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-12922	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(e) to read: "e. Requiring stock exclusion to be completed within <del>3 years following the dates by which</del> <u>the timeframes set out in a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026.</u> "
<b>Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2752	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2: AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity for farms and more objectivity regarding land use change AND AMEND Policy 2 to reconsider the economic outfall and value loss from people leaving farming AND AMEND to include logical solutions that have positive economic and environmental effects AND undertake wider consultation, on a farm by farm basis.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5084	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety. AMEND by not implementing PPC1 until sound scientific data has been collected as to the water quality issues in each sub-catchment.
<b>Stewart, Garry</b> Submitter ID: 71215	PC1-2016	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.
<b>Stokes, Kelvin Arnold</b> Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5255	Oppose the provision with amendments	With respect to Policy 2, DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with an effects based system that encourages farmers to address actual farm problems e.g. sediment runoff.
<b>Stokes, Kelvin Arnold</b> Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-12923	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND time frames for Farm Environment Plans to take into account the overall cost.
<b>Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4058	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by setting aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and provide a system which is effects based.
<b>Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-12924	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to allow farmers to prepare Farm Environment Plans themselves under certain guidelines and are flexible to meet the changing rules that Council is likely to introduce in future years.
<b>Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-12925	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 by addressing actual farming problems rather than a blanket approach.
<b>Strang and Strang Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5564	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE or supplement the tailored approach in Policy 2 with sound sensible Best Management Practices for all land use activities to be adopted within workable but prompt timeframes.
<b>Strang and Strang Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-12926	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2 (c).
<b>Strang and Strang Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-12927	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2 (d).

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Stubbs and Brown, Ben and Rebecca</b> Submitter ID: 73924	PC1-5014	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2(c) to require identified higher emitters to reduce their Nitrogen Reference Point and allow low emitters some room to move, rather than have their Nitrogen Reference Point capped AND AMEND to ensure low emitters are not locked into a financially crippling process AND AMEND to ensure a fair system is developed for low nitrogen emitters to have room to move.
<b>Stubbs and Brown, Ben and Rebecca</b> Submitter ID: 73924	PC1-12928	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2(e) to provide for stock exclusion and water reticulation in a staged/targeted manner by identifying key areas to be prioritised.
<b>Stubbs and Brown, Ben and Rebecca</b> Submitter ID: 73924	PC1-12929	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to provide a Farm Environment Plan with milestones. Council to check compliance without additional expense to the farmers, unless non-compliant.
<b>Stubbs and Brown, Ben and Rebecca</b> Submitter ID: 73924	PC1-12930	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to use a sub-catchment group approach to solve shared challenges facing farmers AND AMEND to move cropping to a consented practice, like forestry.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-12931	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide a consenting pathway for groups to reduce contaminants through a combination of catchment and paddock-scale mitigation measures.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7040	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the OVERSEER Model.
<b>Taupo Lake Care Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9352	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model for regulatory purposes and any consequential amendments.
<b>Taupo Lake Care Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-12932	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - develop a measurement system that targets E.coli and phosphorous as a precursor for the whole Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Taupo Lake Care Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-12933	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - develop a stock exclusion measure that takes into account the length of waterway excluded, and/or the amount of fencing, and/or the area of planting, and /or the edge of field improvements and stock intensity AND AMEND so that once the stock exclusion and edge of field improvements are achieved an Overseer based program could be considered AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Taylor, Ian McNicol</b> Submitter ID: 73406	PC1-7581	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 c) rather than using the Nitrogen Reference Point as the major target, use the Farm Environment Plans for nutrient/sediment loss.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11779	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11962	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11628	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Te Mata Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-4412	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE PPC1 provisions enabling the use of Nitrogen Reference Points and The OVERSEER Model.
<b>Te Mata Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-12934	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND Farm Environment Plans so they can be developed by farmers in accordance with and supported by Waikato Regional Council guidelines and resources.
<b>Te Mata Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-12935	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to adopt a methodology that assesses on-farm contaminants and takes into account the terrain, farm policy and receiving environment relevant to the sub-catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Te Miro Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-12936	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so properties are not required to be held at or below their Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Te Miro Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-12937	Support the provision with amendments	PUT ON HOLD the implementation of PPC1 until the scientific data around which contaminants are causing water quality decline is available for each sub-catchment.
<b>Te Miro Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6928	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans allow for mitigation against contaminants and do not include prescriptive blanket measures AND AMEND PPC1 so that the timeframes are extend to enable compliance with the Farm Environment Plan provisions.
<b>Templeton, Heather and Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71219	PC1-2157	Oppose the provision	DELETE Point (c) from Policy 2 OR make better use of Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capability and as a measure of nutrient allocation.
<b>TerraCare Fertilisers Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73066	PC1-10499	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(c) to ensure best management practices and objectives are set instead of a definitive point AND AMEND to provide incentives for nutrient loss mitigation rather than punitive measures.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12237	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12055	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7805	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8252	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read as follows: "Policy 2: <del>Tailored approach to</del> Reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities. Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities on properties and enterprises by: a. <del>Taking a tailored, risk-based approach to define mitigation actions on the land that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, with the mitigation actions to be specified in a Farm Environment Plan either associated with a resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme</del> Requiring the 75th percentile of dairy farms to reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to below the 75th percentile level by 2026; b. Requiring the same level of rigour in developing, monitoring and auditing of mitigation actions on the land that is set out in a Farm Environment Plan, whether it is established with a resource consent or through Certified Industry Schemes requiring all farming activities to operate using good management practice by 2019; c. where further reductions in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are necessary, these are to be achieved by the use of best management practices; d. where further reductions in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are necessary, these are: <u>(i) proportionate to the amount of current discharge for the activity operating at best management practices (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions); and</u> <u>(ii) proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment;</u> d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and <u>e. mitigation</u> actions required to meet the reductions in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens needed to achieve the losses under Policy 2(b) are specified in a Farm Environment Plan; <u>ef. establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise;</u> and <u>eg. requiring stock exclusion to be completed within 3 years following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026."</u>
<b>The Surveying Company Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-5668	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 Farm Environment Plans to add detail on the land belonging to a property/enterprise including land to be acquired or disposed from the farming operation and land that is subdivided from, amalgamated to, leased or retired from a farming activity.
<b>The Surveying Company Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-12938	Oppose the provision	CLARIFY in Policy 2 whether the Nitrogen Reference Point would be attached to the land or the enterprise.
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5088	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety AND if not declined AMEND to develop National Farm Environment Plan Guidelines, allow landowners to develop own Farm Environment Plan based on these guidelines and identify who will decide on Farm Environment Plan prerequisites.
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-12939	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 in its entirety AND AMEND Policy 2 to consider all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Thomas, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 73447	PC1-9937	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure that properties with the same soil type, contour and farming type have the same Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Thomas, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 73447	PC1-12940	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure suitable land is used for dairy farming AND AMEND to introduce flexibility for land use change AND AMEND to allow land use rights to be tradable and transferable. AND AMEND PPC1 to introduce an eradication policy of ducks, geese, swan and pukeko.
<b>Tierney, Colm and Gaynor</b> Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-7709	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2(a) AND ADD a requirement to establish the current situation (management, infrastructure and actions) in the Farm Environment Plan. RETAIN Policy 2(b).
<b>Tierney, Colm and Gaynor</b> Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-12941	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2(c) AND ADD the following words: " <u>Provide guidelines within the Farm Environment Plan to ensure that (i) farms with nitrogen losses lower than the 75th percentile, plan and implement good practice; and (ii) farms above the 75th percentile, plan and implement methods to reduce losses to below that value.</u> "
<b>Tierney, Colm and Gaynor</b> Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-12942	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 2(d) and replace it with the decision sought in the DairyNZ submission. [RETAIN the first sentence of Policy 2 and clause (b) and (c). AND AMEND Policy 2 (a) and (d) to read: "a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land <del>that will reduce</del> <u>for</u> diffuse discharges of nitrogen... d. Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the <del>amount</del> <u>risk</u> of current discharge <u>leaving a property from overland flow or leaching below the root zone, as identified in farm environment plans entering waterbodies</u> (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment <u>plan</u> ; and..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADD a NEW clause (e) to read: " <u>Where sub-catchment plans do not exist, individual Farm Environment Plans shall ensure that that the risk of diffuse phosphorus, nitrogen, sediment, and microbial pathogens entering waterbodies is identified by suitably qualified and experienced people, and time-bound and monitored actions are put in place to address risks of phosphorus, sediment and microbial contaminants. For diffuse nitrogen discharges, Farm Environment Plans will:</u> i. <u>ensure that nitrogen losses stay within a five year rolling average, and</u> ii. <u>for farms above the 75th percentile value, nitrogen losses decrease to that value, and</u> iii. <u>for all other farms, nitrogen losses do not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point.</u> "
<b>Tierney, Colm and Gaynor</b> Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-12943	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2(e).
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3277	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (c) to read: "Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise <u>for the sole purpose of establishing an ability to reduce nitrogen loss;</u> and" Or words to like effect.
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5772	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "Policy 2: Tailored approach to <del>reducing</del> <u>managing</u> diffuse discharges... Manage <del>and require reduction in</del> sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, ... enterprises by: a. Taking a tailored, risk based approach to define mitigation actions on the land that will <del>reduce</del> <u>manage</u> diffuse discharges ... c. Establishing a <del>Nitrogen Reference Point</del> <u>Reference Land-use Description</u> for the property or enterprise; and d. Requiring the degree of reduction ( <u>if any</u> ) in diffuse discharges ... proportionate to the amount of <del>current</del> discharge <u>during the Reference Land-use Description period</u> ... of the water quality improvement ( <u>if any</u> ) required ...; and e. Requiring <u>a plan</u> for stock exclusion to be <u>implemented completed within 3 years following the dates which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council, or in any case no later than 1 July 2026</u> in accordance with the risk based assessment made during the Farm Environment Plan process; and f. Requiring all farms to implement Good Management Practices."
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-12944	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so commercial vegetable production is captured in Policy 2 requirements AND AMEND address the concerns in this submission about Policy 3 commercial vegetable production requirements in Policy 2.
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9763	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3944	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER undertaking further investigation into the affordability and achievability of fencing for stock exclusion within the required timeframe stated in Policy 2 (e).
<b>Tucker, Geoff and Kara</b> Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2767	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point. (Policy 2) AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 AND AMEND to enable flexibility in nitrogen emissions from low intensity sheep and beef farming AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Tucker, Geoff and Kara</b> Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-12945	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - ADOPT a sub-catchment approach based on Farm Environment plans, using science to determine which contaminants are an issue in that catchment AND AMEND PPC1 so farm Environment Plans can be produced by the farmer or landowner, with Waikato Regional Council guidance and support

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND Farm Environment Plan requirements to adopt nationally recommended standards around fencing and the upper limit of low intensity agriculture (18 stock units per hectare as at 30 June in any given year).
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12173	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10273	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-12946	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-12947	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6782	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2's support for appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>van der Voorden, Vera and Nora</b> Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-11283	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to give effect to Objective A2 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND AMEND to give effect to Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>van der Voorden, Vera and Nora</b> Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-12948	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to INCLUDE Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen and dissolved reactive phosphorus with associated limits and targets within each sub-catchment at levels which provide for ecosystem health AND AMEND to ensure Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen is set at levels which provide for ecosystem health, not toxicity AND AMEND to ensure once a limit is set, the corresponding load or quantity of Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen can be allocated.
<b>Verry, Adrian</b> Submitter ID: 73810	PC1-2473	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Policy 2 the Nitrogen grandparenting rule.
<b>Verry, Adrian</b> Submitter ID: 73810	PC1-12949	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to recognise alternative measures to replace fencing AND AMEND to use the national stock exclusion standards.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3793	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure anyone with a Farm Environment Plan should be a permitted activity.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-12950	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(c) to establish Nitrogen Reference Points only in sub-catchments with Nitrogen levels above the 80 year targets.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-12951	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) to ensure higher emitters reduce their contaminant output before low emitters are required to for any of the 4 contaminants.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-12952	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE Policy 2(e) with: " <u>Stock exclusion to be completed as per Farm Environment Plan recommendations.</u> "
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12116	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11938	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Waiawa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5810	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to replace or supplement Farm Environment Plans with sound, sensible Best Management Practices for all land use activities to be adopted within workable but prompt timeframes.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waiawa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-12953	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2(c).
<b>Waiawa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-12954	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2(d).
<b>Waikato and Waipa Branches of the New Zealand Deer Farmers Association</b> Submitter ID: 74008	PC1-9490	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(e) to read: "e. Requiring stock exclusion to be completed <del>within 3 years following the dates by which</del> <u>according to a schedule of work as identified in a Farm Environment Plan which</u> must be provided to the Council, <del>or in any case no later than 1 July 2026."</del>
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3305	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2.
<b>Waikato Dairy Leaders Group</b> Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-11014	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 guidance that PPC1 will manage all four contaminants by tailored Farm Environment Plans that allow landowners the flexibility to manage contaminants in a way that is most cost effective for each farm AND RETAIN the approach of having the same rigour in the requirements of the two alternatives: a council-managed Controlled Activity consent or a Permitted Activity in a Certified Industry Scheme.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-2997	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2 AND AMEND Policy 2 to make it explicit that those dischargers who exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value, must reduce their nitrogen losses to the 75th percentile.
<b>Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4694	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to recognise other land uses contribute to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies and outline methods to address this AND AMEND Policy 2 to provide additional clarity AND AMEND Policy 2 to address the concerns as outlined.
<b>Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-12955	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to read: "(e) Requiring <u>a risk based approach to stock exclusion from waterbodies within a property</u> to be completed within 3 years, <u>or the timeframe agreed to by Council through acceptance of the Farm Environment Plan following the dates by which a Farm Environment Plan must be provided to the Council,</u> or any case no later than 1 July 2026."
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11273	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE paragraph (b) from Policy 2.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-12956	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to renumber paragraph (c) as (b) AND ADD a NEW paragraph (c) to read: " <u>Encouraging enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change; and</u> " AND REPLACE paragraph (d) with the following: " <u>Where an adaptive management and mitigation approach for sub-catchment management is developed, assess and calculate risk-based input loads for each contaminant at a refined sub-catchment level. The input loads will be proportional to the Objective 1 freshwater objectives related to nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens for the surface waters that each refined sub-catchment is connected to. The input load for nitrogen will replace the Nitrogen Reference Point where an enterprise based consent for sub-catchment management is sought, proportional to the properties or enterprises collectively managed under existing Nitrogen Reference Point(s) values; and</u> "

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to renumber paragraph (e) as (f) AND ADD a NEW paragraph (e) to read: " <u>Identifying mitigation actions that are to be set out to achieve Objectives 1 and 3 and implemented within either a sub-catchment management plan; Farm Environment Plan; an associated resource consent; or in specific requirements established by participation in any relevant Certified Industry Scheme; and</u> " AND ADD a NEW paragraph (g) to read: " <u>Enterprises that reduce nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are enabled.</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2087	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2(d) to read as follows: "Requiring the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be proportionate to the <u>difference between current practices and the application of Best Management Practices</u> (those not currently applying mitigations are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment; and"
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2255	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period given the limitations of the OVERSEER Model for modelling horticultural systems.
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-12957	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported AND AMEND Policy 2 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-12958	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 Rules.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-12959	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6722	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 2 appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8334	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Clause c) in Policy 2 OR AMEND to make clear that the sole purpose of the information is for the purpose of monitoring/testing the OVERSEER Model as a tool.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-12960	Oppose the provision with amendments	(Policy 2) AMEND 3.11.3.2 d) to read: " <del>Requiring the degree of</del> Require reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <del>to be proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions) and proportionate to</del> (and other ecosystem health parameters) by the dischargers (progressively from the highest to the least) to the extent necessary to meet the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment [ <u>Reductions will be required from the highest dischargers until the water quality target is met</u> ]; and"
<b>Walter and Doran, Peter Alan Susan and Casey</b> Submitter ID: 73078	PC1-9118	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model for obtaining the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND REPLACE with soil types and stock class to find the amount of nitrogen the soil can hold before leaching. AND AMEND to use sub-catchments to identify the amount of nitrogen loss and amount of nitrogen to be applied through scientific evidence not a grandparenting approach. AND AMEND so that farms not exceeding the 75th percentile can work on nitrogen applications for accumulating 5 year average.
<b>Walter and Doran, Peter Alan Susan and Casey</b>	PC1-12961	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 so that only main streams and rivers are fenced. AND AMEND so that areas of high stock concentration are fenced such as crossings.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73078			AND AMEND to use dams to help slow sediment loss. AND subsidise farmers with new fencing and/or water systems that may need to be introduced as an alternative.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7273	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2c as follows - "Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise".
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-12962	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2e to remove the three year requirement to complete stock exclusion and REPLACE it with a date determined on a case by case basis.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-12963	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions of PPC1 so that the Waikato Regional Council will pay for the plans to be done.
<b>Ward-Allen, William Alec</b> Submitter ID: 74147	PC1-9869	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to REMOVE the need to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Washer and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73973	PC1-8603	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to remove the restriction on nitrogen use in hill country.
<b>Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick</b> Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-2521	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2 the Nitrogen Reference Point calculation. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Waterworth, Jenefer Fay</b> Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-1546	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to include the dairy sector within the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND RETAIN the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated over the 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial years. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Waterworth, Lewis Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-1515	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 2 the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated using the highest leaching loss over the reference period using 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial year. AND RETAIN using the current version of OVERSEER to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point AND RETAIN that the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value includes the dairy sector. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Waterworth, Lewis Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-12964	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 2 so that there is the ability for cultivation of land irrespective of slope using a Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Waterworth, Serena</b> Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-8564	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2 AND AMEND to provide flexibility to move Nitrogen Reference Points across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Waterworth, Serena</b> Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-12965	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to provide flexibility for cultivation and winter cropping on land irrespective of slope through Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Welch, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71841	PC1-7936	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 2.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-12966	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-12967	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6899	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 2's support for appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wildman, Anna Mary</b> Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3874	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 2 the Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with the use of Farm Environment Plans as the primary tool to manage nutrient losses. Nitrogen Reference Points should be used to support the Farm Environment Plans and farms with high emission should still be required to reduce their nutrient losses AND more work should be done on addressing contaminant loss through a sub-catchment approach including increased use of technology and water monitoring to support the identification of sub-catchment issues.
<b>Wildman, Anna Mary</b> Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-12968	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 2 - AMEND the stock exclusion provisions on rolling to steeper country up to a 15 degree slope only waterways over 1 metre wide at any point are required to be fenced in line with the governments clean water report released in February 2017 AND provide for funding to be made available to support farmers in fencing off larger waterways and riparian planting AND AMEND to provide for managing stock across a waterway without a crossing structure if the number of crossings are limited to X crossings per week AND AMEND to recognise that the fencing requirements on some properties will be impossible to comply with within the timeframes set and provide flexibility to manage the timescales through Farm Environment Plans and in line with the governments February 2017 water quality standards.
<b>Williams, Annette Judith</b> Submitter ID: 72486	PC1-8549	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to modify timeframes to enable flexibility and the development of advisors AND AMEND to allow staged completion of Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to allow farmers to produce Farm Environment Plans, with managed industry support.
<b>Williamson, Jack</b> Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8924	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to extend the reference period for Nitrogen Reference Points. AND REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model to calculate Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Williamson, Jack</b> Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-12969	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to include stock exclusion alternatives where fencing is not practical or feasible.
<b>Williamson, Jack</b> Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-12970	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 to ensure environmental and economic sustainability.
<b>Williamson, Stephen David</b> Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8668	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 requirements for farms to be held at or below a property's Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model AND, if an allocation of nitrogen discharges is needed, base the allocation on the natural capacity of soils and not on existing land uses.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-774	Oppose the provision	Policy 2: Develop a sub-catchment plan to identify where problems are coming from.
<b>Wiremu Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8833	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 2 (c) to establish the Nitrogen Reference Point for the catchment or sub-catchment, and apply that to the property or enterprise.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4203	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 2 approach of appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-12971	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-12972	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 2 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-931	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 provision relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-12973	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 2 provisions relating to Farm Environment Plans AND if not deleted AMEND to develop National Farm Environment Plan Guidelines, allow landowners to develop own Farm Environment Plan based on these guidelines and identify who will decide on Farm Environment Plan prerequisites.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-12974	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 2 to contain rules for Koi Carp elimination and measurement of all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11529	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the adoption of mitigation strategies through a tailored Farm Environment Plan in Policy 2.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-12976	Oppose the provision	Policy 2 - REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-12977	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 2 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach.

## Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9508	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3g) to read similar to: " <u>Require reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens by the dischargers (progressively from the highest to the least) to the extent necessary to meet the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment [Reductions will be required from the highest dischargers until the water quality target is met].</u> "
<b>AFFCO New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7656	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE references to 'BMP' and 'GMP' with 'BPO'. AND AMEND Policy 3(d) to read as follows: "A 10% decrease in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen... is achieved across the sector through the implementation of <u>best practicable options</u> <del>Best or Good Management Practices.</del> "
<b>Alcock, Carl and Jo</b> Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2189	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND (Policy 3) by using the national waterway accord recommendation that slope up to 15 degrees be fenced. AMEND by changing the exclusion requirements on a less than 15 degree slope so that they only apply to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre or greater. AMEND by providing certainty that fencing is going to be enough and future retirement of land will not be required.

Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AMEND by allowing stock to be mustered through waterbodies up to 3 times per week without a formed stock crossing structure. AND any other consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Alcock and Easton, Jo and John</b> Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9231	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to apply the National Waterway Accord recommendations to fence slopes up to 15 degrees AND AMEND to provide for stock exclusion requirements to only apply to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1m or greater to exclude cattle, horses, deer and pigs on less than 15 degrees slope AND AMEND to provide certainty on stock exclusion for the future.
<b>Allen, John</b> Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4891	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 by defining what constitutes a 'commercial' vegetable grower as distinct from a small scale grower who sells excess produce to a retail outlet or at their farm gate. AND AMEND by providing a definition based on the area under cultivation and parallels the small and low intensity farmer (Rule 1): " <u>Definition: Commercial vegetable production &gt;= 1000 square metres of land in production at any one time.</u> "
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4511	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for weed and algae harvesting AND AMEND to ensure water sampling is undertaken to identify and address point source discharges AND AMEND to ensure mitigation put in place under PPC1 will not be undermined by future plan changes.
<b>Anderson, Jack L and Ann A</b> Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4249	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 to include a plan of what there would be in 25 to 80 years.
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7114	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion requirements so they are consistent with the Governments Clean Water Reforms AND AMEND the slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees in accordance with the Clean Water Report AND AMEND the stock exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope, so that they only apply to permanently flowing water bodies 1m wide or greater AND AMEND the stock exclusion timelines by lengthening them and give certainty to those with land classified at risk of erosion that it will not be converted to forestry in a future plan change. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable individual Farm Environment Plans to present farm specific mitigations against contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are being actively managed and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times per week AND ADD new provisions that state that any waterway fencing be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council and the regional communities, urban and rural, benefiting from improvements to the waterways.
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5376	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 stock exclusion provisions so they are consistent with the Governments Clean Water Reforms (2017). AND AMEND slope requirements to no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report. AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope so that it only applies to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre wide or greater. AND AMEND to extend time frames and give certainty to farmers with land classed as at risk of erosion. AND AMEND to enable individual Farm Environment plans to present mitigations against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach. AND AMEND to include a subsidy for any waterway fencing required with money from the council and regional communities, urban and rural, benefiting from improvements to waterways. AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are actively managed across the waterbody and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times a week.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4310	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to provide for a Restricted Discretionary rule that enables opportunities for new vegetable production, with discharges assessed across all four contaminants AND RETAIN the policy approach subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10877	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to ensure consistency with the amendments sought in Appendix 1 of this submission AND AMEND Policy 3(a) to read: "a. Flexibility is provided to undertake crop rotations on changing parcels of land for commercial vegetable production, while reducing average contaminant discharges over time, <u>and while avoiding significant adverse effects</u> ; and..." AND AMEND Policy 3(e) to read: "e. Identified mitigation actions are set out and implemented within timeframes specified in either a Farm Environment Plan <del>and associated as part of a resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme...</del> " AND AMEND Policy 3(g) to read: "g. <u>Requiring</u> the degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to be <del>proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and proportionate to the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment in accordance with the short and long term targets in Table 3.11-1, the sub-catchment reduction targets and timeframes in Table 3.11-2, and the sub-catchment nitrogen leaching requirements in Schedule E;</del> and..." AND ADD a NEW clause (h) to Policy 3 to read: " <u>Promoting and incentivising the use of riparian buffers to avoid sediment discharge.</u> "
<b>Awaroa Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-6621	Support the provision with amendments	CONSIDER subsidising the requirement for fencing waterways. AND AMEND Policy 3 to extend time frames for fencing.
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6863	Support the provision	ADOPT Policy 3 as notified. AND AMEND (g) to read: "The degree of reduction... required in the sub-catchment, <u>with reductions guided by mitigations actions specified in a Farm Environment Plan and through implementation of Best Management Practices.</u> " AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11407	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to read: "Policy 3: Tailored approach to <u>managing and where relevant</u> reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems... Manage and <u>where relevant</u> require reductions in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from commercial vegetable production through a tailored, property or enterprise-specific approach where: a. Flexibility is provided to undertake crop rotations on changing parcels of land for commercial vegetable productions, while <u>managing and where required</u> reducing average contaminant discharges over time; and <del>b. The maximum area in production for a property or enterprise is established and capped utilising commercial vegetable production data from the 10 years up to 2016; and</del> c. <u>Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point or each property or enterprise; and</u> d. <u>A 10 % decrease in diffuse discharges of nitrogen and tailored reduction in diffuse discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is achieved across the sector through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices; and</u> ... g. The degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus <u>and sediment and microbial pathogens is</u>

Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment." AND ADD a NEW Restricted Discretionary Activity consent applicable to high priority sub-catchments only.
<b>Blair, Shane David Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73880	PC1-3300	Oppose the provision	AMEND the Nitrogen Reference Point to make it the same for all farmers. Policy 3 AND AMEND to make an allowance for farms to be developed. AND DELETE restrictions on stock unit numbers.
<b>Boom, Robin</b> Submitter ID: 73984	PC1-5731	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point grandparenting approach AND AMEND to replace the use of the OVERSEER Model with better indicators such as the Land Utilisation and Capability Indicator and the Phosphate Saturation Index AND AMEND to provide catchment limits that are equitable, with low polluters able to increase and high polluters having to decrease to that level AND AMEND to target known hotspot catchments and specific farms where pollutants are entering waterways.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10217	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods AND ADD a NEW restricted discretionary rule for new vegetable production - if the proposed operation can demonstrate a decrease in discharges of the four contaminants compared to the activity it is replacing.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10681	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods. AND ADD a NEW restricted discretionary activity for new vegetable production - if it can demonstrate a decrease in discharges compared to the activity it is replacing;
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10724	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods AND ADD a New restricted discretionary rule for new vegetable production - if the proposed operation can demonstrate a decrease in discharges of the four contaminants compared to the activity it is replacing.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10703	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods AND ADD a NEW restricted discretionary rule for new vegetable production - if the proposed operation can demonstrate a decrease in discharges of the four contaminants compared to the activity it is replacing.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7691	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3. IF not deleted then AMEND Policy 3 (b) to read: "...b. The maximum area in production for a property or enterprise <u>in any single year</u> is established and capped <u>at the largest area in production for that property or enterprise in any single year over the 10 year period ending 1 January 2016 as determined by utilising</u> commercial vegetable production data from the 10 years up to 2016..." AND AMEND Policy 3 (d) to read: "...d. A 10% decrease <u>by 2026</u> in the <u>rate of</u> diffuse discharge of nitrogen <u>relative to the Nitrogen Reference Point</u> and a tailored reduction in the diffuse discharge of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is achieved across the sector through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices" AND REPLACE Policy 3 (g) with: "...g. <u>Requiring Farm Environment Plans to identify the areas and activities representing diffuse discharge risks and the most effective way of managing those risks on the particular property.</u> "
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5548	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4770	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 3: AMEND PPC1 stock exclusion provisions to allow for stock to cross waterways if they are being actively controlled. Individual Farm Environment Plans to state how many time crossings are allowed AND AMEND so individual Farm Environment Plans outline mitigations against contaminants specific to each farm, in-line with the Ministry for the Environment Draft Regulatory Impact Statement: stock exclusion AND AMEND the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to include a subsidy for waterway fencing AND AMEND PPC1 to allow grazing on different contours, taking into account soil type, distance from waterbodies, climatic conditions, vegetative cover, type of stock, etc, to determine a stocking rate. This would be contained in the property's Farm Environment Plan which would break down the property into areas as per above and alter the stocking rate on each area
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10778	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 (c): "Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise for <u>the sole purpose of establishing an ability to reduce nitrogen loss</u> ; and" Or words to like effect.
<b>Costar, Rosemarie</b> Submitter ID: 60404	PC1-3620	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 to allow for population growth and the amount of land in the commercial vegetable production and consider the impact of PPC1 on vegetable growers.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9705	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to allow owners to prepare Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to extend the timeframe for Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide for separate, reliable measures for the discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorous and microbial pathogens AND AMEND to provide for a Council subsidy for Farm Environment Plan costs AND AMEND to clarify penalties and enforcement for not preparing or implementing a Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans for each land use block rather than the whole property where there is mixed use AND AMEND to provide alternatives to the OVERSEER Model AND REMOVE use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms AND AMEND to provide a reliable and easy to use measurement basis for each contaminant and for each property AND AMEND to provide for Council to remove carp AND AMEND PPC1 to provide assurance for food production AND AMEND to consider unintended consequences for land ownership AND AMEND to consider possible bankruptcy issues.
<b>Cronin, G</b> Submitter ID: 74030	PC1-4090	Oppose the provision	DELETE the requirement to fence all slopes over 15 degrees from PPC1 or reimburse farmers for the cost of fencing and the cost of planting trees.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10653	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to read as follows: " <del>Manage and require reductions in</del> <u>Reduce</u> diffuse discharges of nitrogen..." AND AMEND to provide clarity of the outcomes of the proposed wording 'reducing average contaminant discharges over time'. AND AMEND PPC1 to introduce a more efficient land-based allocation regime.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-642	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with a reliable easy to use measurement for each contaminant and each property AND AMEND so that food production is assured

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND CONSIDER retaining land ownership as there could be unintended consequences for future land ownership to corporate or overseas ownership and the possibility of bankruptcy issues            AND DELETE all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues            AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works            AND AMEND so that land owners prepare Farm Environment Plans            AND AMEND to extend the time frame for when Farm Environment Plans are due            AND CLARIFY penalties for not having a farm environment plan and how this will be enforced            AND AMEND to establish separate alternative measurements for nitrogen, phosphorus and pathogens            AND CONSIDER the development of a plan to get rid of carp by Council            AND CONSIDER a subsidy of costs to be provided by Council.</p>
<p><b>Farm Environment Trust (Waikato)</b>            Submitter ID: 73798</p>	<p>PC1-5055</p>	<p>Support the provision</p>	<p>Policy 3: CLARIFY how the Farm Environment Plans link to targets that are set in Table 3.11-1            AND AMEND to consider the limitations of the OVERSEER Model when setting fixed reference points in catchments where nitrogen is not the issue            AND ADD PPC1 a clearly outlined compliance policy.</p>
<p><b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b>            Submitter ID: 74191</p>	<p>PC1-10817</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to provide vegetable production exemption from the general nutrient discharge restrictions, provided industry certified good management practices are followed, AMEND Policy 3 to read:            "Manage <del>and require reductions</del> in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from commercial vegetable production through a tailored, property or enterprise-specific approach where:            a. Flexibility is provided to undertake crop rotations on changing parcels of land for commercial vegetable production, while <del>reducing average</del> managing contaminant discharges <del>over time</del>; and  <del>b. The maximum area in production for a property or enterprise is established and capped utilising commercial vegetable production data from the 10 years up to 2016; and</del>            c. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for each property or enterprise; and            d. <del>A 10% decrease in</del> The diffuse discharge of nitrogen, <del>and a tailored reduction in the diffuse discharge of</del> phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is <del>achieved across the sector</del> <u>managed</u> through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices; and            e. Identified mitigation actions are set out and implemented within timeframes specified in either a Farm Environment Plan and associated resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme.            f. Commercial vegetable production enterprises that <del>reduce</del> <u>manage</u> nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are enabled.<del>and</del>  <del>g. The degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment."</del></p>
<p><b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b>            Submitter ID: 73305</p>	<p>PC1-9731</p>	<p>Support the provision with amendments</p>	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to read: "Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges <u>to water</u> from commercial vegetable production systems.            Manage <del>and require reductions</del> in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>to water</u> from commercial vegetable production, <u>and where over-allocated</u> required reductions in these losses through a tailored, property or enterprise-specific approach where:            ...</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>b. The maximum <del>area in production</del> <u>estimated contaminant loss</u> for a property or enterprise is established and capped, utilising commercial vegetable production data from the 10 years up to 2016; and:</p> <p>...</p> <p>d. in the diffuse discharge of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogen is achieved across the sector <u>for each sub-catchment by 2026</u>, through the implementation of <del>Best or</del> Good Management Practices; and</p> <p>...</p> <p>f. Commercial vegetable production enterprises that reduce <u>losses of</u> nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens are enabled; and</p> <p>g. The degree of reduction in diffuse discharges ... required in the sub-catchment <u>with reductions guided by mitigations set out in specified a Farm Environment Plan and through implementation of Good Management Practice.</u>"</p>
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5902	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE Policy 3. OR AMEND Policy 3(b) to read as follows: "The maximum area in production for a property or enterprise <u>in any single year</u> is established and capped <u>at the largest area in production</u> for that property or enterprise in any single year over the 10 year period ending 1 January 2016 as determined by <del>utilising</del> commercial vegetation production data from the 10 years up to 2016; and" AND AMEND Policy 3(d) to read as follows: "a 10% decrease <u>by 2026</u> in the <u>rate of</u> diffuse discharge of nitrogen <u>relative to the Nitrogen Reference Point</u> and a tailored reduction in the diffuse discharge of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is achieved across the sector through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices; and" AND REMOVE Policy 3(g) AND REPLACE with the following: "<u>Requiring Farm Environment Plans to identify the areas and activities representing diffuse discharge risks and the most effective way of managing those risks on the particular property.</u>"</p>
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10619	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to read: "...b. The maximum are in production for a property or enterprise <u>in any single year</u> is established and capped <u>at the largest area in production</u> for that property or enterprise in any single year over the 10 year period ending 1 January 2016 as determined by <del>utilising</del> commercial vegetation production data from the 10 years up to 2016; and... d. A 10% decrease <u>by 2026</u> in the <u>rate of</u> diffuse discharge of nitrogen <u>relative to the Nitrogen Reference Point</u> and...." AND DELETE Policy 3 part g. AND REPLACE with the following: "<u>...g. Requiring Farm Environment Plans to identify the areas and activities representing diffuse discharge risks and the most effective way of managing those risks on the particular property.</u>"</p>
<b>Fullerton, Angela Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 71297	PC1-5677	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to give some consideration to combining sub-catchments and increased area for commercial vegetable production to match population growth.
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9109	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to provide for stock exclusion slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope to only apply to all permanently flowing waterways greater than 1m wide AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans form the basis of PPC1 AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council and central government continue to subsidise any waterway fencing AND AMEND to ensure actively managing stock across waterways be allowed but limited.</p>
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b>	PC1-6418	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the timeframes for stock exclusion in Schedule C so that stock is excluded depending on the type of stock, the type of waterway stock is to be excluded from and the degree of slope.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73800			<p>AND AMEND Schedule C to specify that slope means the dominant slope of the landscape. i.e. covers 80% or more of the landscape.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where 80 percent of land is less than or equal to 15 degree slope, stock is excluded from perennial waterways by 2022.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where 80 percent of land is less than or equal to 15 degree slope, stock is excluded from ephemeral waterways when they flow directly to a main waterway, accepting temporary fencing as a solution.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure stock exclusion occurs only in those areas identified as high risk,</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that in hill country, where dominant slope is greater than 15 degrees, stock exclusion occurs in critical source areas and where the cattle/deer stocking rate is greater than or equal to 1000kgLW/ha.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the timeframes for stock exclusion align with those proposed nationally.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide certainty about where and which waters need to be excluded from stock.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans provide mitigation against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable stock to enter water bodies if they are being actively managed across the water body, and the water body is not crossed more than once a week.</p>
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11452	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 c to make implementable when an enterprise changes location.
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5604	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 so that it is consistent with the adoption of the Best Practicable Option approach proposed throughout the submission.
<b>Harris, Mark Beaven</b> Submitter ID: 72017	PC1-6345	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3 c Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Harvey, Brett and Amanda</b> Submitter ID: 71192	PC1-244	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4145	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10052	Not stated	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to read:</p> <p>"Manage and require reductions in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from commercial vegetable production through a tailored, property or enterprise-specific approach to <u>consenting discharges where:</u></p> <p>a. Flexibility is provided to undertake crop rotations on changing parcels of land for commercial vegetable production, while reducing average contaminant discharges over time; and</p> <p>b. The maximum area in production for a property or enterprise is established and capped utilising commercial vegetable production data <u>sourced</u> from the 10 years up to 2016; and</p> <p>c. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for each property or enterprise; and</p> <p>d. A <u>10% decrease in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen and a tailored reduction of no more than 5%</u> through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment is achieved across the sector <u>through the while recognising:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>the absent or low risk of discharges of microbial pathogens from commercial vegetable production;</u></li> <li>• <u>the need to preserve aspects of commercial vegetable production required to maintain domestic supply of vegetables;</u></li> <li>• <u>the pressure on and scarcity of land suitable for commercial vegetable production. This pressure has recently</u></li> </ul>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>increased as a result of greenfields expansion onto versatile land in the Auckland region.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>prior</u> implementation of Best or Good Management Practices; and</li> <li>e. Identified mitigation actions that are set out and implemented within timeframes specified in either a Farm Environment Plan and associated resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Scheme <u>or a collective enterprise managing discharges as a group.</u></li> <li>f. Commercial vegetable production enterprises that reduce <u>can demonstrate an overall reduction in the combined discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens (compared to the existing activity)</u> are enabled; and</li> <li>g. The degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment.</li> <li><u>h. Consent will generally be granted for a term greater than 15 years</u></li> <li><u>i. An offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the non-point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>i. Primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the non-point source discharge location; and</u></li> <li><u>ii. Offset measure provides an equivalent benefit for the values of freshwater specified in this plan; and</u></li> <li><u>iii. Offset measure occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit or a Freshwater Management Unit located upstream, and</u></li> <li><u>iv. Offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition."</u></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>AND if Policy 3(c) is retained, AMEND to read:  <u>"Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for each property or enterprise</u>  <u>Utilise proxy farm systems to approximate a Nitrogen Reference Point in recognition that OVERSEER is unlikely to identify a Nitrogen Reference Point for commercial vegetable production systems that is accurate enough for the purpose."</u></p>
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1342	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE from Policy 3 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point, unless there is a suitable alternative to the OVERSEER Model AND REMOVE the reference to capping of land in commercial vegetable production AND AMEND to enable flexibility for the growing community.
<b>JN &amp; VL Gilbert Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73578	PC1-10325	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to provide for alternative management approaches to stock exclusion through Farm Environment Plans, with mitigations relevant to each farm AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterways if being actively managed across the waterbody and it is crossed no more than three times per week AND AMEND to extend the timelines, and provide certainty of investment in that the land will not be required to be converted to forestry in future AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for fencing to be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council.
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6980	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 3.
<b>Jolly, Andrew</b>	PC1-1263	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 in its entirety, or alternatively

*Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua*

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Point ID</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Decision</b>
Submitter ID: 71349			AMEND to treat like land equally within each sub-catchment, and AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what a reference point should be. This should be backed up by science and modelling, and AMEND to reflect the following principles: like land should be treated the same; flexible use of land; the feasibility, simplicity and understandability of the allocation system and; the natural capital of soils should be the primary consideration when establishing an allocation mechanism for nutrient loss; appropriate timeframes must be set. And any consequential amendments
<b>Jolly, Richard Kellie Alexander</b> Submitter ID: 73749	PC1-5164	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3s provisions relating to grandparenting of existing use and farming methods and nitrogen level. OR AMEND PPC1 to reflect the principles outlined in the submission. OR AMEND Rules so that like land should be treated equally within each sub-catchment (rules reflect future/intended land use). AND AMEND Policy 3 so stock exclusion is not required if it can be shown there is not adverse effect to the waterways. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-805	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 so that water testing takes place for individual farms.
<b>Kay, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73490	PC1-9732	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3(c).
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6193	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 the definition of a waterway for stock exclusion to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND the slope requirements for stock exclusion to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to extend the timeframes for stock exclusion and give certainty to landowners with land classed as 6+ that future plan change will not make investment in fencing a waste of money and resources. AND AMEND so that individual Farm Environment Plan present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm. AND AMEND to provide subsidies for stock exclusion fencing by Waikato Regional Council waterway. AND AMEND to enable stock to enter a waterbody if they are actively managed when crossing the waterbody and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times a week. AND AMEND to clarify how a slope for stock exclusion will be classed.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1803	Oppose the provision	AMEND (Policy 3) to provide clarity on what constitutes commercial vegetable production. AND AMEND to recognise that Waikato soils are a valuable resource and their use and development, particularly for commercial vegetable production, is essential for economic, cultural and social well-being. AND REMOVE maximum area cap. AND AMEND to ensure the nitrogen-fixing capability of legumes is recognised. AND AMEND to ensure commercial vegetable production is given flexibility and agility to respond to market conditions.
<b>Laurich, David Anthony and Valda Joy Benner</b> Submitter ID: 60681	PC1-7970	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 3.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5277	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Lord, David Graeme</b> Submitter ID: 71258	PC1-397	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 so that market gardeners and fruit growers whose produce is being consumed in New Zealand are exempt from having to reduce their nitrogen from leaching.

Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4994	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9183	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11732	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11881	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9535	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 AND AMEND Policy 3 (e) to read: "Policy 3: tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/... e. Identified mitigation actions are set out and implemented within timeframes specified in either a Farm Environment Plan and associated resource consent, or in specific requirements established by participation in a Certified Industry Sector Scheme."
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4285	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 to provide for a change to the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to provide for a change to the slope requirements to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach, AND SUBSIDISE fencing waterways and contaminant mitigation. AND AMEND to provide for science to determine the necessity of fencing of water bodies, based on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing of water bodies. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. AND DELETE the use of OVERSEER from PPC1. AND ADD a sub-catchment approach which focuses on the contaminants of each particular sub-catchment and is based on science, AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine the best scenario for each farm and allow them to address the potential or present contaminants, AND AMEND the rules so they are effects and science based.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8744	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the Clean Water Report (February 2017) AND AMEND to exclude stock from permanently flowing waterbodies on flat and rolling land, but not on hill country (slope >15 degrees) AND AMEND riparian setback distances to only apply to flat and rolling land, but not hill country (slope >15 degrees) AND AMEND to require exclusion of cattle and deer on slopes between 3 and 15 degrees only where there are permanently flowing waterbodies 1m wide or greater AND AMEND to extend the timeframes and give certainty for land with high erosion risk to ensure investment in fencing is not wasted if future plan changes require retirement to forestry AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans to provide mitigations against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach AND DELETE any provisions that hold land use to historic discharge levels or stocking rates.

Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11579	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11831	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6400	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 3 subject to any necessary amendments to give effect to the alternative approach proposed in the submission [to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach] [overview of the alternative approach in Table 2 of submission].
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7305	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 3 to a Land Use Capability and Farm Environment Plan approach governed by a sub-catchment. The sub-catchment has autonomy for decision making. AND AMEND to allow for areas that have the ability to grow vegetables but remaining into sub-catchment discharges AND AMEND to allow for new technology, crops and market tastes.
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-2729	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to exempt vegetable production from general nutrient discharge restrictions provided they follow accepted good management practices.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9383	Oppose the provision	No specific decision requested for Policy 3.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4186	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6586	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to ensure there is a set amount of discharge that rewards people who make an effort to have a low impact on the environment.
<b>Pinnell, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4357	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to acknowledge that implementation of unaffordable mitigations is contingent upon vulnerable landowners having access to financial support. AND ADD to the Definition of Best management practice the words: " <u>and taking account of cost effectiveness.</u> " AND DELETE Method 3.11.4.12(a) and REPLACE with the words: " <u>Work with industry sectors to develop and disseminate a consistent set of best management guidelines that targets Objective 3 attributes, taking account of the cost effectiveness of each mitigation.</u> " AND DELETE the cap on the area for growing commercial vegetables for the domestic market.
<b>Pohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12006	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11680	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11145	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 to read: 'Policy 3: Tailored approach to " <u>managing and where relevant</u> " reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems... ...Manage and " <u>where relevant</u> " require reductions in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from commercial vegetable production through a tailored, property or enterprise-specific approach where: a. Flexibility is provided to undertake crop rotations on changing parcels of land for commercial vegetable production, while " <u>managing and where required</u> " reducing average contaminant discharges over time; and... ..." <u>c. Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for each property or enterprise; and</u>



Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>d. A 10% decrease in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen and a tailored reduction in the diffuse discharge of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is achieved across the sector through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices; and" ...</p> <p>...g. The degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus "and" sediment "and microbial pathogens" is proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment.'</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide clarification surrounding the movement of land with an enterprise under a controlled activity.</p>
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7780	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to reflect commercial vegetable production as an essential industry</p> <p>AND AMEND to reflect the requirement for land use flexibility in this industry</p> <p>AND DELETE the capping of the maximum land area in production</p> <p>AND DELETE the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable a sub-catchment management approach.</p>
<b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6973	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so where the gradient makes fencing awkward, wetland silt traps/silt traps should be available as an option before discharging water downstream.</p> <p>AND RETAIN the Farm Environment Plan provisions of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so the individual Farm Environment Plan mitigates against contaminants relevant to each farm rather than using a blanket approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan provisions so it is a simple, workable document similar to a farm business plan with future focussed goals rather than being regulated by one rule.</p>
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10550	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10104	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 3 to retain the overall intent but reword and clarify as follows:</p> <p>AMEND clauses b and c to address the difficulty of obtaining and verifying data from the previous 10 years for commercial vegetable production, and therefore of calculating a consistent and reliable Nitrogen Reference Point.</p> <p>AND AMEND clause d as follows: "A 10% decrease <u>by 2026</u> in the..."</p> <p>AND AMEND clause g as follows: "The degree of reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions), and the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment."</p>
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8528	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 3.
<b>Reymer, Garry</b> Submitter ID: 74183	PC1-5584	Not stated	Keep a focus on reducing all contaminants. Policy 3.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3999	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE from Policy 3(c) the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a measuring tool that is accurate, both relatively and absolutely</p> <p>AND do not do benchmarking or allocation</p> <p>AND use and drive best management practices</p> <p>AND prohibit and prosecute the worst practices, maintain pressure on the 'tail' as it improves</p> <p>AND research a series of mitigations to support efficiency and help to introduce them.</p> <p>DELETE from Policy 3(g) a proportional system, use pollution levies.</p>

*Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua*

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Point ID</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Decision</b>
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2251	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include a sub-catchment management of contaminants AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND DELETE capping of land from PPC1.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5670	Oppose the provision	Policy 3: REMOVE the use of OVERSEER Model or any other measuring tool in PPC1 until it is accurate both relatively and absolutely AND DELETE the use of benchmarking or allocation AND AMEND PPC1 to use and drive best management practices to achieve the pollution reduction objectives AND AMEND PPC1 to prohibit and strongly prosecute the worst practices maintaining pressure on the 'tail' as it improves AND research a series of mitigations with strong data to support their efficacy and help introduce them. These will in combination with pollution levies have the greatest and fastest effect on water pollution AND DELETE the use of a proportional system in PPC1 and REPLACE with pollution levies.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2610	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 allowing for flexibility to undertake commercial vegetable production while reducing average contaminant discharges over time AND DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point rules AND CLARIFY how commercial vegetable production enterprises that implement best practice measures to reduce discharges will be enabled by PPC1.
<b>Sieling Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5463	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 3 to add an exemption for low intensity and small scale enterprises.
<b>Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2754	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 3: AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity for farms and more objectivity regarding land use change AND AMEND Policy 3 to reconsider the economic outfall and value loss from people leaving farming AND AMEND to include logical solutions that have positive economic and environmental effects AND undertake wider consultation, on a farm by farm basis.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5092	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3 in its entirety.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7044	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11780	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11965	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11629	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Te Miro Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6931	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 3: AMEND PPC1 to change the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND PPC1 to change the slope requirements to 15 degrees in accordance with the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to extend the stock exclusion timelines and give certainty to those with land classed as 6+ that it will not be converted to forestry in future plan changes. AND AMEND PPC1 to allow the individual Farm Environment Plan to identify mitigation approaches against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.

Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to base the necessity of fencing of waterbodies on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are being actively managed and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times per week. AND AMEND to include provisions that any waterway fencing be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council.
<b>Templeton, Heather and Murray</b> Submitter ID: 71219	PC1-1735	Oppose the provision	DELETE Point (c) from Policy 3 OR make better use of Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capability and as a measure of nutrient allocation.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12239	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12056	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7812	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8207	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD a permitted activity rule that gives effect to Policy 3, AND requires the creation of a Nitrogen Reference Point, AND requires that the information necessary to verify the conditions have been complied with on an ongoing basis AND AMEND to ensure that any increase in diffuse discharged of contaminants associated with commercial vegetable production is treated as a non-complying activity AND DELETE reference to a 'tailored approach' AND DELETE Policy 3(f) AND AMEND to define good and best management practice in a schedule.
<b>The Surveying Company Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-5674	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 3 OR AMEND Policy 3 to remove capping the area of cropping enterprises. AND AMEND to take into account high class versatile soils and food producing areas of national significance. AND AMEND to undergo a sub-catchment specific approach which considers farming types prevalent in the area. AND AMEND Policy 3 to ensure consistency with Objectives 2 and 4.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3308	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 (c) to read: "Establishing a Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise <u>for the sole purpose of establishing an ability to reduce nitrogen loss;</u> and" Or words to like effect.
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5775	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 3. AND AMEND Policy 2 so commercial vegetable production is captured in Policy 2 requirements. AND AMEND to address concerns in this submission about commercial vegetable production requirements in Policy 2.
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9764	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Policy 3(b) AND REMOVE all PPC1 provisions that limit the production of freshly grown produce for the domestic market AND AMEND to provide for education of the commercial vegetable production sector on nutrient and water efficiency to maintain yield at optimum efficiency levels.

Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems/Te Kaupapa Here 3: He huarahi ka āta whakahāngaihia hei whakaiti i ngā rukenga roha i ngā pūnaha arumoni hei whakatupu hua whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Tuakau Proteins Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73915	PC1-3347	Support the provision with amendments	REPLACE (Policy 3) references to 'Best Management Practice' and 'Good Management Practice' with "Best practicable option (BPO)" OR, if necessary, AMEND the definition of BPO to include as a Schedule to the Plan, Waikato Regional Council's guidance material as representing BPO in respect of diffuse source discharges.
<b>Tucker, Geoff and Kara</b> Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2785	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to align it with the National Policy statement on Freshwater and the waterway definition, slope of land requiring fencing and stocking rate parameters set out in there. AND AMEND to allow individual Farm Environment Plans to offer mitigations appropriate to individual farms and sub-catchments. AND AMEND to include a subsidy for waterway fencing. AND AMEND to give hill country farmers time to show where their water quality presently sites. AND AMEND so that where fencing is required surety is provided that plantation forestry will not be forced by future plan changes. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12174	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10274	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12117	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11941	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3306	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 3.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11277	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3(d) to read: "A 10% decrease in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen during Stage 1 and a tailored <u>reduction approach</u> in the diffuse discharge of phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is achieved across the sector through the implementation of Best or Good Management Practices; and"
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2257	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3 subject to consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to relief sought.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8350	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 Clause g) to read: " <u>The degree of Require reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens is proportionate to the amount of current discharge (those discharging more are expected to make greater reductions) and other ecosystem health parameters) by the dischargers (progressively from the highest to the least) to the extent necessary to meet the scale of water quality improvement required in the sub-catchment [Reductions will be required from the highest dischargers until the water quality target is met];</u> " AND AMEND to include a 20% timeframe change.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6900	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 3's flexibility to undertake crop rotations. AND RETAIN appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to the rules to remove the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND PPC1 to remove reference to the capping of land for commercial vegetable production.

## Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake ākuanei pea me panoni anō hei ngā tau e heke mai ana

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Alcock, Carl and Jo</b> Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2095	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4, Stock Exclusion, by using the national waterway accord recommendation that slope up to 15 degrees be fenced AND AMEND by changing the exclusion requirements on a less than 15 degree slope so that they only apply to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre or greater AND AMEND by providing certainty that fencing is going to be enough and future retirement of land will not be required AND AMEND by allowing stock to be mustered through waterbodies up to 3 times per week without a formed stock crossing structure AND MAKE any other consequential amendments arising from this submission point.
<b>Alcock and Easton, Jo and John</b> Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9216	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that small scale, low intensity and low risk land uses are enabled to continue, be flexible and be established and ensure the rules permitting low intensity land uses and other land uses are consistent with Policy 4 AND AMEND to apply the National Waterway Accord recommendations to fence slopes up to 15 degrees AND AMEND to provide for more information on sub-catchments AND AMEND to provide for stock exclusion requirements on slopes less than 15 degrees to only apply to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1m or greater AND AMEND to provide certainty on stock exclusion for the future.
<b>Allen, John</b> Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4893	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read: " <del>... enable existing and new low discharging activities to continue provided that cumulatively the achievement of Objective 3 is not compromised.</del> Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established, including or mitigation practices that will reduce the cumulative."
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4512	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for weed and algae harvesting AND AMEND to ensure water sampling is undertaken to identify and address point source discharges AND AMEND to ensure mitigation put in place under PPC1 will not be undermined by future plan changes.
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7116	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the stock exclusion requirements so they are consistent with the Governments Clean Water Reforms. AND AMEND the slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees in accordance with the Clean Water Report.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND the stock exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope, so that they only apply to permanently flowing waterbodies 1m wide or greater.</p> <p>AND AMEND the stock exclusion timelines by lengthening them and give certainty to those with land classified at risk of erosion that it will not be converted to forestry in a future plan change.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to enable individual Farm Environment Plans to present farm specific mitigations against contaminants</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are being actively managed and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times per week.</p> <p>AND ADD new provisions that state that any waterway fencing be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council and the regional communities, urban and rural, benefiting from improvements to the waterways.</p>
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5380	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 stock exclusion provisions so they are consistent with the Governments Clean Water Reforms (2017).</p> <p>AND AMEND slope requirements to no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report.</p> <p>AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle and deer on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope so that it only applies to all permanently flowing waterbodies 1 metre wide or greater.</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframes and give certainty to farmers with land classed as at risk of erosion.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable individual Farm Environment plans to present mitigations against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND to include a subsidy any waterway fencing required with money from the council and regional communities, urban and rural, benefiting from improvements to waterways.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are actively managed across the waterbody and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times a week.</p>
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4311	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6126	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide a definition or explanation of 'low discharges'.</p> <p>AND submitter reserves its position, subject to acceptance of its relief sought elsewhere in its submission.</p>
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10878	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to read:</p> <p>"Manage sub-catchment wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and enable existing and new low discharging activities to continue provided that achievement of Objective 3 is not compromised <u>and significant adverse effects are avoided...</u>"</p>
<b>Awaroa Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-11046	Support the provision with amendments	<p>Policy 4: AMEND to subsidise requirement for fencing waterways.</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend timeframes for stock exclusion fencing.</p>
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6644	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p>
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4454	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to remove signalling of future mitigation requirements.</p> <p>AND RETAIN provision for low intensity land uses to continue and to establish.</p>
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11408	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Policy 4: Enabling activities with low discharges to continue or to be established <del>while signalling further change may be required in future</del>/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakaturia ranei nga tumahi he iti iho nga rukenga, me to tohu ake akuanei pea me panoni ano hei nga tau e heke mai ana".</p>
<b>Barker, Christopher Ferguson</b>	PC1-3748	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to include high and low dischargers.

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73075			
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11488	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that it enables small scale land uses (<20ha), low intensity, and low discharging land uses to continue, to be flexible in their land use and their discharge of Nitrogen, and stocking rates, and to be established as set out under Policy 1. AND AMEND to introduce permitted thresholds based on the 'sustainable level' for the sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit. The 'sustainable level' can be based on Nitrogen loss per/kg/ha/year or alternatively kg live weight per ha relative to land use capability. Nitrogen loss rates should be based on either a permitted activity threshold using an equal allocation for a sub-catchment (e.g. 20kgN/ha/year) or Natural Capital based allocation or activity status (e.g. LUC), and relate to sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit specific desired in-stream Nitrogen loads. AND DELETE reference to future further reductions in contaminate discharges. AND AMEND to recognise existing biodiversity values on private land and that further establishment and protection of biodiversity is enabled and incentivised (as Policy 17 does).
<b>Black Jack Farms</b> Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8022	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to ensure Waikato Regional Council does not interfere with low discharge activities.
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-120	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 4 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1402	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 low intensity land uses to continue. AND DELETE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.
<b>Buist Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3399	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 4.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6326	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10218	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10682	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10725	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10704	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7722	Oppose the provision	REPLACE Policy 4 with: " <u>Policy 4. Enable existing farming activities or new activities that make a small contribution to contaminant loads and/or that pose a low risk of contaminant discharge because they:</u> <u>(a) occupy a small land area; and/or</u> <u>(b) have a low nitrogen discharge per hectare (and/or the land is not used for an intensive farming use);</u> <u>provided that high diffuse discharge risk practices are avoided.</u> "

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>Advisory note:</u> <u>Activities and uses defined as low dischargers may in the future need to take mitigation actions that will reduce diffuse discharges or nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens in order for Objective 1 to be met."</u> AND ADD a NEW Policy 4A to read: " <u>Enable existing farming activities that have a low risk of contaminant discharge for their farming type and/or a likelihood of diffuse discharge reductions over time because:</u> <u>(a) they are part of an industry scheme designed to manage diffuse discharge risk; and</u> <u>(b) the industry scheme includes a commitment to reduce the diffuse nitrogen discharge of the highest discharging 25% of farming activities within its scheme to a diffuse nitrogen loss rate that does not exceed the 75th percentile of all farming activities within the industry scheme."</u>
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5559	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as proposed.
<b>Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4773	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 4: AMEND PPC1 stock exclusion provisions to allow for stock to cross waterways if they are being actively controlled. Individual Farm Environment Plans to state how many time crossings are allowed. AND AMEND so individual Farm Environment Plans outline mitigations against contaminants specific to each farm, in-line with the Ministry for the Environment Draft Regulatory Impact Statement: stock exclusion. AND AMEND the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to include a subsidy for waterway fencing. AND AMEND to allow grazing on different contours, taking into account soil type, distance from waterbodies, climatic conditions, vegetative cover, type of stock, etc, to determine a stocking rate. This would be contained in the property's Farm Environment Plan which would break down the property into areas as per above and alter the stocking rate on each area.
<b>Clarke, Hamish</b> Submitter ID: 71621	PC1-8460	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 4 to enable existing and new low discharging activities to continue AND DELETE the reference to the possible future need for reductions from low intensity and low risk land users.
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10779	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as currently worded.
<b>Contact Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73714	PC1-7382	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so it also applies to point source discharges.
<b>Cook, Ian and Doreen</b> Submitter ID: 71663	PC1-9642	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 4.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9726	Oppose the provision with amendments	REMOVE the Policy 4 use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms.
<b>Cronin, G</b> Submitter ID: 74030	PC1-4092	Oppose the provision	DELETE from PPC1 the requirement to fence all slopes over 15 degrees or reimburse farmers for the cost of fencing and the cost of planting trees.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7625	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 4 provisions allowing low intensity land uses to continue and establish. AND REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10655	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to provide clarity around the use of term cumulatively (ie the cumulative effects of each individual contaminant or of all contaminants together).



Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide a definition for 'low discharging activities'. AND AMEND to provide greater specificity around the 'future' mitigation that is intended. AND AMEND to provide greater certainty about the future timeframe that is being referred to in the Policy.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-475	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 4 all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model. AND REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-449	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Farmers 4 Positive Change (F4PC)</b> Submitter ID: 73355	PC1-10423	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to enable small scale, low intensity and low risk land uses including forestry and farming to continue, to be flexible, and to be established AND AMEND to remove reference to further reductions in contaminate discharges AND AMEND to ensure existing biodiversity values are recognised and that further establishment and protection of biodiversity is enabled and incentivised.
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5388	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to clarify what is meant by 'low levels'. AND AMEND to establish a new controlled activity rule requiring any new discharging activity to be operating at best practice. AND AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Manage sub-catchment wide diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, <del>sediment</del> <u>E.coli</u> and microbial pathogens, and enable existing and new low discharging activities <u>where appropriate, and subject to new activities establishing in accordance with industry standard management principles</u> , to continue provided ...diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, <del>sediment</del> <u>E.coli</u> and microbial pathogens in order for Objective 1 to be met." AND AMEND so that for the purposes of Policy 4, 'low levels of contaminant discharge' is to mean 'discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, microbial pathogens and/or E.coli which are below industry or sector standard practice levels for the relevant agricultural or rural sector or sub-sector and type of operation.'
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10820	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 4, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9784	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Enabling activities with lower discharges <u>of contaminant to water</u> to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future. Manage sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and enable existing and new <u>activities with low discharge ing activities to water</u> to continue provided that cumulatively the achievement of Objective 3 is not compromised. Activities and uses currently defined as low discharges <u>to water</u> may in the future need to take mitigation actions that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>to water</u> in order for Objective 1 to be met."
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8283	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5914	Oppose the provision	REPLACE Policy 4 with the following: " <u>Enable existing farming activities or new activities that make a small contribution to contaminant loads and/or that pose a low risk of contaminant discharge because they:</u> <u>a) occupy a small land area; and/or</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>b) have a low nitrogen discharge per hectare (and/or the land is not used for an intensive farming use); provided that high diffuse discharge risk practices are avoided.</u></p> <p><u>Advisory note: Activities and uses defined as low dischargers may in the future need to take mitigation actions that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens in order for Objective 1 to be met."</u></p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Policy 4A to read as follows: "<u>Enable existing farming activities that have a low risk of contaminant discharge for their farming type and/or likelihood of diffuse discharge reductions over time because:</u></p> <p><u>a) they are part of an industry scheme designed to manage diffuse discharge risk; and</u></p> <p><u>b) the industry scheme includes a commitment to reduce the diffuse nitrogen discharge of the highest discharging 25% of farming activities within its scheme to a diffuse nitrogen loss rate that does not exceed the 75th percentile of all farming activities within the industry scheme."</u></p>
<p><b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057</p>	PC1-10471	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established <del>while signalling further change may be required in future..</del> Manage sub-catchment wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and enable existing and new low discharging activities to continue provided that cumulatively the achievement of Objective 3 is not compromised. Activities and uses currently defined as low dischargers may in the future need to take mitigation actions that will reduce diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens in order for Objective 1 to be met.</p> <p><u>Enable existing and new farming that individually and collectively make a minor contribution to contaminant loads and/or that pose a low risk of increased contaminant discharge because the activities:</u></p> <p><u>a. Occupy a small land area; and/or</u></p> <p><u>b. Have a low nitrogen discharge per hectare (and/or the land is not used for an intensive farming use);</u> <u>Provided that high risk diffuse discharge practices are avoided."</u></p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Policy 4A to read: "<u>Policy 4A: Signalling further change by lower discharging activities may be required in the future.</u></p> <p><u>Recognise that lower discharging activities may need to take additional mitigation actions to reduce diffuse discharges or nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens after 2026 in order for Objective 1 to be met."</u></p> <p>AND ADD a NEW Policy 4AB to read: "<u>Policy 4AB: Enabling farming activities managed in accordance with industry schemes.</u></p> <p><u>Enable existing farming activities that have a low risk of increased contaminant discharge for their farming type and/or a likelihood of diffuse discharge reductions over time because:</u></p> <p><u>a. They are part of an industry scheme designed to manage diffuse discharge risk; and</u></p> <p><u>b. In accordance with that industry scheme the diffuse nitrogen discharge by those properties whose Nitrogen Reference Point is above the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value for the relevant freshwater management unit^."</u></p>
<p><b>Fyers, John and Joanne</b> Submitter ID: 73460</p>	PC1-2578	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 4.
<p><b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820</p>	PC1-9081	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to provide for stock exclusion slope requirements to be no greater than 15 degrees as per the Clean Water Report (February 2017)</p> <p>AND AMEND the exclusion requirements for cattle on land between 3 and 15 degrees slope to only apply to all permanently flowing waterways greater than 1m wide</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans form the basis of PPC1</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council and central government continue to subsidise any waterway fencing AND AMEND to ensure actively managing stock across waterways be allowed but limited.
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3240	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Enabling activities with lower discharges... established while signalling further change may be required in the future..."
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6419	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the timeframes for stock exclusion in Schedule C so that stock is excluded depending on the type of stock, the type of waterway stock is to be excluded from and the degree of slope.</p> <p>AND AMEND Schedule C to specify that slope means the dominant slope of the landscape. i.e. covers 80% or more of the landscape.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where 80 percent of land is less than or equal to 15 degree slope, stock is excluded from perennial waterways by 2022.</p> <p>AND AMEND so that where 80 percent of land is less than or equal to 15 degree slope, stock is excluded from ephemeral waterways when they flow directly to a main waterway, accepting temporary fencing as a solution.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure stock exclusion occurs only in those areas identified as high risk,</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that in hill country, where dominant slope is greater than 15 degrees, stock exclusion occurs in critical source areas and where the cattle/deer stocking rate is greater than or equal to 1000kgLW/ha.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure the timeframes for stock exclusion align with those proposed nationally.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide certainty about where and which waters need to be excluded from stock.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that Farm Environment Plans provide mitigation against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable stock to enter water bodies if they are being actively managed across the water body, and the water body is not crossed more than once a week.</p> <p>AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach to focus on contaminants important to each farm and sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND ENSURE Farm Environment Plans assess appropriate land use options for each farm, and encourage better science to determine which contaminants are of concern for each farm and sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND DELETE requirements to manage farming activities to a historic Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with live weight standards linked to the natural capital of soils, climate and assimilative capacity of water OR allocate nitrogen as it is tied to the natural capital of soils.</p> <p>AND ENSURE greater understanding about spatial location of natural resources so this knowledge can be applied to better inform and manage contaminant loss.</p> <p>AND AMEND or ADD new rules that are based on land class and pasture production capability, where land use is supported by the capability of the land giving rise to contaminant loss no greater than acceptable ecosystem health limits. OR ADOPT equal nitrogen allocation flexibility for all land users (at 20kgN/ha) as a permitted activity.</p> <p>AND DELETE 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value AND REPLACE with requirements and standards that ensure the reductions required in over-allocated catchments, and where nitrogen is an issue, are proportionate to the level of improvement required and the impact of the discharge. Highest dischargers should be targeted first and consideration should be given to the economic implications of reducing and the timeframe for making reductions.</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules to ensure low contaminant loss land uses are a permitted activity.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that high nitrogen dischargers, except horticulture, are required to reduce over time, starting immediately, and achieving 10% reductions every year for the life of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure horticultural nitrogen losses are managed in a manner that recognises the value of the industry to the community.</p>

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4360	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish. DELETE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3815	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Policy 4 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10258	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Policy 4: Enabling activities with <u>discharges of lower volumes and concentrations of contaminants</u> discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further <del>change</del> <u>contaminant reductions</u> may be required in future. Manage sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and enable existing and new <del>low discharging</del> <u>discharging low volumes and concentrations of these contaminants ('low dischargers')</u> to continue, or begin, provided that cumulatively the achievement of Objective 2 is not compromised. Activities and uses currently defined as low dischargers may in the future need to take mitigation actions that will reduce diffuse discharges or nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens in order for Objective 1 to be met."
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5608	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to enable low discharge land uses such as forestry. AND AMEND to ensure that mitigation actions are applied to all farming activities taking into account relative contributions and risk.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7726	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE for the policy reference to the requirement for future change. AND AMEND Policy 4 to read: "Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established <del>while signalling further change may be required in future...</del> " AND AMEND Rule 3.11.5.2 stocking rate to 18 stock units per hectare.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4119	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as proposed.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4558	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 with regards to provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish. AND REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6037	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10055	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 4 AND AMEND the definition of commercial vegetable cropping by deleting reference to asparagus.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5867	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 4.
<b>Hurley, Peter James</b> Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1087	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that small scale land uses, low intensity and low-risk land uses, including forestry, are enabled to continue and to be flexible, and to be established. AND DELETE reference to further reduction requirements of contaminants from low intensity and low risk land uses. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>IB and IB Fyers</b> Submitter ID: 73210	PC1-9062	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 4.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b>	PC1-6820	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 4 provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish AND DELETE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72989			
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1343	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as proposed.
<b>JN &amp; VL Gilbert Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73578	PC1-10327	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to provide for alternative management approaches to stock exclusion through Farm Environment Plans, with mitigations relevant to each farm AND AMEND to enable stock to enter waterways if being actively managed across the waterbody and it is crossed no more than three times per week AND AMEND to extend the timelines, and provide certainty of investment in that the land will not be required to be converted to forestry in future AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for fencing to be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-807	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 4.
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6199	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 the definition of a waterway for stock exclusion to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND the slope requirements for stock exclusion to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to extend the timeframes for stock exclusion and give certainty to landowners with land classed as 6+ that future plan changes will not make investment in fencing a waste of money and resources. AND AMEND so that individual Farm Environment Plan present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm. AND AMEND to provide subsidies for stock exclusion fencing by Waikato Regional Council waterway. AND AMEND to enable stock to enter a waterbody if they are actively managed when crossing the waterbody and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times a week. AND AMEND to clarify how a slope for stock exclusion will be classed.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1813	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 4 to clarify 'lower discharges'. AND AMEND to remove references to 'signalling further change'.
<b>King Country Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7839	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-9263	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 4 to provide for PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and to ensure the cost of this is not put on farmers AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, to be linked to sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts that are out of farmers control AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5278	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12241	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 4 provisions allowing low intensity land uses to continue and establish, AND REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9947	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Macdonald, Hamish Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2863	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future.

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3126	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish. DELETE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4995	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9184	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3489	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 as per the relief set out under Policy 1 to provide for agreed, measurable and enforceable base lines for each of the four diffuse discharges that are required to be monitored on a case by case basis. Alternatively, delete reference to Objective 3. AND AMEND to read: "Policy 4: <u>Enable activities with discharges of low volumes and concentrations of contaminants to continue or to establish while signalling further contaminant reductions may be required.</u> "
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11733	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>McGregor, Colin Grant</b> Submitter ID: 73534	PC1-6651	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that small scale, low intensity and low risk land uses, including forestry, are enabled to continue, be flexible and be established. AND DELETE the reference to further reductions in contaminants from low intensity and low risk land use.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10930	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to provide activities with lower discharges, flexibility and the security that further changes will not be required unless there would be a significant reduction in contaminant discharge.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11882	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8816	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to enable activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established, but with the requirement that they include best management practices for the mitigation of contaminant discharges in Farm Environment Plans and implement such mitigation practices.
<b>Moerangi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73111	PC1-4998	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to provide for a change to the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord AND AMEND to provide for a change to the slope requirements to 15 degrees as per the National Water Accord AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans present mitigations against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach AND SUBSIDISE fencing waterways and contaminant mitigation AND AMEND to provide for science to determine the necessity of fencing of water bodies, based on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing of water bodies.
<b>Murphy, William S</b> Submitter ID: 72105	PC1-6462	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that small scale, low intensity and low risk land uses, including forestry, are enabled to continue, be flexible and be established. AND DELETE the reference to further reductions in contaminants from low intensity and low risk land use.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2565	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 by providing a greater definition of low discharging.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8745	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 3 stock exclusion requirements to be consistent with the Clean Water Report (February 2017)

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to exclude stock from permanently flowing waterbodies on flat and rolling land, but not on hill country (slope &gt;15 degrees)</p> <p>AND AMEND riparian setback distances to only apply to flat and rolling land, but not hill country (slope &gt;15 degrees)</p> <p>AND AMEND to require exclusion of cattle and deer on slopes between 3 and 15 degrees only where there are permanently flowing waterbodies 1m wide or greater</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframes and give certainty for land with high erosion risk to ensure investment in fencing is not wasted if future plan changes require retirement to forestry</p> <p>AND AMEND to allow Farm Environment Plans to provide mitigations against contaminants relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach</p> <p>AND DELETE any provisions that hold land use to historic discharge levels or stocking rates.</p>
<p><b>New Zealand Forest Owners Association Inc</b> Submitter ID: 73524</p>	PC1-9956	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to enable low discharge land uses such as forestry. Ensure that mitigation actions are applied to all farming activities taking into account relative contributions and risk.</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
<p><b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780</p>	PC1-4612	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790</p>	PC1-3704	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088</p>	PC1-11580	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515</p>	PC1-11832	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447</p>	PC1-3925	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN the Policy 4 provisions allowing low intensity land uses to continue and establish</p> <p>AND DELETE from Policy 4 any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.</p>
<p><b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725</p>	PC1-6401	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to give effect to the reasons for the submission and so that it is consistent with the alternative approach proposed in the submission [to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach] [An overview of the alternative approach can be found in Table 2 of the submission].</p> <p>AND AMEND the policy to enable low leaching activities and new low leaching activities such as forestry, while ensuring that existing farming activities adopt some Best Practicable Options, such as fencing stock from waterways.</p> <p>AND AMEND the heading to include the word 'diffuse' consistent with the policy wording/focus.</p>
<p><b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704</p>	PC1-2727	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to promote good management practice within each catchment.</p> <p>AND USE the Nitrogen Reference Point only for those users who need to reduce below the 75th percentile.</p> <p>AND USE Farm Environment Plans to deal with other nutrient discharges, including microbial pathogens.</p>
<p><b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249</p>	PC1-9384	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929</p>	PC1-6682	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE Policy 4 in its entirety.</p> <p>OR AMEND by re-notifying the PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms within the future, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p>

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND by re-notifying only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p> <p>OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p>
<p><b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750</p>	PC1-5327	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<p><b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488</p>	PC1-4187	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as proposed.
<p><b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138</p>	PC1-6588	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to include a definition of 'low discharge activity'.
<p><b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545</p>	PC1-12007	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089</p>	PC1-11682	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785</p>	PC1-6288	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to enable activities with lower discharges to continue to be established, but with the requirement that they include Best Management Practices for the mitigation of contaminant discharges in Farm Environment Plans and implement such mitigation practices.
<p><b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427</p>	PC1-11147	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 4 to read: 'Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established "<del>while signalling further change may be required in future</del>" ...'</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules to provide future certainty for landowners</p> <p>AND DELETE the requirement for a Nitrogen Reference Point.</p>
<p><b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220</p>	PC1-7782	Support the provision	<p>RETAIN Policy 4</p> <p>AND CLARIFY the meaning of 'lower discharges'.</p>
<p><b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651</p>	PC1-6956	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND the stock exclusion provisions of PPC1 so where the gradient makes fencing awkward, wetland silt traps/silt traps should be available as an option before discharging water downstream.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 so the individual Farm Environment Plan mitigates against contaminants relevant to each farm rather than using a blanket approach.</p>
<p><b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073</p>	PC1-10552	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<p><b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058</p>	PC1-10105	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN the overall intent of Policy 4 but AMEND to address the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarify the meaning of 'low discharging activity';</li> <li>• Define the date at which an activity needs exist to be considered existing;</li> </ul>



Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide guidance on how it can be demonstrated that a low discharge activity cumulatively does not compromise Objective 3</li> </ul>
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9978	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 4 and REPLACE it with the following: " <u>Waikato Regional Council to identify existing activities that lower discharges and develop a reward system for discharges that have long-term results.</u> "
<b>Reeves, John</b> Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-884	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 4.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8529	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 4.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7162	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish. AND REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2252	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as proposed. AND AMEND Policy 4 to clarify what the measurement of lower discharges entails.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2612	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to REMOVE signalling of future mitigation action requirements. AND RETAIN provisions allowing for low-intensity land uses to continue and to establish.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5348	Support the provision with amendments	AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10374	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5623	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 4 in its entirety.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11100	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide a definition or explanation of 'low discharges'. AND the submitter reserves its position, subject to acceptance of its relief sought elsewhere in its submission.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4040	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read: " <u>Enable activities with discharges of low volumes and concentrations of contaminants to continue or to establish while signalling further contaminant reductions may be required.</u> " AND AMEND to provide for agreed, measurable and enforceable baselines for each of the four diffuse discharges that are required to be monitored on a case by case basis.
<b>Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2757	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 4: AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity for farms and more objectivity regarding land use change AND AMEND Policy 4 to reconsider the economic outfall and value loss from people leaving farming AND AMEND to include logical solutions that have positive economic and environmental effects AND undertake wider consultation, on a farm by farm basis
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5103	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read:

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			"Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future".
<b>Stokman, Mark and Sharon</b> Submitter ID: 73976	PC1-6690	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that small scale, low intensity and low risk land uses, including forestry, are enabled to continue, be flexible and be established. AND DELETE the reference to further reductions in contaminants from low intensity and low risk land use.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7046	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11781	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11967	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11630	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Te Mata Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-4390	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 stock exclusion provision to reflect the guidelines in the Clean Water document AND AMEND to ensure that assessment of compliance is tailored to the farm, and mitigations in Farm Environment Plans are tailored to the farm.
<b>Te Miro Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6932	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to change the definition of a waterway to that of the National Water Accord. AND AMEND PPC1 to change the slope requirements to 15 degrees in accordance with the National Water Accord. AND AMEND to extend the stock exclusion timelines and give certainty to those with land classed as 6+ that it will not be converted to forestry in future plan changes. AND AMEND PPC1 to allow the individual Farm Environment Plan to identify mitigation approaches against contaminants, relevant to each farm, rather than a blanket approach. AND AMEND PPC1 to base the necessity of fencing of waterbodies on their current level of contamination, stocking rate and the proven impact of fencing. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable stock to enter waterbodies if they are being actively managed and the waterbody is not crossed by stock more than 3 times per week. AND AMEND to include provisions that any waterway fencing be subsidised by the Waikato Regional Council.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12240	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12057	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7818	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8256	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read as follows: "Manage sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and enable existing and new low discharging activities to continue provided that cumulatively the achievement of Objective 3 is not compromised <u>provided there is no increase in diffuse discharges nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens.</u> "

Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established while signalling further change may be required in future/Te Kaupapa Here 4: Te tuku kia haere tonu, kia whakatūria rānei ngā tūmahi he iti iho ngā rukenga, me te tohu ake

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5089	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to consider all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3311	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 as currently worded.
<b>Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association</b> Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7092	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Policy 4.
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5776	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "...Actions put in place and implemented by 201610 years from the Plan becoming <u>operative</u> to reduce discharges..." (Policy 4)
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9766	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read "Policy 4: Enabling activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established <del>while signalling further change may be required in future...</del> ".
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3950	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 to read: " <u>Acknowledge farming units with exceptionally low Nitrogen Reference Points (based on 2014 to 2016 data) and allow for some increase in nitrogen leaching based on a sub-catchment average allowable discharge.</u> "
<b>Tucker, Geoff and Kara</b> Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2788	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to align it with the National Policy statement on Freshwater and the waterway definition, slope of land requiring fencing and stocking rate parameters set out in there. AND AMEND to allow individual Farm Environment Plans to offer mitigations appropriate to individual farms and sub-catchments. AND AMEND to include a subsidy for waterway fencing. AND AMEND to give hill country farmers time to show where their water quality presently sites. AND AMEND so that where fencing is required surety is provided that plantation forestry will not be forced by future plan changes. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12175	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10275	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6784	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 4 provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish. AND DELETE from Policy 4 any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3795	Oppose the provision	REPLACE Policy 4 with a policy that has a clearer outlook as to what may be required in the future so investments can have certainty.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12118	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11943	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3307	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b>	PC1-3002	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72890			
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11344	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following paragraph to Policy 4: " <u>Enabling enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications which include lower discharges from farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change, will provide a key method (alongside participation in any relevant Certified Industry Schemes) for achieving clear and enduring improvements in water quality in order to meet (inter alia) Objectives 1 and 3 while allowing existing activities to continue and enabling new activities to be established.</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2102	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following to Policy 4: " <u>as long as there is no increase in discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from property or enterprise.</u> " AND ADD the following: " <u>enable activities with lower discharges to continue or to be established, but with the requirement that they include good management practices for the mitigation of contaminant discharges in Farm Environment Plans and implement such mitigation practices.</u> "
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2265	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6723	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 4 provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish AND DELETE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements from Policy 4.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7278	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 4 so that all farming activities are making 100% effort to achieve the 10 year target.
<b>Williamson, Don and Robyn</b> Submitter ID: 73957	PC1-2798	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 so that small scale land use, low intensity and low risk land uses including forestry and farming can continue to be flexible, and to be established. AND DELETE references to further reductions in contaminant discharges. AND ENSURE that the existing biodiversity values of these land uses are recognised and that further protection of biodiversity is enabled and incentivised. This includes management benefits from reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
<b>Wiremu Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8834	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 4 management of diffuse discharges at a sub-catchment scale.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4207	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 4 provisions allowing for low intensity land uses to continue and establish AND REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-927	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 4 to contain rules for Koi Carp elimination and measurement of all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11530	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 4 provisions allowing low intensity land uses to continue and establish AND REMOVE any signalling of future mitigation action requirements.

## Policy 5: Staged approach/Te Kaupapa Here 5: He huarahi wāwāhi

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4513	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 5.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4312	Support the provision	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND MAKE any consequential amendments to policies and methods to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6132	Support the provision with amendments	The submitter reserves its position, subject to acceptance of its relief sought elsewhere in its submission.
<b>Babington, Cliff and Leonie</b> Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9452	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farm use change while providing for environmental mitigation and financial stability.
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6638	Oppose the provision	DELETE the long term land use provisions of Policy 5. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there is a clear indication of what land use is required on farms. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Bailey, James</b> Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9020	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to replace the 'staged' approach with an 'Adaptive Management' approach to managing nitrogen and all contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital as the basis of nitrogen management AND AMEND to enable transition toward the Vision and Strategy with Land Use Suitability as a starting point and using Adaptive Management as our understanding develops, reviewing and adapting through subsequent plan changes.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4456	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach in Policy 5 AND AMEND rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt mitigation appropriate to water quality gains AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to manage resources fairly and collaboratively.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11409	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the rule framework gives effect to Policy 5.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1661	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to create sub-catchment plans to help identify priority areas and the point of contaminant source and what the true problem is AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council works with landowners and the community to help implement positive change to that issue that has been truly identified AND AMEND to make the plan and changes evidence based AND AMEND to provide further assessment on whether quality and attributes in table 3.11-1 are realistic and achievable when considering the complexities of the issues and when looking at the plan in a holistic way.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11489	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to give effect to the following intent. "Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 <u>may require significant reductions in discharges from some land uses, in sub-catchments which are currently over allocated. As such timeframes will need to be staged over 80 years, to develop, while making a start on reducing discharges of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and preparing for further reductions that will be required in subsequent regional plans. provide for investment in infrastructure, remediation, mitigation, innovation, and farm optimisation, and in recognition that achieving water quality restoration takes time due to lag phases between changes in land management approaches and establishment of on farm and edge of field mitigation and resultant water quality improvements.</u> " AND AMEND to tailor management approaches to address the specific contaminate(s) of concern on a sub-catchment basis. AND DELETE reference to further reductions through subsequent regional plans. AND AMEND to give effect to Objective 3 and 4 as proposed through this submission. AND AMEND to enable the establishment and operation of sub-catchment groups working through long term global consents to sustainably manage land and water resources, to adopt a staged approach to addressing water quality over

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			allocation where it exists within the sub-catchment, to be innovative, to share and move resources as required within desired environmental limits/targets, to be flexible, to recognise and provide for biodiversity values, and to adopt edge of field mitigation. AND AMEND Table 3.11-1 so that the interim targets and timeframes recognise and provide for the Economic and Social well-being of people and communities including implications for actions, investments, ongoing management changes and any social, cultural or economic implications. AND AMEND Policy 5 by extending timeframes to longer than 10 years, preferably 30 years.
<b>Beverland, Robert William</b> Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5194	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to provide clear guidelines to indicate in Farm Environment Plans as to what farmers can farm in relationship to the wetland and how farmers can farm around it.
<b>Black Jack Farms</b> Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8023	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 so that a staged approach is adopted for moderate to high dischargers. AND AMEND to encourage low discharging activities.
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-83	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 in its entirety.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-4884	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach in Policy 5 AMEND Rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point, adopt a sub-catchment approach and enable mitigation strategies appropriate to water quality gains needed, through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1404	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5 the staged approach. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Bull, David Stewart</b> Submitter ID: 73701	PC1-2275	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 the staged approach.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6327	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5 AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 in adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10220	Support the provision	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND MAKE any consequential amendments to other Policies and Methods.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10683	Support the provision	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND MAKE consequential amendments to other policies and methods.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10726	Support the provision	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND MAKE consequential amendments to other Policies and Methods.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10705	Support the provision	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND MAKE consequential amendments to other Policies and Methods.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7748	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute targets set out in Table 11-1 will need to be staged over 80 years, to minimise adverse social and economic effects disruption and allow for innovation and new practices to develop, while making a start on reducing discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			pathogens, <u>to achieve Objective 3</u> and preparing for further reductions that will be required in subsequent regional plans."
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5560	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 as proposed.
<b>Clements, Robyn Ethel</b> Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7724	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 5. OR AMEND to use methods that look at land use capability to assess land use. AND AMEND Policy 5 by carrying out a full land use study that covers the 80 years proposed.
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10780	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to create a clearer implementation path toward achievement of the long term targets, within the life of this plan.
<b>Cocker, Matthew Alwin</b> Submitter ID: 73310	PC1-5970	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Coleman, Mark and Ruth</b> Submitter ID: 71424	PC1-7433	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9727	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Policy 5 use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Oversee to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms.
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10228	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4652	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7626	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10661	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity about how water quality targets will be achieved. AND AMEND to implement a land-based allocation regime that allocates the discharge of contaminants to ensure ecosystem health and to ensure that the potential of natural resources are retained to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-472	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 5 all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works. AND AMEND to extend the time frame to allow more Council programmes to be developed. AND AMEND to ensure there is an even playing field amongst heavy and light polluters so that heavy polluters are not rewarded.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-632	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to have a shorter timeframe.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-284	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 in its entirety, OR AMEND PPC1 and re-notify once there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5390	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10821	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9787	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "...microbial pathogens, and preparing for further reductions that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required in subsequent regional plans."
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8284	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 AND AMEND to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5934	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read as follows: "Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute targets set out in Table 3.11-1 will need to be staged over 80 years to minimise <u>adverse social and economic effects</u> <del>disruption</del> and allow for innovation and new practices to develop, while <del>making a start on</del> reducing discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, <u>to achieve Objective 3</u> and preparing for further reductions that will be required in subsequent regional plans."
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10472	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "...Recognise that achieving the <u>desired</u> water quality attribute <del>states targets</del> set out in Table 3.11-1 will need to be staged over 80 years, to minimise <u>adverse social and economic effects</u> <del>disruption</del> and allow for innovation and new practices to develop, while <del>making a start on</del> reducing discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, <u>to achieve Objective 3</u> and preparing for further reductions that will be required in subsequent regional plans."
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10866	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "Recognise that achieving the <u>desired</u> water quality attribute <del>targets states</del> set out in Table 11-1 will need..."
<b>Fursdon, Sonia</b> Submitter ID: 73999	PC1-9326	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 5.
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3607	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 as follows: "Recognise that achieving the <u>desired</u> water quality attribute <del>targets states</del> set out in Table..."
<b>Genesis Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8738	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 (in same or similar form).
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3251	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 the 80 year timeframe.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6431	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 5 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to focus on contaminants important to each farm and sub-catchment. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans assess appropriate land use options for each farm, and encourage better science to determine which contaminants are of concern for each farm and sub-catchment. AND DELETE requirements to manage farming activities to a historic Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with live weight standards linked to the natural capital of soils, climate and assimilative capacity of water OR allocate nitrogen as it is tied to the natural capital of soils. AND DEVELOP greater understanding about spatial location of natural resources so this knowledge can be applied to better inform and manage contaminant loss. AND AMEND or ADD new rules that are based on land class and pasture production capability, where land use is supported by the capability of the land giving rise to contaminant loss no greater than acceptable ecosystem health limits. OR ADOPT equal nitrogen allocation flexibility for all land users (at 20kgN/ha) as a permitted activity.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND DELETE the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value AND REPLACE with requirements and standards that ensure the reductions required in over-allocated catchments, and where nitrogen is an issue, are proportionate to the level of improvement required and the impact of the discharge. Highest dischargers should be targeted first and consideration should be given to the economic implications of reducing and the timeframe for making reductions.</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules to ensure low contaminant loss land uses are a permitted activity.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that high nitrogen dischargers, except horticulture, are required to reduce over time, starting immediately, and achieving 10% reductions every year for the life of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure horticultural nitrogen losses are managed in a manner that recognises the value of the industry to the community.</p>
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4362	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN the staged approach.</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point.</p> <p>AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan..</p>
<b>Grainger, Chris and Andrea</b> Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-8184	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to provide for an upfront study that covers 80 years specific to individual farms that shows all ramifications.
<b>Graymont (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2838	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2938	Support the provision with amendments	<p>ADD NEW Policy 5 sub-catchment plans to help identify priority areas and the source point of contaminants, and what the true problem is</p> <p>AND Waikato Regional Council to work with landowners and the community to help implement positive change where there are identified problems.</p>
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3816	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 with clear notification as to what land use is required on a property going forward so farm use can be changed to meet both environmental mitigation and financial stability.
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11453	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 5 so that consistent with information from Central Government.
<b>Hamilton, Malibu</b> Submitter ID: 74083	PC1-9852	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>Hamilton &amp; Waikato Tourism</b> Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9447	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5630	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to create a clearer implementation path toward achievement of the long term targets, within the life of PPC1.
<b>Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham</b> Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-538	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Policy 5 to provide a model to show what it will look like in 80 years.</p> <p>AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements to be less restrictive.</p>
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10488	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 so that each sub-catchment has detailed water quality monitoring data over a suitable period of time.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7728	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5/PPC1 to replace blanket fencing requirement with using the Farm Environment Plan to adopt mitigation strategies for critical source areas.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4122	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 as proposed, AND AMEND to provide for any consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to retaining Policy 5.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4560	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6049	Oppose the provision	AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10056	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 AND clarify that discharge controls are not section 9 land use rules AND MAKE consequential amendments to other polices and methods.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5868	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6821	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to remove Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Jeffries, Gary and Joy</b> Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7234	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to plan for the next 80 years, not 10. AND AMEND to a science/scientifically based approach. AND AMEND by providing realistic goals.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1347	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN staged approach in Policy 5. AND AMEND rules within plan to reflect justifiable gains to be made in 10 year period. AND RETAIN a sub-catchment approach to the management of diffuse discharges.
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6982	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to outline the requirements for the remaining 70 years of the plan. OR DELETE PPC1 in its entirety.
<b>Johnston, Moss and Relda</b> Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8099	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include urban and industrial contamination. AND AMEND PPC1 to identify pollution areas and deal with them accordingly.
<b>June, Selwyn</b> Submitter ID: 73354	PC1-4769	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "...to be staged over <del>80</del> 40 years, or earlier if effective mitigation methods are available, to minimise...."
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-806	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 5.
<b>Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith</b> Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7854	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 5 to provide for a full land use study that covers the 80 years. AND AMEND to provide for incentives for the conversion to forestry/retiring land.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1818	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to remove references to 'signalling further change' AND AMEND to clarify what 'minimises' means and how it will be quantified. AND DELETE 'preparing for further reductions'.
<b>King Country Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7841	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Lawson, John</b> Submitter ID: 52942	PC1-11213	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8866	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 5/PPC1 to a sub-catchment approach with communities working together AND RENOTIFY and allow submissions on this sub-catchment approach AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lichtwark, Quintin Owen</b> Submitter ID: 72535	PC1-1861	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 with its 80 year timeframe.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5279	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12247	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Lowry, Karen and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 73133	PC1-7984	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to provide an upfront study that covers the next 80 years that shows all the ramification, specific to the submitter's farm.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9949	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3173	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8030	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include provisions for sub-catchment governance reporting periods and targets, AND AMEND PPC1 to allow for provision in the timeline to provide for Objectives 2 and 4.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 1)</b> Submitter ID: 72604	PC1-2925	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 2)</b> Submitter ID: 72598	PC1-2881	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 3)</b> Submitter ID: 72602	PC1-2913	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 4)</b> Submitter ID: 72600	PC1-2898	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 5)</b> Submitter ID: 72606	PC1-2807	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND AMEND so that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND REMOVE current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND PPC1 to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objective. AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as Regionally Significant Industry AND AMEND so that farms that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 6)</b> Submitter ID: 72590	PC1-2935	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Main, Richard and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73927	PC1-3260	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 5.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4996	Support the provision	RETAIN the staged approach under Policy 5 as proposed.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9188	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Matahuru Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-6999	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the staged approach in Policy 5 to base it on catchments and sub-catchments and target it to the specific water quality attributes (i.e. which contaminants are the greatest issue) of that sub-catchment AND AMEND to provide for sub-catchment or catchment committees to form plans with farmers, iwi and local community stakeholders (with supervision and support from Waikato Regional Council) to address specific water problems and develop strategies based on individual Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3491	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "...targets set out in Table 11-1 will need to be staged over <u>at least</u> 80 years..." AND AMEND to read: "...to minimise social disruption <u>on a sub-catchment or catchment basis</u> ..."
<b>Matira Sub Catchment Group</b> Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9294	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 in its entirety.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11734	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>McAlister, James and Maeve</b> Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5826	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5.
<b>McClunie, Joseph and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-58	Oppose the provision	Policy 5: AMEND to include a clearly set out model of what this [farming] will look like after 80 years AND ADD a provision that if tree planting is required as part of the 80 year plan rather than farm land, that compensation will be provided.
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8313	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to recognise the economic and trade implications of PPC1.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11883	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9537	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "Policy: Staged approach/.. Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute^ targets^ set out in Table 3.11-1 will need to be..."
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8819	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read as follows: "... staged over 80 years to minimise social disruption <u>and economic hardship</u> and allow for innovation and new practices to develop..." AND AMEND reference to Table 11-1 to be Table " <u>3.11-1</u> "
<b>Moss, George Wilder</b> Submitter ID: 74078	PC1-11080	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 in its entirety.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2567	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 by putting the staged approach on a sub-catchment level.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4615	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3705	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11581	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11833	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Nicholas, Rod and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 73760	PC1-7111	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5 AND AMEND PPC1 so that research is conducted by qualified organisations AND AMEND to use approved mitigation methods.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3928	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach. AND AMEND PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>North Waikato Federated Farmers</b> Submitter ID: 73705	PC1-2284	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 and the staged approach over 80 years.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6403	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to recognise the transition to the alternative approach proposed in the submission [to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach]. This is to be implemented during the life of PPC1.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7306	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to provide for sub-catchment governance reporting periods and targets AND AMEND so that the PPC1 implementation timeline provides for Objective 2 and Objective 4.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9385	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to ensure that each sub-catchment has detailed water quality monitoring data over a suitable period of time AND AMEND to ensure that the staged approach is sub-catchment based and targeted to the specific water quality attributes of that sub-catchment.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-4960	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 5 in its entirety. AMEND PPC1 where a re-notification process is begun when there are clear indications of what land use is required on every farm in the Waikato Region with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5293	Oppose the provision	DELETE long term land use from Policy 5 in its entirety, AND REPLACE with an amended plan to provide for clear indications of what land use is required on a farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Pepper, Matt</b> Submitter ID: 73284	PC1-9651	Support the provision	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach and give farmers time to learn from each other in adopting new practices.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4189	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 as proposed, AND AMEND to provide for any consequential amendments to other policies and methods to give effect to retaining Policy 5.
<b>Peters, Michael Joseph</b> Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6532	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision in relation to Policy 5 requested.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6589	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to provide access to baseline data and future data per sub-catchment.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12008	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11683	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6289	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5 AND AMEND to read "...staged over 80 years, to minimise social disruption and <u>economic disruption</u> and allow for innovation..."
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11151	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure social disruption is minimised AND AMEND to ensure suitable indicators are identified to measure social disruption.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7785	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4917	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 5 timeframes for stock exclusion. AND CONSIDER the health and safety impact of overhead wires to supply electricity to fences.
<b>Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy</b> Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8493	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5, OR AMEND to model the effects of fencing, and what it will look like in 90 years.
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10586	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10106	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Policy 5 as currently written.
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9980	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 as follows: "...water quality attribute targets set out in <u>Table 3.11-1 for Freshwater Management Units</u> will need to be staged over 80 years."
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8530	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 5.
<b>Riverheads Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3156	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to use credible science to determine the polluted areas so that energy and resources can be directed at these concentrated areas.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7164	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach of Policy 5. AND REMOVE reference to Nitrogen Reference Point from the rules. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-3980	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 5 the timeframe and require best current practices to be used AND require the most polluting activities to be subject to the greatest mitigation requirements, penalties, oversight, research, measurement and regulation AND ensure that there is enough room to innovate mitigations as new methods develop.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Rutherford and McMullin, Selina and Hamish</b> Submitter ID: 73611	PC1-7740	Support the provision with amendments	Ensure that there is a constant flow of communication between Waikato Regional Council and farming families, communities and other sectors. This will ensure an exchange of ideas leading to identifying and solving problems. Policy 5.
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2256	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5. AND AMEND Rules to show justifiable gains made in the 10 years.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5642	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the timeframe in Policy 5. AND AMEND PPC1 to require best current practices to be used for all polluting activities. AND AMEND PPC1 to require the most polluting activities be subject to the greatest mitigation requirements, penalties, oversight, research, measurement and regulation. Ensure however that there is room to innovate mitigations as new practices and methods develop.
<b>Scott, Shirley</b> Submitter ID: 73939	PC1-5221	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 in its entirety. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for the staged approach to occur over a longer period, such as 30 years.
<b>Shabor Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1107	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to provide farmers with certainty beyond the 10 years of PPC1 AND AMEND to conduct a full land use study that covers the full 80 years.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2616	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 5. Policy 5: DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point rules AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5036	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and RE-NOTIFY once there is clear indication of future rules AND AMEND to make PPC1 less onerous on hill county farmers until future requirements become clear. For instance, only require stock to be excluded from slopes less than 15 degrees, as per the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10375	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify once there is a clear indication of future rules.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5624	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5 as proposed.
<b>Simpson, Greg John</b> Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5526	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 5 to be backed by a well-defined model that shows how the land and water will look in 90 years.
<b>Simpson, Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9876	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 5 so it is modelled and will clearly set out what the land will be in 80 years' time
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1231	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the staged approach to change. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Smuts-Kennedy, Robin</b> Submitter ID: 73323	PC1-6313	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "Recognize that....will need to be staged over <del>80</del> 40 years,..."
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11101	Support the provision with amendments	The submitter reserves its position, subject to acceptance of its relief sought elsewhere in its submission.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4041	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 5 to read "..targets set out in Table 11-1 will need to be staged over <u>at least</u> 80 years..." AND AMEND to read: "....to minimise social disruption <u>on a sub-catchment or catchment basis</u> ..."
<b>Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2760	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 5: AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity for farms and more objectivity regarding land use change AND AMEND Policy 5 to reconsider the economic outfall and value loss from people leaving farming AND AMEND to include logical solutions that have positive economic and environmental effects AND undertake wider consultation, on a farm by farm basis
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5105	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE reference to Table 3.11-1 under Policy 5 and substitute the minimum standards as set out in the Ministry for the Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017, publication number ME 1293; and within the National Objectives Framework in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. AND AMEND by allowing movement of water quality within a band. AND AMEND so that standards do not have to be upheld during flood events.
<b>Stokes, Kelvin Arnold</b> Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5248	Oppose the provision	With respect to Policy 5, provide a detailed model of the affect of PPC1 aspirations on all citizens and the impacts on their activities and businesses AND AMEND so that all citizens are aware they have a part to play AND AMEND so that towns and cities all brought to account in the same timeframe.
<b>Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4050	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 by providing a detailed model that clearly sets out the time frame between 0-80 years. AND AMEND by allowing the model to give expected outcomes as a result of the implementations made by the Council.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7048	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Tapp, Warren</b> Submitter ID: 73013	PC1-1941	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Healthy Rivers but ensure the water quality targets are achievable and work towards a total ban on applying nitrogen to pasture. Policy 5.
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8109	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Policy 5.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11782	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11968	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11631	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12242	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b>	PC1-12058	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73361			
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7835	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>The Greene Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71658	PC1-3022	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 5.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8257	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read: "Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute targets set out in Table 3.11-1 will need to be staged over 80 years to minimise social disruption and allow for innovation and new practices to develop, while making a start on reducing discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, and preparing for further reductions that will be required in subsequent regional plans 35 years, requiring reductions immediately, and additional reduction in the medium to long term where these are necessary to achieve the targets."
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5078	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 in its entirety
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3313	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to create a clearer implementation path toward achievement of the long term targets within the duration of the plan.
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5777	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12179	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10279	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6785	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan..
<b>van der Voorden, Vera and Nora</b> Submitter ID: 74109	PC1-7264	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to give effect to Objective A2 and Policy A4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3796	Oppose the provision	AMEND to require Policy 5 to acknowledge investments made on the farm must not be made redundant before the end of their useful life.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12119	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11956	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3312	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waikato Dairy Leaders Group</b> Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-10936	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Waikato Environment Centre</b> Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6235	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 5 to recognise the potential off-sets to the costs of change, and the potential economic benefits, that will assist in limiting any social disruption.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3004	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5.
<b>Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4697	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to recognise the economic and trade implications of PPC1.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11345	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following to Policy 5: " <u>Ensuring that resource consent applications for farming activities and land use change include an appropriate assessment of risk and uncertainty based on sound adaptive management criteria. Encouraging enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change, will provide a key method (alongside participation in any relevant Certified Industry Schemes) for implementing a staged approach to achievement the freshwater objectives in Table 3.11 -1.</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2106	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 to read as follows: " <u>Recognise that achieving the water quality attribute targets set out in Table 3.11-1 will need to be staged over 80 years, to minimise economic hardship and social disruption...</u> "
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2266	Support the provision	RETAIN staged approach in Policy 5.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6724	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to remove Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7280	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 5
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6903	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to remove Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-776	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 by developing an 80-year model.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4214	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach AND AMEND PPC1 rules to remove Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to enable appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Woods, Paula and Ken</b> Submitter ID: 73806	PC1-2462	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 5 by implementing a longer time period that is workable so long term strategies can be planned ahead, over 30 years for example.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-928	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 5 provisions relating to long term land use.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11531	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 5 staged approach. Policy 5: AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND the rules to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.

## Policy 6: Restricting land use change/Te Kaupapa Here 6: Te here i te panonitanga ā-whakamahinga whenua

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9529	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 Paragraph 1 to read: "Except as provided for in <u>Policy 1 and 2 (for low level discharges)</u> and Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted."
<b>Alcock, Carl and Jo</b> Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2101	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 by establishing policies and rules which relate to managing effects and are based on recognition of productive underlying soil properties rather than blanket rules based on existing land use AND AMEND by providing exceptions to land use change restrictions including for smaller land areas (below 40 hectares) and where environmental effects are minimal or advantageous AND AMEND by not limiting the restrictions and assessment of effects to consideration of the nitrogen discharges as modelled by the OVERSEER Model AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising.
<b>Alcock and Easton, Jo and John</b> Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9225	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for flexibility in land use change with provisions that relate to managing effects, and which are based on underlying soil properties and their productive potential, rather than blanket rules based on existing land uses AND AMEND to provide for exceptions to land use change for smaller land areas (below 40 hectares) and where the environmental effects are minimal or advantageous AND AMEND to ensure assessment of the effects are not limited to consideration of nitrogen discharges as modelled by the OVERSEER Model.
<b>Allen, John</b> Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4897	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in <del>Policy 16</del> Land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted."
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b>	PC1-4514	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73978			
<b>Anderson, Jack L and Ann A</b> Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4273	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to allow more farm flexibility.
<b>Ashdale Enterprises Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72465	PC1-1673	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to ensure consents are required for all land use including iwi ancestral land.
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7042	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. OR AMEND Policy 6 by removing blanket rules based on existing land use and establishing policies and rules which relate to managing effects based on the underlying soil properties. AND AMEND PPC1 so that land use change is permitted where environmental effects are minimal or advantageous (improvements in biodiversity, sediment or phosphorous retention, economic efficiency and optimisation of natural resources), including for land areas below 40 hectares. AND AMEND PPC1 so that restrictions and assessment of the effects is not limited to nitrogen discharges as modelled by OVERSEER. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point (grandparenting) provisions of PPC1.
<b>Aston, Penelope</b> Submitter ID: 73811	PC1-5351	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. OR AMEND to ensure flexibility by removing blanket rules based on existing land use and establish policies and rules which relate to managing effects which recognise and are based on underlying soil properties. AND AMEND so that land use change is permitted where environmental effects are minimal or advantageous, such as improvements in biodiversity, sediment retention, phosphorous retention, economic efficiency and optimisation of natural resources (including for smaller land areas below 40 hectares). AND AMEND so that restrictions and an assessment of the effects is not limited to consideration of the nitrogen discharges as modelled by the OVERSEER Model. AND DELETE the nitrogen reference point (grandparenting) clauses and standards. AND AMEND so that the application of rules is low cost, efficient and involves minimal bureaucracy.
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4313	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for activities that can demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in diffuse discharges as Restricted Discretionary Activity.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6133	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 as follows: Except as provided for in Policy 16, <u>Policy [X1]</u> , land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. AND ADD new policies as follows: <u>"Policy [X1] - Flexibility for land use change which commenced prior to 1 June 2015</u> <u>Land use change consent applications for properties or enterprises that commenced land use change activities prior to 1 June 2015 and that will not result in an increased diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens across a property or enterprise will generally be granted, taking into account:</u> <u>i. Implementation of best management practice actions for nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens for the proposed land use, including through the use of Farm Environment Plans for each property or enterprise; and</u> <u>ii. The creations of positive economic, social and cultural benefits for the Waikato Region.</u> <u>Policy [X2] - Flexibility for land use change</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>Land use change consent applications for activities that will not result in an increased diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens across a property or enterprise will generally be granted, taking into account:</u> i. <u>Implementation of best management practice actions for nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogen for the proposed land use through the use of Farm Environment Plans for each property or enterprise; and</u> ii. <u>The creation of positive economic, social and cultural benefits for the Waikato Region."</u>
<b>Atkinson, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73077	PC1-5210	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to a land use capability model for nitrogen.
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10879	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "... Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring and meaningful decreases in existing diffuse discharges..."
<b>Babington, Cliff and Leonie</b> Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9463	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6698	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Bain, Richard Alexander</b> Submitter ID: 73936	PC1-3056	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 by allowing land owners to change land usage based on market changes, as long as nutrient levels remain as assessed to that property. For example, vegetable production, cropping and stock through flexible Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Baldwin, Jeremy and Alana</b> Submitter ID: 71389	PC1-1043	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 so that land use change is a Controlled Activity.
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6864	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for... will generally not be granted, <u>where this discharge occurs in an over-allocated catchment or sub-catchment.</u> " AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4460	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to provide for land use change in high priority sub-catchments as a Restricted Discretionary Activity. AND AMEND PPC1 to provide for land use change in low priority sub-catchments as a Permitted Activity. AND AMEND to enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt mitigation appropriate to the water quality gains to be made. AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to ensure resources are fairly and collaboratively managed.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11410	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.
<b>Bartholomew and Tulloch, Flora Beryl and David John</b> Submitter ID: 73083	PC1-4325	Oppose the provision	REMOVE PPC1.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-1663	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to allow greater flexibility within farming systems and if they are trying to get more trees in that region and want to use blanket rules, that all land owners have to plant 10% of their land with trees, not just hill country sheep and beef farmers, with either plantation or native to offset emitting. AND AMEND to promote positive land use change that will help support rural regions for continued growth in the future. AND AMEND to ensure that farming units that have excess of 18 Su/ha are limited

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to provide compensation to land owners in PPC1 is implemented.
<b>B Das and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73689	PC1-9068	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Policy 6 and related provisions that discourage or make unduly difficult the ability to rotate crops onto new land based on the type of operation. AMEND Policy 6 to allow the ability to apply for a restricted discretionary consent for land use change to vegetable production for operations capable of demonstrating their practices reduce discharges.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11490	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 as follows: <del>Restricting land use change</del> Restriction on intensive land uses and discharges to water..." AND AMEND to enable land use activities including changes in land use where increases in contaminant discharges still enable sub-catchment outcomes for water quality to be met including the values. AND AMEND to enable changes in land use which occur within the sustainable level for the sub-catchment as set out in Policy 1. AND AMEND to take into account the degree to which land use is optimised in relation to the natural capital of soils, and sub-catchment water quality 80 year attributes targets (Table 3.11-1). AND AMEND to provide for increases in Nitrogen discharge where land use change will result in overall improvement in sustainable management and a decrease in soil loss, Phosphorus loss, management of microbial pathogens, and enhancement of biodiversity values.
<b>Bevege, Richard Neil</b> Submitter ID: 74157	PC1-8196	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Beverland, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 73792	PC1-6876	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that land use change does need regulation. AND AMEND so that a resources consent is needed to change from low intensity farming to any high intensity type (proving the land can handle the farm system). AND AMEND so that if an existing dairy farm goes out of production in a sensitive area it may not be consented back into to dairy farming. AND MAKE any consequential amendments including to the Objectives, Policies and Rules to give effect to the relief sought.
<b>Beverland, Robert William</b> Submitter ID: 73911	PC1-5195	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.
<b>Bilby, Lorraine</b> Submitter ID: 74090	PC1-7595	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Bolt Trust, King Country Partnership 2013 LP and Lone Pine Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73539	PC1-6475	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow land use changes to remain unconsented. AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise farmers who have taken action already and not penalise them. AND AMEND PPC1 so different farming systems and types of farming are treated differently.
<b>Briggs, Robin John</b> Submitter ID: 73920	PC1-4971	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to set a nitrogen cap of 30ks/N/ha for drystock farmers. AND AMEND Rules so that fencing of lakes, rivers and major interior creeks is required (not minor creeks). AND AMEND so that changing forestry land to dairy is not permitted. AND AMEND so that dairy conversions are strictly controlled to meet environmental expectations. AND AMEND so that high end Nitrogen capped farmers are controlled and pay for any excess nitrogen caps. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Brodie, Philip Donald</b> Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2854	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to clarify if the Policy excludes urban land use change.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-105	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.
<b>Brown, Tracy Lee</b> Submitter ID: 72955	PC1-2176	Not stated	AMEND Policy 6 to clarify how and what the 'careful checks' on potential land use change will be.
<b>Browne, Allan Steward and Toni Rebecca</b> Submitter ID: 71696	PC1-9162	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in exiting diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will be <del>generally</del> granted."
<b>Bryant, Kerry</b> Submitter ID: 72985	PC1-7887	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Buchanan, Jason Robert</b> Submitter ID: 73696	PC1-5408	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was stated for Policy 6.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2542	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that land use change is a Restricted Discretionary Activity in high priority sub-catchments, but a Permitted Activity in low priority catchments AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1408	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that land use change is a permitted activity not non-complying AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buist Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3400	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6337	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to state high priority sub-catchments in relation to water quality have a restricted discretionary activity status and low priority sub-catchments have a permitted activity status AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10221	Oppose the provision	Policy 6: ADD a Restricted Discretionary Activity status for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10684	Oppose the provision	Policy 6: ADD a Restricted Discretionary Activity status for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10727	Oppose the provision	Policy 6: ADD a Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10706	Oppose the provision	Policy 6: ADD a Restricted Discretionary Activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7747	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 and all associated Rules.
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5561	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 by providing a Restricted Discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Chick, Adam Ross</b> Submitter ID: 73985	PC1-7922	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 by removing the Nitrogen Reference Point and instead use land capability as a yard stick AND AMEND to ensure everyone changing land use is treated the same.
<b>Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4777	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for land to be used as it was anytime in the past. AND AMEND Policy 6 to take into account the capability of the land.
<b>Clapcott, Anson</b> Submitter ID: 73149	PC1-4212	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to enable improvements to some classes of land.
<b>Clapcott, Sarah V</b> Submitter ID: 73723	PC1-5006	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 by proposing an alternative where some classes of land should not be developed further but in other cases land should be allowed to be improved.
<b>Clayton-Greene, Cindy and Warren</b> Submitter ID: 71426	PC1-1218	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 and REPLACE with a new policy that provides flexibility, farm by farm on each property merits.
<b>Clements, Robyn Ethel</b> Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7967	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety OR AMEND to ensure provision is made for method that looks at land use capability to assess land.
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10781	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Coleman, Mark and Ruth</b> Submitter ID: 71424	PC1-7399	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 for sheep and beef farms. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072	PC1-9728	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Policy 6 use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to OVERSEER to be developed AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms AND AMEND to consider land use change as a whole over the country rather than by property or owner AND REMOVE the Māori land exclusion from land use change restrictions.
<b>Crichton, John</b> Submitter ID: 73065	PC1-8373	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for water pollution to be addressed by other methods.
<b>Cronin, G</b> Submitter ID: 74030	PC1-4089	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10230	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Policy 6: Restricting land use change/ Te kaupapa... Except as provided for in Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>Land use changes will generally be approved, where the mitigations proposed in the Farm Environment Plan;</u> <u>a. do not increase the discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial contaminants, and</u> <u>b. can demonstrate there will be no increase in the nitrogen reference point."</u>
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4614	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 OR AMEND so that Policy 6 applies to all equally.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7627	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to give land use change in sub-catchments of high priority for water quality a Restricted Discretionary Activity status and in low priority sub-catchments a Permitted Activity status, AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment, AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10664	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to provide greater direction to decision makers when they are considering applications for a non-complying land use change under Rule 3.11.5.7. ALTERNATIVELY, CONSIDER introducing a Prohibited Activity rule in place of 3.11.5.7 to avoid adverse effects of land use change on water quality.
<b>Dorreen, Ian David and Bronwyn Nugent</b> Submitter ID: 73991	PC1-8502	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-619	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 and REPLACE with a new land use change policy that covers the whole country and applies to all land equally AND DELETE all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-1115	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to take into account the beneficial land use changes that have been and will be undertaken by farmers.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1162	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND CONSIDER replacing with a policy for land use capability through a Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-295	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5391	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10822	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in <del>Policy 16</del> Policies 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted." AND AMEND PPC1 so that land users are able to modify their production systems within present general land uses as a permitted activity, with the proviso that nitrogen discharge does not exceed 15kgN/ha across the production unit as a whole, and that vegetable production is exempt from the general nutrient discharge restrictions.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9788	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in Policy 16...sediment or microbial pathogens <u>to water which will potentially result in deterioration of water quality</u> will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>to water</u> will generally be granted."
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8285	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND AMEND the PPC1 approach to land use change to encourage good farming practice and not make rules.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Findlay, James Thomas</b> Submitter ID: 73509	PC1-9859	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 provide clarification and further definitions of land use change and land use intensification AND AMEND to clarify what would constitute an enduring decrease in existing discharges in land use change applications.
<b>Firthview</b> Submitter ID: 73386	PC1-5923	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5941	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 and all associated rules.
<b>Fong, Dennis</b> Submitter ID: 71758	PC1-9565	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so land use change remains a permitted activity when supported by a Farm Environment Plan, risk analysis and ongoing water testing.
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10473	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 and REPLACE to read: " <u>...Manage the potential for increases in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens by generally only allowing land use change where it would:</u> <u>a. Not result in increased diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens; and/or</u> <u>b. Promote the implementation of Policy 16.</u> "
<b>Fonterra Shareholders Council</b> Submitter ID: 72610	PC1-10638	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to include an additional clause that sets out that it is generally acceptable for land use change where the effects are either neutral or reduce contaminants. AND AMEND Policy 6 so that it does not capture changes occurring as part of normal farming practice, and are otherwise managed by the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Frederikson, Mark Gordon</b> Submitter ID: 73118	PC1-8726	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that farms are assessed individually based on Land Environment Plans and should be allowed to intensify if they have low outputs. AND AMEND to recognise when applying for resource consent for land use change that some farms have already retired significant areas of land.
<b>Fursdon, Sonia</b> Submitter ID: 73999	PC1-9324	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to give more weight to potential economic opportunities associated with diversifying industry and income streams.
<b>Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11431	Oppose the provision	No specific change to Policy 6 requested.
<b>Gaston, Jo and Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71267	PC1-1001	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety and REPLACE with provisions that address the specific issue of intensification of the dairy industry AND AMEND to consider other farming operations on their merits such as a discretionary basis under strict criteria on a sub-catchment basis AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from this submission.
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9086	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety IF NOT DELETED, then AMEND to provide for Farm Environment Plans to consider each individual farm's capabilities.
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3252	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Land use change consent applications ... phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>or no increase above an accepted best practice level</u> will generally be granted."
<b>Gilbert, Ben and Leanne</b> Submitter ID: 73925	PC1-2600	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. AND AMEND PPC1 to remove references to restricting land use change.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6451	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4364	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6, so that high priority water quality sub-catchments are a restricted discretionary activity. AND AMEND so that low priority water quality sub-catchments are a permitted activity. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND so that appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Grainger, Chris and Andrea</b> Submitter ID: 74153	PC1-7099	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND REPLACE with the use of Farm Environment Plans to determine land use capabilities and nutrient allocation. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Graymont (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2839	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 by ADDING at the end of the first paragraph the words: " <u>...Consideration will however be given to the net environmental result of the land use change and the ability of the change to assist in achieving the identified overall water quality standards.</u> " Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted."
<b>Greenplan Holdings Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73893	PC1-2946	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for greater flexibility for landowners. AND AMEND to ensure that positive land use change that helps support and grow rural regions is encouraged. OR AMEND to provide compensation for land owners if Policy 6 is unchanged. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3817	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11454	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 as per Federated Farmers submission [AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in <del>Policy 16</del> Policies 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted." AND AMEND PPC1 so that land users are able to modify their production systems within present general land uses as a permitted activity, with the proviso that nitrogen discharge does not exceed 15kgN/ha across the production unit as a whole, and that vegetable production is exempt from the general nutrient discharge restrictions.]
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10262	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in Policies 5a and 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted."
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5633	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Hansen, Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73151	PC1-10345	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND AMEND PPC1 to gauge land capability through Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham</b> Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-566	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for flexibility of land use.
<b>Harvey, Brett and Amanda</b>	PC1-166	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6; the land use provisions.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71192			
<b>Hathaway, Hayley Masha</b> Submitter ID: 73101	PC1-8145	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought Policy 6.
<b>Hawkes, Irwin Lawrence and Yvonne Jean</b> Submitter ID: 73890	PC1-4947	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for flexibility rather than blanket rules based on existing land uses. AND AMEND to provide exceptions to land use change restrictions, including smaller land areas (below 40 hectares) and where environmental effects are minimal. AND AMEND to ensure the restrictions and assessment of effects are not limited to consideration of nitrogen discharges as modelled by OVERSEER. AND AMEND to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point over a longer period. AND AMEND to provide for the application of rules to be at a low cost with limited bureaucracy.
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10495	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Policy 6.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7731	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4125	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for a restricted discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Hodgson, Andrew David</b> Submitter ID: 74177	PC1-5591	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that if land is bought as dairy land at a dairy farm price but used as a drystock farm then it can still be able to be used or sold as a dairy farm OR the landowners should be compensated.
<b>Hodgson, Gillian Sandra</b> Submitter ID: 74174	PC1-5605	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that if land is bought as dairy land at a dairy farm price but used otherwise then it can still be able to be sold as a dairy farm.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4561	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to state that land use change in high priority sub-catchments is a Restricted Discretionary Activity and in low priority sub-catchments is a Permitted Activity. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. Then enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Homestead Oaks Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73718	PC1-7293	Oppose the provision	No specific decision stated for Policy 6.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-6038	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10057	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in Policy 16, <del>land use change</del> consent applications <u>under Rule 3.11.5.7</u> that demonstrate <u>on the balance</u> an increase in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. <del>Land use change</del> Consent applications that demonstrate <u>on the balance</u> clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted."
<b>Howie and Frael, Jennie and Kelvin</b> Submitter ID: 72897	PC1-8436	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW Policy 6 until the provision has been investigated to ascertain farm financial implications and consider compensation for financial losses.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5873	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 by providing a more tailored approach. AND AMEND by including land use change in the Farm Environment Plans and linked to land classification units and on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Hurley, Peter James</b> Submitter ID: 71391	PC1-1122	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD (Policy 6) policies and rules that manage effects that are based on the natural capital of soils and their productive potential rather than blanket rules based on existing land uses. AND ADD an exception to land use change restrictions for properties (including those below 40ha) where environmental effects are minimal or positive, such as improvements in biodiversity, sediment retention, phosphorus retention, economic efficiency and optimisation of natural resources). AND AMEND so that the restrictions and assessments of effects are not limited to the consideration of nitrogen discharges as modelled by the OVERSEER Model. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point (grandparenting) clauses and standards. AND AMEND so that the implementation of the rules are low cost and not impeded by bureaucracy. AND make and consequential amendments.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6822	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to give high priority water quality sub-catchments a Restricted Discretionary Activity status AND AMEND to give low priority water quality sub-catchments a Permitted Activity status AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Jeffries, Gary and Joy</b> Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7241	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1348	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. AND AMEND so that where a sub-catchment meets attribute targets set in Table 3.11-1 that change in land use should be enabled. AND where attribute targets cannot be met within the catchment ADD a NEW restricted discretionary activity to manage change in land use, whereby discretion is restricted to the mitigation of all four contaminants.
<b>JN &amp; VL Gilbert Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73578	PC1-10336	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND AMEND PPC1 to gauge land capability through the Farm Environment Plan process.
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-6986	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Johnston, Moss and Relda</b> Submitter ID: 72597	PC1-8101	Oppose the provision	DELETE Rule 3.11.5.7. AND AMEND PPC1 to implement the National Water Accord.
<b>Johnston, Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9154	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for land use change to be at the discretion of the landowner.
<b>Johnstone, Roger Kenneth</b> Submitter ID: 73614	PC1-7892	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety. If not deleted, then AMEND to provide for land capability through Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-809	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that resource consent for land use change and farmers with good proposals are looked at more favourably.
<b>Kaihere Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74064	PC1-10025	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith</b> Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7861	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety. If not deleted, then AMEND to provide for Land Use Capability to be used as a method of assessing land use, AND AMEND to provide for a property being sold to a neighbour to be farmed to the same intensity as that owned by purchaser.
<b>Kent and Gilbert, Elliot and Heather</b> Submitter ID: 72891	PC1-6158	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. AND AMEND the provisions to use Farm Environment Plans to gauge land use capability.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1827	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 6 the following: " <del>Except as provided for in Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted.</del> " AND AMEND the second sentence to be more balanced.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-9255	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. If not deleted, then AMEND to allow operations some level of flexibility such as 10% land use change. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lees, Brian</b> Submitter ID: 71298	PC1-3226	Oppose the provision	No relief requested for Policy 6.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5280	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for a restricted discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12257	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to give land use change in sub-catchments of high priority for water quality a restricted discretionary activity status and in low priority sub-catchments a permitted activity status AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Lowry, James</b> Submitter ID: 73955	PC1-2849	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to permit land use changes on land types deemed suitable and sustainable.
<b>Lowry, Karen and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 73133	PC1-7979	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. OR AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to determine land use capabilities which are matched with nutrient rights allocation based on an average/percentile per hectare basis. AND AMEND to adopt a nutrient trading scheme based on an average/percentile per hectare basis regionally.
<b>Lumsden, Malcolm John</b> Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-2486	Oppose the provision	DELETE Rule 3.11.5.7 and REPLACE with a rule for land use change as a discretionary activity. (Policy 6)
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3184	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6, so that high priority water quality sub-catchments are a restricted discretionary activity. AND AMEND so that low priority water quality sub-catchments are a permitted activity. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND so that appropriate mitigation strategies are adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Lindsay Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 71205	PC1-678	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>MacLachlan, Ian Gibson and Susan Molly</b>	PC1-313	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71243			
<b>MacLachlan, Lin and Adrienne</b> Submitter ID: 73074	PC1-3719	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8033	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so that land use is governed within the sub-catchment based on land use capability and natural capital AND AMEND PPC1 so that if it fits within the parameters of the sub-catchments targets/goals then land use change should be consentable.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 1)</b> Submitter ID: 72604	PC1-2921	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 2)</b> Submitter ID: 72598	PC1-2874	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 3)</b> Submitter ID: 72602	PC1-2909	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 4)</b> Submitter ID: 72600	PC1-2895	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 5)</b> Submitter ID: 72606	PC1-2792	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 6)</b> Submitter ID: 72590	PC1-2932	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Main, Richard and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73927	PC1-3487	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4997	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to create a Restricted Discretionary activity status for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse charges.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9196	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>
<b>Martyn, Anna Katrina</b> Submitter ID: 72928	PC1-4158	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to investigate the financial implications for each farm AND CONSIDER compensation.
<b>Masters, Stuart Bruce, Melvah Joy and Brendon James</b> Submitter ID: 72445	PC1-3848	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to ensure that Farm Environment Plans are a 'living' document and are able to be changed by consent and negotiation.
<b>Matahuru Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73768	PC1-7002	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the blanket land use change restrictions of Policy 6 AND AMEND to include restricting land use change as an option in tailored sub-catchment plans when agreed by the relevant community, committee and council AND AMEND so that a resource consent may be required for some areas (e.g. where cropping or dairy conversion is being considered).



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3494	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the first sentence of Policy 6 and re-draft the remaining sentence to improve clarity and interpretation.
<b>Matira Sub Catchment Group</b> Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9309	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11735	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>McClunie, Joseph and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-64	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. If not deleted, then AMEND to create a level playing field all where all farmers are included in PPC1.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10931	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow land use change where the proposed farming system is on suitable land and within acceptable contaminant levels for that industry AND AMEND to give lower discharge farming systems security and flexibility in planning for the future.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11884	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Meads, Glynn Colin and Joanne Leigh</b> Submitter ID: 73392	PC1-6340	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Meier, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-9484	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to ensure that all land use changes are permitted activities unless the land use change sits outside of the 75th percentile for Nitrogen Reference Points AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans are the regulatory tool which enables (or not) land use change.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9538	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Miller, Alexander Dane</b> Submitter ID: 71212	PC1-752	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to have a date that fits with the farming calendar, maybe mid-December.
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8820	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6859	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to supports land use change being a restricted discretionary activity for high priority water quality sub-catchments and a permitted activity for low priority water quality sub-catchments. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2030	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to that high priority water quality sub-catchments have a Restricted Discretionary activity status. And low priority water quality sub-catchments have a Permitted activity status. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. Then enable and adopt appropriate mitigation strategies in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Murchie, Trevor Samuel</b> Submitter ID: 72750	PC1-9990	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 OR AMEND to ensure land is assessed on an individual basis for capabilities and included in the Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9017	Oppose the provision	DELETE entirely Policy 6 AND AMEND PPC1 to assess land capability through individual Farm Environment Plans rather than a one size fits all approach.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2568	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 so that provisions are made for low emitters surrounded by high emitters.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8762	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to include consideration of the amount of the proposed land use change discharges compared to existing discharges in the sub-catchment and consideration of the level of water quality improvement needed in the sub-catchment AND AMEND to include a base allowable discharge for the sub-catchment based on total discharges in the catchment and the level of improvement needed to meet short and long term targets.
<b>New Zealand Forest Owners Association Inc</b> Submitter ID: 73524	PC1-9957	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4618	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 6 consent path for approval of land use applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11582	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>
<b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11834	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3930	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to support land use change being a restricted discretionary activity for high priority water quality sub-catchments and a permitted activity for low priority sub-catchments. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Oatway, Hugh Robert</b> Submitter ID: 72758	PC1-6529	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6404	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. AND AMEND Policy 2 to incorporate a requirement for new farming activities to adopt Best Practicable Options from the outset.
<b>Oliver, Richard Douglas</b> Submitter ID: 71343	PC1-910	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety. AND AMEND to adopt a Land Use Capability approach. AND RETAIN Farm Environment Plans
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7307	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to govern land use change within the sub-catchment on the basis of Land Use Capability and natural capital AND AMEND to allow consents for land use change where it fits within the parameters of a sub-catchment's targets AND AMEND to allow sub-catchment governance to consider new mitigation technologies, systems and crops.
<b>Onewhero Tuakau Community Board</b> Submitter ID: 73704	PC1-2728	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that land users are able to modify their present land use as a permitted activity, providing there is a farm plan that shows adequate mitigation is in place to reduce any increase in contaminants.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9394	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to include consideration of the numerical values of the proposed discharges (from the land use change) compared to existing discharges in the sub-catchment AND AMEND to provide consideration to the level of water quality improvement needed in the sub-catchment AND AMEND to provide a base allowable discharge for the sub-catchment, based on total discharges in the catchment and the level of water quality improvement needed to meet the short term and 80 year targets.
<b>Osborne, Gary</b> Submitter ID: 74034	PC1-4078	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the need to apply for consents to change land use. Policy 6.
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-6000	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 so that nitrogen loss is subject to Best Practicable Option analysis or include emphasis on the stocking rate as the highest determinant of modelled nitrogen loss.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6572	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety. OR AMEND to re-notify PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND to re-notify only when there is a better, more flexible system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5315	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.
<b>Peacocke, Matthew Anthony</b> Submitter ID: 73058	PC1-1904	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that all farm land has the same ability to change land use provided the environmental impacts can be effectively managed. AND AMEND Rule 3.11.5.7 from a non-complying activity to a discretionary activity.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn</b> Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-1035	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Pepper, Matt</b> Submitter ID: 73284	PC1-9657	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to clarify that normal land use changes within a farming system are not restricted.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4190	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for a restricted discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Peterson and Carswell, Lance Colin and Sarah</b> Submitter ID: 73899	PC1-5111	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so land use change should be included in the Farm Environment Plans and land classification on a sub-catchment basis. AND AMEND Policy 6 to a policy that covers the whole of Waikato and Waipā River catchments and applies to all land equally. AND AMEND PPC1 to be tailored to be each farm, as all are run in a different way.
<b>Phillips, Neal</b> Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-595	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to delete the suggestion to convert to forestry.
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6593	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to enable a 10 to 20% land use change for a business without a consent. AND AMEND Policy 6 to ensure businesses are monitored individually to allow a little flexibility while meeting the maximum discharge allowance.
<b>Pinnell, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74007	PC1-4396	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND (Policy 6) Policy 3 and Rule 3.11.5.5(f) and (g) so that the area of commercial vegetable production for those species that are only grown for the domestic market is not capped. AND AMEND Rule 3.11.5.7 to shorten the sunset date to 1 July 2021 AND AMEND to clarify that the last sentence under the Notification heading that it applies to resource consent conditions only AND AMEND to clarify that off-site mitigation in the same sub-catchment is permissible to off-set the assessed increase in contaminant discharge from land use intensification.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12009	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11685	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Potter, Arthur Graham</b> Submitter ID: 71236	PC1-465	Oppose the provision	No particular decision sought for Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6290	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6 as proposed.
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11152	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7788	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to enable flexibility in land use change for commercial vegetable production systems.
<b>Pukerimu Farms Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4799	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Pukeroa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73789	PC1-6921	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that Waikato Regional Council works with all farms individually on an open book basis AND AMEND PPC1 to provide the correct information to farms AND CONSIDER if not allowing stock numbers to increase is legal and identify any political repercussions AND CONSIDER a review of land restrictions.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-5910	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 timeframes for stock exclusion. AND CONSIDER the health and safety impact of overhead wires needed to supply electricity to fences.
<b>Purdie, Robert James</b> Submitter ID: 74133	PC1-10034	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to focus on restricting stocking rates that suit the land type and terrain AND AMEND to include restrictions on the use of fertilisers especially nitrogen.
<b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6946	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Ratray, Earl Steven</b> Submitter ID: 73528	PC1-6958	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read as follows: " <u>Land use changes will be permitted between dairy and drystock and back to dairy again where the change is made by the same farming entity on the same land area and where diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment or microbial pathogens will be no greater than the reference point when the property was last farmed as a dairy farm.</u> "
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10553	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <u>generally</u> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10107	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 as follows: "Except as provided for in Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>which will potentially result in deterioration of water quality</u> will general not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring decreases</del> <u>an increase</u> in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>which does not result in deterioration of water quality, or an overall decrease</u> will generally be granted."
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9982	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8531	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "...microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. <del>Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted.</del> "
<b>RF &amp; CL Lansdaal Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73549	PC1-8411	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for more area in vegetable production as population increases.
<b>Riverheads Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72599	PC1-3151	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 OR REPLACE Policy 6 with the provisions of the National Water Accord.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7168	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to state high-priority sub-catchments in relation to water quality are a restricted discretionary activity, or permitted activity if in a low priority catchment AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Rotorua Lakes Council</b> Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2504	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 so the first sentence reads as: " <u>Except as provided for in Policies X, 10, 11, 12 and 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens, will generally not be granted.</u> " AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Rushala Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73387	PC1-5915	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 6.
<b>Rutherford and McMullin, Selina and Hamish</b> Submitter ID: 73611	PC1-7737	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2259	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Saxton, David Christopher</b> Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3351	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND REPLACE with provisions that make the Farm Environment Plan the mechanism that drives water quality improvements. The focus of the Farm Environment Plan should be on environmental outcomes.
<b>Shabor Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1108	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 6 provisions that restrict land use change in its entirety. AND CONSIDER Land Use Capability or natural capital approach as a method of assessing land use.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2617	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that land use change in high priority sub-catchments is a Restricted Discretionary Activity AND AMEND Policy 6 so that land use change in a low priority sub-catchment is a Permitted Activity AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach in PPC1 to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5344	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10376	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5625	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to use a more tailored approach, AND AMEND to include land use change in Farm Environment Plans linked to land classification units and on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Sieling Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5464	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for a like for like clause, where a landowner can replace for instance an existing forestry lot with a similar sized one somewhere else and return the original forestry area to pasture.
<b>Simpson, Greg John</b> Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5553	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow for land use change and for landowners to increase production and profitability per hectare AND AMEND to provide for irrigation for growth, improved crops and higher pasture yields.
<b>Simpson, Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9894	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to allow more flexibility around changing land use.
<b>Sinclair, Andrew and Louise</b> Submitter ID: 74047	PC1-4747	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Sinclair Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 72029	PC1-6800	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1254	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to change the activity status of land use change from non-complying activity to a permitted activity. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Smyth, Mark Stewart Jonas</b> Submitter ID: 71410	PC1-1189	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11102	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in Policy 16, <u>Policy [X1]</u> , land use change consent applications..." AND ADD a NEW policy that reads: <u>"Policy [X1] - Flexibility for land use change</u> <u>Land use change consent applications for activities that will not result in an increased diffuse discharge or nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens across a property or enterprise will generally be granted, taking into account:</u> <u>i. Commitment to the implementation of Best Management Practice actions for nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens for the proposed land use, including through the use of Farm Environment Plans for each property or enterprise;</u> <u>ii. The creation of positive economic, social and cultural benefits for the Waikato Region."</u>
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4042	Oppose the provision	DELETE the first sentence of Policy 6. AND AMEND the remaining sentence to clarify what is meant by '...demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.'
<b>Spectrum Dairies Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73958	PC1-2762	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 6: AMEND PPC1 to provide greater clarity for farms and more objectivity regarding land use change AND AMEND Policy 6 to reconsider the economic outfall and value loss from people leaving farming AND AMEND to include logical solutions that have positive economic and environmental effects AND undertake wider consultation, on a farm by farm basis.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5110	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>ST Growers Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 67421	PC1-1280	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to change non-complying activity into a restricted discretionary activity where the land use change can show decreases in discharges when compared to the current activity.
<b>Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig</b> Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-5147	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for more discretion through management of nutrients via the farm plan rather than through the need for additional consents.
<b>Stokes, Barbara Mary</b> Submitter ID: 73805	PC1-2434	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety. It would be more appropriate to gauge land capability based on accurate information. AND any relevant points within the plan.
<b>Stokes, Kelvin Arnold</b> Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5268	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so rules affect all landowners not just those in Priority 1 to Priority 3.
<b>Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4136	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 by gradually introducing the rule and it should not be a rule that only selected people are affected by.
<b>Strang and Strang Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5566	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7049	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to provide a restricted discretionary activity for operations that can demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>T.A. Reynolds Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71446	PC1-7124	Oppose the provision	No specific decision stated for Policy 6.
<b>Taniwha Estate Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6515	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ: AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Policy 6: Restricting land use change/ Te kaupapa... Except as provided for in Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>Land use changes will generally be approved, where the mitigations proposed in the Farm Environment Plan;</u> <u>a. do not increase the discharges of phosphorus, sediment and microbial contaminants, and</u> <u>b. can demonstrate there will be no increase in the nitrogen reference point."</u> ] [Fonterra: REMOVE Policy 6 and REPLACE to read: "... <u>Manage the potential for increases in diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens by generally only allowing land use change where it would:</u> <u>a. Not result in increased diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens; and/or</u> <u>b. Promote the implementation of Policy 16."</u> ]
<b>Tapp, Kevin</b> Submitter ID: 73435	PC1-7472	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the exceptions to Māori Owned Land from PPC1.
<b>Taylor, Ian McNicol</b> Submitter ID: 73406	PC1-7584	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to adopt a more flexible approach to these future uncertainties.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11783	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the <u>four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11971	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the <u>four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11632	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the <u>four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Te Mata Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-4418	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Te Miro Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 72893	PC1-6902	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12243	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the <u>four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12059	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the <u>four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7848	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the <u>four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8258	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: " <del>Except as provided for in Policy 16,</del> Land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will <del>generally not be granted</del> <u>only be [granted] in exceptional circumstances.</u> Land use change consent applications that <del>demonstrate clear and enduring</del> <u>provide for</u> decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>that will ensure that the water quality targets set out in Table [3].11-1</u> will generally be granted."
<b>The Surveying Company Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73408	PC1-5713	Oppose the provision	CLARIFY in Policy 6 whether the statement 'land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally not be granted' is lawful.
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5086	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.
<b>Tierney, Colm and Gaynor</b> Submitter ID: 73091	PC1-7710	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3318	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>TIM Nominees</b> Submitter ID: 73964	PC1-8441	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association</b> Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7094	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to cancel the Certificate of Compliance. AND AMEND Policy 6 to treat everyone the same.
<b>Townshend, Brenna</b> Submitter ID: 73791	PC1-5169	Oppose the provision	No specific decision was requested for Policy 6.
<b>Treweek, Glen</b> Submitter ID: 72747	PC1-5778	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Policy 6: Restricting land use change/translation <u>With regard to the sensitivity of the receiving water body to each of the diffuse contaminants, nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens, and except</u> <del>Except</del> as provided in Policy 16... Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>Land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in a particular diffuse contaminant (nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens), will generally be granted if the receiving water body has capacity to accept that contaminant, or if the proposed land use change application is for a low-level contaminant discharge activity and substantial headroom has been created by reductions made by moderate to high discharge contaminant activities in the same sub-catchment or catchment.</u> "
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9767	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND REPLACE it with " <u>Land use change will be a Permitted Activity subject to Farm Environment Plans that can demonstrate the environment is not negatively impacted by this change.</u> "
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3953	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 by redrafting it into two separate policies and offer more clarity and guidance for the basis on which an application for consent might be declined or approved.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Tucker, Geoff and Kara</b> Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2749	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12181	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10297	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6786	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to support land use change being a restricted discretionary activity for high priority water quality sub-catchments and a permitted activity for low priority water quality sub-catchments. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Verkerk, Gwyneth</b> Submitter ID: 60476	PC1-1281	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to allow greater flexibility for horticulture producers to utilise small parcels of land across the region within their farm rotation systems, provided their purpose is for fruit and vegetable production for the New Zealand market.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3797	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to ensure decisions are based on land use suitability and sub-catchment contaminant levels, ownership should not be relevant. AND DELETE reference to Policy 16.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12120	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11959	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants."</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waiawa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5811	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Waikato and Waipa Branches of the New Zealand Deer Farmers Association</b> Submitter ID: 74008	PC1-9473	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to enable land use activities, including changes in land use, where increases in contaminant discharges still enable sub-catchment outcomes for water quality to be met AND AMEND to ensure changes in land use occur within the sustainable level for a sub-catchment AND ENSURE the degree to which land use is optimised in relation to the natural capital of soils and sub-catchment water quality 80 year attributes targets is taken into account AND AMEND to provide for increases in nitrogen discharge where land use change will result in overall improvement in sustainable management and decreases in soil loss, phosphorus loss, management of microbial pathogens and enhancement of biodiversity.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3315	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in... that demonstrate a <u>sustained</u> increase in the... microbial pathogens will <del>generally</del> not be granted. Land use change consent... that demonstrate <del>clear and enduring</del> <u>identified and sustained</u> decreases in existing... or microbial pathogens will generally be granted. <u>For the purpose of Policy 6 'sustained' means an identified long-term decrease in the discharge of one or more of the four contaminants while allowing for low frequency, short duration and temporary fluctuations - caused by natural variability and seasonal/cyclical natural processes - in one or more of the four contaminants.</u> "
<b>Waikato Dairy Leaders Group</b> Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-11011	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 6 guidance for Non-Complying consent applications to increase discharges leaving a property.
<b>Waikato Focus on Peat Group</b> Submitter ID: 72148	PC1-5519	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to determine land use by the attributes of the land.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3005	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: "Except as provided for in Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>compared with what was occurring at 22 October 2016</u> , will generally not be granted. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in <del>existing</del> diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <u>compared with what was occurring at 22 October 2016</u> , will generally be granted."
<b>Waipa District Council</b> Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3160	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 so that the first sentence reads as follows: "Except as provided for in <del>Policy</del> <u>Policies 10, 11, 12 and 16</u> , land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens, will generally not be granted."
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11346	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 to read: <u>a. Except as provided for in Policy 16...</u> <u>b. Land use change consent applications that demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges or nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens how the freshwater objectives in Objective 3 and Table 3.11 -1 can be achieved</u> will generally be granted. <u>c. Sub-catchment land use change consent applications will generally be granted where:</u> <u>i. It is made by an enterprise for properties in a sub-catchment following a collaborative process to seek participation from all stakeholders with an interest in the land area in any sub-catchment.</u> <u>ii. It is supported by an adaptive management and mitigation approach for the sub-catchment which determines the suitability of the land for development including the risk of contaminant discharges from that land and the sensitivity of</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>the receiving water bodies.</u> <u>iii. The enterprise has prepared a Sub-catchment Management Plan in accordance with the criteria set out in [new] Schedule 2 of Chapter 3.11 with actions which demonstrate how the enterprise will achieve Objective 3 and specifically the Table 3.11-1 freshwater objectives."</u>
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2111	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 6.
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2268	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6/PPC1 to provide for a restricted discretionary activity for operations capable of demonstrating clear and enduring decreases in existing diffuse discharges.
<b>Waitomo Catchment Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73124	PC1-7950	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND AMEND to provide for land use change in Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to ensure that low dischargers can increase production to offset rising costs AND AMEND to ensure that properties where mitigation is already in place are taken into account when applying for resource consent.
<b>Waitomo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10317	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 so that the relationship between Policy and Rule 3.11.5.7 is clear AND AMEND Policy 6 (and other policies as required) so as to clearly differentiate between rural and urban land use and diffuse and point source discharges AND AMEND to address the policy disconnect between Policies 10 to 12 and Policy 6 [Policies 10 to 12 assume that certain discharges can have an adverse effect to a point and may increase, but Policy 6 does not make the same allowance].
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6725	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to give high priority water quality sub-catchments a Restricted Discretionary Activity status AND AMEND to give low priority water quality sub-catchments a Permitted Activity status AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8351	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 paragraph 1 to read: "Except as provided for in <u>Policy 1 and 2 (for low level discharges)</u> and Policy 16, land use change consent applications that demonstrate an increase in the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens <u>above the sustainable property level</u> will generally not be granted." AND AMEND paragraph 2 to refer to the general granting of consents to only apply where the discharges are and will be below the sustainable property level for the sub-catchment target.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7282	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 6 so each property has its own Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick</b> Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-1213	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to apply to all land equally.
<b>Waterworth, Jenefer Fay</b> Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-1540	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 so all land is treated the same.
<b>Waterworth, Lewis Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-1508	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND AMEND to a land use change policy that covers the whole of Waikato and Waipā River catchments and applies to all land equally.
<b>White Pine Dairies Ltd</b>	PC1-11033	Oppose the provision	No specific relief sought for Policy 6.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73277			
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6904	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 6 to support land use change being a restricted discretionary activity for high priority water quality sub-catchments and a permitted activity for low priority water quality sub-catchments. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wildman, Anna Mary</b> Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3893	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 AND REPLACE with provisions for land use changes to be controlled and managed through a Farm Environment Plan to ensure best practice in mitigating contaminant loss and other factors affecting waterways. AND AMEND so that the consent process is not just subject to whether the Nitrogen Reference Point will increase as nitrate losses. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with the use of Farm Environment Plans as the primary tool to manage nutrient losses in line with the sub-catchment approach. Nitrogen Reference Points should be used to support the Farm Environment Plans and farms with high emission should still be required to reduce their nutrient losses. AND more work should be done on addressing contaminant loss through a sub-catchment approach including increased use of technology and water monitoring to support the identification of sub-catchment issues.
<b>Williams, Ian David</b> Submitter ID: 71432	PC1-763	Oppose the provision	DELETE Rule 3.11.5.7 (Policy 6) AND REPLACE Rule 3.11.5.7 with a rule that enables land use change to occur with reference to established sub-catchment limits. AND ADD a provision to make Land-use change for farming activities with contaminant losses below the catchment limit a permitted activity so long as contaminant losses do not exceed the sub-catchment limit. AND ADD a provision to make Land-use changes for farming activities with contaminant losses above the sub-catchment limit a consented activity.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-777	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Policy 6 the exception, as provided for by reference to Policy 16.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4221	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to provide a Restricted Discretionary activity status for high priority sub-catchments and a Permitted activity status for low priority sub-catchments (in relation to water quality) AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment AND AMEND by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-906	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6 restricting land use change provisions.
<b>Wright, Nathan John</b> Submitter ID: 72624	PC1-3084	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 6.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11533	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to give land use change in sub-catchments of high priority for water quality a restricted discretionary activity status and in low priority sub-catchments a permitted activity status AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment, and enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.

## Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future/Te Kaupapa Here 7: Kia takatū ki ngā tohanga hei ngā tau e heke mai ana

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Alcock, Carl and Jo</b> Submitter ID: 73376	PC1-2181	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by removing the Nitrogen Reference Point and use of the OVERSEER Model AND AMEND by adopting a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants relevant to each sub-catchment AND AMEND by removing a blanket restriction of one nutrient that may not even be relevant for that sub-catchment AND AMEND by using Farm Environment Plans to determine what is best for each farm and science to determine what contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and Overseer from all other areas in PPC1 AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Alcock and Easton, Jo and John</b> Submitter ID: 73374	PC1-9228	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point in their entirety AND DELETE provisions relating to the OVERSEER Model in their entirety AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each sub-catchment AND AMEND to ensure there is no blanket restriction of one nutrient that may not even be relevant for a sub-catchment AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm AND AMEND to use science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4515	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure mitigation put in place under PPC1 will not be undermined by future plan changes.
<b>Anderson, Jack L and Ann A</b> Submitter ID: 73085	PC1-4250	Oppose the provision	DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point. Policy 7 AND AMEND to deal with on farm problems. AND AMEND to include a plan of what there would be in 25 to 80 years.
<b>Anselmi, Denzil Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72614	PC1-6550	Not stated	DELETE sub-clause b) of Policy 7
<b>Aston, Lucy</b> Submitter ID: 73020	PC1-7127	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point requirements from PPC1. AND, if the Nitrogen Reference Point requirements are not deleted, AMEND PPC1 so that the OVERSEER Model is not solely relied on but is used as part of a range of measurement tools AND AMEND PPC1 so that where Overseer is used that the Best Management Practices are applied including input standards and protocols, applying actual farm specific information and reducing use of standardised input parameters AND REMOVE the requirement for operations at or under 18 stock units, and sheep and beef farmers to have to manage to a Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm and water body AND AMEND PPC1 so that where nitrogen discharges from a property have to be allocated then the allocation system is based on the natural capital of soils and the water quality outcomes that are to be achieved for each sub-catchment, not on the 2014/15 or 2015/16 land use or grandparenting approach AND AMEND PPC1 so that Farm Environment Plans are used to determine what would work best on each farm.
<b>Aston, Penelope</b>	PC1-5363	Oppose the provision	Policy 7: DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73811			OR AMEND to ensure that the OVERSEER Model is not solely relied on but is part of a range of measurement tools. AND AMEND to ensure where Overseer is used that Best Management Practices are applied, including input standards and protocols, applying actual farm specific information and reducing use of standardised input parameters. AND REMOVE the requirement for extensive operations (at or under 18 stock units) and sheep and beef farmers to have to manage to a Nitrogen Reference Point through these provisions including rules as losses are low. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm, not a blanket restriction of one particular nutrient that may not even be relevant to the water bodies in that sub-catchment. AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm and science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment.
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4314	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to include the 'polluter pays' principle.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6135	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 as follows: " <del>Prepare for future diffuse discharge reduction and any future property or enterprise level allocation of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, Collect information and undertake research for the purposes of developing future regional plan changes to support this including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'. Any future land allocation should consider the following principles:</del> a. Land suitability which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e. where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation)" and b. Allowance for flexibility of development of tangata whenua ancestral land; and c. Minimise social disruption and costs in the transition to the 'land suitability' approach; and d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge."
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10880	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to define and include the schedule in Appendix 1 of this submission which shows the allocation status of nutrient loads in sub-catchments AND AMEND to ensure that farming activities comply with a nitrogen leaching rate which is based on allocating the total allowable load of nitrogen for the sub-catchment on the basis of either a flat per hectare allocation of nitrogen leaching (~15khN/ha/yr), or a nitrogen leaching allowance per hectare based on an allocation defined by natural carrying capacity AND AMEND to enable users undertaking farming activities to manage discharges allocated on a sub-catchment level to make further reductions in the future, including provision for trading of contaminant loss rates in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Awaroa Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73627	PC1-11042	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Nitrogen Reference Point and use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1. AND AMEND so that each farm can review contaminant issues. AND AMEND so that natural capital - not specific years or grandparenting, but can be used as a reference point of higher and best use for sustainable production.
<b>Babington, Cliff and Leonie</b> Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9454	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to enable farm use change while providing for environmental mitigation and financial stability AND REMOVE reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND so that all landowners have the same Nitrogen Reference Point, which is the same level that high discharges cannot exceed.
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6642	Oppose the provision	DELETE the long term land use provisions of Policy 7. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Policy 7. AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there is a clear indication of what land use is required on farms.
<b>Bailey, James</b> Submitter ID: 73926	PC1-9021	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to replace the 'staged' approach with an 'Adaptive Management' approach to managing nitrogen and all contaminants AND AMEND PPC1 to recognise Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital as the basis of nitrogen management AND AMEND to enable transition toward the Vision and Strategy with Land Use Suitability as a starting point and using Adaptive Management as our understanding develops, reviewing and adapting through subsequent plan changes. AND DELETE the Policy 7 requirement to manage property level discharges to a Nitrogen Reference Point based on historic profiles AND AMEND PPC1 to apply Land Use Suitability and Natural Capital now by including allocation based on the Natural Capital of soils through a Land Use Capability approach AND AMEND to provide a flexibility cap for low leaching farm systems below a certain threshold (20kg/N/ha/yr) that is deemed as a sustainable level for the transition period, with farmers with a Nitrogen Reference Point below this enabled to increase up to this point.
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6878	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to DELETE the reference to the need for any future allocation to consider the principles set out in (a) to (d) OR AMEND to read: " <u>From 1 July 2026, Any</u> any future allocation should consider..." AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4472	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN reductions in diffuse discharges while considering land suitability in Policy 7 AND enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt mitigation appropriate to the level of water quality gains AND AMEND to ensure that sub-catchment management approaches are developed through collaborative stakeholder engagement.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11411	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7 preparing for allocation <del>in the future...</del> During <u>Stage 1</u> , work collaboratively with relevant stakeholders to develop a sub-catchment management approach to <u>manage</u> diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <del>that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter.</del> To assist this process, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, <del>developing</del> developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and <del>researching</del> the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability' <u>for allocation.</u> <del>Any future a</del> Allocation should consider the following principles:"
<b>Barker, Christopher Ferguson</b> Submitter ID: 73075	PC1-3749	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7(b) to prevent extreme high diffuse discharge.
<b>Bartholomew and Tulloch, Flora Beryl and David John</b> Submitter ID: 73083	PC1-4326	Oppose the provision	REMOVE PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11491	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 7 to make it a Method. AND AMEND Policy/Method 7 as follows:  <u>“Natural Resource Preparing for Allocation in the future Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise level allocation of diffuse discharges of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the Policies and Methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining ‘land suitability’.</u>  <u>Work with stakeholders to determine sub-catchment specific allocation of natural resources including the assimilative capacity of freshwater. The allocation approaches should apply;</u>  <u>Any future allocation should consider the following principles:</u>                      a. Land suitability which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e. where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation); and                      b. Allowance for flexibility of development of Tangata Whenua ancestral land; and                      c. Minimise social disruption and costs in the transition to the ‘land suitability’ approach; and                      d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge.”                      AND ADD the allocation principles in Appendix 1 of the submission and those set out in the general Section of the submission.                      AND ADD new clauses to take into account the degree to which land use is optimised to the natural capital of soils and assimilative capacity of water.                      AND AMEND to adopt submissions set out in relation to the management and allocation of Nitrogen.</p>
<b>Begbie, Ruthana Okeroa</b> Submitter ID: 74005	PC1-8063	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 7.
<b>Black Jack Farms</b> Submitter ID: 72028	PC1-8026	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7.
<b>Briggs, Graham John</b> Submitter ID: 73938	PC1-3176	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 by providing a fair limit of 30 kilograms per hectare, per year for all farm types and closely monitor. For those who farm above incur costs and provide possible awards for low end emitters. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Briggs, Robin John</b> Submitter ID: 73920	PC1-4961	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to a nitrogen cap set at 30kg/N/ha for all farms. Farms higher than 30kg/ha pay a fee for this right. AND AMEND so that farms under 30kg/N/ha nitrogen cap have minimum restrictions imposed on them. AND AMEND so that farm under 30kg/N/ha are to fence main water ways (lakes, rivers) only. AND AMEND so that farm under 30kg/N/ha have an Environmental Farm Plan to back this up. AND AMEND to a more reliable tool of measuring nitrogen than OVERSEER. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Brodie, Philip Donald</b> Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2857	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7a to include in the allocation criteria/principle the owners management skills and ability.
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b>	PC1-84	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71174			AMEND Policy 7 to include rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Brown, Tracy Lee</b> Submitter ID: 72955	PC1-2177	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 7: Ensure that the right information is gathered for use in the next plan review to achieve the Vision and Strategy.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6346	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND ensure that Waikato Regional Council should work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Carter, Michael and Jackie, Matthew and Amy</b> Submitter ID: 73372	PC1-6553	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the reference to the Nitrogen Reference Point system and the use of OVERSEER Model AND REPLACE with a farm stocking policy based on Land Use Capability classes, which would be assessed during development of the Farm Environmental Plan, particularly around problem waterways.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10223	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 future allocation principles to include the polluter pays concept.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10685	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept in the future allocation principles.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10728	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 future allocation principles to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10707	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 future allocation principles to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7754	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE Policy 7 with the following: " <u>Gather information and undertake scientific research about discharges and contaminant loads in the Waikato and Waipā catchments to support future policy making which will most effectively and efficiently achieve reductions in nitrogen, phosphorus sediment and E.coli beyond those identified in Objective 3.</u> "
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5562	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the principles in Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<b>Christian and Anderson, Ashley John and Frances Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73064	PC1-4756	Oppose the provision with amendments	Policy 7: REMOVE the use of OVERSEER Model to derive the Nitrogen Reference Point AND AMEND to invest in a purpose built model to deliver information on properties and management practices and to enable a base/reference point to be established. AND AMEND so nitrogen usage is allocated on an individual property capacity (as denoted in the Farm Environment Plan which would take into account soil type, climatic conditions, etc). AND AMEND so the sub-catchment approach focuses on contaminants that are pertinent to individual farms (as denoted in the Farm Environment Plan). AND AMEND so nutrient management methods are not based on grandparenting. Use science to regulate appropriate usage.
<b>Clayton-Greene, Cindy and Warren</b> Submitter ID: 71426	PC1-1220	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 7 the requirement for a farm to manage and not exceed the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Clements, Robyn Ethel</b> Submitter ID: 73097	PC1-7743	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety. OR AMEND Policy 7 by substituting to individual Farm Environment Plans instead of the OVERSEER Model to determine land use capability and individual farm base discharge allowance.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND to provide Nitrogen Reference Point grant credits to farms where evidence farming practices have been environmentally active to reduce use of nitrogen and other potential contaminants.</p> <p>AND AMEND Nitrogen Reference Points to recognise historic lower use of Nitrogen by beef and sheep farms to dairy and allow prescribed favourable variation for that farm type.</p> <p>AND any consequential amendments.</p> <p>DELETE Policy 7.</p> <p>OR AMEND to use methods that look at land use capability to assess land use.</p>
<p><b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026</p>	PC1-10782	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE Policy 7 and REPLACE with:  <u>“Collect information and undertake research about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and research the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining ‘land suitability’.</u>  <u>Any future regulation should consider the following principles:</u>  <u>a. Land suitability which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e. where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation);</u>  <u>b. Future regulation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge.”</u></p>
<p><b>Coleman, Mark and Ruth</b> Submitter ID: 71424</p>	PC1-7420	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE Policy 7.</p> <p>AND REMOVE sheep and beef farms from Nitrogen Reference Point provisions</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
<p><b>Craig, Jeffery</b> Submitter ID: 73072</p>	PC1-9729	Oppose the provision	<p>REMOVE the Policy 7 use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues</p> <p>AND AMEND to extend the timeframe to enable alternative programmes to Overseer to be developed</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure an even playing field for contaminant discharges irrespective of past levels</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide clarity on how discharge levels and reductions will work in practical terms</p> <p>AND AMEND to consider land use change as a whole over the country rather than by property or owner</p> <p>AND REMOVE the Māori land exclusion from land use change restrictions</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide a reliable and easy to use measurement basis for each contaminant and for each property</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for Council to remove carp.</p>
<p><b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050</p>	PC1-10229	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN provisions of PPC1 that are focused on information and processes needed for plan reviews AND AMEND to ensure there is a focus on the course of action to fill information gaps before the review of PPC1.</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 7 to read:  <u>“Identify and fill information gaps to Pprepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise-level allocation limits of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will may be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, research will be undertaken in partnership with technical and industry organisations, in a manner that allows people and communities to understand the social, environmental, cultural and economic implications of the current plan, and engage in debate about any future limits. collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining ‘land suitability”</u>                      AND DELETE Policy 7 (a – d).</p>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4653	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7632	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7 reduction of diffuse discharges while considering land suitability. AMEND PPC1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans, and require the Council to work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment management approaches.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10667	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 so that the criteria for identifying land suitability is used now to implement a land based allocation regime that achieves the purpose of the RMA by ensuring that the potential of natural and physical resources is sustained to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations. AND AMEND to read: "...the policies and methods in this chapter. <del>To ensure this occurs, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'.</del> <del>Any future allocation should consider the following principles:"</del> AND DELETE Bullet points (a), (b), (c) and (d) [NOTE that the submitter may have mistakenly requested that all of this be deleted from Policy 7, given that they also asked for Policy 7(b) and (d) to be amended]. Consequential relief is that the deleted text should be included as a method under section 3.11.4 [see submission point 10633]. AND AMEND clause (b) to clarify what is meant by flexibility of development of tangata whenua ancestral land and how this part of the policy will be achieved. AND AMEND clause (d) to include the term 'where appropriate' or wording with similar effect to ensure that new data and knowledge is used where it is relevant, reliable and accurate for the particular allocation being considered.
<b>Dixon, Grant</b> Submitter ID: 73980	PC1-7739	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 so the Farm Environment Plan provides the reference point for nitrogen efficiency for each enterprise. AND AMEND PPC1 so that an industry standard, forms the base measurement for increasing efficiency.
<b>Dunlop, Tania</b> Submitter ID: 71249	PC1-636	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 and REPLACE with a new land use change policy that covers the whole country and applies to all land equally AND REPLACE the Nitrogen Reference Point with a reliable easy to use measurement for each contaminant and each property AND Council to develop a plan to get rid of carp AND DELETE all references to the Nitrogen Reference Point and consider individual farm issues AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model and REPLACE with a program that is easy to use, land owners can set up and works AND AMEND to extend the time frame to allow more Council programmes to be developed.
<b>Edmonds, Suzanne Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71085	PC1-633	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to have a shorter timeframe.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-285	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety OR AMEND PPC1 and re-notify once there are clear indications of what land use is required on farms. Nitrogen Reference Point DELETE Nitrogen Reference Point provisions in its entirety Contaminant Loss from Farm AMEND to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5392	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10823	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future/Te Kaupapa Here 7: Kia takatū ki ngā tohanga hei ngā tau e E Teuteu ki he te heke mai Ana Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise level allocation of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will <u>may</u> be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'. Any future allocation should consider the following principles: a. Land suitability which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e. where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation); and b. Allowance for flexibility of development of tangata whenua ancestral land; and c. Minimise social disruption and costs in the transition to the 'land suitability' approach; and d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge. The Nitrogen Reference Point established under Policy 2.c. is not to be regarded as forming the basis of any allocation mechanism that may be adopted in the future."
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9789	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions in diffuse contaminant loss and any future property or enterprise-level allocation of diffuse losses discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will <u>may</u> be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter... and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in <u>understanding land and land use characteristic affecting contaminant loss defining 'land suitability'</u> . Any future allocation, <u>taking effect from July 2026</u> , should consider the following principles: a. Land <u>and land use characteristics suitability</u> (5) which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e. where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation); and ... d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge, <u>including mitigation potential</u> " AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "5. Future mechanisms for allocation ... The future weightings are to be determined. For the avoidance of doubt, land suitability criteria <u>exclude-include</u> current land use and current water quality, the moderating effects of potential mitigations, and non-physical criteria (economic, social and cultural). <u>Instead-These factors are will</u> be of importance in analysing the implications of a completed land suitability classification."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Findlay, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 72021	PC1-8287	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 OR AMEND to provide for Natural Capital, a flexible Nitrogen Reference Point, and sub-catchment water quality AND AMEND to provide for conversion from farming to forestry for unproductive land that is suitable for this enterprise AND AMEND water quality monitoring to provide for individual farm gate testing of loss of contaminants.
<b>Findlay, Thomas David</b> Submitter ID: 73713	PC1-9410	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5945	Oppose the provision	REPLACE Policy 7 with the following: " <u>Gather information and undertake scientific research about discharges and contaminant loads in the Waikato and Waipā catchments to support future policy making which will most effectively and efficiently achieve reductions in nitrogen, phosphorus, sediments and E.coli beyond those identified in Objective 3.</u> "
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10474	Oppose the provision with amendments	REPLACE Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7: Preparing for enhanced future water quality management <u>Gather information (including through modelling) and undertake research about discharges and contaminant loads in the Waikato and Waipā catchments to enable future policy making that can most effectively and efficiently achieve reductions in nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and E.coli beyond those identified in Objective 3.</u> "
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10868	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7(a) (footnote 5(d)) to read: "d) the Objective 1 <u>desired</u> water quality <del>limits</del> <u>states</u> <sup>^</sup> related to nitrogen..."
<b>Gardon Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74113	PC1-5204	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so the cost of compliance is shared more equitably through a system similar to the Lake Taupo catchment nitrogen credit allocation. AND ENSURE further consultation takes place before any decision is made to accept stage 2. AND AMEND to allow for more time to train and recruit an effective supply of rural professionals to support PPC1. AND AMEND to allow for more time to obtain fair and true reference points for land use and to support this with greater education for producers in recording and keeping accurate records. AND AMEND to support the decisions sought by DairyNZ [RETAIN provisions of PPC1 that are focused on information and processes needed for plan reviews AND AMEND to ensure there is a focus on the course of action to fill information gaps before the review of PPC1. AND AMEND Policy 7 to read: " <u>Identify and fill information gaps to Pprepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise-level allocation limits of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will may be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, research will be undertaken in partnership with technical and industry organisations, in a manner that allows people and communities to understand the social, environmental, cultural and economic implications of the current plan, and engage in debate about any future limits. collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'</u> " AND DELETE Policy 7 (a – d).] AND AMEND to support the decisions sought by Fonterra [REPLACE Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7: Preparing for enhanced future water quality management <u>Gather information (including through modelling) and undertake research about discharges and contaminant loads in</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>the Waikato and Waipā catchments to enable future policy making that can most effectively and efficiently achieve reductions in nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and E.coli beyond those identified in Objective 3.</u> "]
<b>Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11318	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Gaudin, Philip and Pauline</b> Submitter ID: 72820	PC1-9089	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model from Policy 7 and PPC1 AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm AND AMEND to take soil type and fertiliser history into account when determining nitrogen discharges from a property AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm AND AMEND to use science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment. AND AMEND to ensure rules are effects- and science-based.
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3608	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND footnote (5) for Policy 7 as follows: "d) the Objective 1 <u>desired</u> water quality <del>limits</del> <sup>states</sup> related to nitrogen..."
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3253	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Gleeson, Graeme B</b> Submitter ID: 73800	PC1-6432	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to adopt a sub-catchment approach to focus on contaminants important to each farm and sub-catchment. AND AMEND to ensure Farm Environment Plans assess appropriate land use options for each farm, and encourage better science to determine which contaminants are of concern for each farm and sub-catchment. AND DELETE requirements to manage farming activities to a historic Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with live weight standards linked to the natural capital of soils, climate and assimilative capacity of water OR allocate nitrogen as it is tied to the natural capital of soils. AND DEVELOP greater understanding about spatial location of natural resources so this knowledge can be applied to better inform and manage contaminant loss. AND AMEND or ADD new rules that are based on land class and pasture production capability, where land use is supported by the capability of the land giving rise to contaminant loss no greater than acceptable ecosystem health limits. OR ADOPT equal nitrogen allocation flexibility for all land users (at 20kgN/ha) as a permitted activity. AND DELETE the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value AND REPLACE with requirements and standards that ensure the reductions required in over-allocated catchments, and where nitrogen is an issue, are proportionate to the level of improvement required and the impact of the discharge. Highest dischargers should be targeted first and consideration should be given to the economic implications of reducing and the timeframe for making reductions. AND AMEND the rules to ensure low contaminant loss land uses are a permitted activity. AND AMEND to ensure that high nitrogen dischargers, except horticulture, are required to reduce over time, starting immediately, and achieving 10% reductions every year for the life of PPC1. AND AMEND to ensure horticultural nitrogen losses are managed in a manner that recognises the value of the industry to the community.
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4366	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability. Policy 7 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND so Waikato Regional Council to work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment management approach.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b> Submitter ID: 73945	PC1-3818	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 with clear notification as to what land use is required on a property going forward so farm use can be changed to meet both environmental mitigation and financial stability. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND REPLACE with a provision that the highest nitrate level (that the 'high users' must adhere to) be the upper level for everyone no matter where/what farming type. AND AMEND the contaminant loss from farm provisions of Policy 7 to account for the contribution that Koi Carp make and include rules to remove Koi Carp from waterways.
<b>Hale, Timothy John</b> Submitter ID: 72688	PC1-1596	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to remove the use of a blanket Nitrogen Reference approach AND ADOPT a sub-catchment methodology to addressing the environmental issues which are relevant to the farms within that catchment. Use Farm Environment Plans to achieve this.
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10754	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by adding a new principle to read; " <u>(ba) Allowance for urban growth undertaken to give effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement; and</u> "
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5662	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to provide a clearer transition toward a non-grandparenting approach to allocation within the life of PPC1 to create certainty for land users.
<b>Hansen, Robin Arthur and Gillian Joy</b> Submitter ID: 73275	PC1-9279	Oppose the provision	REMOVE Policy 7 Nitrogen Reference Point provisions AND AMEND PPC1 so that it is effects based, allowing the use of better parts of the farm more intensively.
<b>Hart, John Henry and Susan Graham</b> Submitter ID: 71246	PC1-539	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to provide a model to show what it will look like in 80 years. AND AMEND the Farm Environment Plan requirements to be less restrictive. AND AMEND to replace the Nitrogen Reference Point with an effects-based approach.
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10497	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 OR AMEND Policy 7 to have provisions backed up by scientific data.
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7742	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future ... <u>During Stage 1, work collaboratively with relevant stakeholders to develop a sub-catchment management approach to manage diffuse discharges and any future property or enterprise level allocation of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, assist this process, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability' for allocation. Any future allocation Allocation should consider the following principles:...</u> " AND CONSIDER allocation on a sub-catchment basis. AND CONSIDER land suitability to manage diffuse discharges.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4126	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4562	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7 with regards to reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND ensure Waikato Regional Council works collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b>	PC1-6044	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp including any consequential amendments. AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point provisions of Policy 7.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73412			AND AMEND and RENOTIFY PPC1 when there are clear indications of what land use is required on the submitters farm including any consequential amendments.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10070	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Any future allocation should consider the following principles: a. Land suitability which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e. where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation); and b. Allowance for flexibility of development of tangata whenua ancestral land; and <del>c. Minimise social disruption and costs in the transition to the 'land suitability' approach; and</del> d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge. <u>And;</u> <u>e. Having regard for the finite nature of High Class Soils</u> <u>f. Incorporating the principle of 'polluter pays'; meaning that when assessed across the balance of contaminant discharges to water those having the greatest effect bear a proportionally greater cost of the transition."</u>
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5878	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE Policy 7(b).
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6824	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 7 reduction of diffuse discharges while considering land suitability AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to have Waikato Regional Council work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Jeffries, Gary and Joy</b> Submitter ID: 71214	PC1-7236	Oppose the provision with amendments	Conversion from Farming to Forestry AMEND Policy 7 to plan for the next 80 years, not 10. AND AMEND to a science/scientifically based approach. AND AMEND by providing realistic goals. Nitrogen Management DELETE Policy 7. OR AMEND by using the Farm Environment Plan to measure land use to land capability.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1350	Oppose the provision	AMEND the principles in Policy 7 to reflect the allocation of attribute targets on a sub-catchment basis in PPC1.
<b>Jodean Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73439	PC1-7007	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 (b).
<b>Johnston, Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9155	Not stated	DELETE Policy 7.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-810	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 7.
<b>Kay, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73490	PC1-9740	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to provide a definite determination of what land is included.
<b>Kelton, Simon Douglas and Adrienne Judith</b> Submitter ID: 73042	PC1-7871	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to provide for incentives for the conversion to forestry/retiring land.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Kidd, Peter Arthur and Marilyn May</b> Submitter ID: 72710	PC1-5702	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to investigate the impact of the Nitrogen Reference Point in PPC1 on the flexibility of low impact pastoral land use.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1832	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to provide clarity. AND AMEND to remove references to future processes. AND AMEND PPC1 to allow flexibility on all land. AND REMOVE references to future allocation decisions.
<b>Lacewood Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72589	PC1-8965	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations. AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
<b>Lea, Helen</b> Submitter ID: 73363	PC1-9243	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point to provide for rules based on land classification, natural capital, tools such as the OVERSEER Model and mitigation measures to manage the land to comply with PPC1.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-8867	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7/PPC1 to a sub-catchment approach with communities working together AND RENOTIFY and allow submissions on this sub-catchment approach. AND DELETE from Policy 7 provisions relating to the Nitrogen Reference Point. If not deleted then AMEND to provide for a Land Use Capability Approach OR AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to allow catchments to monitor its own land use and nitrogen loading to maintain levels at current levels AND AMEND to provide for the adjustment to PPC1 to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp and ensure the cost of this is not put on farmers AND AMEND to provide for water quality improvements required by farmers, be linked to sub-catchments to link the effects caused by farmers either individually or collectively and consider the impacts out of farmer's control AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lichtwark, Quintin Owen</b> Submitter ID: 72535	PC1-1863	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: " <u>Identify and fill information gaps</u> to prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise-level allocation limits of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will may be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, <u>research will be undertaken in partnership with technical and industry organisations, in a manner that allows people and communities to understand the social, environmental, cultural and economic implications of the current plan, and engage in debate about any future limits.</u> collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'." AND DELETE a-d of Policy 7 AND RETAIN provisions of the PPC1 that are focused on information and processes needed for plan reviews. The focus should be on the course of action to fill information gaps before Waikato Regional Council commences the review of PPC1.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5281	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12260	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7 reduction of diffuse discharges while considering land suitability AND ENSURE appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans are enabled

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to require the Council to work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment management approaches.
<b>Lumsden, Malcolm John</b> Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-2489	Oppose the provision	DELETE (b) from Policy 7.
<b>Macdonald, Hamish Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71433	PC1-2719	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to use a natural capital approach of what the land can actually handle or use a sub-catchment approach and work within communities or combine a natural capital approach and a sub-catchment approach AND AMEND Policy 7 to ensure Farm Environment Plans are used to see what has been done already and to recognise that and to see what is planned in the future AND AMEND to use more precise tests to work out where the pathogens are originating from, cattle, sheep, birds or humans etc.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3185	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND following Waikato Regional Council working collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 1)</b> Submitter ID: 72604	PC1-2926	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 2)</b> Submitter ID: 72598	PC1-2882	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 3)</b> Submitter ID: 72602	PC1-2914	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 4)</b> Submitter ID: 72600	PC1-2899	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 5)</b> Submitter ID: 72606	PC1-2813	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND AMEND so that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND REMOVE current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND PPC1 to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as Regionally Significant Industry AND AMEND so that farms that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 6)</b> Submitter ID: 72590	PC1-2936	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9202	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> . ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape</del> <u>within a sub-catchment</u> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3497	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE first paragraph of Policy 7 AND AMEND the sentence commencing 'Any future allocation should consider...' to incorporate the footnote. AND RETAIN clause 'c' that reads: 'Minimise social disruption and costs in the transition to the 'land suitability' approach' AND AMEND to reflect the matters taken into account in Policy 16 i-iii.
<b>Matira Sub Catchment Group</b> Submitter ID: 74148	PC1-9295	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety OR AMEND to provide a land use suitability and a sub-catchment method that would work better.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11736	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> . ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:..

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			c. the natural capacity of the landscape within a sub-catchment to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Mayne, Anna</b> Submitter ID: 72881	PC1-8985	Oppose the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the OVERSEER Model is not used for a regulatory purpose AND ENCOURAGE the use of the Overseer Report and the Nitrate Leaching Estimate as part of a Farm Environment Plan AND ADD a method that will support farmers to reduce application rates of artificial nitrogen and phosphorus to a flat rate (30-50kg/ha/year) over time AND ENSURE that Waikato Regional Council considers working collaboratively with Ngati Rangi O Te Oro and the holistic environmental accounting/monitoring tool that whanau/hapu have developed.
<b>McAlister, James and Maeve</b> Submitter ID: 72921	PC1-5827	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7.
<b>McClunie, Joseph and Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 71175	PC1-59	Oppose the provision	Policy 7: AMEND to include a clearly set out model of what this [farming] will look like after 80 years AND ADD a provision that if tree planting is required as part of the 80 year plan rather than farm land, that compensation will be provided AND AMEND to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and replace with an actual measurement on each farm.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11885	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> may be required by... that will assist in defining 'land suitability' <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> . ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the 'land suitability' any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> may consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the landscape within a sub-catchment to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Meier, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-9485	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to ensure implementation plans are final, with clear standards and expectations to assist land owners with their future planning.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9540	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8821	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 wording to ensure that it is clear that the land suitability approach is not the starting point, but is one potential option subject to the Schedule 1 process once there is more detail for people to evaluate.
<b>Neal, Craig Andrew Lamont and Tracey Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73466	PC1-9029	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point and use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 in their entirety. AND AMEND to provide for a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants that are relevant to each farm. AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what would work best on each farm, and science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment. AND AMEND the rules so that they are science based, not based on grandparenting.
<b>Nelson Farms Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 73054	PC1-8751	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to addressing contaminants relevant to each farm AND AMEND so that, if nitrogen discharges do have to be allocated, the allocation system is based on the natural capital of soils and the water quality outcomes for each sub-catchment AND REMOVE allocation based on 2014/15 or 2015/16 land use or grandparenting, especially for lower leaching land uses such as drystock AND AMEND to use Farm Environment Plans to determine what works best for each farm, science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment, and effects-based provisions

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to reconsider the use of Overseer modelled nitrogen discharge numbers to determine resource consent status and compliance.
<b>New Zealand Forest Owners Association Inc</b> Submitter ID: 73524	PC1-9958	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7. AND AMEND to include a clearer transition toward a non-grandparented approach to allocation within the life of PPC1 to create certainty for land users. AND make any consequential amendments.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4619	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11583	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> . ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11835	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> . ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3936	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 7 approach of reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND to include provisions for Waikato Regional Council to work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6423	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by redrafting it as a Method, with amendments to clarify that future allocation will not be grandparented or based on existing use OR ADD a new policy/method drafted to adopt the alternative approach proposed in the submission [to regulate land use on the basis of the Best Practicable Option and work toward allocation on a land use suitability approach] and to provide the basis for collection of information and further research.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7311	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE Policy 7 (b).
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9395	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7(b).
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5940	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to provide for amending the regional plan fertiliser application permitted activities to restrict phosphorus based fertiliser application only to the individual paddocks where industry recognised agronomic Olsen P levels are deficient, phosphorus need is demonstrated and suppliers bonded or liable for non-conforming release.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-4965	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety. OR AMEND PPC1 where a re-notification process is begun when there are clear indications of what land use if required on every farm in the Waikato Region with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p>AND AMEND by developing a fair system of nutrient allocation for the Waikato Region that allows communities and catchments to develop solutions that work towards the outcomes required. The plan could be amended and re-notified once an effective system is devised with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p> <p>AND AMEND by re-notifying only when there is a better system for measuring contaminant losses from farms, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p> <p>AND AMEND to ensure that PPC1 is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of Koi Carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p> <p>OR AMEND by re-notifying PPC1 once further thinking, research, consultation with affected parties and consideration has been given to what constitutes an effective, sustainable way forward, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p>
<p><b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750</p>	PC1-5294	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety</p> <p>AND REPLACE with an amended plan to provide for clear indications of what land use is required on a farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process</p> <p>AND DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point requirement in its entirety</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide for rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.</p>
<p><b>Pepper, Matt</b> Submitter ID: 73284</p>	PC1-9659	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to enable farmers to be involved in any future changes.
<p><b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488</p>	PC1-4191	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<p><b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138</p>	PC1-6595	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by deleting 7 (b).
<p><b>Pinnell, Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74007</p>	PC1-4428	Support the provision with amendments	<p>DELETE Policy 7(b) and the last paragraph of Footnote 5.</p> <p>AND AMEND to clarify that an allocation system will consider biophysical, production, economic, good management practices and other relevant matters, but exclude grandparenting of existing use.</p>
<p><b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545</p>	PC1-12010	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ...</p> <p>c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..."</p> <p>AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:..</p> <p>c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape</del> <u>within a sub-catchment</u> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."</p>
<p><b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089</p>	PC1-11686	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ...</p> <p>c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..."</p> <p>AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:..</p> <p>c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape</del> <u>within a sub-catchment</u> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."</p>
<p><b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785</p>	PC1-6291	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND the wording around land suitability approach such that it is clear that this is not the starting point, but is one potential option, subject to a first schedule RMA process once there is more detail for people to evaluate.
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11153	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7: Preparing for allocation in the future... <u>During stage 1, work collaboratively with relevant stakeholders and consented dischargers to develop a sub-catchment management approach to manage</u> <del>Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise level allocation of</del> diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens <del>that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter.</del> To assist this process, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, <del>developing</del> appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and <del>researching</del> the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability <u>for a range of uses and allocation.</u> <del>Any future a</del> Allocation should consider the following principles..."
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7789	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to address sub-catchment management now rather than in the future and avoid establishing an allocation trading platform.
<b>Pukerimu Farms Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73073	PC1-4800	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7 but AMEND to include a clearer transition towards a non- grandparenting approach to allocation within the life of PPC1.
<b>Purdie, Les and Helen</b> Submitter ID: 71291	PC1-4937	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7a principle for allocation to consider the economic impact conversion of sheep and beef land to forestry.
<b>R.P O'Connor and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71651	PC1-6949	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to use a sub-catchment approach to address contaminants that are relevant to each farm AND use Farm Environment Plans to determine the best scenario for each farm, and science to determine which contaminants are an issue in each sub-catchment AND AMEND the rules so that they are not based on 'grandparenting'.
<b>Ramsay Baker, Mark and Cathy</b> Submitter ID: 73608	PC1-8495	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7, OR AMEND to model the effects of fencing, and what it will look like in 90 years. AND AMEND to set aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and deal with problems such as sediment.
<b>Ransley, Adrienne Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73763	PC1-6070	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 (b)
<b>Ransley, Kelvin John</b> Submitter ID: 73761	PC1-6059	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 (b)
<b>Ratray, Earl Steven</b> Submitter ID: 73528	PC1-6959	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE from Policy 7 the reference to the concept of land suitability and any predetermined risk of utilising it.
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10579	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape</del> <u>landscape within a sub-catchment</u> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10118	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 7 to retain the overall intent but reword for clarity and focus to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise-level allocation of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs, by collecting information and undertaking research to support this, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges; and</li> <li>• Researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability' (<u>refer to Schedule A</u>)."</li> </ul> <p>AND AMEND to include the detail regarding determining 'land suitability' in Schedule A.</p>
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9983	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policies 1 to 4 and 6 AND REPLACE with new policies that promote best practice guidelines.
<b>Reeves, John</b> Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-879	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to ensure all land owners and all New Zealanders in the Waikato and Waipā Catchments are treated equally and take responsibility for improving waterways.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8536	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "...phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans that may be required by subsequent regional plans to meet defined water quality objectives, by implementing the policies..."
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7172	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability.</p> <p>AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach, and work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop this.</p>
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4008	Oppose the provision	<p>DELETE from Policy 7 references to allocation or benchmarking AND REPLACE with pollution levies based on outputs and the assimilative capacity of the land.</p> <p>AND establish a measuring system that is not the OVERSEER Model.</p>
<b>Rotorua Lakes Council</b> Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2505	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 7 to read as: "<u>Any future allocation should consider the following principles: a) Land suitability which reflects the natural capacity of the land based on its Land Use Capability class to attenuate contamination loss, other biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land...</u>"</p> <p>AND MAKE any consequential amendments.</p>
<b>Rotor Work Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73415	PC1-5965	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 7 to set a fair nitrogen leaching loss limit of 30kg/N/ha for all farms and all farm types.</p> <p>AND AMEND to make provision for monitor more closely all farms above 30kg/N/ha</p> <p>AND AMEND provision so that farms above 30kg/N/ha incur costs if they continue above 30kg/N/ha.</p> <p>AND AMEND Policy 7 to reward low end dischargers.</p>
<b>Rowe, Susan Helen</b> Submitter ID: 72588	PC1-6740	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the requirements to be held at or below a properties Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt an alternative method to measure nitrogen such as one based on the natural capacity of soils.
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2261	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to include sub-catchment plans.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5703	Oppose the provision	REMOVE the use of allocations or benchmarking. (Policy 7) AND AMEND to use pollution levies based on the outputs above the assimilative capacity of land. AND REPLACE the use of OVERSEER with a measuring system that works.
<b>Saxton, David Christopher</b> Submitter ID: 73946	PC1-3356	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.
<b>Scott, Neil, Ann, Brent and Louise</b> Submitter ID: 73024	PC1-1814	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 by removing the grandparenting approach from the plan AND AMEND by providing a sub-catchment approach to address the contaminants that are relevant to each area rather than a blanket rule AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2618	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7 reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND ENSURE that Waikato Regional Council works collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment approaches.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5038	Oppose the provision	WITHDRAW PPC1 and RE-NOTIFY once there is clear indication of future rules AND AMEND to make PPC1 less onerous on hill county farmers until future requirements become clear. For instance, only require stock to be excluded from slopes less than 15 degrees, as per the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management AND REMOVE the grandparenting approach AND AMEND so that nitrogen is investigated at a sub-catchment level AND AMEND to set a band that all dischargers have to adhere to, that is equitable for all low and high dischargers AND AMEND PPC1 to include rules to control koi carp.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10377	Oppose the provision with amendments	WITHDRAW PPC1 and re-notify once there is a clear indication of future rules AND AMEND Policy 7 to remove the grandparenting approach AND AMEND to manage nitrogen emissions at a sub-catchment scale, with levels set according to the sub-catchment issue AND AMEND to set an emission range for all properties to ensure equity across all emitters AND AMEND Policy 7 so that PPC1 provisions manage all factors which affect water quality.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5626	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 Part b.
<b>Sieling Farms</b> Submitter ID: 73514	PC1-5465	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 in its entirety.
<b>Simpson, Greg John</b> Submitter ID: 73225	PC1-5527	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to be backed by a well-defined model that shows how the land and water will look in 90 years. Nitrogen Reference Point: AND AMEND to provide for the opportunity to use an effects based system to deal with the issues within each farm.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Simpson, Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 74145	PC1-9877	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 so it is modelled and will clearly set out what the land will be in 80 years' time. AND DELETE the use of a Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1. AND AMEND PPC1 so that the effects of Nitrogen are addressed on a property basis.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11103	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: <del>"Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise level allocation of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans, by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter. To ensure this occurs Collect information and undertake research for the purposes of developing future regional plan changes to support this, including information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'. Any future allocation should consider that following principles: a. Land suitability (5) which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for purposes of allocation); and b. Allowance for flexibility of development of tangata whenua ancestral land; and c. Minimise social disruption and costs in the transition to the 'land suitability' approach; and d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge"</del>
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4044	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the first paragraph of Policy 7 AND AMEND the sentence commencing 'Any future allocation should consider...' to incorporate the footnote. AND RETAIN clause c. AND AMEND to ensure the matters listed under Policy 16 i-iii are consistent with and reflected in Policy 7.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5112	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 as follows: "Preparing for possible allocation in the future , any future allocation should consider the following principles:" DELETE Clause b) under Policy 7. AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "For the avoidance of doubt , Land suitability criteria <del>exclude</del> include current land use and current water quality, the moderating effects of potential mitigations and non-biophysical criteria (economic, social and cultural). <del>Instead these factors will be of importance in analysing the implications of a completed land suitability classification."</del> AND AMEND by inserting the following to read: "d) Possible future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge."
<b>Stokes, Kelvin Arnold</b> Submitter ID: 73748	PC1-5249	Oppose the provision	With respect to Policy 7, provide a detailed model of the affect of PPC1 aspirations on all citizens and the impacts on their activities and businesses AND AMEND so that all citizens are aware they have a part to play AND AMEND so that towns and cities all brought to account in the same timeframe. With respect to Policy 7, DELETE Schedule B/Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with an effects based system that encourages farmers to address actual farm problems e.g. sediment runoff.
<b>Stokes Shorthorn Farm Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73804	PC1-4051	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by providing a detailed model that clearly sets out the time frame between 0-80 years. AND AMEND by allowing the model to give expected outcomes as a result of the implementations made by the Council. AMEND Policy 7 by setting aside the Nitrogen Reference Point and provide a system which is effects based. AND AMEND Policy 7 by addressing actual farming problems rather than a blanket approach.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Strang and Strang Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73851	PC1-5567	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7 AND AMEND to include a clearer transition toward a non-grandparented approach to allocation within the life of PPC1.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7055	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to recognise the polluter pays concept.
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8110	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to ensure that further allocation systems and processes recognise the efforts made to reduce contaminants prior to PPC1, in a similar manner to that outlined in Policy 12.
<b>Taupo Lake Care Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 61093	PC1-9353	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE from Policy 7 the Nitrogen Reference Point and the use of the OVERSEER Model for regulatory purposes and any consequential amendments. AND MAKE any other consequential amendments.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11784	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the <del>'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11972	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the <del>'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11633	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the <del>'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Te Mata Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72978	PC1-4414	Oppose the provision	DELETE provisions enabling the use of Nitrogen Reference Points and The OVERSEER Model. Policy 7 AND AMEND to adopt a methodology that assesses on-farm contaminants and takes into account the terrain, farm policy and receiving environment relevant to the sub-catchment.
<b>TerraCare Fertilisers Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73066	PC1-10498	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 (d) to ensure a phosphate range in soil is readily measureable AND AMEND to provide for a more certain indication of potential for contamination than nitrate leaching in the OVERSEER Model AND AMEND to provide for the creation of an independent body to assess research on a value based basis and that funding and information dissemination be assigned on that basis.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12244	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> ...

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the 'land suitability' any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the landscape within a sub-catchment to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12060	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in defining 'land suitability' <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime.</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the 'land suitability' any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the landscape within a sub-catchment to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7850	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in defining 'land suitability' <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime.</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to the 'land suitability' any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the landscape within a sub-catchment to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8259	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policies 7(b) and (c).
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5079	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 provisions relating to long term land use and Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND Policy 7 to consider all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3323	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 and replace with: <u>"Collect information and undertake research about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and research the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'.</u> <u>Any future regulation should consider the following principles:</u> <u>a. Land suitability which reflects the biophysical and climate properties, the risk of contaminant discharges from that land, and the sensitivity of the receiving water body, as a starting point (i.e where the effect on the land and receiving waters will be the same, like land is treated the same for the purposes of allocation);</u> <u>b. Future regulation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge."</u>
<b>Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association</b> Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7097	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to treat everyone the same AND AMEND Policy 7 so that any future activity that could affect the four contaminants of the river is publicly notified.
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3963	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by incorporating land suitability criteria into the first paragraph. AND AMEND to provide an exception to the criteria where outcomes may undermine the financial viability of a farming unit.
<b>Tucker, Geoff and Kara</b> Submitter ID: 73928	PC1-2770	Oppose the provision	DELETE the Nitrogen Reference Point in Policy 7. AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model from PPC1.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach based on Farm Environment plans, using science to determine which contaminants are an issue in that catchment.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12182	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> may be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime.</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> may consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10301	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> may be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime.</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> may consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6788	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 7 approach of reducing diffuse discharges while considering land suitability. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan. AND AMEND so Waikato Regional Council to work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Verkerk, Gwyneth</b> Submitter ID: 60476	PC1-1274	Support the provision	Invest in soil science to better understand the processes of nitrogen attenuation in the region's soils. Policy 7 AND future rules are put in place to ensure that the nature of the farming activity is appropriate to the land.
<b>Verry, Adrian</b> Submitter ID: 73810	PC1-2474	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 7 the Nitrogen grandparenting rule.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3801	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7b.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12121	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> may be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime.</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> may consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11960	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and <del>any</del> future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> may be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime.</u> ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> may consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape within a sub-catchment</del> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waiawa Farms</b> Submitter ID: 71346	PC1-5813	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to include a clearer transition toward a non-grandparented approach to allocation within the life of PPC1 to create certainty for land users.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3320	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or... pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by... that will assist in <del>defining 'land suitability'</del> <u>preparing any new allocation or management regime</u> . ... c. Minimise social disruption and costs in transition to <del>the 'land suitability'</del> any new approach..." AND AMEND Footnote 5 to read: "Future mechanisms for allocation based on land suitability <del>will</del> <u>may</u> consider the following criteria:.. c. the natural capacity of the <del>landscape</del> <u>within a sub-catchment</u> to attenuate contaminant loss; and..."
<b>Waikato Dairy Leaders Group</b> Submitter ID: 74049	PC1-10944	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the provisions of PPC1 that are proactive in anticipating the sorts of information needed to reduce contaminant reductions and achieve Objective 1 AND AMEND Policy 7 to read: " <u>Identify and fill information gaps</u> to prepare for further diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise-level <del>allocation limits</del> of diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that <del>will</del> <u>may</u> be required by subsequent regional plans, <del>by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter</del> . To ensure this occurs, <u>research will be undertaken in partnership with technical and industry organisations in a manner that allows people and communities to understand the social, environmental, cultural and economic implications of the current plan, and engage in debate about any future limits, collect information and undertake research to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and researching the spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability'.</u> " AND RETAIN wording in PPC1 that subsequent plan changes will be subject to the full public process of the RMA First Schedule, and will enable all those within the Waipā and Waikato River catchments to fully participate.
<b>Waikato Environment Centre</b> Submitter ID: 73436	PC1-6236	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to recognise the potential off-sets to the costs of change, and the potential economic benefits, that will assist in limiting any social disruption.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3006	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11347	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 to read: " <b>Preparing for allocation in the future</b> <u>Through the Stage 1 period, engage with key stakeholders and resource users to determine a sub-catchment approach to actively manage</u> <del>Prepare for further</del> diffuse discharge reductions and any future property or enterprise-level allocation of diffuse discharges for adoption into enterprise based sub-catchment management consents of nitrogen phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens that will be required by subsequent regional plans, <del>by implementing the policies and methods in this chapter</del> . To ensure this occurs <u>support this engagement, Waikato Regional Council will</u> collect information and undertake research <del>to support this</del> , including collecting information about current discharges, developing appropriate <del>modelling tools</del> <u>adaptive management and mitigation approaches (including Decision Support Tools)</u> to estimate contaminant discharges, <del>and researching the spatial variability of land use...</del> that will assist in defining 'land suitability' <u>for allocation</u> . ... <del>d. Future allocation decisions should take advantage of new data and knowledge.</del> <u>d. Allow the flexibility for discharges to move between uses and increase incentives for efficient land use; and</u>



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>e. Apply an equitable base allocation in standardised amounts for discharges to land, that is a proportion of the available resource; and</u>  <u>f. The ability to respond to changing environmental circumstances; and</u>  <u>g. In accordance with Te Mana o Te Wai</u>  Encourage enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change - where an adaptive management and mitigation approach for the sub-catchment provides information and monitoring results that can define 'land suitability' consistent with the principles for allocation during the current plan period."</p>
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2112	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE Policy 7 reference to land suitability and REPLACE with 'future allocation method.' AND DELETE Footnote 5. AND AMEND Policy 7(c) to read as follows: "Minimise <u>economic hardship</u> and social disruption and costs in the transition..."
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2270	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the principles in Policy 7 to allow for a polluter pays concept.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6727	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 7 reduction of diffuse discharges while considering land suitability AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains through a tailored Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to have Waikato Regional Council work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8352	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 7.
<b>Walter, Philip</b> Submitter ID: 71194	PC1-6615	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to include a statement that future rules on 'land suitability' will be based on sound science.
<b>Walter and Doran, Peter Alan Susan and Casey</b> Submitter ID: 73078	PC1-9119	Oppose the provision with amendments	DELETE the use of OVERSEER for obtaining the Nitrogen Reference Point. AND REPLACE with soil types and stock class to find the amount of nitrogen the soil can hold before leaching. AND AMEND to use sub-catchments to identify the amount of nitrogen loss and amount of nitrogen to be applied through scientific evidence not a grandparenting approach. AND AMEND so that farms not exceeding the 75th percentile can work on nitrogen applications for accumulating 5 year average.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7285	Oppose the provision	DELETE the reference to 'modelling tools' from Policy 7. AND AMEND PPC1 so that, where necessary, the government provides compensation to retire and destock land. DELETE Policy 7b.
<b>Ward-Allen, William Alec</b> Submitter ID: 74147	PC1-9872	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 7 to REMOVE the need to establish a Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Waterworth, Bruce Kenrick</b> Submitter ID: 71442	PC1-2523	Oppose the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7 the Nitrogen Reference Point calculation. AND RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment. AND AMEND to apply flexibility of development to all land equally.
<b>Waterworth, Jenefer Fay</b> Submitter ID: 71438	PC1-1534	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7b so that all land is treated the same.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waterworth, Lewis Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 71444	PC1-1509	Support the provision with amendments	DELETE the provision AND AMEND Policy 7 to a land use change policy that covers the whole of Waikato and Waipā River catchments and applies to all land equally. RETAIN the Nitrogen Reference Point being calculated using the highest leaching loss over the reference period using 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 financial year. RETAIN using the current version of OVERSEER to calculate the Nitrogen Reference Point. RETAIN that the 75th percentile leaching value includes the dairy sector. RETAIN the flexibility to move the Nitrogen Reference Point across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Waterworth, Serena</b> Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-8565	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7, AND AMEND to provide flexibility to move Nitrogen Reference Points across enterprises in the same sub-catchment.
<b>Wildman, Anna Mary</b> Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3875	Oppose the provision	DELETE from Policy 7 the Nitrogen Reference Point AND REPLACE with the use of Farm Environment Plans as the primary tool to manage nutrient losses. Nitrogen Reference Points should be used to support the Farm Environment Plans and farms with high emission should still be required to reduce their nutrient losses AND more work should be done on addressing contaminant loss through a sub-catchment approach including increased use of technology and water monitoring to support the identification of sub-catchment issues.
<b>Williamson, Jack</b> Submitter ID: 72769	PC1-8922	Oppose the provision	AMEND to extend the reference period for Nitrogen Reference Points AND REMOVE the use of the OVERSEER Model to calculate Nitrogen Reference Points.
<b>Williamson, Stephen David</b> Submitter ID: 73040	PC1-8669	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 requirements for farms to be held at or below a property's Nitrogen Reference Point, especially for low discharging operations AND DELETE the use of the OVERSEER Model AND, if an allocation of nitrogen discharges is needed, base the allocation on the natural capacity of soils and not on existing land uses.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-778	Oppose the provision with amendments	Provide an 80-year model to bring some reassurance to the agriculture industry. AND AMEND Policy 7(b) to provide the same rule for all.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4227	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 7 reduction of diffuse discharges while considering land suitability AND AMEND Policy 7 by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to ensure Waikato Regional Council works collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Woods, Paula and Ken</b> Submitter ID: 73806	PC1-2458	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 7 by implementing a longer time period that is workable.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-929	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 7 provisions relating to long term land use and Nitrogen Reference Point. AND AMEND to contain rules for Koi Carp elimination and measurement of all contributions to contaminant discharges including city storm water.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11534	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 7 Policy 7: AMEND PPC1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans AND REQUIRE the Council to work collaboratively with stakeholder groups to develop sub-catchment management approaches.

## Policy 8: Prioritised implementation/Te Kaupapa Here 8: Te raupapa o te whakatinanatanga

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-12374	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 and subsequent rules relating to the 75th percentile, to provide for the 50th percentile nitrogen leaching value to be prioritised for Farm Environment Plans and in Matters of Control in consideration of resource consents.
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4315	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to enable management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments, OR ADD another policy to enable this.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6136	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 8.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4476	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Balle Bros Group</b> Submitter ID: 67834	PC1-11412	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision was requested for Policy 8.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11492	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to require reductions of Nitrogen greater than the currently proposed 75th percentile. AND AMEND to introduce appropriate sub-catchment contaminant numerical limits to enable targeted and prioritised actions. AND AMEND to prioritise the establishment of catchment collaborative groups based on priority sub-catchments.
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2543	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1410	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6348	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 with altered priority catchments as proposed in PPC1.
<b>Central Waikato Zone Committee</b> Submitter ID: 74028	PC1-7588	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Policy 8.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10260	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD A NEW enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10686	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD a NEW enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10729	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD a New enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10708	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND AMEND Policy 8 OR ADD a NEW enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b>	PC1-7757	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 8 and all associated rules.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71344			
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5565	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 by adding to this policy or another, an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10783	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 as currently worded.
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4654	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7634	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10670	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 in a way that would see all wetland and lake sub-catchments being included as Priority 1 in Table 3.11-2.
<b>Falconer, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 72027	PC1-3960	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to include all point source discharges as a top priority. AND AMEND Policy 8(c) to include Lake Waikare. Or such other relief that will address the issue raised in this submission.
<b>FarmRight</b> Submitter ID: 73720	PC1-5393	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10825	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9794	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to read: "In addition to the priority sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2, <u>the properties which exceed the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value discharges-discharges</u> will also be prioritised for <u>the completion and implementation of Farm Environment Plans.</u> "
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5949	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 8 and all associated rules.
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10489	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 8. AND AMEND PPC1 so that all activities with an obligation to prepare and submit a Farm Environment Plan be required to do so by 1 July 2020.
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10867	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8(a) to read: "a. Sub-catchments where there is a greater gap between the <u>desired</u> water quality <del>targets</del> <u>states</u> <sup>^</sup> in Objective 1 (Table 3.11-1) and current water quality; and..."
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-3609	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 as follows: "a. Sub-catchments where there is a greater gap between the <u>desired</u> water quality <del>targets</del> <u>states</u> <sup>^</sup> in Objective 1..."
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4367	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Hamilton &amp; Waikato Tourism</b> Submitter ID: 73493	PC1-9441	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5665	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to be consistent with the proposed amendments to the rules within this submission [Best Practicable Option approach].
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10501	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to include scientific data for all sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2 before PPC1 is implemented.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Hill Country Farmers Group</b> Submitter ID: 73321	PC1-7750	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to include sub-catchment plans for four contaminants based on current and specific data.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4148	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 and AMEND OR ADD to this policy or another if more appropriate an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4567	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10075	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to enable the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments to recognise there will be a minimal overall variance in proportion of vegetable cropping across all enterprises in each sub-catchment.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5882	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6825	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1351	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND AMEND to reflect the need for horticultural enterprises to manage diffuse discharges between sub-catchments.
<b>Johnston, Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9159	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 8 to provide for scientific data.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-812	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 8 so that funding from Waikato Regional Council is provided.
<b>King Country Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7842	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8 and make amendments to recognise other equally important criteria for prioritising implementation AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5282	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8. AND ADD to this policy, or another if more appropriate, an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12265	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9950	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3186	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-4999	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8 as proposed.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9209	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3501	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to remove the uncertainty over interpretation and application of its provisions.
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b>	PC1-11737	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73990			
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8314	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8(a) to define what a 'greater gap' is in terms of a percentage difference, or in some manner which provides clarity.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11886	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9541	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to read: " <del>Prioritise the m</del> Management of land and water resources <u>will be required in all sub-catchments</u> by implementing Policies 1, 2, 3 and 9. <u>Policy implementation will be prioritised in accordance with the priority rank set out in Table 3.11-2 for each sub-catchment, inclusive of Lakes Freshwater Management Units within the mapped sub-catchment.</u> <del>and in accordance with the prioritisation of areas set out in Table 3.11-2. Priority areas include:</del> <del>a. Sub-catchments where there is a greater gap between the water quality targets<sup>^</sup> in Objective 1 (Table 3.11-1) and current water quality; and</del> <del>b. Lakes Freshwater Management Units<sup>^</sup>; and</del> In addition to the priority sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2, the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value dischargers will also be prioritised for Farm Environment Plans."
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8822	Oppose the provision	REMOVE prioritisation of sub-catchments in relation to implementation policies to achieve water quality improvements, but potentially retain in relation to allocation of council staff and resources, planning and funding AND DELETE prioritisation of 75th percentile nitrogen leaching dischargers.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6868	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2635	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision sought for Policy 8.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4620	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3706	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11584	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Ngati Hau Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11836	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3938	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6424	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 so that it is consistent with the alternative approach proposed in the submission.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7313	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to enable sub-catchment governance to target issues with monitoring, community engagement and the use of Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9396	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to provide scientific data for all sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2 before implementation of PPC1 OR WITHDRAW PPC1 if scientific data is not available for these sub-catchments until it is available.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4192	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 and AMEND OR ADD to this policy or another if more appropriate an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6596	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8. AND AMEND to provide access to current and future water quality data.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12012	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11687	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6292	Oppose the provision	DELETE the prioritisation of sub-catchments in relation to implementation policies, but potentially AND RETAIN the prioritisation of sub-catchment in relation to council staff resources, planning and funding. AND DELETE the prioritisation of the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching dischargers.
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11155	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 8 to confirm the basis for the 75th percentile AND AMEND to provide clear guidance on the interpretation as requiring land managers to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects of their activities.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7795	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 8 prioritisation approach, with clarification on how sub-catchments are prioritised AND AMEND to enable horticultural enterprises to manage diffuse discharges between sub-catchments.
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10590	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74058	PC1-10119	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the intent of Policy 8. AND AMEND last paragraph of Policy 8 to be clearer and more focussed, with the following changes: "Prioritise the management of land and water resources by implementing the Policies 2, 3 and 9, and in this plan in accordance with the prioritisation of areas..." "In addition to the priority sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2, the properties that exceed the 75th Percentile nitrogen leaching value dischargers will also be prioritised for the completion and implementation of Farm Environment Plans."
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8537	Support the provision	AMEND the definition of 75th percentile leaching value to include all enterprises, including commercial vegetable growers, and point source dischargers.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7173	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2621	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5627	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8 as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Smith, Barrie Allan and Gwyneth Monica</b> Submitter ID: 71420	PC1-1255	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11104	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to read: " <u>In addition to implementing Policies 2, 3 and 9,</u> " prioritise the management of land and water resources " <u>in the short term to 2026</u> " " <del>by implementing Policies 2, 3 and 9, and</del> " in accordance with the prioritisation of areas set out in Table 3.11-2...
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4046	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to remove the uncertainty over interpretation and application of its provisions.
<b>Stark, Steven and Theresa</b> Submitter ID: 73721	PC1-5124	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 8 in its entirety. AMEND Policy 8 by DELETING Table 3.11-1 by replacing the minimum standards as set out in the Ministry for Environment's Clean Water document published February 2017, publication number ME 1293.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7058	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 8 AND ADD an enabling policy that allows for the management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11785	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11975	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11634	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12245	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12061	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7878	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3411	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8 in its current form.
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9770	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 8.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12183	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10308	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6789	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12124	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11963	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3337	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3058	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8, last sentence to read: "In addition to the Priority <u>1</u> sub-catchments listed in Table 3.11-2, the 75th percentile ...."
<b>Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4698	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 (a) to define what a 'greater gap' is in terms of percentage difference, or in some manner which provides clarity.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11348	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following paragraph to Policy 8: " <u>Providing for enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change, in advance of the priority dates and events in Rule 3.11.5.4 and Table 3.11-2 will positively assist in achieving a tailored approach to sub-catchment mitigation and implementing Policies 2 and 9.</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2113	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to replace prioritisation with the requirement that all farms submit and adhere to a Farm Environment Plan by 1st July 2020. AND DELETE reference to the 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value. AND AMEND sub-catchments to be defined by physical attributes.
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2272	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN policy 8. AND ADD an enabling policy to allow management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6728	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8362	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 8 to reduce the percentile level to ensure it is the polluters, not those with a low footprint who take action. AND AMEND Policy 8 to provide a lower (50th) percentile nitrogen leaching value to be prioritised for Farm Environment Plans and in Matters of Control in consideration of resource consents; OR AMEND the reference to the 75th percentile to any nitrogen leaching value that exceeds the Nitrogen Discharge Limit applicable to the relevant property. AND AMEND Matter of Control ii. to read: "The actions and timeframes for undertaking mitigation actions, <u>where required</u> , that maintain or reduce the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or to land where they may enter water". AND AMEND Matter of Control iii. to read: "The actions and timeframes and other measures to ensure that the diffuse discharge of nitrogen from the property or enterprise, as measured by the annual nitrogen loss as determined by the use of the current version of OVERSEER, does not increase beyond the property or enterprise's Nitrogen Discharge Limit." DELETE Matter of Control iv. if the option of a Nitrogen Discharge Limit is adopted.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7290	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6905	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8 prioritising sub-catchment and staged implementation. AND AMEND PPC1 to reflect the flexibility commercial vegetable producers need to effectively and efficiently manage resources across a number of sub-catchments.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4228	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11535	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 8.

## Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4516	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4316	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to enable offsetting where it can be demonstrated that there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups to take responsibility for contaminant reductions through catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6138	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for Policy 9.
<b>Babington, Cliff and Leonie</b> Submitter ID: 72821	PC1-9466	Support the provision	AMEND the rules to provide for sub-catchment scale planning.
<b>Babington, Kelvin and Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 71761	PC1-6646	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the rules in PPC1 to include the sub-catchment approach. AND AMEND PPC1 to include any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4475	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the sub-catchment approach in Policy 9 AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 9 AND enable tailored Farm Environment Plans to adopt mitigation appropriate to the water quality gains to be made.
<b>Barton, Rachel and Jonathan</b> Submitter ID: 71425	PC1-3914	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for evidence based plans that are supported by actual data with a strong cost benefit analysis, AND AMEND to ensure the culture of Waikato Regional Council changes to a hands on approach, this creates a stronger long term framework that works closer with communities to help achieve the forever evolving healthy rivers.
<b>B Das and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73689	PC1-9073	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be shown there are better options for improved environmental outcomes elsewhere

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to allow groups to take responsibility for improving the environment through a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations.
<b>Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73369	PC1-11493	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND to facilitate and support the establishment and operation of sub-catchment groups to manage water quality and biodiversity issues facing a sub-catchment, providing innovative and, where required, edge of field mitigation, and which facilitates flexible, viable businesses and communities, and enables transfer of resources such as nutrients within the assimilative capacity of soils and water, and at sustainable levels. AND AMEND to give effect to new collaborative catchment objectives. AND AMEND to incorporate Policy 17 provisions in relation to recognition, support and enhancement of biodiversity values. AND AMEND PPC1 to include a new Method which provides for Regional Council support of catchment groups and approaches to addressing complex land and water management issues, including sub-catchment specific studies, data collection, catchment group facilitation, development and funding of sub-catchment models which support catchment groups, decision making and consenting.
<b>Brodie, Philip Donald</b> Submitter ID: 67406	PC1-2860	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9d to clarify.
<b>Brooks, Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer</b> Submitter ID: 71174	PC1-129	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to the rule provision the allowance for sub-catchment approach, with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process. Policy 9
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2544	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality on a sub-catchment level AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and Policy 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buckley, Peter Ross</b> Submitter ID: 71423	PC1-1411	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality on a sub-catchment level AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and Policy 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Buist Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3401	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6350	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10263	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10688	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that can be measured and reported.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10730	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10709	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7758	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 9 AND AMEND Policy 2 and all associated Rules as required to incorporate the intent of Policy 9.
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5590	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 by providing an offset where it can be demonstrated that there will be a commensurate effect of the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River. AND AMEND Policy 9 to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>CNI Iwi Land Management Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74026	PC1-10784	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 with amendments to specify the timeframes for implementation of the cost effective mitigations.
<b>Coup, Martin Ross Amesbury</b> Submitter ID: 73856	PC1-4896	Support the provision	No specific decision was requested for Policy 9.
<b>Cuttance, William</b> Submitter ID: 73870	PC1-3452	Support the provision	RETAIN 3.11.3 Policy 9
<b>DairyNZ</b> Submitter ID: 74050	PC1-10237	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND to clarify that identifying spatial location of mitigations in sub-catchment plans, will inform what is required of dairy farmers in Farm Environment Plans. AND ADD a NEW clause (e) to read: <u>"e. Where landowners contribute to mitigations as set out in c – d., to recognise this contribution through funding assistance and formal and enduring mechanisms that give the community and the landowner confidence that improvements in water quality are achieved."</u>
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4655	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7635	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9. AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 1 and Policy 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10671	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to clarify the support that the policy intends to provide for efficient and effective water quality improvements. AND AMEND to read: "...edge of field mitigation measures. Support measures that efficiently and effectively contribute to water quality improvements. this approach includes:..." AND AMEND to achieve greater clarification on what form engagement will take and a timeframe for when this can be expected to occur for each priority area category.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND REPLACE use of the word "mitigations" with "mitigation measures" in Policy 9. AND AMEND clause (c) to ensure that those mitigation measures with the greatest environmental outcomes are prioritised and acknowledging that this could require high cost options to achieve desired outcomes. AND AMEND clause (d) by replacing "a mitigation" with "mitigation measure(s)". AND AMEND clause (d) to achieve greater certainty around how this section will be achieved and the methodology that Council intends to apportion diffuse discharge reductions to each farming enterprise.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1164	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9 as proposed and ensure consultation is undertaken with stakeholders to ensure a high level of support.
<b>Empson, Alan Jephson Howard</b> Submitter ID: 74152	PC1-9096	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9(d) to define the types of mitigation proposed.
<b>Ewen, Andrew Hamish and Nicole Lisa</b> Submitter ID: 71210	PC1-306	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to include allowance for a sub-catchment approach in the rules. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10826	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9795	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5951	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 9 and AMEND Policy 2 as required and all associated rules.
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10490	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following advisory note at the end of Policy 9: <u>"Advisory note: Policy 9 applies in addition to, and not as an alternative to, other policies of section 3.11.3 of this plan. It is to be given effect to through the implementation of Method 3.11.4.5."</u>
<b>Fonterra Shareholders Council</b> Submitter ID: 72610	PC1-10640	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to ADD a notification which states that Policy 9 applies in addition to, and not as an alternative to, other policies of section 3.11.3 of this plan.
<b>Fuller, Mark Allan</b> Submitter ID: 73922	PC1-5354	Not stated	AMEND Policy 9 to include non-point source discharge.
<b>Garland, Suzanne Merle and William Graham</b> Submitter ID: 74066	PC1-11331	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND method 3.11.4.6 by adding a third bullet point "Provide staff resources and leadership to establish and support sub-catchment management groups".
<b>Goddard, Allan and Mary-Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73061	PC1-2325	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN and strengthen the development of sub-catchment plans by making them the first priority implementation item to be completed prior to Farm Environment Plans. Policy 9
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4381	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality on a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9. AND Enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan
<b>Graymont (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2840	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Guy, Denise and John</b>	PC1-3819	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 so there is an allowance for a sub-catchment approach in the rules.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73945			
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11455	Support the provision	No specific decision requested for Policy 9.
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5684	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 by specifying the timeframes for implementation of the cost effective mitigations.
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10507	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Policy 9.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4128	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effects on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River, AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigation that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Holland, John David and Roselei Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 72851	PC1-8268	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to give diffuse dischargers the same rights as point source dischargers, for 25 years.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4569	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 with regards to managing water quality on a sub-catchment level AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Horsley, Cam, Bridget, Rob and Tennille</b> Submitter ID: 73412	PC1-3172	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 so the allowance for the sub-catchment approach is included in the rules with any consequential amendments made.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10078	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to read: "Policy 9: Sub-catchment <del>(including edge of field)</del> mitigation planning, coordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa) Take a prioritised and integrated approach to sub-catchment water quality management by undertaking sub-catchment planning, and use this planning to support actions including edge of field mitigation measures and <u>catchment collective responses</u> . Support measures that efficiently and effectively contribute to water quality improvements. This approach includes: a. Engaging early with tangata whenua and with landowners, communities and potential funding partners in sub-catchments in line with the priority areas listed in Table 3.11-2; and b. Assessing the reasons for current water quality and sources of contaminant discharge, at various scales in a sub-catchment; and c. Encouraging cost-effective mitigations where they have the biggest effect on improving water quality; and <u>da. Enable the collaborative management of discharges at a scale greater than a single farm and provide a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.</u> d. Allowing, where multiple farming enterprises contribute to a mitigation, for the resultant reduction in diffuse discharges to be apportioned to each enterprise in accordance with their respective contribution to the mitigation and their respective responsibility for the ongoing management of the mitigation.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>e. Provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River."</u>
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5884	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6826	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality on a sub-catchment level AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1352	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for off-setting within a commercial vegetable production enterprise AND AMEND the rule framework to provide for off-setting within a commercial vegetable production enterprise.
<b>Johnston, Phillip</b> Submitter ID: 73245	PC1-9160	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for funding for sub-catchment planning mitigation.
<b>Juno, Anne and Allen</b> Submitter ID: 71200	PC1-813	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 so that funding from Waikato Regional Council is provided. AND AMEND to provide for individual catchment plans.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1833	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide clarity.
<b>King Country Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7876	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>KiwiLane Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72143	PC1-8404	Support the provision with amendments	No specific decision requested for Policy 9.
<b>Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG)</b> Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4074	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to make specific references to incentivising tree planting e.g. through the uptake of the Government's fund for freshwater improvement.
<b>Lee, Malcolm and Sally</b> Submitter ID: 72932	PC1-9272	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for sub-catchment rules AND AMEND the rules around fencing and nitrogen levels AND AMEND AND CONSIDER how individuals who opt out of a sub-catchment approach will be treated and the impact on sub-catchment goals AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Lichtwark, Quintin Owen</b> Submitter ID: 72535	PC1-1873	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for an approach that uses a sub-catchment average of the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5283	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effects on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigation that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Loader, A J</b> Submitter ID: 74084	PC1-7445	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to reflect a sub-catchment approach to water quality management and reflect that some sub-catchments may not require the mitigation of nitrogen.

Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12267	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 9 AND ENSURE appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans are enabled.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9951	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3188	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8036	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 so that sub-catchments are - given their own governance; tasked with meeting given targets; can monitor and undertake community engagement; and is able to consider new technology's, which may mitigate discharge of contaminants,
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-5000	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9210	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3503	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 'a' to read: "Engaging early with <u>local authorities</u> , tangata whenua and with land owners, communities..."
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11738	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>McKie, David Robert and Carmel Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73377	PC1-2062	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 by including non-point source discharge.
<b>McLaughlin, Robyn and Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72984	PC1-10932	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to remove the requirement for low contamination contributors to reduce their levels if there would not be a significant change to the overall water quality of the sub-catchment.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11887	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9569	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND Policy 9 (a) to read: "Engaging early with tangata whenua and with landowners, <u>stakeholders</u> , communities..."
<b>Miraka Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73492	PC1-8823	Support the provision with amendments	ADD Policy 9(e) to read as follows: " <u>Providing Best Practice management guidelines and examples of cost-effective mitigations that have the biggest effect on improving water quality across a range of farming policies, land types and other biophysical factors, to be included in the Farm Environment Plans and applied on all properties and enterprises in the region.</u> "
<b>Morison, Steve and Toni</b> Submitter ID: 71439	PC1-1448	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to improve Waikato Regional Council's ability to accurately measure contaminant loss and ascertain their source.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6870	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality at a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND the Rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9. AND AMEND PPC1 to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan



Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2032	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN managing water quality on a sub-catchment level under Policy 9. AND AMEND the rules in PPC1 to reflect Policy 1 and 9. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2636	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 by providing greater access and ease of funding for future mitigation projects on farms.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b> Submitter ID: 73780	PC1-4621	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9(a) to read: "Engaging early with tangata whenua and with landowners, communities, <u>primary industry groups</u> and potential funding partners..."
<b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3708	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11585	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Ngati Hau Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11837	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3939	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality at a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND the Rules to reflect Policy 1 and Policy 9. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Noakes, Anna</b> Submitter ID: 73693	PC1-9558	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the sub-catchment approach is supported, by more monitoring at the sub-catchment level, so that focus is on sub-catchments of most concern.
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6425	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND Policy 9 by clarifying the basis and timeframes for implementing cost effective mitigation strategies. AND AMEND by providing reference to the Best Practicable Options. AND DELETE Policy 9 Clause d). IF the proposed amendments are not accepted then AMEND Policy 9 so that it is consistent with the alternative approach proposed in the submission. OR IF the alternative approach is not accepted, AMEND to require strategies/mitigations to be implemented using Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7314	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide sub-catchments with their own autonomous governance and control to meet the PPC1 set targets.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9400	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to ensure that funding for planning and implementation of mitigations is made available by Waikato Regional Council or the Waikato River Authority.
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5755	Oppose the provision	ADD to Policy 9 clear storm water detention/treatment criteria. AND ADD paddock design criteria for contaminant detention/treatment. Consider the 10 percent AEP 48 hour event, or similar.
<b>Parrott, Dorothy Fay, Peter Jack, Katherine and Conor Reeves</b> Submitter ID: 73929	PC1-6683	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 by making allowance for a sub-catchment approach in the rules with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.

Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Parrott, Steven, Sandra, Alexander &amp; Ulrika</b> Submitter ID: 73750	PC1-5337	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for the allowance for a sub-catchment approach to be included in the rules with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Peers-Adams, Samuel, Laura and Bronwyn</b> Submitter ID: 71290	PC1-1030	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4193	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River, AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups that form to take responsibility for contaminant reductions by implementing a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigation that are able to be measured and reported.
<b>Peters, Michael Joseph</b> Submitter ID: 74197	PC1-6534	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Phillips, Neal</b> Submitter ID: 71231	PC1-766	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to split PPC1 into a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Pickens and Tanneau, Craig and Julie</b> Submitter ID: 74138	PC1-6598	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12013	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11689	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Pouakani Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73785	PC1-6293	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND to add a clause e to read “ <u>e. Providing Best Practice Management guidelines and examples of cost-effective mitigations that have the biggest effect on improving water quality across a range of farming policies, land types and other biophysical factors, to be included in Farm Environment Plans and applied on all properties and enterprises in the region.</u> ”
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11156	Support the provision	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the rules give effect to Policy 9.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b> Submitter ID: 74220	PC1-7798	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 9 sub-catchment management approach AND AMEND to reflect collective management of diffuse discharges beyond the boundary on a sub-catchment basis.
<b>Ransley, Adrienne Anne</b> Submitter ID: 73763	PC1-6072	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 (c) to match this policy statement.
<b>Ransley, Kelvin John</b> Submitter ID: 73761	PC1-6062	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 (c) to match this policy statement.
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10547	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Ravensdown Limited</b>	PC1-10120	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Policy 9 as it is currently written.

Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74058			
<b>Reese, Kate and Aaron</b> Submitter ID: 72961	PC1-7838	Support the provision with amendments	ADD a provision supporting and encouraging on-land research into new cost-effective methods and technologies to improve water quality, including the provision of funding for on-land research that will benefit the region.
<b>Reeve, Jocelyn Margaret</b> Submitter ID: 73109	PC1-9986	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 as follows: "Take a prioritised and integrated approach to sub-catchment water quality management by undertaking sub-catchment planning <u>to be completed at least four years prior to the date the Farm Environment Plan is due in accordance with sub-catchment priorities.</u> <del>and</del> Use this planning to support actions..."
<b>Reeves, John</b> Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-874	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that an assessment shows that stock exclusion and/or a Nitrogen Reference Point is required. Policy 9 AMEND so that stock exclusion is not a blanket policy. AMEND so that Nitrogen Reference Points are not required on all properties, only those where nitrogen discharge is proven to be an issue.
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8551	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 by adding a new point (e) detailing how this will be measured.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7174	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 regarding managing water quality on a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND Rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made, through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2246	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to allow collective management of diffuse discharges at a sub-catchment level. AMEND PPC1 for the use of sub-catchment plans. AND DELETE the land area capping and the Nitrogen Reference Point.
<b>Sattrup, Grahame Paul</b> Submitter ID: 73709	PC1-9386	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>Scott, Fiona and John</b> Submitter ID: 71350	PC1-860	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9 as proposed.
<b>Shabor Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71400	PC1-1111	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for all rules that relate to farm level are amended to a sub-catchment approach with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2622	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9 regarding managing water quality at a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND Rules to reflect Policy 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be developed in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Sherlock, Jon and Fiona</b> Submitter ID: 73847	PC1-5369	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to allow for an individual sub-catchment approach in the rules.
<b>Sherlock, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 60407	PC1-10378	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to better support an individual sub-catchment approach.
<b>Sherriff and Tatham, Mathew and Kim</b> Submitter ID: 72508	PC1-5628	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9 as proposed.

Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11106	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4055	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9(a) to read: "Engaging early with <u>local authorities</u> , tangata whenua and with land owners, communities..."
<b>Stobie, Duncan, Loraine, Donald and Craig</b> Submitter ID: 73998	PC1-5152	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to provide more detailed water testing to be done in the sub-catchments before some of the rules come into place.
<b>Sutherland Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7061	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for offsetting where it can be demonstrated that there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to provide a consenting pathway that enables groups to manage contaminants through a combination of catchment and paddock scale mitigations.
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8111	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Policy 9 AND AMEND to ensure that the required funding is provided to enable the effective implementation of Policy 9.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11786	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11976	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11635	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12246	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12062	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7881	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8253	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND to ensure that actions such as edge of field mitigation measures are included in rule standards, matters of control and discretion and Farm Environment Plans AND DELETE Policy 9(d).
<b>The Worsp Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73997	PC1-5093	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to include a sub-catchment approach into the rules. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process to include a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Timberlands Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73036	PC1-3412	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 AND AMEND to specify the timeframes for implementation of the cost effective mitigation.
<b>Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association</b>	PC1-7101	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.

Policy 9: Sub-catchment (including edge of field) mitigation planning, co-ordination and funding/Te Kaupapa Here 9: Te whakarite mahi whakangāwari, mahi ngātahi me te pūtea mō te riu kōawāwa (tae atu ki ngā taitapa)

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 71751			
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9771	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3976	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9(a) to include reference to territorial authorities as a stakeholder.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12185	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10311	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6790	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality on a sub-catchment level. AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan
<b>Verkerk, Gwyneth</b> Submitter ID: 60476	PC1-1271	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 so that the importance of wetlands in ensuring sustainable farming practices into the future beyond the period of PPC1 is given greater emphasis in the early stages of plan development. AND the work including expanding the regions wetlands is managed at a sub-catchment planning level. ADD a NEW method that imposes a targeted rate per household across the urban community, wherein that money is accumulated to a fund that is available as long-term loans for approved sub-catchment level wetland development projects on a zero or very low interest basis. The fund could also be supported by those point-source dischargers that will in future struggle to meet their targets and may be required to pay mitigation.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3803	Support the provision	No specific relief sought for Policy 9.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12125	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11966	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3340	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3060	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11349	Support the provision with amendments	ADD the following paragraph to Policy 9: " <u>Encouraging enterprises to apply for sub-catchment management resource consent applications for farming activities and commercial vegetable production, associated diffuse discharges, and land use change, will provide a key method (alongside participation in any relevant Certified Industry Schemes) for achieving clear and enduring improvements in water quality by implementing adaptive management and mitigation measures in accordance with Policy 6(c).</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2115	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Policy 9 a provision 'e' to read as follows: " <u>Providing Best Practice Management guidelines and examples of cost effective mitigations that have the biggest effect on improving water quality across a range of farming policies, land</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<u>types and other biophysical factors, to be included in Farm Environment Plans and applied on properties and enterprises in the region."</u>
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2274	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for off-setting where it can be demonstrated there will be a commensurate effect on the restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River. AND AMEND Policy 9 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale.
<b>Waitomo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10323	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 and Method 3.11.4.5 to make the development of sub-catchment plans a high priority implementation item OR ADD a NEW sub-catchment management policy to make the development of sub-catchment plans a high priority implementation item AND AMEND to focus sub-catchment plan development and implementation on Priority 1 sub-catchments first. AND AMEND to include working closely with territorial authorities in the development of sub-catchment plans AND AMEND to promote sub-catchment plans that deliver broader benefits than individual property compliance.
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6729	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality on a sub-catchment level AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8355	Oppose the provision	DELETE Clause d) of Policy 9.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7365	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9b to read - "Assessing the reasons for current water quality and sources of contaminant discharge, at various scales in a sub-catchment <u>and must be factual for each water body</u> ". RETAIN Policy 9c. AMEND PPC1 so that all properties have their own Nitrogen Reference Point and they cannot choose one to represent multiple properties.
<b>Waterworth, Serena</b> Submitter ID: 71437	PC1-8596	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9 (d).
<b>Welch, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71841	PC1-7937	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 9.
<b>White Pine Dairies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73277	PC1-11032	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to provide for a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Wilcox, Alexander Greer and Glen Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 73026	PC1-6906	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 managing water quality at a sub-catchment level. Policy 9: AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9. AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies to be adopted in the context of water quality gains to be made through a tailored Farm Environment Plan.
<b>Wildman, Anna Mary</b> Submitter ID: 72505	PC1-3899	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 9 to undertake further work at a sub-catchment level to determine the specific water quality issues affecting each area AND AMEND so that Farm Environment Plans are used to tackle the specific issues relevant to the catchment.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-780	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 9 as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4229	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 9 management of water quality on a sub-catchment level AND AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 1 and 9 AND AMEND by enabling appropriate water quality mitigation strategies to be adopted through tailored Farm Environment Plans.
<b>Worsp, Simon Wynn &amp; Rosemary Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 71269	PC1-932	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 9 to include a sub-catchment approach into the rules. AND MAKE any consequential amendments arising from the submission process to include a sub-catchment approach.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11536	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 9 Policy 9: AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 9 AND AMEND to enable appropriate mitigation strategies through tailored Farm Environment Plans.

## Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges of regional significance/Te Kaupapa Here 10: Te whakataua i ngā rukenga i ngā pū tuwha e noho tāpua ana ki te rohe

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9580	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to make it clear that policies 11 and 12 apply to discharges under Policy 10. AND AMEND Policy 10, 11 and 12 to strengthen in terms of urban centres.
<b>AFFCO New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7514	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND the definition of Point source discharge/s to read as follows: "For the purposes of Chapter 3.11, means discharges from a stationary or fixed facility, including the irrigation onto land from <del>consented</del> industrial and municipal wastewater systems." AND ADD a definition for regionally significant industry to read as follows: " <u>Regionally significant industry - means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) meat processing plants and rendering plants;</u> <u>c) wood processing plants; and</u> <u>c) mineral extraction activities."</u>
<b>Allen, John</b> Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4899	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "b. Continued operation of regionally significant industry <u>subject only to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> "
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4517	Oppose the provision	AMEND to ensure water sampling is undertaken to identify and address point source discharges.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6139	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 10.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10884	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 10 OR AMEND to be included as another consideration in Policy 12.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4478	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN consideration of regional significance of point source discharges to infrastructure and industry in Policy 10. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources affecting the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND AMEND PPC1 to address contaminants on a sub-catchment basis to ensure resources are managed fairly and collaboratively.
<b>BT Mining Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72453	PC1-9920	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read "...provide for the: (a) Continued operation of regionally significant infrastructure <u>and regionally significant industry</u> ; (b) <del>Continued operation of regionally significant industry</del> <u>The growth of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant industry</u> ; (c) <u>The establishment of new regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant industry.</u> "
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-1403	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Policy 10 the consideration of regional significance of point source discharges from infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and to manage all matters influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including Koi Carp, point sources, and hydro-dams. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Buist Family Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73892	PC1-3402	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 10.
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6352	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10. AND AMEND PPC1 to include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and catchments, including pest fish species, point sources and hydro dams. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Charion Investment Trust</b> Submitter ID: 71344	PC1-7759	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Policy 10 an additional clause (c) to read: " <u>...c. Continued operation of associated farming operations and their economic benefit to the region/sub-catchment.</u> "
<b>Contact Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73714	PC1-7376	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4609	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 so that future point sources discharge consents only allow discharges that do not exceed the contaminant levels in relevant freshwater plan. AND AMEND so the overland flows are not be acceptable in weather events that are more frequent than a 5 year storm.
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7637	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of the regional significance of infrastructure and industry point source discharges. AMEND PPC1 to include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River, AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10676	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to ensure that point source discharges are undertaken in a manner that recognises and provides for the values of individual water bodies. AND AMEND PPC1 so that point source discharges are considered as part of a land-based allocation regime.



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			OR AMEND to ensure that the achievement of water quality goals is considered when processing consent applications for point source discharges. AND provide a definition for 'regionally significant industry'.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1166	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to fully disclose point source discharge contaminant levels in each sub-catchment AND ADD Policies that require reductions in point source discharge contaminant levels in line with Policy 1 and 2 for diffuse discharge.
<b>Falconer, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 72027	PC1-3977	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to include a clause that ensure no point source discharges by 2026. Or such other relief that will address the issue raised in this submission.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10827	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9796	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Provide for point source discharges of regionally significant <del>t</del> <u>infrastructure and industry</u> "
<b>Finlay, Drewe Clayton</b> Submitter ID: 73302	PC1-9637	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to include non-point source discharges.
<b>Fletcher Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73848	PC1-5995	Oppose the provision with amendments	ADD to Policy 10 the following sub-paragraph (c): " <u>Continued operation of associated farming operations and their economic benefit to the region/sub-catchment.</u> "
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10598	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Policy 10: Provide for Point Source Discharge from activities of regional significance/.... When deciding resource consent applications for Point Source Discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> , provide for the:..."
<b>Fuller, Mark Allan</b> Submitter ID: 73922	PC1-5355	Not stated	AMEND Policy 10 to include non-point source discharge.
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10744	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges <u>from activities</u> of regional significance/Te Kaupapa..." AND AMEND Policy 10 to read: "...pathogens to water or onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> , provide for the: a. continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant infrastructure; and b. continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant industry."
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2883	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "...Provide for point source discharges <u>from activities</u> of regional significance... microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and 12</u> provide for the: a) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally... b) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally..."
<b>Genesis Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8799	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10 and the Regional Policy Statement definitions of 'regionally significant infrastructure' and 'regionally significant industry'.
<b>Genetic Technologies Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73953	PC1-3254	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 by ADDING the words: " <u>c. while acknowledging that point source discharges need to see proportional reduction in discharges similar to what is being required from diffuse discharge sources (e.g. arable farming).</u> "
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4382	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including Koi Carp, point sources, and hydro-dams.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND to a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Graymont (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2841	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to ADD the words: " <u>c. Continued operation of existing quarrying and mining industry activities that are associated with the extraction and manufacture of products from natural resources and that due to geophysical constraints, are unable to be relocated out of the catchment.</u> "
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11456	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 so that there is a consistent approach to all sources of contaminants
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10755	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to include a new provision to read: " <u>c. Continued operation of regionally significant infrastructure associated with the provision of municipal water supply, wastewater and storm water services, including where this is in response to growth in urban development to give effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement.</u> "
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5692	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to strengthen Policy 10.
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10509	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to provide that all point source discharge resource consents are reviewed immediately in line with PPC1.
<b>Holland, John David and Roselei Elizabeth</b> Submitter ID: 72851	PC1-8269	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 to give diffuse dischargers the same rights as point source dischargers, for 25 years.
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4573	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry. Policy 10. AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including Koi Carp, point sources, and hydro-dams. AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10087	Not stated	AMEND Policy 10 to ensure that the recognition in the Regional Policy Statement for agriculture as a regionally significant industry is given equal weight when ensuring that point source discharges are to give effect to the targets of the Vision and Strategy as outlined in Table 3.11-1 AND MAKE any consequential amendments to the proposed changes to existing, objectives, policies and rules relating to point source discharge that are contained within Part D of PPC1 to give effect to the above relief.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5886	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 by treating all industry/infrastructure equally regardless of the industry and all consents are reviewed immediately.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6828	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges, and hydro-dams AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>J Swap Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6414	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 as follows - " <b>Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges from activities of regional significance</b> When deciding resource consent applications for point source discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> provide for the: a) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant infrastructure; and b) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant industry."
<b>Keeling, Peter</b>	PC1-4844	Not stated	No specific decision sought for Policy 10.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73765			
<b>Keighley, Albie John Hirst</b> Submitter ID: 73944	PC1-5115	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to include non-point source discharge.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1834	Oppose the provision	DELETE (Policy 10) the first sentence of Policy 6.
<b>King Country Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7879	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG)</b> Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4077	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to define short and long term targets for Waste Water Treatment Plants. AND CONSIDER increasing Waikato Regional Council resources to support the consent renewal process such that the defined short and long term targets in PPC1 are complied with.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12268	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of the regional significance of infrastructure and industry point source discharges AMEND PPC1 to include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9955	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.
<b>Lumsden, Malcolm John</b> Submitter ID: 73454	PC1-2492	Support the provision with amendments	ADD to Policy 10 the words: " <u>that nothing in this plan shall take precedent over the ongoing use of the region's significant flood control and drainage structures operational requirements.</u> "
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3191	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include management of all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including Koi Carp, point sources, and hydro-dams. AND AMEND to a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 1)</b> Submitter ID: 72604	PC1-2928	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 2)</b> Submitter ID: 72598	PC1-2884	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 3)</b> Submitter ID: 72602	PC1-2916	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 4)</b> Submitter ID: 72600	PC1-2901	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 5)</b> Submitter ID: 72606	PC1-2814	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now. AND AMEND so that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable. AND REMOVE current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives. AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry. AND AMEND so that farms that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maihihi Farmers Group (Submitter 6)</b> Submitter ID: 72590	PC1-2937	Oppose the provision	RETAIN PPC1 as the only plan for now AND ENSURE that future additions to PPC1 are socially, economically and environmentally viable AND AMEND to discard current ideas based on Collaborative Stakeholder group modelling AND AMEND to include provisions that support new work over the 10 years of PPC1 to improve the science base and develop new mitigations to achieve the objectives AND AMEND so that agriculture is treated with as much importance as regionally significant industry AND AMEND so that farms around Tokoroa that should not have been allowed to be converted should be returned to native bush, and the farmers paid out at current market value for that land.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9211	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide have regard to the continued operation of:</del> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3505	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 and amend to provide the following definitions: " <u>Regionally significant infrastructure means 'municipal wastewater treatment plants, water supply treatment plants and bulk water supply, wastewater conveyance and storage systems, municipal supply dams and ancillary infrastructure.'</u> " AND " <u>Regionally significant industry means 'an economic activity based on use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale.'</u> These may include social, economic or cultural benefits or a combination thereof. Regional significant industry includes:

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			a) Dairy manufacturing sites; b) Meat processing plants; and c) Pulp and paper processing plants."
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11739	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>McDonald, Kevin and Jane</b> Submitter ID: 72145	PC1-8712	Not stated	No specific decision requested for Policy 10.
<b>McGovern, Annette</b> Submitter ID: 72969	PC1-8315	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to define Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Regionally Significant Industry.
<b>McKenzie, Colin and Valerie</b> Submitter ID: 71225	PC1-1495	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 by ensuring all industries are subject to a 10 year, sinking lid policy so that the load of change is shared amongst the whole community AND AMEND Policy 10 to ensure all industries are treated equally.
<b>McKie, Craig John</b> Submitter ID: 73381	PC1-2050	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 by including non-point source discharges.
<b>McKie, David Robert and Carmel Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73377	PC1-2064	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 by including non-point source discharge.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11888	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9572	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 AND ADD to the Glossary of terms a definition for 'regionally significant industry'.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6871	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10's consideration of the regional significance of point source discharges for infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point sources and hydro dams AND AMEND PPC1 to a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND so that diffuse sources are also considered in terms of regional significance, applying Best Practicable Options and able to spread mitigation costs over time.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2033	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the consideration of point source discharges in the restoration and protection of the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments under Policy 10. AND AMEND Policy 10 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments including Koi Carp, point sources and hydro dams.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2637	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 so all industry is treated equally and any resource consents held are reviewed.
<b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3709	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11586	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11838	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3941	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of regional significance of point source discharges for infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include management responses for all factors affecting water quality including Koi Carp, point sources and hydro-dams. AND AMEND to a sub-catchment management approach collaborative and fair management of resources in each sub-catchment.
<b>North Waikato Federated Farmers</b> Submitter ID: 73705	PC1-2297	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to recognise the importance of the North Waikato flood control scheme locally, regionally and nationally.
<b>NZ Transport Agency</b> Submitter ID: 73542	PC1-4831	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 as follows: "When deciding resource consent application for point source discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, provide for the: <u>aa) Safe and efficient functioning of the existing and planned state highway network;</u> ..."
<b>Oil Companies</b> Submitter ID: 73716	PC1-2593	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to ensure that the policy framework (particularly policies 10-13) is applied to targeted only to the rural environment/farming activities. Several options are presented: <b>Option A:</b> RETAIN Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 without modification AND AMEND the Introduction to Chapter 3.11 Area covered by Chapter 3.11/Nga Riu o nga Awa o Waikato me Waipā to read: "This Chapter 3.11 applies to the... This Chapter is additional to all other parts of the Plan. <del>Where there are any inconsistencies, Chapter 3.11 prevails.</del> This Chapter only applies to discharges from pastoral land." <b>Option B:</b> RETAIN Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 without modification and include an introduction/advice note at the beginning of the Policies section (3.11.3) to read: "3.11.3 Policies/Nga Kaupapa Here. <u>The following policies apply to applications involving discharges from pastoral farm land only.</u> " <b>Option C:</b> AMEND Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 to ensure that they only apply to discharges from pastoral farm land to read: "Policy 10 Provide for point source discharges of regional significance... When deciding resource consent... microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land <u>from pastoral farm land</u> , provide for the... Policy 11 Applications to Best Practicable Option and Mitigation....Require any person undertaking point source discharge....Waikato and Waipā River catchments <u>from pastoral farm land</u> to adopt the Best Practicable... Policy 12 Additional considerations for point source discharges <u>from pastoral farm land</u> in relation to water quality targets... Policy 13 Point source consent duration..."
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6426	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "...When deciding resource consent applications for point source discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and/ or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, <del>provide for the:</del> a. <u>Provide for the</u> <del>Continued</del> operation of regionally significant infrastructure; and b. <u>Provide for the</u> <del>Continued</del> operation <u>or expansion</u> of regionally significant industry"

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND AMEND the Glossary of terms by defining regionally significant industry to clearly include the Kinleith Industrial Park, for example, to read: "Regionally significant industry- means industry based on the region's use of natural and physical resources which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes: a) Wood processing plants; b) Dairy manufacturing sites; c) Meat processing plants; d) Mineral extraction activities; and e) Renewable energy generation."
<b>Okell, Robert Steven</b> Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-182	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to increase the controls placed on point source discharges.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7316	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to require measurement and monitoring of point source discharges AND AMEND to include point source discharges as part of the staged solution in their respective sub-catchments to meet PPC1 goals.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9401	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to ensure all point source discharge resource consents are reviewed immediately in line with PPC1 AND AMEND to ensure all industry/infrastructure is treated equally regardless of the industry AND AMEND to ensure a sub-catchment approach is adopted to address all contaminants.
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5757	Oppose the provision	ADD to Policy 10 a 5 year staged plan to detect and eliminate dry weather cross-connections of sewerage into storm water within territorial Authorities and private storm water discharges into streams and rivers. AND DELETE Policy 10 OR provide a similar level of recognition and protection for non-point sources.
<b>Passau, Mark and Amy</b> Submitter ID: 73952	PC1-2769	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12014	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11690	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11157	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 10 to reflect the regional significance of primary production sectors.
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10581	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Reeves, John</b> Submitter ID: 71201	PC1-876	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 and AMEND so that PPC1 recognises agriculture as a regionally significant industry.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8556	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 10 in its entirety.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7175	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN in Policy 10 the consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point sources and hydro-dams AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4009	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10(a) and (b) so that all infrastructure should be in play for mitigations which are effective, provided this does not force the infrastructure to be unfit for purpose.
<b>Rotorua Lakes Council</b> Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2507	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 by inserting the following sub clause: <u>"c) Continued operation of regionally significant infrastructure associated with the provision of municipal water supply, wastewater and storm water services, including where needed in response to urban development growth that gives effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement and Territorial Authority Spatial Plans."</u> AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5693	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 so that all infrastructure, whether significant under this definition or not should be in play for making mitigations which are effective provided the mitigations do not force the infrastructure to be unfit for purpose either economic or physical.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2623	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the consideration of the regional significance of point source discharges of infrastructure and industry. Policy 10 AND AMEND PPC1 to take a holistic approach that includes all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments. AND AMEND to recognise that Class I, II and IIIe soils are scarce and the climate of the Franklin area means it is a regionally significant food-producing area. AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11107	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 10.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4056	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10. AND ADD a definition for regionally significant infrastructure: " <u>Regionally significant infrastructure means 'municipal wastewater treatment plants, water supply treatment plants and bulk water supply, wastewater conveyance and storage systems, municipal supply dams and ancillary infrastructure.'</u> " AND ADD a definition of regionally significant industry: " <u>Regionally significant industry means 'an economic activity based on use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits or a combination thereof Regional significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants; and</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants.'</u> "
<b>Stachurski, Wayne Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73343	PC1-4346	Oppose the provision	Ensure all contributors to point source discharges, including significant infrastructure and industry, provide plans for either future mitigation or offset measures. Policy 10



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Stevenson Resources Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73732	PC1-5026	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges <u>from activities</u> of regional significance. When deciding resource consent applications... to water and onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> provide for the: a) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant infrastructure; and b) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant industry." AND ADD a definition of regionally significant industry [submission point glossary of terms].
<b>Taniwha Estate Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6519	Not stated	AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ do not have a specific decision sought for Policy 10.] [Fonterra: AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Policy 10: Provide for Point Source Discharge <u>from activities</u> of regional significance/... When deciding resource consent applications for Point Source Discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> , provide for the:..."]
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8112	Support the provision	RETAIN the intent of Policy 10 AND AMEND to recognise the monitoring undertaken during the operation of consented wastewater activities when assessing and considering resource consent renewal AND AMEND to ensure the definition of regionally significant infrastructure includes storm water infrastructure.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11787	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11979	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11636	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12248	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12063	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7883	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8263	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 10 OR AMEND to define regionally significant infrastructure AND REMOVE industry from Policy 10 and ensure that regionally significant infrastructure and industry are considered in establishing any nutrient allocation approach, and that they meet best practice; and undertake measures to ensure water quality targets are achieved. AND CLARIFY how new activities are to be addressed.
<b>Tirohanga Settlers and Sports Association</b> Submitter ID: 71751	PC1-7102	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to ensure that all polluters and all sectors contribute equally to reducing pollution and the costs of improvements and reductions are borne by those causing the problem. AND AMEND by providing provision for the treatment and proper disposal of runoff from gutters/streets.
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9772	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 10.
<b>Trustees of Highfield Deer Park</b> Submitter ID: 73932	PC1-3978	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to include definitions for 'regionally significant industry' and 'regionally significant infrastructure' or reference to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement definitions.
<b>Tuakau Proteins Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73915	PC1-3321	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to include the following definition: <u>"Regionally significant industry - means industry based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</u> <u>a) Dairy manufacturing sites;</u> <u>b) Meat processing plants and rendering plants;</u> <u>c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and</u> <u>d) Mineral extraction activities"</u>
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12190	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Turton, Francis James</b> Submitter ID: 73883	PC1-3740	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 to provide for the Waikato Regional Council to invest in systems and infrastructure to stop storm water runoff.
<b>Tuwharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10331	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6792	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including Koi Carp, point sources, and hydro-dams. AND AMEND to a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3805	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Provide for <u>diffuse and</u> point source discharges of regional significance. ... When deciding resource consent applications for <u>diffuse and</u> point source..."
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12126	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11969	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3342	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, <del>provide</del> <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant infrastructure'; and b. <del>Continued operation of</del> regionally significant industry'."
<b>Waikato District Council (WDC)</b> Submitter ID: 73418	PC1-3129	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3061	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "a. Continued operation of regionally significant infrastructure <u>, including the need for flood and drainage infrastructure to convey water during flood events;</u> and"
<b>Waipa District Council</b> Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3161	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.
<b>Waipapa Farms Ltd and Carlyle Holdings Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73863	PC1-4700	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to define Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Regionally Significant Industry.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11350	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "Policy 10: Provide for <u>diffuse or</u> point source discharges of regional significance... When deciding resource consent applications for <u>diffuse or</u> point source discharges... b. Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant industry <u>and primary production.</u> "
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2117	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10.
<b>Waitomo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10318	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 10. AND AMEND the Policies to address the policy disconnect between Policies 10 to 12 and Policy 6 [Policies 10 to 12 assume that certain discharges can have an adverse effect to a point and may increase, but Policy 6 does not make the same allowance].
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6733	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges, and hydro-dams AND AMEND to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Wallace, Martin Lindsay</b> Submitter ID: 72975	PC1-8357	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 10.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7375	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 10 and require regionally significant infrastructure and industry to comply with the plan rules by 1 July 2026.
<b>Watercare Services Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8339	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10. AND AMEND Policy 10 to include the future development of regionally significant infrastructure to service future growth.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			AND ADD to the glossary of terms the definition of the regionally significant infrastructure as defined in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement.
<b>Welch, Andrew</b> Submitter ID: 71841	PC1-7934	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 10.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-781	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 10 to apply the same provisions to all land users.
<b>Wilson, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 73923	PC1-5185	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to include non-point source. AND AMEND to provide for 25 year consents
<b>Wiremu Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8835	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10 to allow diffuse dischargers the same privileges as point source dischargers.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4230	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the consideration of the regional significance of point source discharges infrastructure and industry in Policy 10 AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point source discharges and hydro dams AND AMEND by adopting a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11537	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 10 consideration of the regional significance of infrastructure and industry point source discharges. AMEND PPC1 to include all factors influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River, AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.

## Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā pū tuwha

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Advisory Committee on Regional Environment (ACRE)</b> Submitter ID: 72441	PC1-9585	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 10, 11 and 12 to strengthen in terms of urban centres.
<b>AFFCO New Zealand Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74140	PC1-7636	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Paragraph 1 of Policy 11 as follows: "...Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate <del>all</del> <u>any</u> adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the:" OR AMEND the second sentence of Policy 11 to read as follows: " <u>BPO in the context of point source discharges will be interpreted to include the ability to propose an offset measure in an alternative location or locations, where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or</u>

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<del>locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the..."</del>
<b>Allen, John</b> Submitter ID: 73734	PC1-4900	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 by defining the descriptor 'significant' in terms of, for example, the level of high discharge as a percentage of the upper limit and a duration.
<b>Anderson, Graham Harold</b> Submitter ID: 73978	PC1-4518	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 11.
<b>A S Wilcox &amp; Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73142	PC1-4317	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend offsetting to diffuse discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Ata Rangi 2015 Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74045	PC1-6141	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 11.
<b>Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game and Eastern Region Fish and Game</b> Submitter ID: 74085	PC1-10887	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "...Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all adverse effects <u>all adverse effects cannot be reasonable avoided, they should be mitigated, and where they cannot reasonably be mitigated, an offset measure may should be proposed</u> in an alternative location or locations to the point of discharge... provided that the: a. Primary discharge does not result in any significant <u>or</u> toxic adverse effects at the point of discharge location; and ... d. Offset measure <del>remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition and, if necessary, a bond in order to ensure it is achieved and maintained in the long term preferably in perpetuity; and</del> <u>e. Offset measure results in a predicted net decrease of the contaminant in the receiving environment."</u>
<b>Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74036	PC1-6893	Support the provision	ADOPT Policy 11 as notified. AND MAKE any similar amendments to like effect or any consequential amendments that stem from the relief sought.
<b>Balle, Patricia Katherine</b> Submitter ID: 72557	PC1-4505	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN applying best practicable options in Policy 11 AND AMEND Policy 11 to ensure all stakeholders are included AND CLARIFY 'significant toxic adverse effect' AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>B Das and Sons Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73689	PC1-9074	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to include non-point source discharges.
<b>BT Mining Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72453	PC1-9924	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read as follows: "...in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option* <del>to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided. Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the:</del> a. <del>Primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and</del> b. <del>Offset measure is for the same contaminant; and</del> c. <del>Offset measure occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit or a Freshwater Management Unit located upstream, and</del> d. <del>Offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition.</del>

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><u>as at the time the resource consent application is decided to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge. An applicant may propose an offset measure in an alternative location or locations to the proposed point source discharge and the positive effects of that offset measure must be taken into account when assessing the overall effects of the proposed discharge and the conditions of any consent. Any such offset measure must:</u></p> <p><u>- Be for the same contaminant or contaminants;</u></p> <p><u>- Occur preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge is proposed to occur but if this is not practicable within the same Freshwater Management Unit or a Freshwater Management Unit located upstream; and</u></p> <p><u>- Remain in place for the duration of the consent and able to be secured by the conditions of the resource consent"</u></p>
<b>Buckley, Carol</b> Submitter ID: 71421	PC1-2687	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN in Policy 11 the consideration of regional significance of point source discharges from infrastructure and industry.</p> <p>AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and to manage all matters influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including Koi Carp, point sources, and hydro- dams.</p> <p>AND ADOPT a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment.</p>
<b>Cameron, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 60603	PC1-6361	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 11 to include all stakeholders, eg through Farm Environment Plans.</p> <p>AND CLARIFY what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect'</p> <p>AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 11.</p>
<b>Chapman, Brenhan J</b> Submitter ID: 72776	PC1-10264	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend offsetting to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Chapman, John K</b> Submitter ID: 73086	PC1-10689	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend offsetting to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Chapman, Sharon M</b> Submitter ID: 73084	PC1-10732	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend offsetting to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Chapman, Victor J</b> Submitter ID: 72779	PC1-10710	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend offsetting to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Chhagn Bros Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73762	PC1-5592	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Contact Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73714	PC1-7380	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND wording of Policy 11 from "<del>all adverse effects</del>" to "<u>any significant adverse effect</u>"</p> <p>AND ENSURE that all adverse effects of a point source discharge are not required to be avoided, mitigated or offset</p> <p>AND AMEND to allow existing and low discharging activities to continue or to be established for both point source and diffuse source discharges.</p>
<b>Dean, David</b> Submitter ID: 73782	PC1-4613	Oppose the provision	<p>AMEND Policy 11 so that future point sources discharge consents only allow discharges that do not exceed the contaminant levels in relevant freshwater plan.</p> <p>AND AMEND so the overland flows are not be acceptable in weather events that are more frequent than a 5 year storm.</p>
<b>Denize, Mathew John</b> Submitter ID: 72701	PC1-7638	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Policy 11 Best Practicable Options</p> <p>AND AMEND to include all stakeholders</p> <p>AND CLARIFY a 'significant toxic adverse effect'.</p> <p>AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 11.</p>

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Department of Conservation</b> Submitter ID: 71759	PC1-10694	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to ensure that avoidance and then mitigation of adverse effects from point source discharges are achieved as far as reasonably practicable before offsetting is considered. AND AMEND Policy 11 to ensure that the significant values of water bodies are recognised and provided for when determining the suitability of offsetting to acknowledge instances where adverse effects on values cannot be offset.
<b>Eight Mile Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71395	PC1-1167	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to fully disclose point source discharge contaminant levels in each sub-catchment AND ADD Policies that require reductions in point source discharge contaminant levels in line with Policy 1 and 2 for diffuse discharge.
<b>Falconer, Chris</b> Submitter ID: 72027	PC1-3984	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to ensure that offsets are only provided for in the transition period, with zero point source discharges after 2026. Or such other relief that will address the issue raised in this submission.
<b>Farm Environment Trust (Waikato)</b> Submitter ID: 73798	PC1-5057	Support the provision	RETAIN in Policy 11 the principle of offsets.
<b>Federated Farmers of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74191	PC1-10828	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11, subject to refinement through the further submissions process.
<b>Fertiliser Association of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 73305	PC1-9797	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Finlay, Drewe Clayton</b> Submitter ID: 73302	PC1-9638	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to include non-point source discharges.
<b>Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74057	PC1-10601	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to Point Source Discharge. Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option* to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided" AND ADD a NEW Policy 11A to read: " <u>Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of Point Source Discharge</u> Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all any adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the: a. <del>The P</del> primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and b. <del>The O</del> ffset measure is for the same contaminant; and c. <del>The O</del> ffset measure occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit^ or a Freshwater Management Unit^ located upstream; and d. <u>The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects caused by the contaminant(s) being offset in the Point Source Discharge on the Waikato or Waipā River catchment; and</u> e. <del>The O</del> ffset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition or another legally binding mechanism."
<b>Fuller, Mark Allan</b> Submitter ID: 73922	PC1-5356	Not stated	AMEND Policy 11 to include non-point source discharge.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Fulton Hogan Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74048	PC1-10747	Support the provision with amendments	<p>SPLIT Policy 11 into two policies to read as follows:                      "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges                      Require any person undertaking a <b>point source discharge</b> . . . at the time a resource consent application is decided.                      "<u>Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of point source discharges</u>                      Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate <del>all</del> <u>any significant</u> adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the <b>point source discharge</b> <del>for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity</del> provided that the:</p> <p>a) <del>The P</del><u>Primary</u> discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the <b>point source discharge</b> location; and                      b) <del>The O</del><u>ffset</u> measure is for the same contaminant; and                      c) <del>The O</del><u>ffset</u> measure occurs preferably within the same <u>or upstream of the sub-catchment</u> in which the primary discharge occurs <del>and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit<sup>A</sup> or a Freshwater Management Unit<sup>A</sup> located upstream, and</del> [NOTE THAT THE SUBMITTER REQUESTS DIFFERENT WORDING IN THE INITIAL TABLE, TO THAT IN THE MARKED UP VERSION]  <u>d) The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects on the Waikato and Waipā River catchment caused by nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and/or microbial pathogens; and</u>                      e) <del>The O</del><u>ffset</u> measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition <u>or another legally binding mechanism.</u>"</p>
<b>GBC Winstone</b> Submitter ID: 73992	PC1-2947	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges. Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... a resource consent application to be decided.  <u>Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of point source discharges.</u> Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate <del>all</del> <u>any significant</u> adverse effect s , an offset measure may be proposed...locations to the point source discharge, <del>for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity</del> provided that the:</p> <p>a) <del>The P</del><u>Primary</u> discharge does not result...                      b) <del>The O</del><u>ffset</u> measure is for the same...                      c) <del>The O</del><u>ffset</u> measure occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment...  <u>d) The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects on the Waikato or Waipā River catchment caused by nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and/or microbial pathogens; and</u>                      e) <del>The O</del><u>ffset</u> measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition <u>or another legally binding mechanism.</u>"</p>
<b>Genesis Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 74052	PC1-8801	Support the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 11 to read:                      "...Where it is not practicable to <del>avoid or mitigate</del><u>prevent or minimise all</u> adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed <u>by that person</u> in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen <del>any</del> <u>the</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the:</p> <p>a. <del>Primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and</del>                      b. <del>Offset measure is for the sane</del> <u>Offset measure is for the same</u> contaminant; and</p>



Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<p><del>e. b.</del> Offset measure occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the <del>primary</del> point source discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit or a Freshwater Management Unit located upstream, and</p> <p><del>d. c.</del> Offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition,"</p>
<b>Goodwright, Sydney Alfred</b> Submitter ID: 72983	PC1-4383	Support the provision with amendments	<p>RETAIN Policy 11 applying Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders in applying Best Practical Options e.g. through Farm Environment Plans.</p> <p>AND AMEND to provide clarification for what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect'.</p> <p>AND AMEND rules to reflect Policy 11.</p>
<b>Graymont (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73954	PC1-2842	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Hahn, Jacqueline Marie</b> Submitter ID: 53103	PC1-11458	Support the provision	No particular decision requested for Policy 11.
<b>Hamilton City Council</b> Submitter ID: 74051	PC1-10758	Oppose the provision with amendments	<p>AMEND Policy 11 to read:</p> <p>"Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge (<del>the primary discharge</del>), <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del>. Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all adverse effects, <del>an one or more</del> offset measures may be proposed. <u>Offset measures may apply in an alternative location or locations to the point source primary discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the:</u></p> <p>a. Primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect <del>at the point source discharge location</del>; and</p> <p>b. <del>Offset measure is for the same contaminant; and</del></p> <p>c. Offset measures occurs preferably within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and is this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit or Freshwater Management Unit located upstream, and</p> <p>d. Offset measures remains in place for the duration of the consent and <del>is are</del> secured by consent condition. <u>The purpose of any offset measures shall be to ensure a net improvement in water quality in the specified sub-catchment or Freshwater Management Unit that exceeds the residual adverse effects of allowing the primary discharge. When a resource consent application is decided, decide also the Best Practicable Option, details of any offset measures, and the required timing for implementation of the Best Practicable Option and any offset measures. Allow implementation of the Best Practicable Option and any offset measures to be staged."</u></p>
<b>Hancock Forest Management (NZ) Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73724	PC1-5705	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND to strengthen Policy 11.
<b>Henson, Edgar</b> Submitter ID: 73631	PC1-10510	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 11.
<b>Hira Bhana and Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71757	PC1-4130	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 and the method to extend to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Holland, John David and Roselei Elizabeth</b>	PC1-8270	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to give diffuse dischargers the same rights as point source dischargers, for 25 years.

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 72851			
<b>Holmes, Gavin</b> Submitter ID: 73971	PC1-4574	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 with regards to applying Best Practicable Options but amend to include all stakeholders e.g. through a Farm Environment Plan AND AMEND to provide clarification for what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect', as mentioned in Policy 11 AND AMEND rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ)</b> Submitter ID: 73801	PC1-10088	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Huirimu Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72582	PC1-5888	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 so that it does not benefit potential polluters.
<b>Jefferis, Daniel</b> Submitter ID: 72989	PC1-6829	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 application of Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders in applying Best Practicable Options, for example through Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide clarification on what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect' AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Jivan Produce Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71429	PC1-1353	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>J Swap Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71618	PC1-6417	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 as follows - "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option <del>and mitigation or offset of effects</del> to point source discharges Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option* to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided.  <b><u>Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of point source discharges</u></b> Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate <del>any significant</del> adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, <del>for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity</del> provided that <del>the</del> : a) <del>The P</del> primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source-discharge location; and b) <del>The O</del> ffset measure is for the same contaminant; and c) <del>The O</del> ffset measure occurs <del>preferably within the same or upstream of the sub- catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit or a Freshwater Management Unit locate d upstream,</del> and <u>d) The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects on the Waikato or Waipā River catchment caused by nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and/or microbial pathogens; and</u> <u>The O</u> ffset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition <u>or another legally binding mechanism.</u> "
<b>June, Selwyn</b> Submitter ID: 73354	PC1-4772	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt <del>the Best Practicable Option</del> best management practice/s to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided.</del> Where it is not practicable..."

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Keighley, Albie John Hirst</b> Submitter ID: 73944	PC1-5116	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to include non-point source discharge.
<b>Kilgour, Gareth</b> Submitter ID: 72950	PC1-1835	Not stated	AMEND Policy 11 so that the offset measure is not required for the same contaminant.
<b>King Country Energy Limited</b> Submitter ID: 60693	PC1-7880	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11. AND MAKE any similar amendments with like effect. AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Lakes and Waterways Action Group Trust (LWAG)</b> Submitter ID: 53342	PC1-4079	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to define short and long term targets for Waste Water Treatment Plants. AND CONSIDER increasing Waikato Regional Council resources to support the consent renewal process such that the defined short and long term targets in PPC1 are complied with.
<b>Living Foods Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73758	PC1-5284	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 and the method to extend to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Logan, Andrea Jane</b> Submitter ID: 73464	PC1-12275	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 Best Practicable Options, AND AMEND to include all stakeholders, AND CLARIFY a 'significant toxic adverse effect'. AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Lumbercorp NZ Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 71753	PC1-9972	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to avoid any interpretation that the obligation to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects of a point source discharge is greater than or extends beyond application of the Best Practicable Option as determined at the time an approval is obtained. AND AMEND Policy 11 to read: " <del>Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed</del> <u>BPO in the context of point source discharges will be interpreted to include the ability to propose an offset measure</u> in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge for the purpose of..."
<b>Mackenzie, David Stuart</b> Submitter ID: 71695	PC1-3192	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 AND AMEND to include all stakeholders in applying Best Practical Options e.g. through Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to provide clarification of what a 'significant toxic adverse effect' is. AND AMEND rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Macnab, Rob and Tina</b> Submitter ID: 74150	PC1-8039	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Makan Daya &amp; Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73729	PC1-5001	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to extend to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Maniapoto Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73730	PC1-9212	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> <del>by offsetting</del> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Matamata-Piako District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73419	PC1-3507	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to clarify that the principle of the point source discharger can implement offset measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• as part of measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects;</li> <li>• to be in alternative locations and or sub-catchments;</li> </ul>

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that are available for more than one type of contaminant; and</li> <li>• which can be staged over the period of the resource consent</li> </ul>
<b>Maungatautari Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73990	PC1-11740	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> <del>by</del> <u>offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>McKenzie, Colin and Valerie</b> Submitter ID: 71225	PC1-1496	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 11 by ensuring all industries are subject to 10 year, sinking lid policy so that the load of change is shared amongst the whole community. AND AMEND Policy 11 to ensure all industries are treated equally.
<b>McKie, Craig John</b> Submitter ID: 73381	PC1-2052	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 by including non-point source discharges.
<b>McKie, David Robert and Carmel Ann</b> Submitter ID: 73377	PC1-2066	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 by including non-point source discharge.
<b>McLean, Parekawhia</b> Submitter ID: 73359	PC1-11889	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> <del>by</del> <u>offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Meier, Peter</b> Submitter ID: 72622	PC1-9489	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that if mitigation measures are included in Farm Environment Plans, no additional resource consents are required AND AMEND to ensure that impacts such as one-off sediment discharges as a result of earthworks required to undertake mitigation are permitted.
<b>Mercury NZ Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73182	PC1-9574	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Muir, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 71422	PC1-6873	Support the provision with amendments	Policy 11: RETAIN consideration of the regional significance of point source discharges for infrastructure and industry. AND AMEND PPC1 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments, including koi carp, point sources and hydro dams AND AMEND PPC1 to adopt a sub-catchment management approach to ensure collaborative and fair management of resources within each sub-catchment. AND AMEND so that diffuse sources are also considered in terms of regional significance, applying Best Practicable Options and able to spread mitigation costs over time.
<b>Munro, David Malcolm and Lisa Ann</b> Submitter ID: 71419	PC1-2036	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the consideration of point source discharges in the restoration and protection of the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments under Policy 11. AND AMEND Policy 11 to be holistic and include all sources influencing the health and well-being of the Waikato River and its catchments including Koi Carp, point sources and hydro dams.
<b>Neal, Phillip John and Kristin Marie</b> Submitter ID: 73802	PC1-2639	Oppose the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 11.
<b>New Zealand Pork Industry Board</b>	PC1-4622	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Require any person undertaking point... avoid or mitigate the adverse effects <u>(that are more than minor)</u> of the discharge, at the time..."

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 73780			
<b>New Zealand Steel Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73790	PC1-3713	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to avoid any interpretation that the obligation to avoid, remedy and mitigate the adverse effects of a point source discharge is greater than or extends beyond application of the Best Practicable Option as determined at the time an approval is obtained. For example, amend the second sentence of Policy 11: <del>"Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed</del> <u>Best Practicable Option in the context of point source discharges will be interpreted to include the ability to propose an offset measure</u> in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge for the purpose of..."
<b>Ngaati Tamaoho Trust Te Taiao Roopuu</b> Submitter ID: 74088	PC1-11587	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Ngati Haua Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73515	PC1-11839	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Nicholson, Chris and Vikki</b> Submitter ID: 72447	PC1-3945	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to apply to all stakeholders though Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to clarify the meaning of 'significant toxic adverse effects'. AND AMEND Rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>NZ Transport Agency</b> Submitter ID: 73542	PC1-4833	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Oil Companies</b> Submitter ID: 73716	PC1-2594	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to ensure that the policy framework (particularly policies 10-13) is applied to targeted only to the rural environment/farming activities. Several options are presented: <b>Option A:</b> RETAIN Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 without modification AND AMEND the Introduction to Chapter 3.11 Area covered by Chapter 3.11/Nga Riu o nga Awa o Waikato me Waipā to read: "This Chapter 3.11 applies to the... This Chapter is additional to all other parts of the Plan. <del>Where there are any inconsistencies, Chapter 3.11 prevails.</del> <u>This Chapter only applies to discharges from pastoral land.</u> " <b>Option B:</b> RETAIN Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 without modification and include an introduction/advice note at the beginning of the Policies section (3.11.3) to read: "3.11.3 Policies/Nga Kaupapa Here. <u>The following policies apply to applications involving discharges from pastoral farm land only.</u> " <b>Option C:</b> AMEND Policies 3.11.3.10 to 3.11.3.13 to ensure that they only apply to discharges from pastoral farm land to read: "Policy 10 Provide for point source discharges of regional significance... When deciding resource consent... microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land <u>from pastoral farm land</u> , provide for the... Policy 11 Applications to Best Practicable Option and Mitigation....Require any person undertaking point source discharge....Waikato and Waipā River catchments <u>from pastoral farm land</u> to adopt the Best Practicable... Policy 12 Additional considerations for point source discharges <u>from pastoral farm land</u> in relation to water quality targets..."

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			Policy 13 Point source consent duration..."
<b>Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73725	PC1-6547	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to make it clear that the adoption of the Best Practicable Options is the principal mechanism for achieving Objective 3. AND AMEND Policy 11 to make it clear that an offset is not additional to, but may form part of the Best Practicable Options. AND AMEND Policy 11 to make clear that the discharge/activity will not require a ten percent or any other standardised numeric reduction in discharges towards the long term water quality improvements as indicated by the short term water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11-1 as an obligation additional to the adoption of the Best Practicable Options.
<b>Okell, Robert Steven</b> Submitter ID: 71079	PC1-155	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to increase the controls placed on point source discharges.
<b>Oliver, William and Karen</b> Submitter ID: 73021	PC1-7318	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 so that offsets are only available to infrastructure of significant sub-catchment and regional importance, and offset are an absolute last resort and must be of a very high standard to receive a resource consent.
<b>Osborne, Bob, Judy, Kim and Janette</b> Submitter ID: 73249	PC1-9402	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 11 OR AMEND to ensure that it does not benefit potential polluters AND AMEND to ensure that all discharges from industry/infrastructure are treated, and meet the same criteria/contaminant levels as diffuse discharges.
<b>Pamu Farms of New Zealand</b> Submitter ID: 74000	PC1-5848	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to provide a new policy for a systematic review of point source and their seasonal effects on water quality. Include ranked and prioritised sites, to offer alternative land and funding. All catchment community to fund, including Agri-community/processing industries. OR ADD a NEW Policy and Rules for systemic S.128 review of all existing discharge permits over the term of PPC1.
<b>Passau, Mark and Amy</b> Submitter ID: 73952	PC1-2772	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Perfect Produce Co Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72488	PC1-4194	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 and the method to extend to non-point source discharges where the same environmental outcomes can be achieved.
<b>Poohara Marae</b> Submitter ID: 73545	PC1-12015	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> from point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Potini Whaanau</b> Submitter ID: 74089	PC1-11691	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> from point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Primary Land Users Group</b> Submitter ID: 71427	PC1-11159	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to allow for Best Practicable Option management to occur AND AMEND to enable offsetting within an enterprise where environmental investment has off-set diffuse discharges.
<b>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association Inc (PVGA)</b>	PC1-7799	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Submitter ID: 74220			
<b>Raukawa Charitable Trust</b> Submitter ID: 74073	PC1-10555	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> from point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <del>any</del> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Reeves and Taylor, James Gordon Livingston and Amy Louise</b> Submitter ID: 71614	PC1-8559	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to <u>diffuse and</u> point source discharges..." AND AMEND remainder of the policy to the same effect.
<b>Roberts, Jessica</b> Submitter ID: 74141	PC1-7176	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 application of Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders e.g. through Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to clarify what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect'. Policy 11: AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Robson, Angus</b> Submitter ID: 72479	PC1-4012	Oppose the provision	DELETE Policy 11 Offsets and use pollution levies.
<b>Rotorua Lakes Council</b> Submitter ID: 73373	PC1-2508	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 by removal of the following sub clause: " <del>b. Offset measure is for the same contaminant.</del> " AND AMEND by addition of the following: " <u>The purpose of any offset measure shall be able to ensure a net improvement in water quality in the specified sub-catchment of Freshwater Management Unit that exceeds the residual adverse effects of allowing the primary discharge.</u> " AND MAKE any consequential amendments.
<b>Ryan Farms Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73425	PC1-2262	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Save Lake Karapiro Inc</b> Submitter ID: 72459	PC1-5727	Oppose the provision	DELETE the use of offset programs. Policy 11 REPLACE with the use of pollution levies to achieve the required outcome.
<b>Shaw and Hall, Leigh Michael and Bradley John</b> Submitter ID: 73858	PC1-2624	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to ensure that all stakeholders adopt the Best Practicable Option. AND AMEND to clarify 'significant toxic adverse effect'. AND AMEND the rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Southern Pastures Limited Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 74062	PC1-11108	Support the provision	No specific decision sought Policy 11.
<b>South Waikato District Council</b> Submitter ID: 72892	PC1-4059	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to clarify that the principle of the point source discharger being able to implement offset measures is: as part of measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, to be in alternative locations and or sub-catchments that are available for more than one type of contaminant and can be staged over the period of the resource consent.
<b>Stachurski, Wayne Michael</b> Submitter ID: 73343	PC1-4347	Oppose the provision	Ensure all contributors to point source discharges, including significant infrastructure and industry, provide plans for either future mitigation or offset measures. Policy 11

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
Stevenson Resources Limited Submitter ID: 73732	PC1-5027	Support the provision	<p>AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges. Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided. Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of point source discharges. Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate <del>all</del> <u>any significant</u> adverse effects, an <b>offset</b> measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the <b>point source discharge</b>, <del>for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity</del> provided that the :</p> <p>a) <del>The primary</del> <u>Primary</u> discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and b) <del>The offset</del> <u>Offset</u> measure is for the same contaminant; and c) <del>The offset</del> <u>Offset</u> measure occurs <del>preferably</del> <u>preferably</u> within the <del>same or</del> <u>upstream of the</u> sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs <del>and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit^ or a Freshwater Management Unit^ located upstream;</del> and d) <u>The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects on the Waikato or Waipā River catchment caused by nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and/or microbial pathogens; and</u> e) <u>The offset</u> <del>Offset</del> measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition <u>or another legally binding mechanism."</u></p>
Sutherland Produce Ltd Submitter ID: 74155	PC1-7068	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 and method to extend to non-point source discharges.
Taniwha Estate Ltd Submitter ID: 72146	PC1-6521	Not stated	<p>AMEND PPC1 in accordance with the amendments proposed by DairyNZ and Fonterra. [DairyNZ do not have a specific decision sought for Policy 11.] [Fonterra: AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to Point Source Discharge. Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option* to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided" AND ADD a NEW Policy 11A to read: "<u>Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of Point Source Discharge</u> Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate <del>all</del> <u>any</u> adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, <del>for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity</del> provided that the: a. <del>The P</del> <u>primary</u> discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location; and b. <del>The O</del> <u>ffset</u> measure is for the same contaminant; and c. <del>The O</del> <u>ffset</u> measure occurs <del>preferably</del> <u>preferably</u> within the same sub-catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit^ or a Freshwater Management Unit^ located upstream; <del>and</del> d. <u>The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects caused by the</u></p>



Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
			<del>contaminant(s) being offset in the Point Source Discharge on the Waikato or Waipā River catchment; and e. The Offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition or another legally binding mechanism."</del>
<b>Taupo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 74207	PC1-8115	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to ensure that offsetting is considered a mitigation measure rather than being considered only after all other options to avoid or mitigate have been considered AND AMEND to recognise the significant contribution made to date by the Taupo district communities AND AMEND to provide for offsetting in the Lake Taupō catchment as the Freshwater Management Unit above the Waikato River.
<b>Te Arawa River Iwi Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73697	PC1-11788	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Te Aroha Federated Farmers</b> Submitter ID: 71204	PC1-2215	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to focus and encourage the entire community to improve and enhance water quality.
<b>Te Awamaarahi Marae Trustees</b> Submitter ID: 74168	PC1-11980	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Te Kauri Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74124	PC1-11637	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Te Runanga o Ngati Kea Ngati Tuara Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73543	PC1-12249	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Te Taniwha o Waikato</b> Submitter ID: 73361	PC1-12064	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</b> Submitter ID: 74105	PC1-7884	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen any</del> <u>by offsetting</u> residual adverse effects of the discharge..."

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated</b> Submitter ID: 74122	PC1-8264	Support the provision with amendments	REMOVE 'toxic' from Policy 11(a), AND REMOVE all reference to offsets from Policy 11, including the second sentence and clauses (b), (c) and (d).
<b>Trinity Lands Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 72608	PC1-9773	Support the provision	No specific decision sought for Policy 11.
<b>Tuakau Proteins Limited</b> Submitter ID: 73915	PC1-3331	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 and any related schedules and objectives to avoid any interpretation that the obligation to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects of a point source discharge is greater than or extends beyond application of the Best Practicable Option as determined at the time an approval is obtained.
<b>Turangawaewae Marae</b> Submitter ID: 74173	PC1-12192	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Turner, Ross John</b> Submitter ID: 71029	PC1-30	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to address Hamilton City and Raglan sewerage spillages and other urban storm water runoff.
<b>Turton, Francis James</b> Submitter ID: 73883	PC1-3741	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to provide for the Waikato Regional Council to invest in systems and infrastructure to stop storm water runoff.
<b>Tuwaharetoa Maori Trust Board</b> Submitter ID: 73356	PC1-10339	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Twining, Murray Ian and Robyn Joy</b> Submitter ID: 72587	PC1-6793	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders in applying Best Practical Options through Farm Environment Plans. AND AMEND to clarify the meaning of 'significant toxic adverse effect'. AND AMEND the Rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Verry, Reon and Wendy</b> Submitter ID: 72887	PC1-3832	Oppose the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11(c) to ensure offset measures must occur within the same sub-catchment. If not possible then alter sub-catchment targets.
<b>Waahi Pa Marae Committee</b> Submitter ID: 73751	PC1-12128	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Waahi Whaanui Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73537	PC1-11970	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."

Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā

Submitter	Submission Point ID	Support or Oppose	Decision
<b>Waikato and Waipa River Iwi</b> Submitter ID: 74035	PC1-3349	Support the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of the effects <del>to</del> <u>from</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a point source discharge... effects of the discharge <del>at the time a resource consent application is decided</del> . Where it is not... for the purpose of ensuring <u>net</u> positive effects on the environment to <del>lessen</del> <u>any</u> by offsetting residual adverse effects of the discharge..."
<b>Waikato District Council (WDC)</b> Submitter ID: 73418	PC1-3131	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Waikato Regional Council</b> Submitter ID: 72890	PC1-3062	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 so that flood management and drainage infrastructure are not required to mitigate contaminants that are sourced from land use activities within catchment.
<b>Waikato River Authority</b> Submitter ID: 74033	PC1-11561	Not stated	AMEND Policy 11 to explicitly require offsets and to result in a net contaminant loss improvement.
<b>Waipa District Council</b> Submitter ID: 67704	PC1-3162	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Wairakei Pastoral Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74095	PC1-11351	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to read: "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to <u>diffuse or</u> point source discharges... Require any person undertaking a <u>diffuse or</u> point source discharge... an alternative location or locations to the <u>diffuse or</u> point source discharge... a. Primary discharge does not result in any significant <del>toxic</del> adverse effect <del>at the point source discharge location on the environment</del> ; and"
<b>Wairarapa Moana Incorporation</b> Submitter ID: 72480	PC1-2118	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11.
<b>Wai Shing Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 73069	PC1-2287	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 so that offsetting of effects can occur for point source and diffuse source discharges
<b>Waitomo District Council</b> Submitter ID: 73688	PC1-10319	Support the provision	RETAIN Policy 11. AND AMEND the Policies to address the policy disconnect between Policies 10 to 12 and Policy 6 [Policies 10 to 12 assume that certain discharges can have an adverse effect to a point and may increase, but Policy 6 does not make the same allowance].
<b>Walker, Richard</b> Submitter ID: 73919	PC1-6735	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 application of Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders in applying Best Practicable Options, for example through Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND to provide clarification on what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect' AND AMEND PPC1 Rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Ward, Bruce</b> Submitter ID: 73286	PC1-7388	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 11a to read - "Primary discharge does not result in any <del>significant</del> toxic adverse effect at the point source discharge location". DELETE the use of offsets from PPC1.
<b>Watercare Services Ltd</b> Submitter ID: 74077	PC1-8122	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11. AND AMEND to be consistent with the RMA by relating the requirements for Best Practical Option to consideration of options to determine the best practicable one to prevent or minimise adverse effects. AND AMEND to be consistent with the RMA by relating the requirements for offsetting to residual effects that are significant adverse effects.

*Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges/Te Kaupapa Here 11: Te whakahāngai i te Kōwhiringa ka Tino Taea me ngā mahi whakangāwari pānga; te karo rānei i ngā pānga ki ngā rukenga i ngā*

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Point ID</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Decision</b>
			AND AMEND to reflect best practice RMA policy drafting. AND AMEND to split into a Best Practical Option Policy and an offsetting policy.
<b>Williamson, Terry</b> Submitter ID: 71228	PC1-782	Oppose the provision	AMEND Policy 11 to apply the same provisions to all land users.
<b>Wilson, Mark</b> Submitter ID: 73923	PC1-5186	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to include non-point source. AND AMEND to provide for 25 year consents
<b>Wiremu Trust</b> Submitter ID: 73969	PC1-8836	Support the provision with amendments	AMEND Policy 11 to give the same allowance to diffuse dischargers that point source dischargers have.
<b>Woodacre Partnership</b> Submitter ID: 67313	PC1-4231	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN the Policy 11 application of Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders, for example through Farm Environment Plans AND AMEND by providing clarification on what is a 'significant toxic adverse effect' AND AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 11.
<b>Yule, Don, Lauris and Yvette</b> Submitter ID: 73096	PC1-11538	Support the provision with amendments	RETAIN Policy 11 Best Practicable Options AND AMEND to include all stakeholders AND CLARIFY a 'significant toxic adverse effect' AMEND PPC1 rules to reflect Policy 11.