Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street
Hamilton East
Private bag 3038
Waikato Mail Center
HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Albert John Andree-Wiltens

Phone (Hm): 027 1850 88 2

Phone (Wk): 027 2850 882

Postal Address: 590 Oreipunga Rd RD2 Cambridge

Phone (Cell): 017 1850 882

Postcode:

Email: elwyn 54 & hotmail. com

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Signature date

beef+lamb

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Walkato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views.	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required) The reasons for this are: Thy to justify your response using data or by providing an example or story from your taim/ own experiences	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required) As an alternative I propose What would be an appropriate alternative
Nitrogen reference point.	I oppose it. In the 1415 or 15/16 year there may be farmers that have not been able to put much fert on because of finances or drought one locked in at that level.	as an atternative Id much rather see that an adaquate level of fert be applied according to what the land needs.

hat would be an appropriate alternative
it be fair that all of pay this together as we share in this waters use
was surveyed out so that will not pay rates on it as effectively land locked. NZ could by buy this land the farmer or as the gatautari Ecological Island thave done, they have a farmers land lots to sell empensation for having en land access to this
in 19

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required) The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required) As an alternative I propose What would be an appropriate alternative
Plan change	I oppose. It means that we are locked in to our present system for over. It will make us unprofitable and land value will drop.	what we like as long as we don't pollite the water. That can be managed and monitors
Monitoring costs.	who will monitor all these require and at what cost. Obviousley costs will vise to the rate payer and income will be down because at lower stocking rates. Is this fair?	ments?

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required) The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required) As an alternative I propose What would be an appropriate alternative
Priee of form products.	Do people wan't to have farm produce sold to them at a much higher price than now?	this needs to happen for farmers to stay profitable. Our costs are rising through fencing waterways, less land, higher rates to cover monitoring and less stock numbers.
Planting trees an marginal land.	If large amounts have to planted as it's suggested in the plan then tourism will suffer.	Toursist come to see our beautiful ralling land and it there's large amounts planted the number of tourists may drop.

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required) The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required) As an alternative I propose What would be an appropriate alternative
Monitoring streams.	I support the clean streams idea. I believe that my property is a low leaching one. We one dry stock and don't use high amounts of fert and nitrogen.	Would it be fair to have my atreams monitored where they come into my form and where they leave. Then I will know if I'm a big leach a or not. I'm against been lumped in with may be high leading forms

Provision

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required)

The reasons for this are:

Waterway Lencing

I support this but to actually do the fencing will require many man hours carrying materials and labour to put fence in ankward spots.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required)

As an alternative I propose

What would be an appropriate alternative

would it be fair that I could bench along atreem bed with an encavator to make fencing easier. Also it means we can travel this extra track with a quad to spray weeds that will always be where. Hope fully consent will not be needed as this will be a oncer.

Provision

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required)

The reasons for this are:

Stream crossings.

On our form we have many streams to cross. It's going to be an astronomical cost to either bridge or culvert these to get stock across them.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required)

As an alternative I propose

What would be an appropriate alternative

Would it be ok for stock to pagg through the stream bed and then locked out into next paddock. Stock will only be in the water for a very short time saving a maggive amount of money.

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required) The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/Retained as proposed/amended as set out below (delete as required) As an alternative I propose What would be an appropriate alternative
Monitouring.	I would be vehemently opposed to having to supply hegional Council our annual accounts as has been asked for in some other regions.	
In brief than lets clean up the worter, fence stock out of waterways but share the cost amongst an New Zealanders.	Farmers will and can work through this but a heavy handed approach will not work. Give us assistance and time. This will be a long process	but achievable. Thank you for yourse consideration.

Yours sincerely

Prini Name Albert John Andree-Wiltens

Signature

Date

î