Please consider forwarding a copy of your submission to $\underline{B+LNZ}$ so we can echo your comments in our submission

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Waikato Regional Council's proposed Plan Change 1.

Our names are Cam and Bridget Horsley and Rob and Tennille Horsley and kids. We farm Beef, Sheep and Goats on our 1800 acre farm in the waikorea valley and on Te akau coast road on our run off. We are second generation farmers.

Over the last 10 years, we have been fencing off our waterways and have retired approximately 30 hectares of native bush + pine plantations. We have a good nutrient plan in place to minimize the adverse effect of fertilizer on land and water ways.

Our farm is more than just our livelihood, it is our home and where we spend so much of our time as a family.

Our goal is to leave our 3 children with a productive farming operation which they can continue and be proud of. We have worked extremely hard for 2 generations to develop and improve this land in a very responsible way. We belong to a close knit community of people who care about their land and regularly get together to discuss best farming practice.



Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Cam and Bridget Horsley

Rob and Tennille Horsley

Phone (Hm): 07 8254574

07 8254509

Phone (Wk): as above

Postal Address: 462 Waikorea Valley Rd, RD2, Ngaruawahia 3794

330 Waikorea Valley Rd, RD2, Ngaruawahia 3794

Phone (Cell): Rob 021 640036

Postcode: 3794

Email: bshman21@gmail.com pakirafarms@outlook.co.nz

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.



Yours sincerely

Print Name: Rob Hosley as a representative for the TE Puin PARTNERSHIP

Provision	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend	I seekthattheprovisionis: amended as set out below
	The reasons for this are:	As an alternative I propose
Sub-catchment Management Policy: 9 Implementation method:	 We believe this will work very well for our area We support the policy but it needs to be amended 	We would like the allowance for sub-catchmer approach included in the rules with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
3.11.4.1 and 3.11.4.5		

Provision	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend	I seekthattheprovisionis: amended as set out below
	The reasons for this are:	As an alternative I propose
Contaminant Loss from Farm	The contribution that koi carp make needs to be included	That the plan is adjusted to contain rules for the elimination of koi carp with any consequential
Objectives: 1 and 3	in this proposed plan	amendments arising from the submission process
Policy 1,2,4 and 7		•
Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7		
Schedule 1		,
Table 11-1		
. ~		
¥ °		·
2		

Provision

Farm Environment Plan

Pages 15-16

Policy 2

Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7

Schedule 1

I oppose,

The reasons for this are:

- This is too restrictive and binding. It does not take into account any changes which may need to be made due to adverse weather conditions. These changes will require sign-off by a Certified Farm Environment Planner which will be costly.
- This will discourage innovation and people trying new systems as farmers will be locked into a Farm Environment Plan.
- The timing is unrealistic given how comprehensive and binding the plan is.
- There is a lack of Certified Farm Environment Planners which will result in sign-off being delayed and deadlines not being met.
- The indicative cost of engaging a Certified Farm Environment Planner is prohibitive.

I seekthatthe provision is: amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

That the timeframe is extended

That the plan is amended and re-notified only when there are clear indications of what land use is required on my farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process

Provision	I oppose The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovisionis: Deleted in its entirety As an alternative I propose
Restricting Land Use Change Pages 15 - 16 Policy 6 Rule 3.11.5.7	 This devalues our land, impacts on the potential value of our land in the future We feel that this is covered by all the other rules contained in the proposed plan. This restricts the ability of future generations to develop the farming business It restricts our ability to react to changes in the market as well climatic changes and adverse weather effects. Ultimately reduced flexibility. 	That the plan is amended and re-notified only when there are clear indications of what land use is required on my farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
, '		

		_
Provision	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovisionis: amended as set out below: As an alternative I propose
Stock Exclusion Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.4	 That the National Water Accord only recommends that slopes up to 15 degrees be fenced, and this should apply. Also, it recommends a different definition of a water body which we are more comfortable with. The cost of fencing hill country farms is prohibitive 	That the plan is amended and re-notified only when there are clear indications of what land use is required on my farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
	 In the past, there have been cost subsidies to achieve this and there is nothing in the plan to indicate that this will continue. 	That the rules are changed to reflect recommendations by the National Policy Statement on Fresh Water.
	The timing is unachievable for us in a priority 1 catchment. We require more time to plan and prepare in order to do a proper job.	That the timelines are extended to allow better decision making with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
¥ **		
,		

Provision	I oppose, but we agree with some parts	I seekthatthe provision is: Deleted in its entirety
	The reasons for this are:	As an alkamakina I musa sa
	It is unfair	As an alternative I propose
	Can't increase production	That the plan is amended and re-notified only when there are clear indications of what land
Nitrogen Reference Point	It's a form of Grandparenting	use is required on my farm with any
Objectives: 1 and 4	 We are already low emitters and this does not support those who have done the right thing by the 	consequential amendments arising from the submission process
Policy 2 and 7	environment and effectively reward high emitters	
Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7	This is a poor nutrient allocation process	
Schedule 1	 Sampling at the Rangiriri/Glen Murray Bridge shows that the sub- catchment is already at an acceptable level 	

The specific provisions my submission relates to are: State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	My submission is that: State: • whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; • brief reasons for your views.	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is: Give: • precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision	I oppose The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovisionis: Deleted in its entirety As an alternative I propose
Long Term Land Use Objectives: 1,3 and 4 Policy 5 and 7 Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.5 Schedule 1	 We oppose these parts on Plan Change 1 This creates too much uncertainty as we don't know how much land potentially has to go into forestry/native bush This creates potential capital devaluation and unwillingness to invest 	That the plan is amended and re-notified only when there are clear indications of what land use is required on my farm with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process
y **		

Signature

4.3.17

