# Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipa River Catchments.

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET
	FOR OFFIC	E USE ONLY
Submission		
	Number	
	· · · · ·	
Entered		Initials

#### FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CA	N BE
Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 <b>Please Note:</b> if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses
Emailed to	<u>healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz</u> <b>Please Note:</b> Submissions received my email must contain full contact details. We also request you send us a signed original by post or courier.
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers
	We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS		
Full name David Dean		
Full address92 King Road, RD2, N	vlercer, 2474	
Email ——	Phone0275115257	Fax

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER			
Full name			
Address for service of person making su	ubmission		
Email	Phone	Fax	

#### TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)

y I could / y could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I am / am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

(a) adversely effects the environment, and

(b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

#### **THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO** *Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)*

Policy 1,2,5,6,7,8,9,14,15,17

Rule 3.11.4.2

Rule 3.11.4.3

## I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S

(select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

x Support the above provisions

Support the above provision with amendments

Oppose the above provisions

#### **MY SUBMISSION IS THAT**

Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

I support the above as I believe they are important and workable steps in improving fresh water quality.

### I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL

(select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

Accept the above provision

Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined below

Decline the above provision

If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined below

Amend as follows:

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION			
I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.			
I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.			
JOINT <sup>I</sup> SUBMISSIONS If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.			
IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW			
Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	No, I have not attached extra sheets.		
SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.			
Signature DADO	Date 08-03-17		
Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.			

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

# Additional sheet to assist in making a submission

Section number of the Plan Change	Support /Oppose	Submission	Decision sought
Please refer to title and page numbers used in the plan change document	Indicate whether you support or oppose the provision.	State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.	State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.
Policy 10 and 11	<u>Oppose</u>	New consents for point source dicharges should have higher standards.	Future consents for point source discharges should only allow discharges that do not exceed the contaminant levels in relevant fresh water plan. Overflows should not be acceptable in weather events that are more frequent than a 5 year storm.
<u>Objective 5 and</u> policy <u>6</u>	<u>Oppose</u>	This plan should apply equally to all people. The level of pollution in the river is being capped. If one party is allowed in increase N leaching on their land it must be taken away from someone else.	Objective 5 and policy 6 should be removed.
<u>Rule 3.11.5.2c and e</u>	<u>Oppose</u>	Cultivation is required close to Drains to maintain land. Any width that could not be cultivated would rise causing poor drainage of the paddock. This would result in the paddock centre being a bog and another drain dug increasing likelihood of pollution.	<u>Allow for cultivation to take place 0.5</u> m from manmade waterways .
Page 40 rule 4e2 Page 50 schedule c2	<u>Oppose</u>	On drainage schemes, it is of huge importance to be able to graze close to drains for manament of weeds and the cleaning of drains. Also on drainage schemes a lot of races are built on side of the drains. These fencing rules would make these race useless. There would also be great expense in have to cart material from quary to rebuild races.	Waterways that are manmade, like those on drainage schemes, should be fenced 0.5m from waterway.

		h A	Leader and a second second second
Control of pests,		Many waterways,	Instigate a plan to control and
No rule found in plan		particularly in the lower	potentially eradicate problematic pest
		Waikato, have plague pest	such as koi carp and Canadian geese.
		populations, mostly of koi	
		carp and Canadian geese at	
		present. Koi carp stir up	
		huge amounts of sediment.	
		<u>Canadian geese, said to</u>	
		excrete the same amount	
		of ecrement as a sheep.	
		Can be found in huge	
		groups numbering over	
		<u>300. Canadian geese</u>	
		defacate in the water as	
		well as in the on river	
		banks which I would	
		imagine hugely increases	
		micro-organism	
		populations in the	
		waterways. Also this is a	
		good example of	
		something that can create	
		value and jobs out of the	
		plan, across the spectrum,	
		not just high paying	
		consultancy jobs.	
E coli targets	agree	Lagree coli targets are	Differentiate between ruminant, avian
3.11.6		important, however more	and other sources of e coli and adjust
		specific testing needs to be	targets and control measures to suit.
		done to differentiate	
		between avian and	
		ruminant e coli, so we can	
		specifically target where	
		the problem is coming	
		from.	

ø