

# PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1 WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS



Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed  
Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and  
Waipā River Catchments.

Important: Save this PDF to your computer before answering.  
If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes  
will not save. Please check or update your software to allow  
for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

## SUBMISSIONS CAN BE

Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 <b>Please Note:</b> if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses
Emailed to	healthyivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz <b>Please Note:</b> Submissions received by email must contain full contact details.
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyivers
<b>We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.</b>	

## YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS

Full name: Jason Miles Barclay - Delrane-Jenssen Holdings Limited  
 Full address: 84 Potaka Road, RD1, Aria 3979, Te Kuiti  
 Email: hoztbc@gmail.com  
 Phone: 027-8163361 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

## ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER

Full name: Jason Miles Barclay  
 Address for service of person making submission: 84 Potaka Road, RD1, Aria 3979, Te Kuiti  
 Email: hoztbc@gmail.com  
 Phone: 027-8163361 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

## TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)

- I could /  could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- I am /  am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
- adversely effects the environment, and
  - does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

## THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO

Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (Continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- A- Activities requiring a resource consent versus permitted activities
- B- Nitrogen reference point establishment
- C- Farm environment plans
- D- Requirement for stock exclusion

NOTE - due to time constraints we will not at this stage reference the specific provision/map or page number within Plan Change 1. However, we will do so when a representative of our company (Delrane-Jenssen Holdings Limited) attends the hearing to 'speak to our submission'.

## I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Support the above provisions
- Support the above provision with amendments
- Oppose the above provisions

## MY SUBMISSION IS THAT

Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- A- We oppose requirements to obtain consents for cultivation of land on slopes greater than 15 degrees, and for winter forage crops fed in-situ on these land classes. We do not believe that our farm management decisions should be interfered with by a bureaucratic process which will cost us money for administration. Council officers are not farmers, and most have little understanding of the agricultural science behind contemporary farm management practices. We do not agree with the "Consent to farm" approach.
- B- We believe that the proposal for 'grand parenting' existing nitrogen application/outputs for each property is a flawed mechanism. It rewards existing high fertiliser N 'appliers' with a high NRP, while those who currently use small amounts of strategic fertiliser N applications will end up with a low NRP. This results in WRC picking winners and losers - those with a low NRP that wish to increase N usage in future will not be able to do so. This instantly devalues their land for resale and land-use change/intensification potential. Meanwhile, those with a high NRP will be able to continue applying N at an unsustainable rate. This continued high application rate and NRP allocation disparity is not healthy for the catchments involved, nor for local community relationships. It sets intensive farmers against extensive farmers, and the outcome is continued pollution. Plus theft of future land value from those with a low NRP by the WRC. We believe that WRC should base the NRP for each property on what the specific catchment can cope with and deliver in terms of meeting thresholds for healthy river key indicators. This will depend on the specific characteristics of each sub-catchment/catchment and include geological/soil/climate and all relevant environmental factors. The PC1 proposed NRP model is inequitable.
- C- We support the use of Farm Environment Plans, BUT prepared by the landowner. We have a history of this.
- D- We support stock exclusion where appropriate. But believe that should not include ephemeral stream channels/swampy areas. We have done 20 years of planting and riparian retirement works on our farm already.

## I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Accept the above provision
- Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined
- Decline the above provision
- If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined

**PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION**

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.  
 I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

**JOINT SUBMISSIONS**

- If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

**IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW**

- Yes, I have attached extra sheets.  No, I have not attached extra sheets.

**SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER**

Signature: Jason M Barclay

Date: 8 March 2017

Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

**PLEASE CHECK** that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

**ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION**

**Section number of the Plan Change:**

**Do you support or oppose the provision?**

Support

Oppose

**Submission**

**Decision Sought**

*State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.*

*State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.*

**Section number of the Plan Change:**

**Do you support or oppose the provision?**

Support

Oppose

**Submission**

**Decision Sought**

*State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.*

*State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.*

**ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION**

**Section number of the Plan Change:**

**Do you support or oppose the provision?**

Support     Oppose

**Submission**

**Decision Sought**

*State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.*

*State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.*

**Section number of the Plan Change:**

**Do you support or oppose the provision?**

Support     Oppose

**Submission**

**Decision Sought**

*State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.*

*State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.*